

Journal of Orofacial Orthopedics/ Fortschritte der Kieferorthopädie
Periodontal health in teenagers treated with removable aligners and fixed orthodontic appliances.
 --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	JOOF-D-14-00034R2
Full Title:	Periodontal health in teenagers treated with removable aligners and fixed orthodontic appliances.
Article Type:	Original Article
Corresponding Author:	Gian Marco Abbate, DDS Faculty of Dentistry and Oral Hygiene, University of Insubria, Via Piatti 10, 21100 Varese, Italy. Varese, ITALY
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:	
Corresponding Author's Institution:	Faculty of Dentistry and Oral Hygiene, University of Insubria, Via Piatti 10, 21100 Varese, Italy.
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:	
First Author:	Gian Marco Abbate, DDS
First Author Secondary Information:	
Order of Authors:	Gian Marco Abbate, DDS Maria Paola Caria, DDS, PhD Paola Montanari, DDS, MS Carla Mannu, BSc Germano Orrù, BSc, PhD Alberto Caprioglio, DDS, MS Luca Levrini, MD, MS
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Abstract:	<p>Objectives: To explore the microbiological and periodontal changes occurring in adolescents during 12 months of orthodontic therapy with removable aligners (Invisalign®) and with fixed appliances.</p> <p>Subjects and Methods: During the years 2012-2013, 50 teenagers aged 10-18 years with similar orthodontic starting conditions participated in this trial in a university clinic of northern Italy. After receiving professional oral hygiene and instructions on a standardized oral hygiene protocol, the adolescents were randomly assigned to either orthodontic treatment with traditional fixed brackets (n=25) or to treatment with Invisalign® aligners (n=25). Subgingival microbiological samples, probing depth (PD), plaque index (PI), and bleeding on probing (BOP) were recorded from the mesio-vestibular subgingival sulcus of the upper right first molar and left central incisor at the beginning of treatment and 3, 6, and 12 months later. Compliance to oral hygiene procedures, full mouth plaque score (FMPS) and full mouth bleeding score (FMBS) were assessed at the beginning of treatment and 12 months later. Two sample independent t-tests and Chi-square test were used to study whether the indices of periodontal health differed for the teenagers by experimental conditions.</p> <p>Results: None of the patients resulted positive for the periodontal anaerobes analyzed. PI, PD, BOP, FMPS and FMBS resulted significantly lower and compliance to oral hygiene resulted significantly higher in the group treated with Invisalign® compared to the group treated with fixed brackets.</p> <p>Conclusion: Teenagers treated with removable appliances show better compliance to oral hygiene, less plaque, and gingival inflammatory reactions than peers with fixed appliances.</p>

Response to Reviewers:

Thank you for considering for publication our contribution "Periodontal health in teenagers treated with removable aligners and fixed orthodontic appliances." The comments of the reviewers are fair and useful to enhance the quality of our manuscript. We sincerely hope that the reviewers will find our observations enough explained. The changes to the original manuscript are underlined in order to facilitate the discussion with the reviewers.

Sincerely,
Gian Marco Abbate, corresponding author.

Reviewer #1:

Sealed envelopes were used to assign each teenager to the treatment group in order to blind the decision on the treatment to perform.

The local ethical committee was consulted and expressed their authorization n.826 03/10/2011.

More details on the microbiological procedure were included in materials and methods:

Each paper point was suspended in 50 μ l of pure dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and centrifuged for 30 seconds. 2 μ l of this was used as DNA suspension for real time PCR reactions. The periodontal bacteria quantification was performed using the oligonucleotides described for conventional PCR. Real time PCR was performed using a LightCycler instrument and a LightCycler DNA Master SYBR Green I kit (Roche Diagnostics Mannheim Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. 10 fold serial dilutions of each bacteria in DMSO ranging from 10^7 - 10^2 cells/ml was prepared. These suspensions served as a standard curve for measuring the pathogen concentration. PCR mixture contained (20 μ l final volume): 4 mM $MgCl_2$, 1 μ M of each primer and 2 μ l of DMSO suspension. The PCR program was the following: (i) denaturation at 95°C for 30 sec, (ii) 40 cycles of 95°C, 10sec, 50°C, 12 sec at 72°C, (iii) melting curve performed for 0 seconds at 95°C, 45°C, 95°C. Transition rates were 5°C/s in the 72°C segment, 0.1 °C/s in the 45°C segment and 20°C/s for another step. Fluorescence was detected at the end of the 72°C segment in the PCR step (single mode), and at the 45°C segment in the melting step (continuous mode) in the F1 channel. During initial optimization of Real Time reaction PCR products were analyzed using agarose gel and by a melting curve analysis to ensure correct sample product size. The positive reactions showed 7-90°C T_m peaks. The amount of bacterial DNA in the samples was calculated following sequent formula $[C = q^{*25}]$, C is the final bacterial concentration (totals o single pathogen) in the specimen, q is bacterial number calculated interpolating threshold cycle with a qPCR standard curve.

A statement for potential conflicts of interest was included at the end of the manuscript.

Reviewer #2:

In order to avoid to give the impression to the readers that aligners are "better" than fixed appliances, in the discussion the following concept was underlined:
This study did not aim to evaluate the orthodontic outcome of two different approaches (fixed and removable) but the periodontal health in a population of teenagers in which both techniques could be performed. This can represent for the clinician a possibility to consider different treatment options, mainly in teenagers in which compliance to oral hygiene can be difficult to obtain and esthetic concern is particularly present.

The absence of drop outs for poor wearing time was considered in the discussion with the following concept:

No drop outs for poor wearing time of the aligners were observed and this can be explained with the strong motivation of the patients. Teenagers treated with removable aligners need to be continually motivated mainly after the first months of treatment in order to avoid failure due to insufficient wearing time.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Periodontal health in teenagers treated with removable aligners and fixed orthodontic appliances.

Keywords: periodontal health, teenagers, Invisalign®, fixed appliances, plaque score, bleeding score, probing depth, compliance, oral hygiene.

Abstract

Objectives: To explore the microbiological and periodontal changes occurring in adolescents during 12 months of orthodontic therapy with removable aligners (Invisalign®) and with fixed appliances.

Subjects and Methods: During the years 2012-2013, 50 teenagers aged 10–18 years with similar orthodontic starting conditions participated in this trial in a university clinic of northern Italy. After receiving professional oral hygiene and instructions on a standardized oral hygiene protocol, the adolescents were randomly assigned to either orthodontic treatment with traditional fixed brackets (n=25) or to treatment with Invisalign® aligners (n=25). Subgingival microbiological samples, probing depth (PD), plaque index (PI), and bleeding on probing (BOP) were recorded from the mesio-vestibular subgingival sulcus of the upper right first molar and left central incisor at the beginning of treatment and 3, 6, and 12 months later. Compliance to oral hygiene procedures, full mouth plaque score (FMPS) and full mouth bleeding score (FMBS) were assessed at the beginning of treatment and 12 months later. Two sample independent t-tests and Chi-square test were used to study whether the indices of periodontal health differed for the teenagers by experimental conditions.

Results: None of the patients resulted positive for the periodontal anaerobes analyzed. PI, PD, BOP, FMPS and FMBS resulted significantly lower and compliance to oral hygiene resulted significantly higher in the group treated with Invisalign® compared to the group treated with fixed brackets.

Conclusion: Teenagers treated with removable appliances show better compliance to oral hygiene, less plaque, and gingival inflammatory reactions than peers with fixed appliances.

Introduction

Treatment with fixed orthodontic appliances increases the risk of white spot lesions, caries, and gingivitis during and after the orthodontic therapy. In particular, inflammatory reaction of gingival tissue has often been reported, with an increase in plaque index, bleeding and probing depth, in correspondence of teeth with orthodontic brackets [3,4,30]. It seems that the main factor for an increased accumulation of dental plaque and inflammatory response is the presence of retentive places around the components of fixed appliances attached to the teeth [9,22]. Moreover, a significant loss of periodontal attachment has been reported after fixed orthodontic treatment [11]. Consequently, patients with fixed appliances should follow a very rigid oral hygiene protocol to avoid these side effects.

Parallel to the clinical investigations of periodontal indices, microbiological studies of the composition of the subgingival dental plaque in patients with fixed orthodontic brackets revealed significant changes, suggesting that the treatment may stimulate the growth of a subgingival flora where some periodontopathogenic bacterial strains are prevalent [20], such as *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, *Prevotella intermedia*, *Bacteroides*

1 *forsythus, Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans, Fusobacterium nucleatum and*
2 *Treponema denticola* [25].

3 Unlike fixed dental appliances, removable orthodontic appliances can be worn off and
4 allow patients to practice oral hygiene procedures in ideal conditions [26,28].
5 Invisalign® (Align Technology, Santa Clara, Calif.) is a method for resolution of
6 orthodontic problems that exploits the action of a series of transparent removable
7 aligners made by polymer material to gradually move the teeth in the position
8 previously planned in a “virtual treatment”, using a proprietary software program.
9 These aligners cover all the teeth plus the marginal aspect of the gingiva and should
10 be worn ideally 20-22 hours per day and removed only for eating, drinking, and tooth
11 brushing or flossing [6]. Invisalign®, being removable, has the potential of not
12 hindering oral hygiene, since patients do not have the obstructions caused by brackets,
13 bands or archwires. Miethke and colleagues showed that using Invisalign® lead to an
14 improvement of clinical periodontal status in adult patients compared to fixed lingual
15 orthodontic devices, because of the absence of the biofilm typically detected in
16 patients wearing fixed appliances [17]. The Invisalign® treatment seems to offer
17 several advantages in terms of maintenance of oral hygiene [15]. No signs of
18 gingivitis and improvement of gingival and plaque indices have been reported in
19 different studies with patients undergoing orthodontic therapy with removable
20 aligners [16,17,23].

21 Orthodontic therapy with fixed appliances has certain inherent drawbacks, including
22 dental and soft tissue discomfort, and poor esthetics. Teenage patients may be
23 particularly reluctant to undergo fixed-appliance treatment due to these drawbacks
24 and for other social reasons [12,27]. Few studies in the literature take into account the
25 effects of orthodontic therapy on periodontal indices and subgingival flora in
26 teenagers, in which compliance to oral hygiene often represents a great challenge for
27 the clinician.

28 The aim of this clinical trial was to explore the microbiological and periodontal
29 changes occurring in adolescent patients during the first 12 months of orthodontic
30 therapy with fixed appliances and with the Invisalign® system.
31
32

33 **Materials and methods**

34 This study included 50 teenagers (10-18 aged) of both sexes referred in 2012-2013 to
35 the post-graduate clinic of the Department of Orthodontics, School of Dentistry,
36 University of Insubria, Varese, Italy. The subjects fulfilled the following criteria for
37 participation: non smokers, good general and periodontal health, absence of extensive
38 dental restorations or adhesive fixed partial dentures, lack of antibiotic therapy 3
39 months before the beginning of the study and during the study, and no use of anti-
40 plaque and oral anti-septic solutions during the trial. The orthodontic treatment plan
41 did not include tooth extractions or other mechanics needing the use of bands on
42 molars. For each patient a parent (or curator) signed a written consent to the therapy
43 and the clinical trial.

44 One month before the orthodontic therapy, professional oral hygiene was performed
45 on all the patients who also received instructions on a standardized oral hygiene
46 protocol. Using sealed envelopes the teenagers were randomly assigned to the two
47 experimental groups: 25 patients were treated with Invisalign® aligners (group 1) and
48 25 with fixed orthodontic appliances (group 2). The fixed orthodontic treatment was
49 performed with directly bonded brackets on incisors, canines and premolars, and
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 orthodontic tubes directly bonded on the first molars. Invisalign® aligners were
2 delivered to patients with instructions to be worn 20-22 hours per day and removed
3 only for eating, drinking and tooth brushing. The patients had to wear each pair of
4 aligners for two weeks before moving on to the next pair in the series.

5 The health status of the periodontal tissues was determined by using periodontal
6 indices and subgingival microbiological analysis at the beginning of the orthodontic
7 therapy (T0), 3 months (T3), 6 months (T6) and 12 months (T12) after the start of the
8 treatment. All the clinical measurements were performed by the same operator at each
9 visit, strictly respecting international criteria for the determination of periodontal
10 indices scores [24]. Full mouth plaque score (FMPS), as percentage of dental surfaces
11 with detectable plaque, and full mouth bleeding score (FMBS), as percentage of sites
12 with bleeding on probing, were recorded in six sites (mesio-vestibular, vestibular,
13 disto-vestibular, mesio-lingual, lingual, disto-lingual) of all teeth, at T0 and T12.

14 The subgingival microbiological samples, probing depth (PD), plaque index (PI) [14]
15 and bleeding on probing (BOP) were recorded from the mesio-vestibular subgingival
16 sulcus of the upper right first molar and the upper left central incisor [18], at T0, T3,
17 T6 and T12. Probing depth (PD) was measured to the nearest millimeter on the scale
18 of the periodontal probe (Goldman-Fox, Hu-Friedy Mfg Co., Inc., Chicago, IL). BOP
19 was registered 20 seconds after probing (absent=0, present=1) [29]. PI was assessed
20 according to the plaque accumulation in the gingival area in four grades [14]:

21 0 = no plaque/debris on inspection and probing

22 1 = thin film of plaque only visible after probing

23 2 = ribbon-like layer of plaque covering the sulcus and gingival crown areas but not
24 filling interdental space

25 3 = thick layer of plaque already visible at inspection and filling interdental space

26 Oral hygiene compliance was assessed in each treatment group at the beginning of the
27 study (T0) and at the end of the treatment (T12) interviewing the patients on the time
28 spent for home oral care procedures using the following scoring criteria [13]:

29 (0) patients showing no improvement in oral hygiene compliance

30 (1) patients showing a slightly increased oral hygiene compliance

31 (2) patients showing a significant improvement in oral hygiene compliance

32 In order to standardize the procedure the evaluation was performed by the same
33 operator who taught the initial procedures of oral hygiene to the teenagers and who
34 collected the periodontal indices.

35 The microbiological samples were collected right after recording PI but prior to the
36 other clinical procedures. Following microbiological sampling, probing depth was
37 measured and bleeding on probing registered. The microbiological status was
38 determined by investigating presence or absence of four periodontopathic anaerobes
39 (*Prevotella intermedia*, *Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans*, *Porphyromonas*
40 *gingivalis*, *Tannerella forsythia*). These samples were collected in dry field conditions
41 by inserting one sterile paper point into the deepest part of gingival sulcus for 30
42 seconds. After insertion, paper points were closed into a test tube, refrigerated at -20°
43 C and sent to the O.B.L (Oral Biotechnology Laboratory) University of Cagliari, Italy,
44 where the microbiological analysis was performed. Periodontal pathogens were
45 detected by real time PCR procedures [5,21]. The molecular protocols used in this
46 study have been previously described [7,19].

1 Descriptive statistical analyses were performed to summarize the main characteristics
2 of the patients in the two experimental conditions under comparison. Two sample
3 independent t-tests were used to study whether the means of full mouth plaque and
4 bleeding scores differed for the subjects by experimental conditions, at the beginning
5 and at the end of the study. Two sample independent t-tests were used to study
6 whether the means of plaque index, probing depth, and bleeding on probing differed
7 for the subjects by experimental conditions, at each visit and at different sites (molar
8 and incisor). The differences of bleeding on probing among the teenagers of group 1
9 and 2 were determined using Chi-square test. The level of significance was set at $P <$
10 0.05. All analyses were performed using the statistical package Stata version 12.
11

12 **Results**

13
14
15 The experimental procedure was completed without complications and was well
16 tolerated by the patients. Three dropouts were recorded in the group treated with
17 Invisalign® (group 1) due to the use of antibiotics for systemic diseases, that caused
18 also impossibility to perform all the clinical and microbiological procedures. As
19 expected in a randomized study, at the beginning of treatment most of the indices
20 under study were similar in the two experimental groups. Only indexes of FMPS and
21 of BOP for the incisors were different in the two groups at baseline, with higher
22 values in patients treated with the Invisalign® system.
23

24 From the beginning to the end of orthodontic treatment, full mouth plaque score has
25 tripled and full mouth bleeding score has doubled in adolescents with brackets (group
26 2) while both have slightly decreased in adolescents wearing Invisalign® aligners
27 (Table 1). Also the plaque and bleeding indices recorded on incisors and molars
28 increased during fixed treatment, while a reduction was observed during treatment
29 with the Invisalign® system (Table 2 and 3). Probing depth increased in all the
30 treated patients, particularly in the group 2 and slightly less in group 1 (Table 2).
31

32 At the end of the orthodontic therapy FMPS and FMBS resulted significantly lower
33 ($p < 0.01$) in group 1 in comparison to group 2 (Tab. 1). PI, PD and BOP at the mesio-
34 vestibular subgingival sulcus of the upper right first molar and the upper left central
35 incisor resulted significantly lower ($p < 0.01$) in group 1 than in group 2 at T3, T6 and
36 T12 (Tab 2, 3). None of the patients resulted positive for the four anaerobes
37 (*Prevotella intermedia*, *Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans*, *Porphyromonas*
38 *gingivalis*, *Tannerella forsythia*) analyzed during the 12 months of therapy.
39 Compliance to oral hygiene evaluated at T12 as score 0-2 (Tab. 4) resulted
40 significantly higher in group 1 (mean value 1.9) when compared to group 2 (mean
41 value 1.2). About half of adolescents have maintained the same level of oral hygiene
42 after 12 months of orthodontic treatment. About one-third of the sample ($n=17$) has
43 slightly improved oral hygiene procedures, while only 8% ($n=4$) has greatly improved.
44 The increase in oral hygiene procedures resulted statistically associated to the type of
45 treatment: $p < 0,01$ (Tab. 4). In fact, among patients with fixed brackets, only one out
46 of five had slightly improved its oral hygiene habits ($n=5$) while no adolescent had
47 greatly improved. On the contrary, among patients with Invisalign®, 70% ($n=12$) had
48 slightly improved and about 20% ($n=4$) had greatly improved.
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 **Discussion**

1 In this experimental study, adolescents who wore Invisalign® aligners (group 1)
2 showed better indices of periodontal health than their peers with fixed attachments
3 (group 2), after an equal period of orthodontic treatment.

4 These findings seem to disagree with Alstad and Zachrisson [1] that compared the
5 periodontal condition of adolescents with fixed appliances with untreated patients and
6 reported no statistically significant difference in the mean plaque score and the
7 gingival condition. In that study professional tooth cleaning was done at each visit,
8 actually reducing the effect of the patient's compliance to oral hygiene. In the present
9 study each patient received professional oral care and standardized hygiene
10 instructions one month before the beginning of the therapy, then for the 12 months of
11 orthodontic therapy no further interventions were performed. On equal compliance
12 the results of the periodontal indices in group 1 were significantly better, confirming
13 that oral hygiene procedures without the impediments of the fixed appliances are
14 facilitated in the adolescent patient, in accordance with Miethke and Vogt's
15 observations in adults [16].

16
17
18 Longitudinal studies have shown that adverse changes in the subgingival microflora
19 occur shortly after placement of appliances and these are usually accompanied by
20 plaque, bleeding, and probing depths [8,10]. Increase of plaque accumulation, BOP
21 and probing depth could be observed at the incisor and molar site in group 2 (tab 2
22 and 3), and this increase appeared to be continuous from the beginning of the therapy
23 and reached the maximum values at the end of the study even if no patient showed
24 attachment loss or periodontitis. Ristic and coworkers [22] reported an increase of
25 plaque index, gingival index, gingival bleeding index and pocket probing depth on
26 incisors and molars to a maximum of 3 months after fixed-appliance treatment and a
27 tendency of decrease of measured clinical indices 6 months after the beginning of
28 orthodontic therapy. In the study of Ristic and coworkers no professional hygiene was
29 performed before application of fixed appliances in order to prevent the possibility of
30 eradicating periodontal pathogen microorganisms from the subgingival environment
31 and periodontopathic anaerobes like *P. intermedia*, *A. actinomycetemcomitans* and *P.*
32 *gingivalis* could be detected already at the beginning of the orthodontic treatment [22].
33 None of the patients of the present study resulted positive for one of the four
34 periodontopathic anaerobes analysed, even after 12 months of treatment. A
35 completely opposite trend could be observed for the patients randomized to group 1 in
36 which PI and BOP decreased from T0 to T12, while probing depth resulted stable
37 (Tab 2 and 3). Miethke and coworkers [16] reported a decrease of PI in adults treated
38 with the Invisalign® system but could still not find out differences in periodontal
39 health between treatment with fixed or removable appliances. In that study the
40 patients received repeated instructions, motivation and professional oral hygiene
41 support that could explain the lack of difference between the two treatment options.

42
43
44 Compliance to oral hygiene evaluated interviewing the patients on the time spent for
45 home oral care procedures was significantly greater in the patients of group 1 (Tab. 4).
46 Patients undergoing treatment with the removable aligners had to remove them before
47 eating and use to perform oral hygiene procedures before wearing them back. This
48 can explain the higher score of compliance recorded in this group in which patients
49 reported a significant change in their oral hygiene habits when compared to group 2.
50 This way of evaluating the compliance has been performed before in a study on the
51 Invisalign® technique in adults with similar results [13]. Other studies exist where the
52 microbiological and periodontal responses in adolescents and adults have been
53 compared and generally adults resulted with better supragingival plaque control than
54 adolescents [2]. It has been suggested that adults have longer clinical crowns and the
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 attachments are further away from the gingival tissues and adolescents often have
2 increased hormonal levels associated with puberty which may favor gingival
3 inflammation. The increased cooperation in terms of brushing generated therefore an
4 improvement of the overall periodontal health. An excellent oral health in Invisalign®
5 patients has been reported also by Schaefer and Braumann [23] and was according to
6 these authors mainly due to an improvement in the patient's awareness of oral
7 hygiene, without the need for regular oral hygiene instructions. This aspect can be
8 particularly important in teen patients often difficult to motivate in keeping a good
9 level of oral home care.

10 An important limitation of this study is the small sample size. However, the similarity
11 of the indexes of periodontal health at baseline between the two experimental groups
12 prompts their comparability and therefore the validity of findings. The only two
13 indices distributed differently in the two experimental groups indicate a worse
14 baseline periodontal situation in group 1 compared to group 2, in this situation the
15 advantage of treatment with Invisalign® compared to treatment with fixed appliances
16 for periodontal health would rather be underestimated.

17 Another limitation of the study is that microbiological analysis could be performed
18 only in two sites (molar and incisor) and only four bacteria could be analyzed. This
19 was due to the need to contain costs. However, more extensive microbiological
20 procedures performed in all the sites of the oral cavity could be advisable. Another
21 limitation is the duration of the follow-up. Longitudinal data at the end of treatment
22 and long-term evolution of periodontal health are not considered in this preliminary
23 study. This could be an interesting field of research for future trials.

24 Strength can be found in the analysis of the compliance to oral hygiene which actually
25 needs to be classified and scored, representing a precondition to preserve periodontal
26 health during the orthodontic therapy.

27 The results of this study suggest that teenagers treated with removable appliances
28 show better compliance to oral hygiene, less plaque, and gingival inflammatory
29 reactions than peers with fixed appliances, during 12 months of orthodontic therapy.

30 **References**

- 31 1. Alstad S, Zachrisson BU. (1979) Longitudinal study of periodontal condition
32 associated with orthodontic treatment in adolescents. *Am J Orthod*;76(3):277-86.
- 33 2. Atack NE, Sandy JR, Addy M. (1996) Periodontal and microbiological changes
34 associated with the placement of orthodontic appliances. A review. *J*
35 *Periodontol*;67(2):78-85.
- 36 3. Bolamperti L, Montanari P, Levrini L, Macchi A, Tagliabue A, Caprioglio A.
37 (2012) Tissue response during self-ligating treatment. *Progress Orthod*;13:109–16.
- 38 4. Bollen AM, Cunha-Cruz J, Bakko DW, Huang GJ, Hujoel PP. (2008) The effects
39 of orthodontic therapy on periodontal health: a systematic review of controlled
40 evidence. *J Am Dent Assoc*;139:413-22.
- 41 5. Bommarito S, Peyret N, SantaLucia J Jr. (2000) Thermodynamic parameters for
42 DNA sequences with dangling ends. *Nucleic Acids Res*;28:1929-34.
- 43 6. Boyd RL, Miller R, Vlaskalic V. (2000) The Invisalign System in Adult

Orthodontics: Mild Crowding and Space Closure Cases. *J Clin Orthod*;34:203-12.

7. Denotti G, Piga R, Montaldo C, Erriu M, Pilia F, Piras A, et al. (2009) In Vitro Evaluation of *Enterococcus faecalis* Adhesion on Various Endodontic Medicaments. *Open Dent J*;3:120-4.

8. Diamanti-Kipiotti A, Gusberti FA, Lang NP. (1987) Clinical and microbiological effects of fixed orthodontic appliances. *J Clin Periodontol*;14:326-33.

9. Gomes SC, Varela CC, da Veiga SL, Rosing CK, Oppermann RV. (2007) Periodontal conditions in subjects following orthodontic therapy. A preliminary study. *Eur J Orthod*;29:477-81.

10. Huser MC, Baehni PC, Lang R. (1990) Effects of orthodontic bands on microbiologic and clinical parameters. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop*;97:213-8.

11. Jansson G, Bombonatti R, Brandão AG, Henriques JF, de Freitas MR. (2003) Comparative radiographic evaluation of the alveolar bone crest after orthodontic treatment. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 124(2):157-64.

12. Levrini L, Tettamanti L, Macchi A, Tagliabue A, Caprioglio A. (2012) Invisalign Teen for thumb-sucking management. A case report. *Eur J Paed dent*;13: 155-8.

13. Levrini L, Abbate GM, Migliori F, Orrù G, Sauro S, Caprioglio A. (2013) Assessment of the periodontal health status in patients undergoing orthodontic treatment with fixed or removable appliances. A microbiological and preliminary clinical study. *Cumhuriyet Dent J*;16(4):296-307.

14. Loe H, Silness J. (1963) Periodontal disease in pregnancy. I. Prevalence and severity. *Acta Odontol Scand*;2:533-51.

15. Mampieri G, Giancotti A. (2013) Invisalign technique in the treatment of adults with pre-restorative concerns. *Prog Orthod*;14(1):40.

16. Miethke RR, Vogt S. (2005) A comparison of the periodontal health of patients during treatment with the Invisalign system and with fixed orthodontic appliances. *J Orofac Orthop*;66:219-29.

17. Miethke RR, Brauner K. (2007) A Comparison of the periodontal health of patients during treatment with the Invisalign system and with fixed lingual appliances. *J Orofac Orthop*;68:223-31.

18. Mumghamba EG, Pitiphat W, Matee MI, Simon E, Merchant AT. (2004) The usefulness of using Ramfjord teeth in predicting periodontal status of a Tanzanian adult population. *J Clin Periodontol*;31:16-8.

19. Orrù G, Marini MF, Ciusa ML, Isola D, Cotti M, Baldoni M, et al. (2006) Usefulness of real time PCR for the differentiation and quantification of 652 and JP2 *Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans* genotypes in dental plaque and saliva. *BMC Infect Dis*;6:98.

- 1 20. Petti S, Barbato E, Simonetti D'Arca A. (1997) Effect of orthodontic therapy with
2 fixed and removable appliances on oral microbiota: a six-month longitudinal study.
3 *New Microbiol*;20:55-62.
4
5
6 21. Rasmussen R. (2001) Quantification on the LightCycler. In Meuer S, Wittwer C,
7 Nakagawara K, Eds. *Rapid Cycle Real-Time PCR. Methods and Applications* pp. 21-
8 34. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
9
10
11 22. Ristic M, Vlahovic Svabic M, Sasic M, Zelic O. (2007) Clinical and
12 microbiological effects of fixed orthodontic appliances on periodontal tissues in
13 adolescents. *Orthod Craniofac Res*;10:187-95.
14
15
16 23. Schaefer I, Braumann B. (2010) Halitosis, oral health and quality of life during
17 treatment with Invisalign(®) and the effect of a low-dose chlorhexidine solution. *J*
18 *Orofac Orthop*;71(6):430-41.
19
20
21 24. Silness J, Loe H. (1964) Periodontal disease in pregnancy. II. Correlation between
22 oral hygiene and periodontal condition. *Acta Odontol Scand*;22:121-35.
23
24
25 25. Socransky SS, Haffajee AD. (1992) The bacterial etiology of destructive
26 periodontal disease. *J Periodontol*;63:322-31.
27
28 26. Taylor MG, McGorray SP, Durrett S. (2003) Effect of Invisalign aligners on
29 periodontal tissues. *J Dent Res*; 82:1483.
30
31
32 27. Tuncay O, Bowman SJ, Amy B, Nicozisis J. (2013) Aligner treatment in the
33 teenage patient. *J Clin Orthod.*;47(2):115-9.
34
35
36 28. Turatti G, Womack R, Bracco P. (2006) Incisor intrusion with Invisalign
37 treatment of an adult periodontal patient. *J Clin Orthod*;40(3):171-4.
38
39
40 29. van Gastel J, Quirynen M, Teughels W, Carels C, Coucke W. (2008) Longitudinal
41 changes in microbiology and clinical periodontal variables after placement of fixed
42 orthodontic appliances. *J Periodontol*;79:2078-86.
43
44
45 30. Zachrisson BU, Alnaes L. (1974) Periodontal condition in orthodontically treated
46 and untreated individuals. II. Alveolar bone loss: radiographic findings. *Angle*
47 *Orthod*;44:48-55.

48 **Legends**

49
50
51 Tab 1. Comparison of full mouth plaque and bleeding scores for patients treated with
52 Invisalign® and fixed orthodontic appliances at the beginning of treatment and 12
53 months after.
54

55
56
57 Tab. 2 Comparison of plaque index and probing depth for patients treated with
58 Invisalign® and fixed orthodontic appliances at the beginning of treatment, 3, 6, and
59 12 months after.
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2 Tab. 3 Comparison of bleeding on probing for patients treated with Invisalign® and
3 fixed orthodontic appliances at the beginning of treatment, 3, 6, and 12 months after.
4

5
6 Tab. 4 Comparison of compliance to oral hygiene for patients treated with
7 Invisalign® and fixed orthodontic appliances 12 months after the beginning of
8 treatment (n).
9

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Periodontal health in teenagers treated with removable aligners and fixed orthodontic appliances: a preliminary study.

Abstract

Objectives: To explore the microbiological and periodontal changes occurring in adolescents during 12 months of orthodontic therapy with removable aligners and with fixed appliances.

Material and Methods: During the years 2012-2013, 50 teenagers aged 10–18 years with similar orthodontic starting conditions participated in this trial in a university clinic of northern Italy. After receiving professional oral hygiene and instructions on a standardized oral hygiene protocol, the adolescents were randomly assigned to either orthodontic treatment with traditional fixed brackets (n=25) or to treatment with Invisalign® aligners (n=25). Subgingival microbiological samples, probing depth (PD), plaque index (PI), and bleeding on probing (BOP) were recorded from the mesio-vestibular subgingival sulcus of the upper right first molar and left central incisor at the beginning of treatment and 3, 6, and 12 months later. Compliance to oral hygiene procedures, full mouth plaque score (FMPS) and full mouth bleeding score (FMBS) were assessed at the beginning of treatment and 12 months later. Two sample independent t-tests and Chi-square test were used to study whether the indices of periodontal health differed for the teenagers by experimental conditions.

Results: None of the patients resulted positive for the periodontal anaerobes analyzed. PI, PD, BOP, FMPS and FMBS resulted significantly lower and compliance to oral hygiene resulted significantly higher in the group treated with Invisalign® compared to the group treated with fixed brackets.

Conclusions: Teenagers treated with removable appliances show better compliance to oral hygiene, less plaque, and gingival inflammatory reactions than peers with fixed appliances.

Introduction

Treatment with fixed orthodontic appliances increases the risk of white spot lesions, caries, and gingivitis during and after the orthodontic therapy. In particular, inflammatory reaction of gingival tissue has often been reported, with an increase in plaque index, bleeding and probing depth, in correspondence of teeth with orthodontic brackets (Zachrisson et al. 1974; Bollen et al., 2008). It seems that the main factor for an increased accumulation of dental plaque and inflammatory response is the presence of retentive places around the components of fixed appliances attached to the teeth (Ristic et al. 2007; Gomes et al, 2007). Moreover, a significant loss of periodontal attachment has been reported after fixed orthodontic treatment (Janson G et al. 2003). Consequently, patients with fixed appliances should follow a very rigid oral hygiene protocol to avoid these side effects.

Parallel to the clinical investigations of periodontal indices, microbiological studies of the composition of the subgingival dental plaque in patients with fixed orthodontic brackets revealed significant changes, suggesting that the treatment may stimulate the growth of a subgingival flora where some periodontopathogenic bacterial strains are prevalent (Petti et al. 1997), such as *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, *Prevotella intermedia*, *Bacteroides forsythus*, *Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans*, *Fusobacterium nucleatum* and *Treponema denticola* (Socransky et al., 1992).

1 Unlike fixed dental appliances, removable orthodontic appliances can be worn off and
2 allow patients to practice oral hygiene procedures in ideal conditions (Taylor et al.,
3 2003; Turatti et al., 2006). Invisalign® (Align Technology, Santa Clara, Calif.) is a
4 method for resolution of orthodontic problems that exploits the action of a series of
5 transparent removable aligners made by polymer material to gradually move the teeth
6 in the position previously planned in a “virtual treatment”, using a proprietary
7 software program. These aligners cover all the teeth plus the marginal aspect of the
8 gingiva and should be worn ideally 20-22 hours per day and removed only for eating,
9 drinking, and tooth brushing or flossing (Boyd RL et al. 2000). Invisalign, being
10 removable, has the potential of not hindering oral hygiene, since patients do not have
11 the obstructions caused by brackets, bands or archwires. Miethke and colleagues
12 showed that using Invisalign lead to an improvement of clinical periodontal status in
13 adult patients compared to fixed lingual orthodontic devices, because of the absence
14 of the biofilm typically detected in patients wearing fixed appliances (Miethke et al.
15 2007). The Invisalign treatment seems to offer several advantages in terms of
16 maintenance of oral hygiene (Mampieri and Giancotti, 2013). No signs of gingivitis
17 and improvement of gingival and plaque indices have been reported in different
18 studies with patients undergoing orthodontic therapy with removable aligners
19 (Schaefer et al., 2010; Miethke et al., 2005, 2007).

23 Orthodontic therapy with fixed appliances has certain inherent drawbacks, including
24 dental and soft tissue discomfort, and poor esthetics. Teenage patients may be
25 particularly reluctant to undergo fixed-appliance treatment due to these drawbacks
26 and for other social reasons (Tuncay et al., 2013). Few studies in the literature take
27 into account the effects of orthodontic therapy on periodontal indices and subgingival
28 flora in teenagers, in which compliance to oral hygiene often represents a great
29 challenge for the clinician.

31 The aim of this preliminary clinical trial was to explore the microbiological and
32 periodontal changes occurring in adolescent patients during the first 12 months of
33 orthodontic therapy with fixed appliances and with the Invisalign® system.

37 **Materials and methods**

39 This study included 50 teenagers (10-18 aged) of both sexes referred in 2012-2013 to
40 the post-graduate clinic of the Department of Orthodontics, School of Dentistry,
41 University of Insubria, Varese, Italy. The subjects fulfilled the following criteria for
42 participation: non smokers, good general and periodontal health, absence of extensive
43 dental restorations or adhesive fixed partial dentures, lack of antibiotic therapy 3
44 months before the beginning of the study and during the study, and no use of anti-
45 plaque and oral anti-septic solutions during the trial. The orthodontic treatment plan
46 did not include tooth extractions or other mechanics needing the use of bands on
47 molars. For each patient a parent (or curator) signed a written consent to the therapy
48 and the clinical trial. An authorization of the local ethical committee was obtained.

51 One month before the orthodontic therapy, professional oral hygiene was performed
52 on all the patients who also received instructions on a standardized oral hygiene
53 protocol. Using sealed envelopes, in order to blind the decision on the treatment to
54 perform, the teenagers were randomly assigned to the two experimental groups: 25
55 patients were treated with Invisalign® aligners (group 1) and 25 with fixed
56 orthodontic appliances (group 2). The fixed orthodontic treatment was performed with
57 directly bonded brackets on incisors, canines and premolars, and orthodontic tubes
58 directly bonded on the first molars. Invisalign® aligners were delivered to patients
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 with instructions to be worn 20-22 hours per day and removed only for eating,
2 drinking and tooth brushing. The patients had to wear each pair of aligners for two
3 weeks before moving on to the next pair in the series.

4 The health status of the periodontal tissues was determined by using periodontal
5 indices and subgingival microbiological analysis at the beginning of the orthodontic
6 therapy (T0), 3 months (T3), 6 months (T6) and 12 months (T12) after the start of the
7 treatment. All the clinical measurements were performed by the same operator at each
8 visit, strictly respecting international criteria for the determination of periodontal
9 indices scores (Sillness J, Loe H 1964). Full mouth plaque score (FMPS), as
10 percentage of dental surfaces with detectable plaque, and full mouth bleeding score
11 (FMBS), as percentage of sites with bleeding on probing, were recorded in six sites
12 (mesio-vestibular, vestibular, disto-vestibular, mesio-lingual, lingual, disto-lingual) of
13 all teeth, at T0 and T12.

14 The subgingival microbiological samples, probing depth (PD), plaque index (PI) (Løe
15 H, Silness J 1963) and bleeding on probing (BOP) were recorded from the mesio-
16 vestibular subgingival sulcus of the upper right first molar and the upper left central
17 incisor (Mumghamba EGS et al. 2004), at T0, T3, T6 and T12. Probing depth (PD)
18 was measured to the nearest millimeter on the scale of the periodontal probe
19 (Goldman-Fox, Hu-Friedy Mfg Co., Inc., Chicago, IL). BOP was registered 20
20 seconds after probing (absent=0, present=1) (van Gastel J et al. 2008). PI was
21 assessed according to the plaque accumulation in the gingival area in four grades:
22
23
24
25

26 0 = no plaque/debris on inspection and probing

27 1 = thin film of plaque only visible after probing

28 2 = ribbon-like layer of plaque covering the sulcus and gingival crown areas but not
29 filling interdental space

30 3 = thick layer of plaque already visible at inspection and filling interdental space
31
32
33

34 Oral hygiene compliance was assessed in each treatment group at the beginning of the
35 study (T0) and at the end of the treatment (T12) interviewing the patients on the time
36 spent for home oral care procedures using the following scoring criteria:
37
38

39 (0) patients showing no improvement in oral hygiene compliance

40 (1) patients showing a slightly increased oral hygiene compliance

41 (2) patients showing a significant improvement in oral hygiene compliance
42
43

44 In order to standardize the procedure the evaluation was performed by the same
45 operator who taught the initial procedures of oral hygiene to the teenagers and who
46 collected the periodontal indices.

47 The microbiological samples were collected right after recording PI but prior to the
48 other clinical procedures. Following microbiological sampling, probing depth was
49 measured and bleeding on probing registered. The microbiological status was
50 determined by investigating presence or absence of four periodontopathic anaerobes
51 (*Prevotella intermedia*, *Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans*, *Porphyromonas*
52 *gingivalis*, *Tannerella forsythia*). These samples were collected in dry field conditions
53 by inserting one sterile paper point into the deepest part of gingival sulcus for 30
54 seconds. After insertion, paper points were closed into a test tube, refrigerated at -20°
55 C and sent to the Department of Microbiology (DNA Sequencing Service), University
56 of Cagliari, Italy, where the microbiological analysis was performed. Periodontal
57 pathogens were detected by real time PCR procedures (Bommarito S et al. 2000;
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Rasmussen R 2001). The molecular protocols used in this study have been previously
2 described (Orrù G et al. 2006; Denotti G et al. 2009). Each paper point was suspended
3 in 50 ul of pure dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and centrifuged for 30 seconds. 2 µl of
4 this was used as DNA suspension for real time PCR reactions. The periodontal
5 bacteria quantification was performed using the oligonucleotides described for
6 conventional PCR. Real time PCR was performed using a LightCycler instrument and
7 a LightCycler DNA Master SYBR Green I kit (Roche Diagnostics Mannheim
8 Germany) according to the manufacturer' s instructions. 10 fold serial dilutions of
9 each bacteria in DMSO ranging from 10⁷ -10² cells/ml was prepared. These
10 suspensions served as a standard curve for measuring the pathogen concentration.
11 PCR mixture contained (20 µl final volume): 4 mM MgCl₂, 1 µM of each primer and
12 2 µl of DMSO suspension. The PCR program was the following: (i) denaturation at
13 95°C for 30 sec, (ii) 40 cycles of 0 sec at 95°C, 10 sec at 50°C, 12 sec at 72°C, (iii)
14 melting curve performed for 0 seconds at 95°C, 45°C, 95°C. Transition rates were
15 5°C/s in the 72°C segment, 0.1 °C/s in the 45°C segment and 20°C/s for another step.
16 Fluorescence was detected at the end of the 72°C segment in the PCR step (single
17 mode), and at the 45°C segment in the melting step (continuous mode) in the F1
18 channel. During initial optimization of Real Time reaction PCR products were
19 analyzed using agarose gel and by a melting curve analysis to ensure correct sample
20 product size. The positive reactions showed 7-90°C T_m peaks. The amount of
21 bacterial DNA in the samples was calculated following sequent formula [C = q*2⁵],
22 C is the final bacterial concentration (totals o single pathogen) in the specimen, q is
23 bacterial number calculated interpolating threshold cycle with a qPCR standard curve.
24 Descriptive statistical analyses were performed to summarize the main characteristics
25 of the patients in the two experimental conditions under comparison. Two sample
26 independent t-tests were used to study whether the means of full mouth plaque and
27 bleeding scores differed for the subjects by experimental conditions, at the beginning
28 and at the end of the study. Two sample independent t-tests were used to study
29 whether the means of plaque index, probing depth, and bleeding on probing differed
30 for the subjects by experimental conditions, at each visit and at different sites (molar
31 and incisor). The differences of bleeding on probing among the teenagers of group 1
32 and 2 were determined using Chi-square test. The level of significance was set at P <
33 0.05. All analyses were performed using the statistical package Stata version 12.

41 Results

42
43 The experimental procedure was completed without complications and was well
44 tolerated by the patients. Three dropouts were recorded in the group treated with
45 Invisalign® due to the use of antibiotics for systemic diseases, that caused also
46 impossibility to perform all the clinical and microbiological procedures. As expected
47 in a randomized study, at the beginning of treatment most of the indices under study
48 were similar in the two experimental groups. Only indexes of FMPS and of BOP for
49 the incisors were different in the two groups at baseline, with higher values in patients
50 treated with Invisalign®.

51 From the beginning to the end of orthodontic treatment, full mouth plaque score has
52 tripled and full mouth bleeding score has doubled in adolescents with brackets while
53 both have slightly decreased in adolescents wearing Invisalign® aligners (Table 1).
54 Also the plaque and bleeding indices recorded on incisors and molars increased
55 during fixed treatment, while a reduction was observed during treatment with the
56 Invisalign® system (Table 2 and 3). Probing depth increased in all the treated patients,
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 particularly in the group with fixed attachments and slightly less among the
2 Invisalign® patients (Table 2).

3 At the end of the orthodontic therapy FMPS and FMBS resulted significantly lower
4 ($p<0.01$) in group 1 in comparison to group 2 (Tab. 1). PI, PD and BOP at the mesio-
5 vestibular subgingival sulcus of the upper right first molar and the upper left central
6 incisor resulted significantly lower ($p<0.01$) in group 1 than in group 2 at T3, T6 and
7 T12 (Tab 2, 3). None of the patients resulted positive for the four anaerobes
8 (*Prevotella intermedia*, *Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans*, *Porphyromonas*
9 *gingivalis*, *Tannerella forsythia*) analyzed during the 12 months of therapy.
10 Compliance to oral hygiene evaluated at T12 as score 0-2 (Tab. 4) resulted
11 significantly higher in group 1 (mean value 1.9) when compared to group 2 (mean
12 value 1.2). About half of adolescents have maintained the same level of oral hygiene
13 after 12 months of orthodontic treatment. About one-third of the sample ($n=17$) has
14 slightly improved oral hygiene procedures, while only 8% ($n=4$) has greatly improved.
15 The increase in oral hygiene procedures resulted statistically associated to the type of
16 treatment: $p<0,01$ (Tab. 4). In fact, among patients with fixed brackets, only one out
17 of five had slightly improved its oral hygiene habits ($n=5$) while no adolescent had
18 greatly improved. On the contrary, among patients with Invisalign®, 70% ($n=12$) had
19 slightly improved and about 20% ($n=4$) had greatly improved.
20
21
22
23
24

25 Discussion

26
27
28 In this experimental study, adolescents who wore Invisalign® aligners showed better
29 indices of periodontal health than their peers with fixed attachments, after an equal
30 period of orthodontic treatment.

31 These findings seem to disagree with Alstad and Zachrisson that compared the
32 periodontal condition of adolescents with fixed appliances with untreated patients and
33 reported no statistically significant difference in the mean plaque score and the
34 gingival condition. In that study professional tooth cleaning was done at each visit,
35 actually reducing the effect of the patient's compliance to oral hygiene (Alstad et al.,
36 1979). In the present study each patient received professional oral care and
37 standardized hygiene instructions one month before the beginning of the therapy, then
38 for the 12 months of orthodontic therapy no further interventions were performed. On
39 equal compliance the results of the periodontal indices in group 1 were significantly
40 better, confirming that oral hygiene procedures without the impediments of the fixed
41 appliances are facilitated in the adolescent patient, in accordance with Miethke and
42 Vogt's observations in adults (Miethke et al., 2007).
43
44
45

46 Longitudinal studies have shown that adverse changes in the subgingival microflora
47 occur shortly after placement of appliances and these are usually accompanied by
48 plaque, bleeding, and probing depths (Diamanti-Kipiroti et al., 1987; Huser et al.,
49 1990). Increase of plaque accumulation, BOP and probing depth could be observed at
50 the incisor and molar site in group 2 (tab 2 and 3), and this increase appeared to be
51 continuous from the beginning of the therapy and reached the maximum values at the
52 end of the study even if no patient showed attachment loss or periodontitis. Ristic and
53 coworkers reported an increase of plaque index, gingival index, gingival bleeding
54 index and pocket probing depth on incisors and molars to a maximum of 3 months
55 after fixed-appliance treatment and a tendency of decrease of measured clinical
56 indices 6 months after the beginning of orthodontic therapy (Ristic et al., 2007). In the
57 study of Ristic and coworkers no professional hygiene was performed before
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 application of fixed appliances in order to prevent the possibility of eradicating
2 periodontal pathogen microorganisms from the subgingival environment and
3 periodontopathic anaerobes like *P. intermedia*, *A. actinomycetemcomitans* and *P.*
4 *gingivalis* could be detected already at the beginning of the orthodontic treatment.
5 None of the patients of the present study resulted positive for one of the four
6 periodontopathic anaerobes analysed, even after 12 months of treatment. A
7 completely opposite trend could be observed for the patients randomized to group 1 in
8 which PI and BOP decreased from T0 to T12, while probing depth resulted stable
9 (Tab 2 and 3). Miethke and coworkers reported a decrease of PI in adults treated with
10 the Invisalign® system but could still not find out differences in periodontal health
11 between treatment with fixed or removable appliances (Miethke et al., 2005). In that
12 study the patients received repeated instructions, motivation and professional oral
13 hygiene support that could explain the lack of difference between the two treatment
14 options.
15

16 Compliance to oral hygiene evaluated interviewing the patients on the time spent for
17 home oral care procedures was significantly greater in patients treated with the
18 Invisalign® system (Tab. 4). Patients undergoing treatment with the removable
19 aligners had to remove them before eating and use to perform oral hygiene procedures
20 before wearing them back. This can explain the higher score of compliance recorded
21 in group 1 in which patients reported a significant change in their oral hygiene habits
22 when compared to group 2. This way of evaluating the compliance has been
23 performed before in a study on the Invisalign® technique in adults with similar results
24 (Levrini et al. 2013). Other studies exist where the microbiological and periodontal
25 responses in adolescents and adults have been compared and generally adults resulted
26 with better supragingival plaque control than adolescents (Atack et al., 1996). It has
27 been suggested that adults have longer clinical crowns and the attachments are further
28 away from the gingival tissues and adolescents often have increased hormonal levels
29 associated with puberty which may favor gingival inflammation. The increased
30 cooperation in terms of brushing generated therefore an improvement of the overall
31 periodontal health. An excellent oral health in Invisalign® patients has been reported
32 also by Schaefer and Braumann and was according to these authors mainly due to an
33 improvement in the patient's awareness of oral hygiene, without the need for regular
34 oral hygiene instructions (Schaefer, Braumann, 2010). This aspect can be particularly
35 important in teen patients often difficult to motivate in keeping a good level of oral
36 home care.
37

38 No drop outs for poor wearing time of the aligners were observed and this can be
39 explained with the strong motivation of the patients. Teenagers treated with
40 removable aligners need to be continually motivated mainly after the first months of
41 treatment in order to avoid failure due to insufficient wearing time.
42

43 An important limitation of this study is the small sample size. However, the similarity
44 of the indexes of periodontal health at baseline between the two experimental groups
45 prompts their comparability and therefore the validity of findings. The only two
46 indices distributed differently in the two experimental groups indicate a worse
47 baseline periodontal situation in the group treated with Invisalign® compared to the
48 group treated with fixed brackets. In this situation, the advantage of treatment with
49 Invisalign® compared to treatment with fixed appliances for periodontal health would
50 rather be underestimated.
51

52 Another limitation of the study is that microbiological analysis could be performed
53 only in two sites (molar and incisor) and only four bacteria could be analyzed. This
54 was due to the need to contain costs. However, more extensive microbiological
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 procedures performed in all the sites of the oral cavity could be advisable. Another
2 limitation is duration of follow-up. Longitudinal data at end of treatment and long-
3 term evolution of periodontal health are not considered in this preliminary study. This
4 could be an interesting field of research for future trials.

5 Strength can be found in the analysis of the compliance to oral hygiene which actually
6 needs to be classified and scored, representing a precondition to preserve periodontal
7 health during the orthodontic therapy.

8 This study did not aim to evaluate the orthodontic outcome of two different
9 approaches (fixed and removable) but the periodontal health in a population of
10 teenagers in which both techniques could be performed. This can represent for the
11 clinician a possibility to consider different treatment options, mainly in teenagers in
12 which compliance to oral hygiene can be difficult to obtain and esthetic concern is
13 particularly present.

14 The results of this preliminary study suggest that teenagers treated with removable
15 appliances show better compliance to oral hygiene, less plaque, and gingival
16 inflammatory reactions than peers with fixed appliances, during 12 months of
17 orthodontic therapy.

21 **Conflicts of interest**

22 None of the authors have any financial or non-financial competing interests to
23 disclose.

26 **References**

27 Alstad S, Zachrisson BU. Longitudinal study of periodontal condition associated with
28 orthodontic treatment in adolescents. *Am J Orthod* 1979;76(3):277-86.

29 Atack NE, Sandy JR, Addy M. Periodontal and microbiological changes associated
30 with the placement of orthodontic appliances. A review. *J Periodontol* 1996;67(2):78-
31 85.

32 Bollen AM, Cunha-Cruz J, Bakko DW, Huang GJ, Hujoel PP. The effects of
33 orthodontic therapy on periodontal health: a systematic review of controlled evidence.
34 *J Am Dent Assoc* 2008;139:413-22.

35 Bommarito S, Peyret N, SantaLucia J Jr. Thermodynamic parameters for DNA
36 sequences with dangling ends. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2000;28:1929-34.

37 Boyd RL, Miller R, Vlaskalic V. The Invisalign System in Adult Orthodontics: Mild
38 Crowding and Space Closure Cases. *J Clin Orthod* 2000;34:203-12.

39 Denotti G, Piga R, Montaldo C, Erriu M, Pilia F, Piras A, et al. In Vitro Evaluation of
40 Enterococcus faecalis Adhesion on Various Endodontic Medicaments. *Open Dent J*
41 2009;3:120-4.

42 Diamanti-Kipiotti A, Gusberti FA, Lang NP. Clinical and microbiological effects of
43 fixed orthodontic appliances. *J Clin Periodontol* 1987;14:326-33.

44 Gomes SC, Varela CC, da Veiga SL, Rosing CK, Oppermann RV. Periodontal
45 conditions in subjects following orthodontic therapy. A preliminary study. *Eur J*
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Orthod 2007;29:477-81.

Huser MC, Baehni PC, Lang R. Effects of orthodontic bands on microbiologic and clinical parameters. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 1990;97:213-8.

Jansson G, Bombonatti R, Brandão AG, Henriques JF, de Freitas MR 2003. Comparative radiographic evaluation of the alveolar bone crest after orthodontic treatment. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 124(2):157-64.

Levrini L, Abbate GM, Migliori F, Orrù G, Sauro S, Caprioglio A. Assessment of the periodontal health status in patients undergoing orthodontic treatment with fixed or removable appliances. A microbiological and preliminary clinical study. *Cumhuriyet Dent J* 2013;16(4):296-307

Loe H, Silness J. Periodontal disease in pregnancy. I. Prevalence and severity. *Acta Odontol Scand* 1963;2:533-51.

Mampieri G, Giancotti A. Invisalign technique in the treatment of adults with pre-restorative concerns. *Prog Orthod* 2013;14(1):40.

Miethke RR, Vogt S. A comparison of the periodontal health of patients during treatment with the Invisalign system and with fixed orthodontic appliances. *J Orofac Orthop* 2005;66:219-29.

Miethke RR, Brauner K. A Comparison of the periodontal health of patients during treatment with the Invisalign system and with fixed lingual appliances. *J Orofac Orthop* 2007;68:223-31.

Mumghamba EGS, Pitiphat W, Matee MIN, Simon EMerchant AT. The usefulness of using Ramfjord teeth in predicting periodontal status of a Tanzanian adult population. *J Clin Periodontol* 2004;31:16-8.

Orrù G, Marini MF, Ciusa ML, Isola D, Cotti M, Baldoni M, et al. Usefulness of real time PCR for the differentiation and quantification of 652 and JP2 *Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans* genotypes in dental plaque and saliva. *BMC Infect Dis* 2006;6:98.

Petti S, Barbato E, Simonetti D'Arca A. Effect of orthodontic therapy with fixed and removable appliances on oral microbiota: a six-month longitudinal study. *New Microbiol* 1997;20:55-62.

Rasmussen R. Quantification on the LightCycler. In Meuer S, Wittwer C, Nakagawara K, Eds. *Rapid Cycle Real-Time PCR. Methods and Applications* pp. 21-34. Berlin: Springer-Verlag 2001.

Ristic M, Vlahovic Svabic M, Sasic M, Zelic O. Clinical and microbiological effects of fixed orthodontic appliances on periodontal tissues in adolescents. *Orthod Craniofac Res* 2007;10:187-95.

Schaefer I, Braumann B. Halitosis, oral health and quality of life during treatment

1 with Invisalign® and the effect of a low-dose chlorhexidine solution. *J Orofac Orthop.* 2010;71(6):430-41.

2
3
4 Silness J, Loe H. Periodontal disease in pregnancy. II. Correlation between oral
5 hygiene and periodontal condition. *Acta Odontol Scand* 1964;22:121-35.

6
7 Socranksy SS, Haffajee AD. The bacterial etiology of destructive periodontal disease.
8 *J Periodontol* 1992;63:322-31.

9
10 Taylor MG, McGorray SP, Durrett S. Effect of Invisalign aligners on periodontal
11 tissues. *J Dent Res* 2003; 82:1483.

12
13
14 Tuncay O, Bowman SJ, Amy B, Nicozisis J. Aligner treatment in the teenage patient.
15 *J Clin Orthod.* 2013;47(2):115-9.

16
17
18 Turatti G, Womack R, Bracco P. Incisor intrusion with Invisalign treatment of an
19 adult periodontal patient. *J Clin Orthod* 2006;40(3):171-4.

20
21
22 van Gastel J, Quirynen M, Teughels W, Carels C, Coucke W. Longitudinal changes in
23 microbiology and clinical periodontal variables after placement of fixed orthodontic
24 appliances. *J Periodontol* 2008;79:2078-86.

25
26
27 Zachrisson BU, Alnaes L. Periodontal condition in orthodontically treated and
28 untreated individuals. II. Alveolar bone loss: radiographic findings. *Angle Orthod*
29 1974;44:48-55.

30 31 **Legends**

32
33
34 Tab 1. Comparison of full mouth plaque and bleeding scores for patients treated with
35 Invisalign® and fixed orthodontic appliances at the beginning of treatment and 12
36 months after.

37
38
39
40 Tab. 2 Comparison of plaque index and probing depth for patients treated with
41 Invisalign® and fixed orthodontic appliances at the beginning of treatment, 3, 6, and
42 12 months after.

43
44
45 Tab. 3 Comparison of bleeding on probing for patients treated with Invisalign® and
46 fixed orthodontic appliances at the beginning of treatment, 3, 6, and 12 months after.

47
48
49 Tab. 4 Comparison of compliance to oral hygiene for patients treated with
50 Invisalign® and fixed orthodontic appliances 12 months after the beginning of
51 treatment (n).

Periodontal health in teenagers treated with removable aligners and fixed orthodontic appliances.

Abbate GM^{1*}, Caria MP², Montanari P³, Mannu C⁴, Orrù G⁴, Caprioglio A³, Levrini L¹.

¹ Faculty of Dentistry and Oral Hygiene, University of Insubria, Via Piatti 10, 21100 Varese, Italy.

² Department of Public Health Sciences, Karolinska Institutet, Widerströmska Huset, Tomtebodavägen 18a, 17177 Stockholm, Sweden.

³ Department of Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, University of Insubria, Via Piatti 10, 21100 Varese, Italy.

⁴ O.B.L. Department of Surgical Sciences, University of Cagliari, Via Binaghi 4, 09121 Cagliari, Italy.

*Corresponding author:

Gian Marco Abbate

Via Piatti 10

21100 Varese, Italy

Fax-Tel +39331824975

gmabbate@me.com

Short title: Periodontal health in teenagers: removable aligners and fixed appliances.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to acknowledge Dr. Federico Migliori (Department of Orthodontics, University of Insubria, Varese Italy) and Dr. Silvia Margherini (Department of Oral Hygiene, University of Insubria, Varese Italy) for their precious contribution in data collection.