

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](https://www.sciencedirect.com)

International Journal of Engineering Science

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijengsci

Ellipticity of gradient poroelasticity

Victor A. Eremeyev*

University of Cagliari, Via Marengo, 2, 09123 Cagliari, Italy

Gdańsk University of Technology, ul. Gabriela Narutowicza 11/12, 80-233 Gdańsk, Poland

National Research Lobachevsky State University of Nizhni Novgorod, pr. Gagarin, 23, 603022, Russia

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Douglas–Nirenberg ellipticity
Strong ellipticity
Strain gradient elasticity
Poroelasticity
Dilatational strain gradient elasticity

ABSTRACT

We discuss the ellipticity properties of an enhanced model of poroelastic continua called dilatational strain gradient elasticity. Within the theory there exists a deformation energy density given as a function of strains and gradient of dilatation. We show that the equilibrium equations are elliptic in the sense of Douglas–Nirenberg. These conditions are more general than the ordinary and strong ellipticity but keep almost all necessary properties of equilibrium equations. In particular, the loss of the ellipticity could be considered as a criterion of a strain localization or material instability.

1. Introduction

Partial differential equations (PDEs) constitute a basis of physics and mechanics of solids and fluids. Considering systems of PDEs we usually distinguish hyperbolic, parabolic and elliptic systems. The latter almost relate to statics or to quasistatics. Among definitions of elliptic systems of PDEs one can find ordinary ellipticity or Petrowsky ellipticity (Petrowsky, 1939), strong ellipticity (Nirenberg, 1955; Vishik, 1951), Douglas–Nirenberg ellipticity (Douglas & Nirenberg, 1955), or even more general definitions (Volevich, 1965), see also Agranovich (1997). From the mathematical point of view ellipticity brings regularity of solutions, solvability and well-posedness of corresponding boundary-value problems. From the physical point of view, a violation of ellipticity may result in a certain material instability such as a strain localization, folding, and appearance of multiple solutions, it may also prevent wave propagation in certain points or in some directions. In particular, Hill (1962) and Rice (1976) considered loss of ellipticity as a criterion for detection of strain localization and transition to a plastic regime of deformation, see also Bigoni (2012) and Staber et al. (2021) and the references therein. So the analysis of ellipticity conditions brings an essential a priori information about a solution of a problem under consideration and a possible material response. Moreover, even for finite deformations ellipticity conditions take a form of algebraic problem that is more simple, in general.

Within the classic nonlinear elasticity ellipticity conditions were analysed in many works, summarized in Lurie (1990), Ogden (1997) and Truesdell and Noll (2004). It was shown how the strong ellipticity and its weak form called Hadamard's inequality relate to infinitesimal stability. In particular, infinitesimal stability implies Hadamard's inequality. So the latter can serve as a necessary condition of stability and a violation of Hadamard's inequality can indicate possible instabilities. The converse statement, i.e. the strong ellipticity results in stability for a particular affine class of deformations and for Dirichlet's boundary conditions. Strong ellipticity was studied also for so-called implicit constitutive relations in Mai and Walton (2015a, 2015b).

For the enhanced models of continua such as micropolar and strain gradient media, the connection of ellipticity with strain localization phenomena and material instabilities is similar to the case of simple materials, in general. Localization of deformations in micropolar elastoplastic solids with connections to the loss of ellipticity was studied by De Borst (1991), De Borst and Muhlhaus

* Correspondence to: University of Cagliari, Via Marengo, 2, 09123 Cagliari, Italy.

E-mail address: victor.ereyev@unica.it.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijengsci.2023.103885>

Received 2 May 2023; Accepted 3 May 2023

Available online 17 May 2023

0020-7225/© 2023 The Author. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

(1992), De Borst et al. (1993), Dietsche et al. (1993) and Tejchman and Bauer (1996), see also more recent papers by Hasanyan and Waas (2018) and Russo et al. (2020) and the references therein. Ellipticity and its relation to waves propagation and instabilities in micropolar solids was analysed by Eremeyev (2005), Lakes (2018, 2021), Passarella et al. (2011) and Soldatos et al. (2021). Another model of continua related to strain localization is based on strain gradient approach, see e.g. Fleck and Hutchinson (1993, 2001) and Muhlhaus and Aifantis (1991). Ellipticity within the strain gradient elasticity was considered by Eremeyev (2021), Eremeyev and Reccia (2022) and Eremeyev and Lazar (2022).

Considering strain gradient media it is worth to mention the couple-stress theory introduced by Koiter (1964), Toupin (1962) and Mindlin and Tiersten (1962) as a possible simplest version of the strain gradient models. With its modified version by Yang et al. (2002) it was used for modelling materials and thin-walled structures at the micro- and nanoscales, see e.g. recent papers by Dastjerdi et al. (2020, 2021), Dehrouyeh-Semnani (2021), Dehrouyeh-Semnani and Mostafaei (2021), Farajpour et al. (2020), Malikan and Eremeyev (2023), Malikan et al. (2020), Nobili and Volpini (2021), Zhang and Liu (2020) and Shahmohammadi et al. (2023), and the reviews by Ghayesh and Farajpour (2019) and Kong (2021). The couple-stress theory could be also considered as a micropolar medium with constraint rotations, see Nowacki (1970) and Eremeyev et al. (2013). Within the couple-stress theory the loss of ellipticity and related material instabilities were analysed by Bigoni and Gourgiotis (2016) and Gourgiotis and Bigoni (2016a, 2016b, 2017). In particular, in Gourgiotis and Bigoni (2016a) it was remarked that the principal part of the symbol of the operator is degenerate, so that the system of PDEs in couple-stress elasticity is not elliptic in the standard sense. Nonetheless, by considering a modified equivalent couple-stress operator adding to the governing operator an additional fourth-order operator as a sort of null Lagrangian, ellipticity conditions may still be defined. These procedure involved not only the fourth-order part of the couple stress operator but also the second-order part of the operator. It is closely related to the fact that P-waves are not dispersive in couple stress theory, but S-waves are. For an isotropic and orthotropic materials the modified conditions of ellipticity were given in Gourgiotis and Bigoni (2016a, 2016b), where one can see that loss of ellipticity results in folding of the material. Similar observation on non-ellipticity was made by Eremeyev et al. (2023), where another transformation towards elliptic formulation was done.

The aim of this paper is to discuss ellipticity conditions for the dilatational strain gradient elasticity (Eremeyev et al., 2021; Lurie et al., 2021). In the case of small deformations this model complements the couple-stress theory by Mindlin and Tiersten (1962) to the gradient complete Toupin–Mindlin strain gradient elasticity (Mindlin, 1964; Mindlin & Eshel, 1968; Toupin, 1962). The model can be applied to pressure sensitive materials such as considered in the poroelasticity by Coussy (2004), Cowin and Nunziato (1983) and Nunziato and Cowin (1979). In this case a possible violation of ellipticity may model pressure-induced phase changes in porous solids or other localization phenomena. As an example, one can mention materials with voids and related analysis given by Chiriță and Ghiba (2010). Let us also note that the discussed model belongs to the class of constitutive equations with scalar microstructure (Capriz, 1989; Eringen, 1999). Among of such media it is worth to mention two-phase mixtures (Clayton, 2022) and other models of porous media discussed in Kazemian et al. (2022), Liu et al. (2021), Ma et al. (2022), Rajagopal (2021), Sciarra et al. (2008), Zheng et al. (2022) and Zhou et al. (2023).

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we briefly recall the Douglis–Nirenberg ellipticity definition as in Douglis and Nirenberg (1955). The main content of the paper is given in Section 3. Here we introduce the governing equations of the dilatational strain gradient elasticity and show that the linearized equations does not form an elliptic in ordinary sense. Nevertheless, we can show that another form of equilibrium equations is elliptic in the Douglis–Nirenberg sense. This form is similar to one used for linearized Navier–Stokes equations of incompressible fluids which also form a Douglis–Nirenberg elliptic system (Volevich, 1965).

2. Douglis–Nirenberg ellipticity

Let us recall the definition of the Douglis–Nirenberg ellipticity. Let $\mathbf{w} = (w_1(\mathbf{X}), w_2(\mathbf{X}), \dots, w_N(\mathbf{X}))$ be a vector of unknown functions, whereas $\mathbf{b} = (b_1(\mathbf{X}), b_2(\mathbf{X}), \dots, b_N(\mathbf{X}))$ be a vector of given functions. For $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{X})$ we consider the following system of linear differential equations

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{X}, D)\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{b}, \tag{1}$$

or in the component form

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \mathcal{A}_{mk}(\mathbf{X}, D)w_k = b_m, \quad m = 1, \dots, N. \tag{2}$$

Hereinafter we have used the following standard notations: $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is a position vector, $X_p, p = 1, \dots, n$, are Cartesian coordinates. Moreover, $\mathcal{A}_{mk}(\mathbf{X}, D)$ is a linear differential operator of order α_{mk}

$$\mathcal{A}_{mk}(\mathbf{X}, D) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \alpha_{mk}} a_{mk}^{(\alpha)}(\mathbf{X})D^\alpha, \tag{3}$$

where $D = (D_1, \dots, D_n)$, $D_p = -i\partial/\partial x_p$, $i = \sqrt{-1}$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is a multiindex, $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$, $\alpha_p \geq 0$ are integers, $p = 1, \dots, n$. In addition we assume that $\mathcal{A}_{mk} = 0$ if $\alpha_{mk} < 0$.

Following Douglis and Nirenberg (1955), we assume that $\alpha_{mk} = s_m + t_k$, where s_p and t_p are some integers. We introduce the principal symbol of (1) by the formula

$$A_0(\mathbf{X}, \xi) = \det \mathbb{A}(\mathbf{X}, \xi), \quad \mathbb{A}_{mk} = \sum_{|\alpha|=s_m+t_k} a_{mk}^{(\alpha)}(\mathbf{X})\xi^\alpha, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{4}$$

The Douglis–Nirenberg ellipticity at the point \mathbf{X} means that

$$A_0(\mathbf{X}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) \neq 0, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \boldsymbol{\xi} \neq \mathbf{0}. \tag{5}$$

Within the Douglis–Nirenberg ellipticity one explicitly assumed that each equation and each dependent variable in (2) can have different orders of differentiation. Note that if $s_p = 0$ and $t_p = t$ we have the simplest case of ordinary ellipticity. Petrowsky considered also more general case with $s_p = 0$ and different t_p . Strong ellipticity conditions involves ordinary ellipticity.

3. Dilatational strain gradient elasticity

3.1. Governing equations

Following Eremeyev et al. (2021) let us briefly introduce the basic equations of the dilatational strain gradient elasticity. A deformation of an elastic solid body can be modelled as an invertible differentiable mapping

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{X}),$$

where \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{X} are position vectors in a reference and current placement, respectively. Within the model there exists a strain energy density introduced as a function of deformation gradient \mathbf{F} and the gradient of its determinant J , i.e. the gradient of volume change,

$$W = W(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{k}), \quad \mathbf{F} = \nabla \mathbf{x}, \quad \mathbf{k} = \nabla J, \quad J = \det \mathbf{F}, \tag{6}$$

where ∇ is the referential nabla-operator.

The Lagrangian equilibrium equations take the form (Eremeyev et al., 2021)

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P} - \nabla \cdot [(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{m})J\mathbf{F}^{-T}] + \rho \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{0}, \tag{7}$$

where \mathbf{P} and \mathbf{m} are the first Piola–Kirchhoff stress tensor and the first Piola–Kirchhoff double force vector, “ \cdot ” stands for the dot product, ρ is a mass density in the reference placement, and \mathbf{f} is a mass force vector. \mathbf{P} and \mathbf{m} are given by formulae

$$\mathbf{P} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial \mathbf{F}}, \quad \mathbf{m} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial \mathbf{k}}.$$

The case of small deformations was also studied by Eremeyev et al. (2021) and Lurie et al. (2021). For an isotropic solid the strain energy density has the form

$$W = \frac{1}{2} \lambda e^2 + \mu \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{2} \beta \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}, \tag{8}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \mathbf{u}^T), \quad \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{X},$$

$$e = \text{tr } \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}, \quad \mathbf{k} = \nabla e = \nabla \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u},$$

λ and μ are Lamé moduli, β is an additional elastic modulus related to gradient of dilatation, and “ $:$ ” denotes the double dot product. The stress tensor and the double stress vector transform to

$$\mathbf{P} = \lambda e \mathbf{I} + 2\mu \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \quad \mathbf{m} = \beta \mathbf{k}, \tag{9}$$

whereas the equilibrium equation (7) takes the form

$$\mu \Delta \mathbf{u} + (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} - \beta \Delta \nabla \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} + \rho \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \Delta = \nabla \cdot \nabla. \tag{10}$$

Hereinafter \mathbf{I} is the 3D unit tensor.

3.2. Loss of ordinary ellipticity

The considered model is a particular case of the general strain gradient elasticity introduced by Mindlin (1964), Mindlin and Eshel (1968) and Toupin (1962), see also Bertram (2023) and Bertram and Forest (2020). So ordinary and strong ellipticity of (7) can be studied within general framework as in Eremeyev (2021), Eremeyev and Lazar (2022) and Mareno and Healey (2006). Considering this model in the case of small deformations it was noted by Eremeyev et al. (2023) that the equilibrium equations in displacements (10) does constitute neither ordinary elliptic nor strongly elliptic system as the principal symbol is degenerated. The same conclusion is valid for finite deformations. Indeed, the principal symbol of (7) has the form of a dyad

$$\mathbb{A}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = J^2 \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial \mathbf{k} \partial \mathbf{k}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} (\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \mathbf{F}^{-T}) \otimes (\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \mathbf{F}^{-T}), \tag{11}$$

where \otimes is the dyadic product. Obviously, here $\det \mathbb{A}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = 0$ and the conditions of ordinary ellipticity is violated. Since ordinary ellipticity is a necessary condition of the strong ellipticity, the latter is also violated.

3.3. Douglis–Nirenberg ellipticity

In order to bring ellipticity properties to the equilibrium equations we use a certain correspondence between the dilatational strain gradient elasticity and the poroelasticity by [Nunziato and Cowin \(1979\)](#). We reformulate the equilibrium equations as follows. First, we introduce a new scalar variable φ as an additional kinematical descriptor, “porosity” in the sense of the nonlinear poroelasticity. So a strain energy density takes the form

$$W = W(\mathbf{F}, \nabla\varphi).$$

Treating φ as independent field subjected to the constraint

$$\varphi = J \equiv \det \mathbf{F}, \tag{12}$$

we come to the following system of equations

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P} - \nabla \cdot (\gamma \mathbf{J} \mathbf{F}^{-T}) + \rho \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbf{P} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial \mathbf{F}}, \tag{13}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{m} - \gamma = 0, \quad \mathbf{m} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial \nabla \varphi}. \tag{14}$$

Here γ is a Lagrange multiplier related to (12). Excluding it from (13) and (14) we get again (7). Instead, we consider (13), (14), and (12) as a system of PDEs with respect to $\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{u}, \varphi, \gamma)$. As this system consists of PDEs of different order, it cannot be treated using standard ellipticity definition. On the other hand, the Douglis–Nirenberg ellipticity works and brings the following inequality

$$\det \mathbb{A}(\xi) \neq 0, \quad \mathbb{A}(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}(\xi) & \mathbf{0} & -i\xi \cdot \mathbf{J} \mathbf{F}^{-T} \\ 0 & \xi \cdot \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial \mathbf{k} \partial \mathbf{k}} \cdot \xi & 0 \\ i\xi \cdot \mathbf{J} \mathbf{F}^{-T} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{15}$$

where $\mathbf{Q}(\xi)$ is the classic acoustic tensor given by the formulae

$$Q_{ij} = C_{minj} \xi_m \xi_n, \quad \mathbf{C} = \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial \mathbf{F} \partial \mathbf{F}}.$$

Here we used the following set of integers s_p and t_p , $p = 1, \dots, 5$:

$$t_1 = 3, \quad t_2 = 3, \quad t_3 = 3, \quad t_4 = 3, \quad t_5 = 2,$$

$$s_1 = -1, \quad s_2 = -1, \quad s_3 = -1, \quad s_4 = -1, \quad s_5 = -2.$$

With another technique similar, but not the same, constraints were obtained by [Zee and Sternberg \(1983\)](#) for incompressible materials.

What is remarkable is that the Douglis–Nirenberg ellipticity condition (15) includes also the classic ellipticity condition, i.e. the condition of non-singularity of the acoustic tensor. This is an essential difference from the strong ellipticity conditions which do not imply such constraints, see [Eremeyev \(2021\)](#) and [Eremeyev and Lazar \(2022\)](#).

In order to clarify the Douglis–Nirenberg ellipticity condition let us study the case of small deformations in more details. Now system (13), (14), and (12) take the form

$$\mu \Delta \mathbf{u} + (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} - \nabla \gamma + \rho \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{0}, \tag{16}$$

$$\beta \Delta \varphi - \gamma = 0, \tag{17}$$

$$\varphi - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0. \tag{18}$$

The corresponding symbolic representation of the differential operator $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{X}, D)$ in (1) is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\mu \xi \cdot \xi \mathbf{1} - (\lambda + \mu) \xi \otimes \xi & \mathbf{0} & -i\xi \\ 0 & -\beta \xi \cdot \xi & -1 \\ i\xi & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As a result, the principal symbol introduced in (4) has the form

$$A_0(\mathbf{X}, \xi) = \det \mathbb{A}(\xi), \tag{19}$$

$$\mathbb{A}(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} -\mu \xi^2 - (\lambda + \mu) \xi_1^2 & -(\lambda + \mu) \xi_1 \xi_2 & -(\lambda + \mu) \xi_1 \xi_3 & 0 & -i\xi_1 \\ -(\lambda + \mu) \xi_2 \xi_1 & -\mu \xi^2 - (\lambda + \mu) \xi_2^2 & -(\lambda + \mu) \xi_2 \xi_3 & 0 & -i\xi_2 \\ -(\lambda + \mu) \xi_3 \xi_1 & -(\lambda + \mu) \xi_3 \xi_2 & -\mu \xi^2 - (\lambda + \mu) \xi_3^2 & 0 & -i\xi_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\beta \xi^2 & 0 \\ i\xi_1 & i\xi_2 & i\xi_3 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\xi^2 = \xi \cdot \xi$. Here we have the formula

$$\det \mathbb{A}(\xi) = \beta \mu^2 \xi^8. \quad (20)$$

As a result, the Douglis–Nirenberg ellipticity conditions take the form of two inequalities

$$\beta \neq 0, \quad \mu \neq 0. \quad (21)$$

We can see that the ellipticity conditions include constraints for first-order and higher order elastic moduli.

These inequalities could be also obtained if one decompose the displacements using the Helmholtz decomposition $\mathbf{u} = \nabla\Phi + \nabla \times \Psi$, $\nabla \cdot \Psi = 0$, where Φ and Ψ are potentials. For the latter we have two equations

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda + 2\mu)\Delta\Phi - \beta\Delta^2\Phi + f &= 0, \\ \mu\Delta\Psi + \mathbf{p} &= \mathbf{0}, \end{aligned}$$

where we also used the Helmholtz decomposition of the mass force $\rho\mathbf{f} = \nabla f + \nabla \times \mathbf{p}$. Obviously, both equations are elliptic if and only if (21) are fulfilled.

4. Conclusions

We demonstrated that the dilatational strain gradient elasticity belongs to the class of elliptic systems in the Douglis–Nirenberg sense. So the general theory of elliptic systems could be applied to these models of continua. Let also note that unlike the ordinary ellipticity the Douglis–Nirenberg ellipticity is invariant under change of variables, so it could be more useful for various transformations of the systems under considerations. Similar results one can expect for other models with additional scalar degree of freedom. In addition we demonstrated that the provided conditions of ellipticity inherited the ones from the simple materials. In other words they includes inequalities for low- and high-order elastic moduli, whereas the standard ellipticity requires constrains for higher order elastic moduli, see e.g. Eremeyev and Lazar (2022). The approach based on the Douglis–Nirenberg definition could be also useful for other models of elasticity, such as ones with implicit or incremental constitutive relations (Rajagopal, 2007; Rajagopal & Srinivasa, 2007).

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by National Research Lobachevsky State University of Nizhni Novgorod, Russia under the Program “Priority 2030”.

References

- Agranovich, M. (1997). Elliptic boundary problems. In M. Agranovich, Y. Egorov, & M. Shubin (Eds.), *Encyclopaedia of mathematical sciences: vol. 79, Partial differential equations IX: Elliptic boundary problems* (pp. 1–144). Berlin: Springer.
- Bertram, A. (2023). *Compendium on gradient materials*. Cham: Springer.
- Bertram, A., & Forest, S. (Eds.), (2020). *Mechanics of strain gradient materials*. Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Bigoni, D. (2012). *Nonlinear solid mechanics: Bifurcation theory and material instability*. Cambridge University Press.
- Bigoni, D., & Gourgiotis, P. A. (2016). Folding and faulting of an elastic continuum. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 472(2187), Article 20160018.
- Capriz, G. (1989). *Continua with microstructure*. New York: Springer.
- Chiriță, S., & Ghiba, I.-D. (2010). Strong ellipticity and progressive waves in elastic materials with voids. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 466(2114), 439–458.
- Clayton, J. D. (2022). Analysis of shock waves in a mixture theory of a thermoelastic solid and fluid with distinct temperatures. *International Journal of Engineering Science*, 175, Article 103675.
- Coussy, O. (2004). *Poromechanics*. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.
- Cowin, S. C., & Nunziato, J. W. (1983). Linear elastic materials with voids. *Journal of Elasticity*, 13(2), 125–147.
- Dastjerdi, S., Akgöz, B., & Civalek, Ö. (2020). On the effect of viscoelasticity on behavior of gyroscopes. *International Journal of Engineering Science*, 149, Article 103236.
- Dastjerdi, S., Naeijian, F., Akgöz, B., & Civalek, O. (2021). On the mechanical analysis of microcrystalline cellulose sheets. *International Journal of Engineering Science*, 166, Article 103500.
- De Borst, R. (1991). Simulation of strain localization: a reappraisal of the Cosserat continuum. *Engineering Computations*, 8(4), 317–332.
- De Borst, R., & Mühlhaus, H.-B. (1992). Finite deformation analysis of inelastic materials with micro-structure. In *Finite inelastic deformations-Theory and applications* (pp. 313–322). Springer.
- De Borst, R., Sluys, L. J., Mühlhaus, H.-B., & Pamin, J. (1993). Fundamental issues in finite element analyses of localization of deformation. *Engineering Computations*, 10, 99–121.
- Dehrouyeh-Semnani, A. M. (2021). On large deformation and stability of microcantilevers under follower load. *International Journal of Engineering Science*, 168, Article 103549.
- Dehrouyeh-Semnani, A. M., & Mostafaei, H. (2021). On the mechanics of microshells of revolution. *International Journal of Engineering Science*, 161, Article 103450.

- Dietsche, A., Steinmann, P., & Willam, K. (1993). Micropolar elastoplasticity and its role in localization. *International Journal of Plasticity*, 9(7), 813–831.
- Douglis, A., & Nirenberg, L. (1955). Interior estimates for elliptic systems of partial differential equations. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 8(4), 503–538.
- Eremeyev, V. A. (2005). Acceleration waves in micropolar elastic media. *Doklady Physics*, 50(4), 204–206.
- Eremeyev, V. A. (2021). Strong ellipticity conditions and infinitesimal stability within nonlinear strain gradient elasticity. *Mechanics Research Communications*, 117, Article 103782.
- Eremeyev, V. A., Cazzani, A., & dell'Isola, F. (2021). On nonlinear dilatational strain gradient elasticity. *Continuum Mechanics and Thermodynamics*, 33(4), 1429–1463.
- Eremeyev, V. A., & Lazar, M. (2022). Strong ellipticity within the Toupin–Mindlin first strain gradient elasticity theory. *Mechanics Research Communications*, Article 103944.
- Eremeyev, V. A., Lebedev, L. P., & Altenbach, H. (2013). *Springer-briefs in applied sciences and technologies, Foundations of micropolar mechanics*. Heidelberg et al.: Springer.
- Eremeyev, V. A., & Reccia, E. (2022). Nonlinear strain gradient and micromorphic one-dimensional elastic continua: Comparison through strong ellipticity conditions. *Mechanics Research Communications*, Article 103909.
- Eremeyev, V. A., Scerrato, D., & Konopińska-Zmysłowska, V. (2023). Ellipticity in couple-stress elasticity. *Zeitschrift für Angewandte Mathematik und Physik*, 74(1), 18.
- Eringen, A. C. (1999). *Microcontinuum field theory. I. Foundations and solids*. New York: Springer.
- Farajpour, A., Howard, C. Q., & Robertson, W. S. (2020). On size-dependent mechanics of nanoplates. *International Journal of Engineering Science*, 156, Article 103368.
- Fleck, N. A., & Hutchinson, J. W. (1993). A phenomenological theory for strain gradient effects in plasticity. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, 41(12), 1825–1857.
- Fleck, N. A., & Hutchinson, J. W. (2001). A reformulation of strain gradient plasticity. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, 49(10), 2245–2271.
- Ghayesh, M. H., & Farajpour, A. (2019). A review on the mechanics of functionally graded nanoscale and microscale structures. *International Journal of Engineering Science*, 137, 8–36.
- Gourgiotis, P. A., & Bigoni, D. (2016a). Stress channelling in extreme couple-stress materials Part I: Strong ellipticity, wave propagation, ellipticity, and discontinuity relations. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, 88, 150–168.
- Gourgiotis, P. A., & Bigoni, D. (2016b). Stress channelling in extreme couple-stress materials Part II: Localized folding vs faulting of a continuum in single and cross geometries. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, 88, 169–185.
- Gourgiotis, P. A., & Bigoni, D. (2017). The dynamics of folding instability in a constrained Cosserat medium. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London A (Mathematical and Physical Sciences)*, 375(2093), Article 20160159.
- Hasanyan, A. D., & Waas, A. M. (2018). Localization in anisotropic elastoplastic micropolar media: Application to fiber reinforced composites. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, 121, 1–22.
- Hill, R. (1962). Acceleration waves in solids. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, 10(1), 1–16.
- Kazemian, M., Hassani, A., & Goudarzi, A. M. (2022). On strain-induced degradation of the polymeric skeleton in poro-hyperelastic inflating vessels by a non-equilibrium thermodynamic framework. *International Journal of Engineering Science*, 171, Article 103618.
- Koiter, W. T. (1964). Couple-stresses in the theory of elasticity. Pt I–II. *Proceedings of the Koninklijke Nederlandse Akademie van Wetenschappen, B* 67, 17–44.
- Kong, S. (2021). A review on the size-dependent models of micro-beam and micro-plate based on the modified couple stress theory. *Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering*, 29, 1–31.
- Lakes, R. S. (2018). Stability of Cosserat solids: size effects, ellipticity and waves. *Journal of Mechanics of Materials and Structures*, 13(1), 83–91.
- Lakes, R. S. (2021). Softening of Cosserat sensitivity in a foam: Warp effects. *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences*, 192, Article 106125.
- Liu, J., Cui, Z., & Sevostianov, I. (2021). Effect of stresses on wave propagation in fluid-saturated porous media. *International Journal of Engineering Science*, 167, Article 103519.
- Lurie, A. I. (1990). *Non-linear theory of elasticity*. Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- Lurie, S. A., Kalamkarov, A. L., Solyaev, Y. O., & Volkov, A. V. (2021). Dilatation gradient elasticity theory. *European Journal of Mechanics. A. Solids*, 88, Article 104258.
- Ma, Y., Chen, X., Hosking, L. J., Yu, H.-S., & Thomas, H. R. (2022). THMC constitutive model for membrane geomaterials based on Mixture Coupling Theory. *International Journal of Engineering Science*, 171, Article 103605.
- Mai, T., & Walton, J. R. (2015a). On monotonicity for strain-limiting theories of elasticity. *Journal of Elasticity*, 120, 39–65.
- Mai, T., & Walton, J. R. (2015b). On strong ellipticity for implicit and strain-limiting theories of elasticity. *Mathematics and Mechanics of Solids*, 20(2), 121–139.
- Malikan, M., & Eremeyev, V. A. (2023). On time-dependent nonlinear dynamic response of micro-elastic solids. *International Journal of Engineering Science*, 182, Article 103793.
- Malikan, M., Krashennnikov, M., & Eremeyev, V. A. (2020). Torsional stability capacity of a nano-composite shell based on a nonlocal strain gradient shell model under a three-dimensional magnetic field. *International Journal of Engineering Science*, 148, Article 103210.
- Mareno, A., & Healey, T. J. (2006). Global continuation in second-gradient nonlinear elasticity. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 38(1), 103–115.
- Mindlin, R. D. (1964). Micro-structure in linear elasticity. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 16(1), 51–78.
- Mindlin, R. D., & Eshel, N. N. (1968). On first strain-gradient theories in linear elasticity. *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, 4(1), 109–124.
- Mindlin, R. D., & Tiersten, H. F. (1962). Effects of couple-stresses in linear elasticity. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 11(1), 415–448.
- Muhlhaus, H. B., & Aifantis, E. C. (1991). The influence of microstructure-induced gradients on the localization of deformation in viscoplastic materials. *Acta Mechanica*, 89(1), 217–231.
- Nirenberg, L. (1955). Remarks on strongly elliptic partial differential equations. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 8(4), 648–674.
- Nobili, A., & Volpini, V. (2021). Microstructured induced band pattern in Love wave propagation for novel nondestructive testing (NDT) procedures. *International Journal of Engineering Science*, 168, Article 103545.
- Nowacki, W. (1970). *Teoria sprężystości*. Warszawa: PWN.
- Nunziato, J. W., & Cowin, S. C. (1979). A nonlinear theory of elastic materials with voids. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 72(2), 175–201.
- Ogden, R. W. (1997). *Non-linear elastic deformations*. Mineola: Dover.
- Passarella, F., Tibullo, V., & Zampoli, V. (2011). On the strong ellipticity for orthotropic micropolar elastic bodies in a plane strain state. *Mechanics Research Communications*, 38(7), 512–517.
- Petrowsky, I. G. (1939). Sur l'analyticité des solutions des systèmes d'équations différentielles. *Recueil Mathématique (Matematicheskii Sbornik)*, 5(47), 3–70.
- Rajagopal, K. R. (2007). The elasticity of elasticity. *Zeitschrift für Angewandte Mathematik und Physik*, 58, 309–317.
- Rajagopal, K. R. (2021). An implicit constitutive relation for describing the small strain response of porous elastic solids whose material moduli are dependent on the density. *Mathematics and Mechanics of Solids*, 26(8), 1138–1146.
- Rajagopal, K. R., & Srinivasa, A. R. (2007). On the response of non-dissipative solids. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 463(2078), 357–367.
- Rice, J. R. (1976). The localization of plastic deformation. In W. T. Koiter (Ed.), *Theoretical and applied mechanics (Proceedings of the 14th international congress on theoretical and applied mechanics)* (pp. 2.07–220). Delft: North-Holland Publ. Co.

- Russo, R., Forest, S., & Girot Mata, F. A. (2020). Thermomechanics of Cosserat medium: modeling adiabatic shear bands in metals. *Continuum Mechanics and Thermodynamics*, 1–20.
- Sciarra, G., dell’Isola, F., Ianiro, N., & Madeo, A. (2008). A variational deduction of second gradient poroelasticity I: general theory. *Journal of Mechanics of Materials and Structures*, 3(3), 507–526.
- Shahmohammadi, M. A., Mirfatah, S. M., Salehipour, H., & Civalek, O. (2023). On nonlinear forced vibration of micro scaled panels. *International Journal of Engineering Science*, 182, Article 103774.
- Soldatos, K. P., Shariff, M. H. B. M., & Merodio, J. (2021). On the constitution of polar fiber-reinforced materials. *Mechanics of Advanced Materials and Structures*, 28(21), 2255–2266.
- Staber, B., Forest, S., Al Kotob, M., Mazière, M., & Rose, T. (2021). Loss of ellipticity analysis in non-smooth plasticity. *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, 222, Article 111010.
- Tejchman, J., & Bauer, E. (1996). Numerical simulation of shear band formation with a polar hypoplastic constitutive model. *Computers and Geotechnics*, 19(3), 221–244.
- Toupin, R. A. (1962). Elastic materials with couple-stresses. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 11(1), 385–414.
- Truesdell, C., & Noll, W. (2004). *The non-linear field theories of mechanics* (3rd ed.). Berlin: Springer.
- Vishik, M. I. (1951). On strongly elliptic systems of differential equations (in Russian). *Sbornik: Mathematics*, 29(71), 615–657.
- Volevich, L. R. (1965). Solubility of boundary value problems for general elliptic systems (in Russian). *Sbornik: Mathematics*, 68(110), 373–416.
- Yang, F. A. C. M., Chong, A. C. M., Lam, D. C. C., & Tong, P. (2002). Couple stress based strain gradient theory for elasticity. *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, 39(10), 2731–2743.
- Zee, L., & Sternberg, E. (1983). Ordinary and strong ellipticity in the equilibrium theory of incompressible hyperelastic solids. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 83(1), 53–90.
- Zhang, Q., & Liu, H. (2020). On the dynamic response of porous functionally graded microbeam under moving load. *International Journal of Engineering Science*, 153, Article 103317.
- Zheng, P., Tang, X., & Zhang, K. (2022). On constitutive modelling of linear poroviscoelastic solids. *International Journal of Engineering Science*, 178, Article 103728.
- Zhou, J., Cui, Z., Zhang, B., Kundu, T., & Sevostianov, I. (2023). The effect of porosity on the elastic properties of cortical bone and ultrasound propagation. *International Journal of Engineering Science*, 182, Article 103772.