

# Article A Catalog of Coding Sequence Variations in Salivary Proteins' Genes Occurring during Recent Human Evolution

Lorena Di Pietro <sup>1,2,†</sup>, Mozhgan Boroumand <sup>3,†,‡</sup>, Wanda Lattanzi <sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Barbara Manconi <sup>4</sup>, Martina Salvati <sup>1</sup>, Tiziana Cabras <sup>4</sup>, Alessandra Olianas <sup>4</sup>, Laura Flore <sup>4</sup>, Simone Serrao <sup>5</sup>, Carla M. Calò <sup>4</sup>, Paolo Francalacci <sup>4</sup>, Ornella Parolini <sup>1,2</sup>, and Massimo Castagnola <sup>3</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Dipartimento Scienze della Vita e Sanità Pubblica, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, 00168 Rome, Italy; lorena.dipietro@unicatt.it (L.D.P.)
- <sup>2</sup> Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli IRCCS, 00168 Rome, Italy
- <sup>3</sup> Laboratorio di Proteomica, Centro Europeo di Ricerca sul Cervello, IRCCS Fondazione Santa Lucia, 00179 Rome, Italy
- <sup>4</sup> Dipartimento di Scienze della Vita e Dell'ambiente, Università di Cagliari, 09042 Monserrato, Italy
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Medicine and Surgery, Proteomics and Metabolomics Unit, University of Milano-Bicocca, 20854 Vedano al Lambro, Italy
- \* Correspondence: wanda.lattanzi@unicatt.it; Tel.: +39-06-30154464
- <sup>+</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work.
- <sup>‡</sup> Current address: National Institute on Aging, NIH, Baltimore, MD 21224, USA.

Abstract: Saliva houses over 2000 proteins and peptides with poorly clarified functions, including proline-rich proteins, statherin, P-B peptides, histatins, cystatins, and amylases. Their genes are poorly conserved across related species, reflecting an evolutionary adaptation. We searched the nucleotide substitutions fixed in these salivary proteins' gene loci in modern humans compared with ancient hominins. We mapped 3472 sequence variants/nucleotide substitutions in coding, noncoding, and 5'-3' untranslated regions. Despite most of the detected variations being within noncoding regions, the frequency of coding variations was far higher than the general rate found throughout the genome. Among the various missense substitutions, specific substitutions detected in PRB1 and PRB2 genes were responsible for the introduction/abrogation of consensus sequences recognized by convertase enzymes that cleave the protein precursors. Overall, these changes that occurred during the recent human evolution might have generated novel functional features and/or different expression ratios among the various components of the salivary proteome. This may have influenced the homeostasis of the oral cavity environment, possibly conditioning the eating habits of modern humans. However, fixed nucleotide changes in modern humans represented only 7.3% of all the substitutions reported in this study, and no signs of evolutionary pressure or adaptative introgression from archaic hominins were found on the tested genes.

Keywords: salivary proteins; nucleotide substitutions; evolution

# 1. Introduction

Saliva is a multifaceted bodily fluid that contains enzymes (amylases, lysozymes, and lipases), proteins, peptides and glycoproteins, lipids (hormones such as testosterone and progesterone), and proteases, along with a high concentration of inorganic ions [1]. To date, more than 2000 proteins and peptides have been identified in saliva [2]. They are mainly involved in the homeostasis of the oral cavity, the digestion process, and the innate immune response [3]. Ninety percent of the salivary proteins and peptides derive from the secretion of the three major salivary glands (parotid, submandibular, and sublingual glands), while the remaining 10% are secreted by minor salivary glands or derive from exfoliated cells and leucocytes present in the gingival–crevicular fluid [4] from plasma exudate, plus some contributions from the oral microbial flora. During their transit in the



Citation: Di Pietro, L.; Boroumand, M.; Lattanzi, W.; Manconi, B.; Salvati, M.; Cabras, T.; Olianas, A.; Flore, L.; Serrao, S.; Calò, C.M.; et al. A Catalog of Coding Sequence Variations in Salivary Proteins' Genes Occurring during Recent Human Evolution. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2023**, *24*, 15010. https:// doi.org/10.3390/ijms241915010

Academic Editor: Pierre Pontarotti

Received: 17 July 2023 Revised: 4 October 2023 Accepted: 6 October 2023 Published: 9 October 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). secretory pathway, salivary proteins undergo a series of post-translational modifications (PTMs), including phosphorylation, N-terminal acetylation, glycosylation, sulfation, and proteolytic cleavages. Further changes in proteins and peptides also occur after secretion in the oral cavity, through the action of exogenous (microflora) and endogenous enzymes [1].

The main contribution to the composition of the human salivary proteome derives from a few protein families. In particular, proline-rich proteins (PRPs), statherin (STATH), P-B peptide, histatins (HTN), cystatins (CST), and amylases (AMY) altogether represent more than 95% (w/w) of all proteins found in saliva to date [5]. PRPs represent the major fraction of the salivary proteome in Homo sapiens (nearly 70% of the total protein content; >50% in weight) and include basic (bPRPs), acidic (aPRPs), and basic glycosylated (gPRPs) PRPs. They share a high abundance of proline, glycine, and glutamine residues, which represent 70–80% of the entire amino acid sequence [6,7]. bPRPs include eleven parent peptides/proteins and more than six parent glycosylated proteins (gPRPs), plus several proteoforms derived from gene polymorphisms and PTMs [8–10] (Figure 1). PRPs are encoded by genes belonging to the PRP multigene family, located within the PRB locus mapping on 12p13.2. The locus includes six tandemly linked genes: PRB2–PRB1–PRB4– *PRH2–PRB3–PRH1*, in the 5'-to-3' direction, and is highly polymorphic as it contains internally repetitive DNA sequences, leading to frequent recombinational events [11,12]. At least four alleles (S, small; M, medium; L, large; and VL, very large) are present in the Western population of Homo sapiens at PRB1 and PRB3 loci and three (S, M, L) at PRB2 and *PRB4* loci [8] (Figure 1). Except for the protein encoded by the *PRB3* locus that gives rise to gPRPs, all the bPRP pro-proteins are cleaved completely by pro-protein convertases, generating smaller peptides/proteins, before granule maturation [9] (Figure 1). aPRPs are expressed in two loci, PRH1 and PRH2, mapping on chromosome 12p13. Single amino acid substitution and repeat insertion generate three *PRH1* alleles, encoding parotid isoelectricfocusing slow isoform (PIF-s), the parotid acidic protein (Pa)—both 150 residues long—and the double band isoform slow (Db-s)—171 amino acid residues long [10] (Figure 2A). A single nucleotide substitution generates two PHR2 alleles, encoding the PRP-1 and PRP2 isoforms [11] (Figure 2A). A pro-protein convertase partially cleaves PRP-1, PRP2 and PIF-s in 3 N-terminal fragments of 106 residues, called PRP3, PRP4, PIF-f (PRP3 type), and a common C-terminal fragment of 44 amino acids, called P-C peptide. Db-s is cleaved at position 127 generating two peptides: Db-f (f stands for fast) and the P-C peptide (same as above) [12] (Figure 2A). The Pa isoform not carrying the convertase sequence generates a dimeric form through a disulfide bond [13] (Figure 2A). STATH is encoded by the STATH gene located in chromosome 4q13-19 [13,14]. Several STATH proteoforms are detectable in saliva due to phosphorylation, cyclization by transglutaminase 2, and proteolysis by amino-/carboxy-peptidases and convertase action [13,15,16]. P-B is a proline-rich small peptide encoded by the SMR3B gene, mapping on chromosome 4q13.3 [17], near the STATH gene, possibly sharing epigenetic control and/or the DNA replication timeframe [13,15,16]. HTN are small cationic histidine-rich peptides encoded by the HTN1 and HTN3 genes on chromosome 4q13. Despite their high sequence homology, HTN1 and HTN3 have different maturation pathways and biological activities [17–19].

CST are inhibitory cysteine proteases involved in the innate immune response [20]. CSTA and CSTB are encoded by *CSTA* and *CSTB* genes, respectively, whereas CST-SN, CST-SA, CST-C, CST-S, and CST-D are encoded by *CST1-CST5* genes (Figure 2B). Several PTMs occur in CST proteins, including N-acetylation, proteolytic cleavages, phosphorylation, and M-, W-, and C-oxidation, causing different final protein structures detectable in human saliva [21]. Also, two isoforms generated by single amino acid substitutions of cystatin D and cystatin SN are present in saliva [21] (Figure 2B).

The amylase alpha 1A (*AMY1A*) gene, on chromosome 1p21.1, is responsible for the expression of AMY, which accounts for about 20% of the weight of salivary proteins and is the most abundant protein of the whole saliva of *Homo sapiens*.



**Figure 1.** Schematic representation of basic proline-rich genes and encoded proteins: PRB1 (**A**), PRB2 (**B**), PRB3 (**C**), PRB4 (**D**). For each protein, the genetic allelic variants (S, small; M, medium; L, large; and VL, very large) are shown on the left-sided column; the resulting alternative proteoforms are shown on the right-sided column as blocks, with the corresponding symbol on top. Vertical dashed lines indicate the pro-protein convertase cleavage sites with corresponding Arg (R) residues' positions. The P enclosed in a circle denotes phosphorylation sites; aminoacidic substitutions are shown for selected isoforms. See text for additional details.



**Figure 2.** Schematic representation of acidic proline-rich proteins (**A**) and cystatins (**B**). For each protein, the genetic allelic variants (S, small; M, medium; L, large; and VL, very large) are shown on the left-sided column; the resulting alternative proteoforms are shown on the right-sided column as blocks with corresponding symbols on top. All cystatin alternative proteoforms feature two disulfide bridges (indicated by brackets between Cys), oxidation (ox), and phosphorylation (P) sites. Vertical dashed lines indicate the pro-protein convertase cleavage sites with corresponding Arg (R) residues' positions. The P enclosed in a circle denotes phosphorylation sites; ox: oxidation sites; p-E: N-terminal pyroglutamic acid; aminoacidic substitutions are shown for selected isoforms. See text for additional details.

Several comparative studies have shown that the human salivary proteome differs from other species due to genetic divergences that are possible due to environmental factors, including diet and pathogens [22–25]. A recent study reported the results obtained from the comparison of the salivary proteomes of *Homo sapiens sapiens* (modern humans) with our closest extant evolutionary relatives, chimpanzees, and gorillas [26]. The authors demonstrated that the salivary protein composition is unique to each species despite their close sequence homology, which likely reflects an evolutionary adaptation [26]. Despite this initial observation, the evolution of human loci-encoding salivary proteins has not been studied to date. Nowadays, the increasing amount of genomic data obtained through sequencing of preserved skeletal remains of extinct hominins, such as *Homo neanderthalensis* (Neanderthals) and *Homo Denisova* (Denisovans), can reveal the extent of diversity that has emerged at the genomic level during more recent human evolution.

In this study, we aimed to identify the sequence changes that have been fixed during the recent human evolution in the gene loci encoded for the most abundant salivary proteins (namely, PRPs, statherin, P-B peptide, histatins, cystatins, and amylases) to gather possible functional indications regarding their evolutionary path and their contribution to oral homeostasis and salivary functions. Eating habits may be indeed mutually implicated with salivary proteins' biology since these are implicated in the modulation of the microbiome of the oral cavity and the entire gastrointestinal tract [26]. To achieve this, we have interrogated the publicly available sequence databases of Neanderthals and Denisovans and compared them with modern human genome sequence data. This allowed us to identify several nucleotide substitutions in the loci coding for the most relevant human salivary protein families.

### 2. Results

By comparing the genomic sequences of salivary gene loci in modern humans with those of Altai Neanderthals, Chagyrskaya Neanderthals, Vindija Neanderthasl, and Denisovans, we identified an overall number of 3472 sequence variants/nucleotide substitutions across the 17 tested salivary genes in coding, noncoding, 5'-3' untranslated (UTRs), and regulatory regions. The nucleotide substitutions observed in the 17 salivary-tested genes were summarized in Figure 3. Of the 3472 changed nucleotides, only 428 were in coding regions, and 121 were annotated as synonymous (Figure 3). The remaining 307 nucleotide variations were nonsynonymous (Figure 3), which are known to be subjected to a higher evolutionary pressure and are frequently exposed to natural selection [27,28]. We have, therefore, attempted a functional interpretation of nonsynonymous variations, which is inherently speculative and deserves future functional studies. The potential impact of nonsynonymous variants on salivary proteins' function of Neanderthals and Denisovans was predicted by a SIFT (sorting intolerant from tolerant) analysis (see Tables 1–3), which enables predicting amino acid substitutions that may exert a deleterious effect. The reference single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) number (rs) and the corresponding frequencies of the 107 missense changes in coding regions were also reported in Tables 1–3. Of note, even though the nucleotide changes located in noncoding regions should not affect the primary structure of the encoded protein, they could affect regulatory elements that may modify the splicing and/or the binding of epigenetic modulators and/or chromatin folding/looping. The variants fixed at 100% in modern humans compared to ancient hominines were highlighted in light orange in Tables 1–3 and Tables S1–S17.



**Figure 3.** Nucleotide substitutions in salivary protein genes. The pie chart shows the type and number of 3472 nucleotide substitutions across the 17 tested salivary genes. In particular, the 428 substitutions found in coding regions included 307 nonsynonymous changes across all the 17 genes tested. See text for additional details.

Chromosome Position (hg19)	Gene Region	Modern Human	Altai Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Chagyrskaya Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Vindija Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Denisovan (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Codon→Amino Acid	SNP id	SNP Total Frequency (ALFA)	SIFT Results (Score)
				PRB1 (reve	erse reading, chro	mosome 12)				
11,507,477	Exon 2 (II-2)	<u>C</u> TT	<u>C</u> TT (100%)	<u>T</u> TT (13%)	<u>T</u> TT (7%) *	<u>C</u> TT (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} GAA {\rightarrow} E_{10} \\ AAA {\rightarrow} K_{10} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging (0.02)
11,507,464	Exon 2 (II-2)	A <u>G</u> G	A <u>G</u> G (100%)	A <u>G</u> G (100%)	A <u>A</u> G (12%)	A <u>G</u> G (100%)	$\substack{UCC \rightarrow S_{14}\\UUC \rightarrow F_{14}}$	rs1173856027	A = 0%	Tolerated (0.72)
11,506,888	Exon 3 (II-2)	G <u>G</u> G	G <u>G</u> G (100%)	G <u>G</u> G (100%)	G <u>A</u> G (12%)	G <u>G</u> G (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CCC} \rightarrow \text{P}_{35} \\ \text{CUC} \rightarrow \text{L}_{35} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.06)
11,506,856	Exon 3 (II-2)	<u>G</u> GG	<u><b>G</b></u> GG (100%)	<u>A</u> GG (11%)	<u>G</u> GG (100%)	<u>G</u> GG (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CCC} \rightarrow \text{P}_{45} \\ \text{UCC} \rightarrow \text{S}_{45} \end{array}$	rs762910991	A = 0.003%	Tolerated (0.17)
11,506,853	Exon 3 (II-2)	<u>G</u> GT	<u>T</u> GT (3%) *	<u><b>G</b></u> GT (100%)	<u>A</u> GT (15%)	<u>G</u> GT (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCA {\rightarrow} P_{46} \\ UCA {\rightarrow} S_{46} \end{array}$	rs745726339	A = 0%	Damaging (0)
11,506,852	Exon 3 (II-2)	G <u>G</u> T	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	G <u>A</u> T (11%)	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCA {\rightarrow} P_{46} \\ CUA {\rightarrow} L_{46} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging (0)
11,506,804	Exon 3 (II-2)	G <u>T</u> T	G <u>A</u> T (61%)	G <u>A</u> T (63%)	G <u>A</u> T (60%)	G <u>T</u> T (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CAA {\rightarrow} Q_{62} \\ CUA {\rightarrow} L_{62} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.29)
11,506,801	Exon 3 (II-2)	C <u>C</u> T	C <u>C</u> T (100%)	C <u>T</u> T (11%)	C <u>T</u> T (5%) *	C <u>C</u> T (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} GGA {\rightarrow} G_{63} \\ GAA {\rightarrow} E_{63} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging (0.01)
11,506,790	Exon 3 (II-2)	<u>G</u> TT	<u>G</u> TT (100%)	<u>A</u> TT (11%)	<u>A</u> TT (6%) *	<u><b>G</b></u> TT (100%)	CAA→Q <sub>67</sub> UAA→stop	rs1409612167	A = 0%	Damaging due to stop
11,506,784	Exon 3 (II-2)	<u>C</u> TG	<u>C</u> TG (100%)	<u>C</u> TG (100%)	<u>T</u> TG (13%)	<u>C</u> TG (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} GAC {\rightarrow} D_{69} \\ AAC {\rightarrow} N_{69} \end{array}$	rs554211998	T = 0%	Tolerated (0.95)
11,506,774	Exon 3 (II-2)	G <u>C</u> T	G <u>T</u> T (13%)	G <u>T</u> T (8%) *	G <u>T</u> T (6%) *	G <u>T</u> T (9%) *	$\begin{array}{c} CGA {\rightarrow} R_{72} \\ CAA {\rightarrow} Q_{72} \end{array}$	rs202083397	T = 10.6%	Tolerated (0.08)
11,506,766	Exon 3 (II-2)	<u>G</u> CT	<u>G</u> CT (100%)	<u>G</u> CT (100%)	<u>A</u> CT (12%)	<u>G</u> CT (100%)	$\overrightarrow{\text{CGA} \rightarrow \text{R}_{75}}$ UGA $\rightarrow$ stop	rs766131639	A = 0%	Damaging due to stop
11,506,730	Exon 3 (Ps-2)	<u>G</u> TT	<u><b>G</b></u> TT (100%)	<u>A</u> TT (16%)	<u><b>G</b></u> TT (100%)	<u><b>G</b></u> TT (100%)	$\overrightarrow{CAA \rightarrow Q_{12}} \\ UAA \rightarrow stop$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging due to stop

Table 1. Neanderthal and Denisovan nucleotide substitutions and the corresponding SIFT results on *PRB1*, *PRB2*, *PRB3*, and *PRB4* gene loci.

Chromosome Position (hg19)	Gene Region	Modern Human	Altai Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Chagyrskaya Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Vindija Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Denisovan (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Codon→Amino Acid	SNP id	SNP Total Frequency (ALFA)	SIFT Results (Score)
11,506,723	Exon 3 (Ps-2)	C <u>C</u> A	C <u>C</u> A (100%)	C <u>T</u> A (12%)	C <u>T</u> A (3%) *	C <u>C</u> A (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} GGU {\rightarrow} G_{14} \\ GAU {\rightarrow} D_{14} \end{array}$	rs534597111	T = 0%	NS
11,506,669	Exon 3 (Ps-2)	G <u>G</u> T	G <u>T</u> T (39%)	G <u>T</u> T (36%)	G <u>T</u> T (55%)	G <u>T</u> T (26%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCA {\rightarrow} P_{32} \\ CAA {\rightarrow} Q_{32} \end{array}$	rs772365043	C = 0%	NS
11,506,618	Exon 3 (Ps-2)	C <u>C</u> T	C <u>C</u> T (100%)	C <u>T</u> T (17%)	C <u>T</u> T (3%) *	C <u>C</u> T (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} GGA {\rightarrow} G_{49} \\ GAA {\rightarrow} E_{49} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	NS
11,506,612	Exon 3 (Ps-2)	G <u>G</u> G	G <u>G</u> G (100%)	G <u>A</u> G (11%)	G <u>G</u> G (100%)	G <u>G</u> G (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CCC} \rightarrow P_{51} \\ \text{CUC} \rightarrow L_{51} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	NS
11,506,577	Exon 3 (IB-6)	<u>G</u> GA	<u><b>G</b></u> GA (100%)	<u><b>A</b></u> GA (13%)	<u><b>G</b></u> GA (100%)	<u><b>G</b></u> GA (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCU \rightarrow P_2 \\ UCU \rightarrow S_2 \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	NS
11,506,514	Exon 3 (IB-6)	<u><b>G</b></u> GA	<u><b>G</b></u> GA (100%)	<u>A</u> GA (6%) *	<u>A</u> GA (11%)	<u><b>G</b></u> GA (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCU {\rightarrow} P_{23} \\ UCU {\rightarrow} S_{23} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	NS
11,506,492	Exon 3 (IB-6)	G <u>G</u> T	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	G <u>A</u> T (13%)	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCA {\rightarrow} P_{30} \\ CUA {\rightarrow} L_{30} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	NS
11,506,490	Exon 3 (IB-6)	<u>G</u> GG	<u>A</u> GG (5%) *	<u>A</u> GG (18%)	<u>A</u> GG (8%) *	<u><b>G</b></u> GG (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CCC} \rightarrow \text{P}_{31} \\ \text{UCC} \rightarrow \text{S}_{31} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	NS
11,506,486	Exon 3 (IB-6)	G <u>G</u> T	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	G <u>T</u> T (18%)	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCA {\rightarrow} P_{32} \\ CAA {\rightarrow} Q_{32} \end{array}$	rs755622101	T = 1.3%	NS
11,506,473	Exon 3 (Ps-2)	TT <u>C</u>	TT <u>G</u> (100%)	TT <u>G</u> (83%) **	TT <u>G</u> (100%) **	TT <u>G</u> (75%) **	$\begin{array}{l} AAG {\rightarrow} K_{37} \\ AAC {\rightarrow} N_{37} \end{array}$	rs61930109	G = 72.1%	NS
11,506,403	Exon 3 (Ps-2)	<u>A</u> GG	<u>G</u> GG (50%) **	<u>G</u> GG (50%) **	<u>A</u> GG (100%) **	<u><b>G</b></u> GG (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} UCC {\rightarrow} S_{59} \\ CCC {\rightarrow} P_{59} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	NS
11,506,370	Exon 3 (Ps-2)	<u>G</u> GG	<u>G</u> GG (100%)	<u>G</u> GG (100%)	<u>A</u> GG (21%)	<u><b>G</b></u> GG (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCC {\rightarrow} P_{70} \\ UCC {\rightarrow} S_{70} \end{array}$	rs774158904	A = 0%	NS
11,506,369	Exon 3 (Ps-2)	G <u>G</u> G	G <u>G</u> G (93%)	G <u>G</u> G (100%)	G <u>A</u> G (16%)	G <u>G</u> G (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCC \rightarrow P_{71} \\ CUC \rightarrow L_{71} \end{array}$	rs369001998	A = 0.007%	NS
11,506,339	Exon 3 (Ps-2)	G <u>G</u> G	G <u>G</u> G (97%)	G <u>A</u> G (5%) *	G <u>A</u> G (23%)	G <u>G</u> G (100%)	$\overrightarrow{\text{CCC} \rightarrow \text{P}_{81}}_{\text{CUC} \rightarrow \text{L}_{81}}$	n.a.	n.a.	NS

Chromosome Position (hg19)	Gene Region	Modern Human	Altai Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Chagyrskaya Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Vindija Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Denisovan (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Codon→Amino Acid	SNP id	SNP Total Frequency (ALFA)	SIFT Results (Score)
11,506,333	Exon 3 (Ps-2)	G <u>G</u> A	G <u>G</u> A (100%)	G <u>A</u> A (5%) *	G <u>A</u> A (11%)	G <u>G</u> A (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCU{\rightarrow}P_{83} \\ CUU{\rightarrow}L_{83} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	NS
11,506,309	Exon 3 (Ps-2)	G <u>G</u> T	G <u>A</u> T (4%) *	G <u>A</u> T (6%) *	G <u>A</u> T (17%)	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCA {\rightarrow} P_{91} \\ CUU {\rightarrow} L_{91} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging (0.01)
11,506,303	Exon 3 (Ps-2)	G <u>G</u> T	G <u>T</u> T (3%) *	G <u>T</u> T (13%)	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCA {\rightarrow} P_{93} \\ CAA {\rightarrow} Q_{93} \end{array}$	rs201682460	T = 2.8%	Damaging (0)
11,506,301	Exon 3 (Ps-2)	<u>G</u> TT	<u>A</u> TT (4%) *	<u>G</u> TT (100%)	<u>A</u> TT (15%)	<u>G</u> TT (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CAA {\rightarrow} Q_{94} \\ UAA {\rightarrow} stop \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging due to stop
11,506,285	Exon 3 (Ps-2)	G <u>G</u> A	G <u>G</u> A (100%)	G <u>G</u> A (100%)	G <u>A</u> A (14%)	G <u>G</u> A (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCU {\rightarrow} P_{99} \\ CUU {\rightarrow} L_{99} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging (0.01)
11,506,283	Exon 3 (Ps-2)	<u>G</u> TT	<u>G</u> TT (100%)	<u>A</u> TT (14%)	<u>A</u> TT (13%)	<u>G</u> TT (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CAA {\rightarrow} Q_{100} \\ UAA {\rightarrow} stop \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging due to stop
11,506,250	Exon 3 (Ps-2)	<u>G</u> GT	<u>G</u> GT (100%) **	<u>G</u> GT (100%)	<u>A</u> GT (14%)	<u>G</u> GT (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCA {\rightarrow} P_{111} \\ UCA {\rightarrow} S_{111} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.08)
11,506,249	Exon 3 (Ps-2)	G <u>G</u> T	G <u>G</u> T (100%) **	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	G <u>A</u> T (13%)	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCA {\rightarrow} P_{111} \\ CUA {\rightarrow} L_{111} \end{array}$	rs1208300501	A = 0%	Tolerated (0.09)
11,506,246	Exon 3 (Ps-2)	G <u>G</u> G	G <u>G</u> G (100%) **	G <u>A</u> G (18%)	G <u>G</u> G (100%)	G <u>G</u> G (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCC {\rightarrow} P_{112} \\ CUC {\rightarrow} L_{112} \end{array}$	rs1303924609	A = 0%	Damaging (0.02)
11,506,241	Exon 3 (Ps-2)	<u>G</u> TT	<u>G</u> TT (100%) **	<u>G</u> TT (100%)	<u>A</u> TT (14%)	<u>G</u> TT (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CAA {\rightarrow} Q_{114} \\ UAA {\rightarrow} stop \end{array}$	rs751826141	A = 0%	Damaging due to stop
11,506,217	Exon 3 (IB-6)	<u>C</u> GG	<u>G</u> GG (67%) **	<u>G</u> GG (17%) **	<u>G</u> GG (25%)	<b>C</b> GG (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{GCC} \rightarrow \text{A}_{61} \\ \text{CCC} \rightarrow \text{P}_{61} \end{array}$	rs771648794	G = 0.04%	Tolerated (1)
11,506,154	Exon 3 (IB-6)	<u>G</u> GG	<u>G</u> GG (100%)	<u>A</u> GG (17%)	<u>A</u> GG (4%) *	<u>G</u> GG (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CCC} \rightarrow \text{P}_{82} \\ \text{UCC} \rightarrow \text{S}_{82} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.15)
11,506,150	Exon 3 (IB-6)	G <u>G</u> T	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	G <u>A</u> T (14%)	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	G <u>A</u> T (6%) *	$\begin{array}{c} \hline CCA \rightarrow P_{83} \\ CUA \rightarrow L_{83} \end{array}$	rs747444571	A = 0%	Damaging (0.03)
11,506,079	Exon 3 (IB-6)	<u>G</u> GA	<u><b>G</b></u> GA (100%)	<u><b>G</b></u> GA (100%)	<u><b>A</b></u> GA (13%)	<u>G</u> GA (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCU {\rightarrow} P_{107} \\ UCU {\rightarrow} S_{107} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.06)

Exon 3

(IB-1)

Exon 3

(IB-1)

Exon 3

(IB-1)

11,546,828

11.546.825

11,546,810

GGT

GTT

GGA

AGT (3%) \*

GTT (97%)

**G**GA (100%)

**G**GT (100%)

GTT (100%)

**G**GA (100%)

Altai Chagyrskaya Vindija SNP Total Chromosome Denisovan Modern Neanderthal Neanderthal Neanderthal **Codon**→**Amino** SIFT Results Position **Gene Region** (Variant SNP id Frequency Human (Variant (Variant (Variant Acid (Score) (ALFA) (hg19) Frequency<sup>a</sup>) Frequency<sup>a</sup>) Frequency<sup>a</sup>) Frequency<sup>a</sup>) Exon 3  $CCU \rightarrow P_{108}$ Damaging G**G**A 11,506,075 GGA (100%) GGA (100%) GAA (13%) GGA (100%) n.a. n.a. (IB-6)  $CUU \rightarrow L_{108}$ (0.01) $GGG \rightarrow G_{110}$ Tolerated Exon 3 CCC CCC (100%) CCC (100%) TCC (12%) CCC (100%) 11,506,070 n.a. n.a. (IB-6)  $AGG \rightarrow R_{110}$ (0.3)Exon 3 UCC $\rightarrow$ S<sub>114</sub> Damaging 11,506,057 AGG AGG (100%) AAG (11%) AAG (5%) \* AGG (100%) n.a. n.a.  $UUC \rightarrow F_{114}$ (IB-6) (0.03) $CCU \rightarrow P_{116}$ Exon 3 Tolerated rs1372423355 11,506,052 GGA A = 0%GGA (100%) AGA (10%) \* AGA (18%) GGA (100%)  $UCU \rightarrow S_{116}$ (IB-6) (0.06)PRB2 (reverse reading, chromosome 12)  $GCC \rightarrow A_{11(sp)}$ Exon 1 Damaging 11,548,429 CGG CGG (100%) CAG (3%) \* rs1415819382 A = 0%C<u>A</u>G (13%) CGG (100%)  $GUC \rightarrow V_{11(sp)}$ (Signal) (0) Exon 2  $GGA \rightarrow G_{18}$ Damaging 11,547,429 CCT TCT (4%) \* **C**CT (100%) TCT (12%) **C**CT (100%) n.a. n.a. (IB-1) (0.2) $AGA \rightarrow R_{18}$ Exon 3  $GGA \rightarrow G_{22}$ Tolerated CCT 11,546,899 CCT (100%) CTT (11%) CCT (100%) CCT (100%) rs188924826 T = 0.007%(IB-1)  $GAA \rightarrow E_{22}$ (0.1)Exon 3  $CCC \rightarrow P_{24}$ Tolerated 11.546.894 GGG GGG (100%) AGG (14%) **G**GG (100%) **G**GG (100%) n.a. n.a. (IB-1)  $UCC \rightarrow S_{24}$ (0.73) $CCU \rightarrow P_{31}$ Tolerated Exon 3 11,546,872 GGA GGA (100%) GGA (100%) GAA (11%) GGA (100%) rs748769813 A = 0% $CUU \rightarrow L_{31}$ (IB-1) (0.46)Exon 3  $CCC \rightarrow P_{45}$ Tolerated G<u>G</u>G (100%) 11,546,830 GGG GGG (100%) GAG (9%) \* GAG (17%) n.a. n.a. (IB-1)  $CUC \rightarrow L_{45}$ (0.1)

AGT (17%)

ATT (17%)

AGA (13%)

**G**GT (100%)

GTT (100%)

**G**GA (100%)

 $CCA \rightarrow P_{46}$ 

UCA $\rightarrow$ S<sub>46</sub>

 $CAA \rightarrow Q_{47}$ 

UAA→stop

 $CCU \rightarrow P_{52}$ 

UCU $\rightarrow$ S<sub>52</sub>

rs755161117

n.a.

rs1347881375

9 of 41

Tolerated

(0.36)

Damaging due

to stop

Tolerated

(0.97)

A = 0.007%

n.a.

A = 0%

Chromosome Position (hg19)	Gene Region	Modern Human	Altai Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Chagyrskaya Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Vindija Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Denisovan (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Codon→Amino Acid	SNP id	SNP Total Frequency (ALFA)	SIFT Results (Score)
11,546,809	Exon 3 (IB-1)	G <u>G</u> A	G <u>G</u> A (100%)	G <u>A</u> A (6%) *	G <u>A</u> A (12%)	G <u>G</u> A (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CCU} \rightarrow \text{P}_{52} \\ \text{CUU} \rightarrow \text{L}_{52} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.3)
11,546,807	Exon 3 (IB-1)	<u>G</u> TT	<u>G</u> TT (97%)	<u>A</u> TT (11%)	<u>A</u> TT (11%)	<u>G</u> TT (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CAA {\rightarrow} Q_{53} \\ UAA {\rightarrow} stop \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging due to stop
11,546,792	Exon 3 (IB-1)	<u>G</u> GA	<u><b>G</b></u> GA (100%)	<u><b>A</b></u> GA (18%)	<u><b>G</b></u> GA (100%)	<u>G</u> GA (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CCU} \rightarrow \text{P}_{58} \\ \text{UCU} \rightarrow \text{S}_{58} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.76)
11,546,780	Exon 3 (IB-1)	<u>G</u> GT	<u><b>G</b></u> GT (100%)	<u>G</u> GT (100%)	<u>A</u> GT (12%)	<u>G</u> GT (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CCA} \rightarrow \text{P}_{62} \\ \text{UCA} \rightarrow \text{S}_{62} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.64)
11,546,770	Exon 3 (IB-1)	G <u>G</u> T	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	G <u>A</u> T (13%)	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CCA} \rightarrow \text{P}_{65} \\ \text{CUA} \rightarrow \text{L}_{65} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (1)
11,546,764	Exon 3 (IB-1)	G <u>G</u> T	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	G <u>G</u> T (96%)	G <u>A</u> T (12%)	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCA {\rightarrow} P_{67} \\ CAA {\rightarrow} Q_{67} \end{array}$	rs201994479	T = 0.008%	Tolerated (0.43)
11,546,732	Exon 3 (IB-1)	<u>G</u> GA	<u>G</u> GA (100%)	<u><b>G</b></u> GA (100%)	<u><b>A</b></u> GA (13%)	<u><b>G</b></u> GA (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCU {\rightarrow} P_{78} \\ UCU {\rightarrow} S_{78} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.38)
11,546,716	Exon 3 (IB-1)	G <u>T</u> T	G <u>A</u> T (4%) *	G <u>A</u> T (14%)	G <u>T</u> T (97%)	G <u>T</u> T (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CAA {\rightarrow} Q_{83} \\ CUA {\rightarrow} L_{83} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.32)
11,546,686	Exon 3 (IB-1)	G <u>C</u> T	G <u>T</u> T (42%)	G <u>T</u> T (39%)	G <u>T</u> T (51%)	G <u>T</u> T (29%)	$\begin{array}{c} CGA {\rightarrow} R_{93} \\ CAA {\rightarrow} Q_{93} \end{array}$	rs76832300	n.a.	Tolerated (0.5)
11,546,677	Exon 3 (IB-1)	G <u>C</u> T	G <u>C</u> T (100%)	G <b>C</b> T (100%)	G <u>C</u> T (100%)	G <u>T</u> T (24%)	$\begin{array}{c} CGA {\rightarrow} R_{96} \\ CAA {\rightarrow} Q_{96} \end{array}$	rs201144571	T = 0.08%	Tolerated (0.47)
11,546,647	Exon 3 (P-J)	G <u>G</u> G	G <u>G</u> G (100%)	G <u>G</u> G (100%)	G <u>A</u> G (15%)	G <u>G</u> G (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCC {\rightarrow} P_{10} \\ CUC {\rightarrow} L_{10} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.18)
11,546,642	Exon 3 (P-J)	<u>G</u> TT	<u>G</u> TT (100%)	<u>G</u> TT (100%)	<u>A</u> TT (17%)	<u><b>G</b></u> TT (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CAA \rightarrow Q_{12} \\ UAA \rightarrow stop \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging due to stop
11,546,627	Exon 3 (P-J)	<u>G</u> GA	<u>A</u> GA (3%) *	<u>A</u> GA (11%)	<u>A</u> GA (5%) *	<u><b>G</b></u> GA (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCU {\rightarrow} P_{17} \\ UCU {\rightarrow} S_{17} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.45)

Chromosome Position (hg19)	Gene Region	Modern Human	Altai Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Chagyrskaya Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Vindija Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Denisovan (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Codon→Amino Acid	SNP id	SNP Total Frequency (ALFA)	SIFT Results (Score)
11,546,618	Exon 3 (P-J)	<u>G</u> GA	<u><b>G</b></u> GA (100%)	<u><b>G</b></u> GA (93%)	<u>A</u> GA (17%)	<u><b>G</b></u> GA (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCU {\rightarrow} P_{20} \\ UCU {\rightarrow} S_{20} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.81)
11,546,617	Exon 3 (P-J)	G <u>G</u> A	G <u>G</u> A (100%)	G <u>G</u> A (100%)	G <u>A</u> A (17%)	G <u>G</u> A (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCU {\rightarrow} P_{20} \\ CUU {\rightarrow} L_{20} \end{array}$	rs780517289	A = 0%	Tolerated (0.82)
11,546,615	Exon 3 (P-J)	<u>G</u> GT	<u><b>G</b></u> GT (100%)	<u>A</u> GT (12%)	<u>A</u> GT (8%) *	<u>G</u> GT (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCA {\rightarrow} P_{21} \\ UCA {\rightarrow} S_{21} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.39)
11,546,614	Exon 3 (P-J)	G <u>G</u> T	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	G <u>A</u> T (11%)	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCA{\rightarrow}P_{21}\\ CUA{\rightarrow}L_{21} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.29)
11,546,585	Exon 3 (P-J)	<u>G</u> GG	<u>G</u> GG (100%)	<u>G</u> GG (100%)	<u>A</u> GG (13%)	<u>G</u> GG (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CCC} \rightarrow \text{P}_{31} \\ \text{UCC} \rightarrow \text{S}_{31} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.53)
11,546,581	Exon 3 (P-J)	G <u>G</u> T	G <u>T</u> T (6%) *	G <u>T</u> T (13%)	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCA {\rightarrow} P_{32} \\ CAA {\rightarrow} Q_{32} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging (0.05)
11,546,566	Exon 3 (P-J)	T <u>T</u> T	T <u>C</u> T (8%) *	T <u>C</u> T (12%)	T <u>T</u> T (100%)	T <u>T</u> T (100%)	$\begin{array}{l} AAA {\rightarrow} K_{37} \\ AGA {\rightarrow} R_{37} \end{array}$	rs746515947	C = 0%	Tolerated (1)
11,546,462	Exon 3 (IB-8a)	<u>G</u> GG	<u><b>G</b></u> GG (100%)	<u>A</u> GG (13%)	<u><b>G</b></u> GG (100%)	<u>G</u> GG (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCC \rightarrow P_9 \\ UCC \rightarrow S_9 \end{array}$	rs201392419	A = 0%	Tolerated (0.58)
11,546,395	Exon 3 (IB-8a)	G <u>G</u> T	G <u>T</u> T (16%)	G <u>T</u> T (10%) *	G <u>T</u> T (13%)	G <u>T</u> T (4%) *	$\begin{array}{c} CCA{\rightarrow}P_{31}\\ CAA{\rightarrow}Q_{31} \end{array}$	rs11054277	T = 0.01%	Damaging (0)
11,546,380	Exon 3 (IB-8a)	T <u>T</u> T	T <u>C</u> T (17%)	T <u>C</u> T (14%)	T <u>C</u> T (6%) *	T <u>T</u> T (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} AAA {\rightarrow} K_{37} \\ AGA {\rightarrow} R_{37} \end{array}$	rs11054276	C = 0.01%	Tolerated (1)
11,546,381	Exon 3 (IB-8a)	<u>T</u> TT	<u>T</u> TT (100%)	<u>C</u> TT (100%)	<u>T</u> TT (100%)	<u>G</u> TT (13%)	$\begin{array}{c} AAA {\rightarrow} K_{37} \\ CAA {\rightarrow} Q_{37} \end{array}$	rs201455726	G = 0.2%	Tolerated (0.42)
11,546,369	Exon 3 (IB-8a)	<u>G</u> GG	<u><b>G</b></u> GG (100%)	<u>A</u> GG (12%)	<u><b>G</b></u> GG (100%)	<u>G</u> GG (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCC {\rightarrow} P_{41} \\ UCC {\rightarrow} S_{41} \end{array}$	rs1238238576	A = 0%	Tolerated (0.42)
11,546,347	Exon 3 (IB-8a)	G <u>T</u> T	G <u>A</u> T (6%) *	G <u>A</u> T (4%) *	G <u>A</u> T (15%)	G <u>T</u> T (100%)	$\overrightarrow{\text{CAA} \rightarrow \text{Q}_{48}}_{\text{CUA} \rightarrow \text{L}_{48}}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.32)
11,546,342	Exon 3 (IB-8a)	<u>G</u> GT	<u><b>G</b></u> GT (100%)	<u>G</u> GT (100%)	<u>A</u> GT (18%)	<u>G</u> GT (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCA {\rightarrow} P_{50} \\ UCA {\rightarrow} S_{50} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.41)

Chromosome Position (hg19)	Gene Region	Modern Human	Altai Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Chagyrskaya Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Vindija Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Denisovan (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Codon→Amino Acid	SNP id	SNP Total Frequency (ALFA)	SIFT Results (Score)
11,546,327	Exon 3 (IB-8a)	<u>C</u> TG	<u>C</u> TG (100%)	<u>T</u> TG (11%)	<u>T</u> TG (18%)	<u>C</u> TG (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} GAC {\rightarrow} D_{55} \\ AAC {\rightarrow} N_{55} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.28)
11,546,314	Exon 3 (IB-8a)	G <u>T</u> T	G <u>C</u> T (87%)	G <u>C</u> T (77%)	G <u>C</u> T (67%)	G <u>C</u> T (94%)	$\begin{array}{c} CAA {\rightarrow} Q_{59} \\ CGA {\rightarrow} R_{59} \end{array}$	rs34305575	C = 7.6%	Tolerated (0.35)
11,546,309	Exon 3 (IB-8a)	<u>C</u> GG	<u>G</u> GG (12%)	<u>G</u> GG (13%)	<u><b>G</b></u> GG (18%)	<u>G</u> GG (5%) *	$\begin{array}{c} \text{GCC} \rightarrow \text{A}_{61} \\ \text{CCC} \rightarrow \text{P}_{61} \end{array}$	rs201308939	G = 3.8%	Tolerated (0.25)
11,546,305	Exon 3 (IB-8a)	G <u>C</u> T	G <u>T</u> T (3%) *	G <b>C</b> T (100%)	G <u>T</u> T (11%)	G <b>C</b> T (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CGA {\rightarrow} R_{62} \\ CAA {\rightarrow} Q_{62} \end{array}$	rs199748368	T = 0.07%	Tolerated (0.46)
11,546,300	Exon 3 (IB-8a)	<u>G</u> GA	<u><b>G</b></u> GA (100%)	<u>A</u> GA (13%)	<u><b>G</b></u> GA (100%)	<u><b>G</b></u> GA (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CCU} \rightarrow \text{P}_{64} \\ \text{UCU} \rightarrow \text{S}_{64} \end{array}$	rs755713521	n.a.	Tolerated (0.66)
11,546,294	Exon 3 (IB-8a)	<u>C</u> CT	<u>C</u> CT (100%)	<u>T</u> CT (13%)	<u>C</u> CT (100%)	<u>C</u> CT (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} GGA {\rightarrow} G_{66} \\ AGA {\rightarrow} R_{66} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging (0.03)
11,546,279	Exon 3 (IB-8a)	<u>G</u> GT	<u>A</u> GT (2%) *	<u>G</u> GT (100%)	<u>A</u> GT (13%)	<u>G</u> GT (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCA {\rightarrow} P_{71} \\ UCA {\rightarrow} S_{71} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.67)
11,546,278	Exon 3 (IB-8a)	G <u>G</u> T	G <u>A</u> T (2%) *	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	G <u>A</u> T (13%)	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCA {\rightarrow} P_{71} \\ CUA {\rightarrow} L_{71} \end{array}$	rs766408532	n.a.	Tolerated (0.26)
11,546,246	Exon 3 (IB-8a)	<u>G</u> GG	<u><b>G</b></u> GG (100%)	<u><b>G</b></u> GG (100%)	<u>A</u> GG (14%)	<u>G</u> GG (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CCC} \rightarrow \text{P}_{82} \\ \text{UCC} \rightarrow \text{S}_{82} \end{array}$	rs1440556057	A = 0.0004%	Tolerated (0.42)
11,546,245	Exon 3 (IB-8a)	G <u>G</u> G	G <u>G</u> G (97%)	G <u>A</u> G (7%) *	G <u>A</u> G (26%)	G <u>A</u> G (7%) *	$\begin{array}{c} CCC{\rightarrow}P_{82}\\ CUC{\rightarrow}L_{82} \end{array}$	rs1262267049	A = 0.0004%	Tolerated (0.15)
11,546,213	Exon 3 (IB-8a)	<u>G</u> GG	<u><b>G</b></u> GG (100%)	<u>A</u> GG (8%) *	<u>A</u> GG (25%)	<u>G</u> GG (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCC {\rightarrow} P_{93} \\ UCC {\rightarrow} S_{93} \end{array}$	rs1408969762	n.a.	Tolerated (0.26)
11,546,187	Exon 3 (IB-8a)	GT <u>T</u>	GT <u>T</u> (96%)	GT <u>C</u> (10%) *	GT <u>C</u> (12%)	GT <u>C</u> (4%) *	$\begin{array}{c} CAA {\rightarrow} Q_{101} \\ CAC {\rightarrow} H_{101} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.23)
11,546,161	Exon 3 (IB-8a)	G <u>T</u> T	G <u>A</u> T (21%)	G <u>T</u> T (100%)	G <u>A</u> T (30%)	G <u>T</u> T (100%)	$\begin{matrix} CAA \rightarrow Q_{110} \\ CUA \rightarrow L_{110} \end{matrix}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.61)
11,546,089	Exon 3 (P-F)	G <u>G</u> G	G <u>G</u> G (100%)	G <u>A</u> G (17%) **	G <u>A</u> G (17%)	G <u>G</u> G (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCC{\rightarrow}P_{10}\\ CUC{\rightarrow}L_{10} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.61)

Chromosome Position (hg19)	Gene Region	Modern Human	Altai Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Chagyrskaya Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Vindija Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Denisovan (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Codon→Amino Acid	SNP id	SNP Total Frequency (ALFA)	SIFT Results (Score)
11,546,084	Exon 3 (P-F)	<u>G</u> TT	<u>G</u> TT (100%)	<u><b>G</b></u> TT (100%)	<u>A</u> TT (15%)	<u>G</u> TT (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CAA {\rightarrow} Q_{12} \\ UAA {\rightarrow} stop \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging due to stop
11,546,059	Exon 3 (P-F)	G <u>G</u> G	G <u>G</u> G (100%)	G <u>A</u> G (7%) *	G <u>A</u> G (21%)	G <u>G</u> G (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCC {\rightarrow} P_{20} \\ CUC {\rightarrow} L_{20} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.19)
11,546,050	Exon 3 (P-F)	G <u>G</u> A	G <u>T</u> A (4%) *	G <u>T</u> A (13%)	G <u>G</u> A (100%)	G <u>T</u> A (7%) *	$\begin{array}{c} CCU{\rightarrow}P_{23}\\ CAU{\rightarrow}H_{23} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.56)
11,546,027	Exon 3 (P-F)	<u>G</u> GG	<u><b>G</b></u> GG (100%)	<u>A</u> GG (11%)	<u>A</u> GG (7%) *	<u>G</u> GG (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CCC} \rightarrow \text{P}_{31} \\ \text{UCC} \rightarrow \text{S}_{31} \end{array}$	rs1201001162	n.a.	Tolerated (0.61)
11,546,023	Exon 3 (P-F)	G <u>G</u> T	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	G <u>T</u> T (5%) *	G <u>T</u> T (13%)	G <u>T</u> T (4%) *	$\begin{array}{c} CCA {\rightarrow} P_{32} \\ CAA {\rightarrow} Q_{32} \end{array}$	rs201391404	T = 0.059%	Damaging (0.03)
11,546,009	Exon 3 (P-F)	<u>T</u> TT	<u>T</u> TT (100%)	<u>T</u> TT (100%)	<u>T</u> TT (95%)	<u>G</u> TT (12%)	$\begin{array}{c} AAA {\rightarrow} K_{37} \\ CAA {\rightarrow} Q_{37} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.26)
11,545,975	Exon 3 (P-F)	G <u>T</u> T	G <u>A</u> T (2%) *	G <u>A</u> T (16%)	G <u>A</u> T (33%)	G <u>T</u> T (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CAA \rightarrow Q_{48} \\ CUA \rightarrow L_{48} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.31)
11,545,964	Exon 3 (P-F)	<u>G</u> GT	<u>G</u> GT (100%)	<u>C</u> GT (20%)	<u>C</u> GT (22%)	<u>C</u> GT (19%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCA {\rightarrow} P_{51} \\ GCA {\rightarrow} A_{51} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.74)
11,545,904	Exon 3 (P-H)	<u>G</u> GG	<u><b>G</b></u> GG (100%)	<u>A</u> GG (3%) *	<u>A</u> GG (11%)	<u>G</u> GG (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCC{\rightarrow}P_{10}\\ UCC{\rightarrow}S_{10} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.8)
11,545,868	Exon 3 (P-H)	<u>G</u> GA	<u><b>G</b></u> GA (100%)	<u><b>G</b></u> GA (100%)	<u>A</u> GA (13%)	<u><b>G</b></u> GA (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCU {\rightarrow} P_{22} \\ UCU {\rightarrow} S_{22} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.69)
11,545,814	Exon 3 (P-H)	<u>G</u> TC	<u>G</u> TC (100%)	<u>A</u> TC (4%) *	<u>A</u> TC (12%)	<u>G</u> TC (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CAG {\rightarrow} Q_{40} \\ UAG {\rightarrow} stop \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging due to stop
11,545,802	Exon 3 (P-H)	<u>G</u> CG	<u>G</u> CG (100%)	<u>G</u> CG (100%)	<u>A</u> CG (11%)	<u>G</u> CG (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CGC {\rightarrow} R_{44} \\ UGC {\rightarrow} C_{44} \end{array}$	rs748815572	A = 0%	Tolerated (0.07)
11,545,793	Exon 3 (P-H)	<u>G</u> TT	<u>G</u> TT (100%)	<u>A</u> TT (12%)	<u>G</u> TT (100%)	<u>G</u> TT (100%)	$\overrightarrow{CAA \rightarrow Q_{47}}$ UAA $\rightarrow$ stop	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging due to stop
11,545,790	Exon 3 (P-H)	<u><b>C</b></u> CC	<u>C</u> CC (100%)	<u>C</u> CC (100%)	<u>T</u> CC (13%)	<u>C</u> CC (100%)	$\overrightarrow{\text{GGG}} \rightarrow \overrightarrow{\text{G}}_{48}$ $\overrightarrow{\text{AGG}} \rightarrow \overrightarrow{\text{R}}_{48}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.7)

Chromosome Position (hg19)	Gene Region	Modern Human	Altai Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Chagyrskaya Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Vindija Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Denisovan (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Codon→Amino Acid	SNP id	SNP Total Frequency (ALFA)	SIFT Results (Score)
				PRB3 (reve	rse reading, chro	mosome 12)				
11,422,578	Exon 1 (Signal)	C <u>G</u> G	C <u>G</u> G (100%)	C <u>A</u> G (14%)	C <u>A</u> G (3%) *	C <u>G</u> G (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{GCC} \rightarrow \text{A}_{8(sp)} \\ \text{GUC} \rightarrow \text{V}_{8(sp)} \end{array}$	rs1337927316	n.a.	Tolerated (0.06)
11,421,578	Exon 2 (Gl-5)	A <u>G</u> G	A <u>G</u> G (100%)	A <u>A</u> G (11%)	A <u>A</u> G (11%)	A <u>G</u> G (100%)	$\substack{UCC \rightarrow S_{14} \\ UUC \rightarrow F_{14}}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.32)
11,421,002	Exon 3 (Gl-5)	<u>G</u> GG	<u><b>G</b></u> GG (100%)	<u>A</u> GG (11%)	<u>A</u> GG (4%) *	<u>G</u> GG (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CCC} \rightarrow \text{P}_{45} \\ \text{UCC} \rightarrow \text{S}_{45} \end{array}$	rs533382585	n.a.	Damaging (0.04)
11,420,989	Exon 3 (Gl-5)	C <u>C</u> G	C <u>C</u> G (100%)	C <u>T</u> G (14%)	C <u>T</u> G (5%) *	C <u>C</u> G (96%)	$\begin{array}{c} GGC {\rightarrow} G_{49} \\ GAC {\rightarrow} D_{49} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging (0)
11,420,975	Exon 3 (Gl-5)	<u><b>C</b></u> CA	<u>T</u> CA (2%) *	<u>T</u> CA (17%)	<u>C</u> CA (100%)	<u>C</u> CA (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{GGU} \rightarrow \text{G}_{54} \\ \text{AGU} \rightarrow \text{S}_{54} \end{array}$	rs1197023343	n.a.	Tolerated (0.12)
11,420,974	Exon 3 (Gl-5)	C <u>C</u> A	C <u>C</u> A (100%)	C <u>T</u> A (8%) *	C <u>T</u> A (21%)	C <u>C</u> A (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{GGU} {\rightarrow} \text{G}_{54} \\ \text{GAU} {\rightarrow} \text{D}_{54} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.19)
11,420,971	Exon 3 (Gl-5)	G <u>G</u> G	G <u>G</u> G (100%)	G <u>G</u> G (100%)	G <u>A</u> G (11%)	G <u>G</u> G (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CCC} \rightarrow \text{P}_{55} \\ \text{CUC} \rightarrow \text{L}_{55} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging (0.02)
11,420,956	Exon 3 (Gl-5)	C <u>C</u> T	C <u>C</u> T (98%)	C <u>C</u> T (100%)	C <u>T</u> T (14%)	C <u>C</u> T (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{GGA} {\rightarrow} \text{G}_{60} \\ \text{GAA} {\rightarrow} \text{E}_{60} \end{array}$	rs745804122	T = 0%	Tolerated (0.06)
11,420,945	Exon 3 (Gl-5)	<u>C</u> CT	<u>C</u> CT (100%)	<b><u>C</u>CT (100%)</b>	<u>T</u> CT (14%)	<u>T</u> CT (4%) *	$\begin{array}{c} GGA {\rightarrow} G_{64} \\ AGA {\rightarrow} R_{64} \end{array}$	rs781151188	T = 0%	Damaging (0.02)
11,420,939	Exon 3 (Gl-5)	<u>G</u> GG	<u><b>G</b></u> GG (100%)	<u>A</u> GG (11%) **	<u>A</u> GG (11%)	<u>G</u> GG (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CCC} \rightarrow \text{P}_{66} \\ \text{UCC} \rightarrow \text{S}_{66} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging (0.04)
11,420,927	Exon 3 (Gl-5)	<u><b>C</b></u> CT	<u>C</u> CT (100%)	<u>C</u> CT (100%)	<u>T</u> CT (11%)	<u>C</u> CT (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} GGA {\rightarrow} G_{70} \\ AGA {\rightarrow} R_{70} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging (0)
11,420,926	Exon 3 (Gl-5)	C <u>C</u> T	C <u>C</u> T (100%)	C <u>C</u> T (100%)	C <u>T</u> T (16%)	C <u>C</u> T (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} GGA \rightarrow G_{70} \\ GAA \rightarrow E_{70} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging (0)
11,420,906	Exon 3 (Gl-5)	<u>G</u> GT	<u>G</u> GT (100%)	<u>G</u> GT (100%)	<u>A</u> GT (12%)	<u>G</u> GT (100%)	$\overrightarrow{\text{CCA}} \rightarrow P_{77}$ UCA $\rightarrow S_{77}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging (0.04)

Chromosome Position (hg19)	Gene Region	Modern Human	Altai Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Chagyrskaya Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Vindija Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Denisovan (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Codon→Amino Acid	SNP id	SNP Total Frequency (ALFA)	SIFT Results (Score)
11,420,899	Exon 3 (Gl-5)	G <u>C</u> A	G <u>T</u> A (73%)	G <u>C</u> A (100%)	G <u>T</u> A (65%)	G <u>T</u> A (80%)	$\begin{array}{c} CGU {\rightarrow} R_{79} \\ CAU {\rightarrow} H_{79} \end{array}$	rs769836435	T = 0.02%	Tolerated (0.59)
11,420,896	Exon 3 (Gl-5)	G <u>G</u> C	G <u>G</u> C (100%)	G <u>G</u> C (100%)	G <u>A</u> C (13%)	G <u>G</u> C (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCG{\rightarrow}P_{80}\\ CUG{\rightarrow}L_{80} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.09)
11,420,836	Exon 3 (Gl-5)	G <u>C</u> A	G <u>T</u> A (7%) *	G <u>T</u> A (5%) *	G <u>T</u> A (9%) *	G <u>T</u> A (22%)	$\begin{array}{c} CGU {\rightarrow} R_{100} \\ CAU {\rightarrow} H_{100} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.24)
11,420,815	Exon 3 (Gl-5)	G <u>G</u> T	G <u>T</u> T (18%)	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	G <u>G</u> T (96%)	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCA {\rightarrow} P_{107} \\ CAA {\rightarrow} Q_{107} \end{array}$	rs201963893	T = 0%	Tolerated (0.45)
11,420,803	Exon 3 (Gl-5)	C <u>C</u> T	C <u>C</u> T (100%)	C <u>C</u> T (100%)	C <u>T</u> T (15%)	C <u>C</u> T (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} GGA {\rightarrow} G_{111} \\ GAA {\rightarrow} E_{111} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.41)
11,420,800	Exon 3 (Gl-5)	C <u>C</u> T	C <u>C</u> T (97%)	C <u>C</u> T (100%)	C <u>T</u> T (11%)	C <u>C</u> T (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} GGA {\rightarrow} G_{112} \\ GAA {\rightarrow} E_{112} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging (0.01)
11,420,780	Exon 3 (Gl-5)	<u>G</u> GC	<u>G</u> GC (100%)	<u>A</u> GC (11%)	<u>G</u> GC (100%)	<u>G</u> GC (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCG {\rightarrow} P_{119} \\ UCG {\rightarrow} S_{119} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging (0.04)
11,420,779	Exon 3 (Gl-5)	G <u>G</u> C	G <u>A</u> C (4%) *	G <u>A</u> C (6%) *	G <u>A</u> C (35%)	G <u>G</u> C (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCG {\rightarrow} P_{119} \\ CUG {\rightarrow} L_{119} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging (0.03)
11,420,728	Exon 3 (Gl-5)	A <u>G</u> G	A <u>A</u> G (4%) *	A <u>G</u> G (100%)	A <u>A</u> G (11%)	A <u>G</u> G (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} UCC {\rightarrow} S_{136} \\ UUC {\rightarrow} F_{136} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging (0.04)
11,420,716	Exon 3 (Gl-5)	G <u>G</u> C	G <u>A</u> C (4%) *	G <u>G</u> C (100%)	G <u>A</u> C (17%)	G <u>G</u> C (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCG {\rightarrow} P_{140} \\ CUG {\rightarrow} L_{140} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.12)
11,420,687	Exon 3 (Gl-5)	<u>G</u> GG	<u>G</u> GG (98%)	<u>A</u> GG (15%)	<u><b>G</b></u> GG (100%)	<u>G</u> GG (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CCC} \rightarrow P_{150} \\ \text{UCC} \rightarrow S_{150} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.15)
11,420,686	Exon 3 (Gl-5)	G <u>G</u> G	G <u>G</u> G (98%)	G <u>A</u> G (8%) *	G <u>A</u> G (18%)	G <u>G</u> G (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCC {\rightarrow} P_{150} \\ CUC {\rightarrow} L_{150} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.15)
11,420,614	Exon 3 (Gl-2)	C <u>C</u> T	C <u>C</u> T (100%)	C <u>C</u> T (100%)	C <u>T</u> T (11%)	C <u>C</u> T (100%)	$\overrightarrow{\text{GGA} \rightarrow \text{G}_{132}}_{\text{GAA} \rightarrow \text{E}_{132}}$	rs768625455	n.a.	NS
11,420,597	Exon 3 (Gl-2)	<u><b>C</b></u> CA	<u>C</u> CA (100%)	<u>C</u> CA (100%)	<u>T</u> CA (13%)	<u>C</u> CA (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} GGU {\rightarrow} G_{138} \\ AGU {\rightarrow} S_{138} \end{array}$	rs780713977	n.a.	Tolerated (0.09)

Chromosome Position (hg19)	Gene Region	Modern Human	Altai Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Chagyrskaya Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Vindija Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Denisovan (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Codon→Amino Acid	SNP id	SNP Total Frequency (ALFA)	SIFT Results (Score)
11,420,588	Exon 3 (Gl-2)	<u>G</u> GA	<u>A</u> GA (4%) *	<u>A</u> GA (10%) *	<u>A</u> GA (16%)	<u><b>G</b></u> GA (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CCU} \rightarrow P_{141} \\ \text{UCU} \rightarrow S_{141} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.78)
11,420,495	Exon 3 (Gl-2)	<u>G</u> GT	<u>A</u> GT (12%)	<u>A</u> GT (3%) *	<u>A</u> GT (6%) *	<u>A</u> GT (14%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCA {\rightarrow} P_{172} \\ UCA {\rightarrow} S_{172} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.14)
11,420,308	Exon 4 (Gl-2)	<u>G</u> GG	<u>G</u> GG (100%)	<u>A</u> GG (17%)	<u>G</u> GG (100%)	<u>G</u> GG (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCC {\rightarrow} P_{234} \\ UCC {\rightarrow} S_{234} \end{array}$	rs760324380	A = 0.0008%	Tolerated (0.09)
11,420,307	Exon 4 (Gl-2)	G <u>G</u> G	G <u>G</u> G (100%)	G <u>A</u> G (12%)	G <u>G</u> G (100%)	G <u>G</u> G (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCC {\rightarrow} P_{234} \\ CUC {\rightarrow} L_{234} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging (0.03)
11,420,304	Exon 4 (Gl-2)	G <u>G</u> T	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	G <u>A</u> T (12%)	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCA {\rightarrow} P_{235} \\ CUA {\rightarrow} L_{235} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging (0.01)
11,420,281	Exon 4 (Gl-2)	<u>G</u> CA	<u>G</u> CA (100%)	<u>A</u> CA (13%)	<u>A</u> CA (10%) *	<u>G</u> CA (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CGU {\rightarrow} R_{243} \\ UGU {\rightarrow} C_{243} \end{array}$	rs758570507	A = 0%	Damaging (0.05)
11,420,278	Exon 4 (Gl-2)	<u>G</u> GG	<u>G</u> GG (100%)	<u>G</u> GG (100%)	<u>A</u> GG (11%)	<u>G</u> GG (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCC {\rightarrow} P_{244} \\ UCC {\rightarrow} S_{244} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.27)
11,420,182	Exon 4 (Gl-2)	<u>G</u> GT	<u>G</u> GT (100%)	<u><b>G</b></u> GT (100%)	<u>A</u> GT (11%)	<u>G</u> GT (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CCA} \rightarrow P_{277} \\ \text{UCA} \rightarrow S_{277} \end{array}$	rs755939114	A = 0%	Tolerated (0.06)
11,420,170	Exon 4 (Gl-2)	<u><b>C</b></u> CC	<u>C</u> CC (100%)	<b><u>C</u>CC (100%)</b>	<u>T</u> CC (11%)	<u>C</u> CC (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} GGG {\rightarrow} G_{280} \\ AGG {\rightarrow} R_{280} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.07)
11,420,161	Exon 4 (Gl-2)	<u>G</u> GT	<u>G</u> GT (100%)	<u><b>G</b></u> GT (100%)	<u>A</u> GT (13%)	<u>G</u> GT (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCA {\rightarrow} P_{283} \\ UCA {\rightarrow} S_{283} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.21)
11,420,160	Exon 4 (Gl-2)	G <u>G</u> T	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	G <u>A</u> T (19%)	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCA {\rightarrow} P_{283} \\ CUA {\rightarrow} L_{283} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.09)
11,420,154	Exon 4 (Gl-2)	T <u>C</u> T	T <u>T</u> T (3%) *	T <u>C</u> T (100%)	T <u>T</u> T (11%)	T <u>C</u> T (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} AGA {\rightarrow} R_{285} \\ AAA {\rightarrow} K_{285} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.63)
				PRB4 (reve	erse reading, chro	mosome 12)				
11,463,280	Exon 1 (PGA)	Т <u>С</u> А	T <u>G</u> A (100%)	T <u>G</u> A (100%)	T <u>G</u> A (97%)	T <u>G</u> A (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} AGU \rightarrow S_2 \\ ACU \rightarrow T_2 \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.83)
11,461,801	Exon 3 (PGA)	G <b>C</b> T	G <b>C</b> T (98%)	G <u>C</u> T (97%)	G <u>T</u> T (13%)	G <b>C</b> T (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CGA {\rightarrow} R_{23} \\ CAA {\rightarrow} Q_{23} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.57)

Chromosome Position (hg19)	Gene Region	Modern Human	Altai Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Chagyrskaya Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Vindija Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Denisovan (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Codon→Amino Acid	SNP id	SNP Total Frequency (ALFA)	SIFT Results (Score)
11,461,772	Exon 3 (PGA)	<u>G</u> CA	<u>G</u> CA (100%)	<u>G</u> CA (96%)	<u>A</u> CA (12%)	<u>G</u> CA (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CGU {\rightarrow} R_{33} \\ UGU {\rightarrow} C_{33} \end{array}$	rs77775235	A = 0%	Tolerated (0.06)
11,461,769	Exon 3 (PGA)	<u>G</u> GG	<u>T</u> GG (5%) *	<u>T</u> GG (9%) *	<u>T</u> GG (5%) *	<u>T</u> GG (13%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCC {\rightarrow} P_{34} \\ ACC {\rightarrow} T_{34} \end{array}$	rs144658455	T = 0%	Tolerated (0.53)
11,461,745	Exon 3 (PGA)	<u>G</u> TT	<u>C</u> TT (8%) *	<u>C</u> TT (8%) *	<u>C</u> TT (5%) *	<u>C</u> TT (12%)	$\begin{array}{c} CAA {\rightarrow} Q_{42} \\ GAA {\rightarrow} E_{42} \end{array}$	rs76859544	C = 6.8%	Tolerated (1)
11,461,742	Exon 3 (PGA)	<u><b>C</b></u> CT	<u>T</u> CT (10%) *	<u>T</u> CT (27%)	<u>T</u> CT (11%)	<u>T</u> CT (7%) *	$\begin{array}{c} GGA {\rightarrow} G_{43} \\ AGA {\rightarrow} R_{43} \end{array}$	rs776943151	T = 0.05%	Tolerated (0.45)
11,461,706	Exon 3 (PGA)	<u>G</u> GG	<u>T</u> GG (14%)	<u>T</u> GG (23%)	<u>T</u> GG (13%)	<u>T</u> GG (20%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCC {\rightarrow} P_{55} \\ ACC {\rightarrow} T_{55} \end{array}$	rs12308381	T = 21.6%	Tolerated (0.12)
11,461,675	Exon 3 (PGA)	G <b>⊆</b> T	G <u>G</u> T (1%) *	G <u>G</u> T (2%) *	G <u>G</u> T (2%) *	G <u>G</u> T (28%)	$\begin{array}{c} CGA {\rightarrow} R_{65} \\ CCA {\rightarrow} P_{65} \end{array}$	rs75743553	G = 0%	Tolerated (0.32)
11,461,673	Exon 3 (PGA)	<u>G</u> GG	<u>G</u> GG (99%)	<u>A</u> GG (13%)	<u>A</u> GG (2%) *	<u><b>G</b></u> GG (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CCC} \rightarrow \text{P}_{66} \\ \text{UCC} \rightarrow \text{S}_{66} \end{array}$	rs1332850459	A = 0%	Tolerated (0.25)
11,461,580	Exon 3 (PGA)	<u>T</u> GG	<u>G</u> GG (65%)	<u>G</u> GG (52%)	<u><b>G</b></u> GG (24%)	<u><b>G</b></u> GG (54%)	$\begin{array}{c} ACC {\rightarrow} T_{97} \\ CCC {\rightarrow} P_{97} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.81)
11,461,570	Exon 3 (PGA)	G <u>G</u> A	G <u>T</u> A (51%)	G <u>T</u> A (54%)	G <u>T</u> A (8%) *	G <u>T</u> A (47%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCU {\rightarrow} P_{100} \\ CAU {\rightarrow} H_{100} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.59)
11,461,553	Exon 3 (PGA)	<u><b>T</b></u> CT	<u>C</u> CT (13%)	<u>C</u> CT (15%)	<u>T</u> CT (100%)	<u>C</u> CT (24%)	$\begin{array}{c} AGA {\rightarrow} R_{106} \\ GGA {\rightarrow} G_{106} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.84)
11,461,550	Exon 3 (PGA)	<u>G</u> GT	<u>G</u> GT (100%)	<u>A</u> GT (17%)	<u>G</u> GT (100%)	<u>G</u> GT (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCA {\rightarrow} P_{107} \\ UCA {\rightarrow} S_{107} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.50)
11,461,549	Exon 3 (PGA)	G <u>G</u> T	G <u>C</u> T (13%)	G <u>C</u> T (6%) *	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	G <u>C</u> T (13%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCA {\rightarrow} P_{107} \\ CGA {\rightarrow} R_{107} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.9)
11,461,525	Exon 3 (PGA)	A <u>G</u> G	A <u>G</u> G (100%)	A <u>A</u> G (100%)	A <u>A</u> G (100%)	A <u>G</u> G (100%)	$\begin{matrix} UCC \rightarrow S_{115} \\ UUC \rightarrow F_{115} \end{matrix}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging (0.04)
11,461,513	Exon 3 (PGA)	G <u>G</u> T	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	G <u>A</u> T (10%) *	G <u>A</u> T (11%)	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \hline CCA \rightarrow_{P119} \\ CUA \rightarrow L_{119} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging (0.04)

Chromosome Position (hg19)	Gene Region	Modern Human	Altai Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Chagyrskaya Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Vindija Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Denisovan (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Codon→Amino Acid	SNP id	SNP Total Frequency (ALFA)	SIFT Results (Score)
11,461,471	Exon 3 (PGA)	С <u>С</u> А	C <u>C</u> A (100%)	C <u>T</u> A (4%) *	C <u>T</u> A (14%)	C <u>C</u> A (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} GGU{\rightarrow}G_{133}\\ GAU{\rightarrow}D_{133} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.46)
11,461,421	Exon 3 (PGA)	<u>G</u> GG	<u>G</u> GG (100%)	<u>A</u> GG (5%) *	<u>A</u> GG (6%) *	<u>A</u> GG (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CCC} \rightarrow P_{150} \\ \text{UCC} \rightarrow S_{150} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.18)
11,461,420	Exon 3 (PGA)	G <u>G</u> G	G <u>G</u> G (100%)	G <u>A</u> G (11%)	G <u>G</u> G (100%)	G <u>G</u> G (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CCC} \rightarrow P_{150} \\ \text{CUC} \rightarrow L_{150} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.1)
11,461,412	Exon 3 (PGA)	<u>C</u> TT	<u>C</u> TT (100%)	<u>T</u> TT (14%)	<b><u>C</u></b> TT (100%)	<b><u>C</u></b> TT (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} GAA {\rightarrow} E_{153} \\ AAA {\rightarrow} K_{153} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.85)
11,461,319	Exon 4 (P-D P32A)	<u>G</u> GA	<u>G</u> GA (97%)	<u>A</u> GA (9%) *	<u>A</u> GA (11%)	<u><b>G</b></u> GA (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CCU} \rightarrow \text{P}_{23} \\ \text{UCU} \rightarrow \text{S}_{23} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.55)
11,461,309	Exon 4 (P-D P32A)	G <u>G</u> T	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	G <u>A</u> T (11%)	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCA{\rightarrow}P_{26}\\ CUA{\rightarrow}L_{26} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging (0.01)
11,461,229	Exon 4 (P-D P32A)	<u><b>G</b></u> GA	<u><b>G</b></u> GA (100%)	<u>A</u> GA (13%)	<u>A</u> GA (4%) *	<u><b>G</b></u> GA (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CCU} \rightarrow \text{P}_{54} \\ \text{UCU} \rightarrow \text{S}_{54} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.13)

<sup>a</sup>: Frequency of the substitution (highlighted bases) in the ancient hominin species, as reported in IGV considering the depth (coverage) of the reads displayed at the corresponding locus; \* frequency  $\leq 10\%$  and \*\* counts < 10; n.a.: not available; NS: not scored. The variants fixed at 100% in modern humans compared with ancient hominines are highlighted in light orange. The genomic variants whose frequencies show a different geographic distribution among humans are in red text.

Table 2. Neanderthal and Denisovan nucleotide substitutions and the corresponding SIFT results on PRH2, HTN1, HTN3, AMY1A, STATH, and SMR3B gene loci.

Chromosome Position (hg19)	Gene Region	Modern Human	Altai Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Chagyrskaya Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Vindija Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Denisovan (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Codon→Amino Acid	SNP id	SNP Total Frequency (ALFA)	SIFT Results (Score)
PRH2 (direct reading, chromosome 12)										
11,082,885	Exon 2 (PRP-1)	<u>G</u> TT	<u>A</u> TT (2%) *	<u>A</u> TT (12%)	<u>A</u> TT (4%) *	<u>G</u> TT (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} GUU {\rightarrow} V_{12} \\ AUU {\rightarrow} I_{12} \end{array}$	rs776898585	A = 0%	N.S
11,082,894	Exon 2 (PRP-1)	<u>G</u> TA	<u>G</u> TA (100%)	<u>A</u> TA (12%)	<u>A</u> TA (10%) *	<u>G</u> TA (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{GUA} \rightarrow \text{V}_{15} \\ \text{AUA} \rightarrow \text{I}_{15} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.26)

Chromosome Position (hg19)	Gene Region	Modern Human	Altai Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Chagyrskaya Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Vindija Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Denisovan (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Codon→Amino Acid	SNP id	SNP Total Frequency (ALFA)	SIFT Results (Score)
11,083,305	Exon 3 (PRP-1)	<u><b>C</b></u> CA	<u>C</u> CA (98%)	<u>T</u> CA (14%)	<u>T</u> CA (14%)	<u>C</u> CA (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCA {\rightarrow} P_{33} \\ UCA {\rightarrow} S_{33} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.07)
11,083,318	Exon 3 (PRP-1)	G <u>G</u> A	G <u>G</u> A (100%)	G <u>A</u> A (14%)	G <u>G</u> A (100%)	G <u>G</u> A (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} GGA {\rightarrow} G_{37} \\ GAA {\rightarrow} E_{37} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.07)
11,083,323	Exon 3 (PRP-1)	<u><b>C</b></u> AA	<u>C</u> AA (100%)	<u>T</u> AA (8%) *	<u>T</u> AA (12%)	<u>C</u> AA (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CAA {\rightarrow} Q_{39} \\ UAA {\rightarrow} stop \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging due to stop
11,083,426	Exon 3 (PRP-1)	G <u>G</u> A	G <u>G</u> A (100%)	G <u>G</u> A (100%)	G <u>A</u> A (11%)	G <u>G</u> A (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} GGA {\rightarrow} G_{73} \\ GAA {\rightarrow} E_{73} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging (0.02)
11,083,431	Exon 3 (PRP-1)	<u><b>C</b></u> CA	<u>C</u> CA (100%)	<u>T</u> CA (13%)	<u>T</u> CA (8%) *	<u>T</u> CA (6%) *	$\begin{array}{c} CCA {\rightarrow} P_{75} \\ UCA {\rightarrow} S_{75} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.23)
11,083,452	Exon 3 (PRP-1)	<u>G</u> GA	<u><b>G</b></u> GA (100%)	<u>A</u> GA (6%) *	<u><b>A</b></u> GA (14%)	<u><b>G</b></u> GA (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} GGA {\rightarrow} G_{82} \\ AGA {\rightarrow} R_{82} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging (0.01)
11,083,455	Exon 3 (PRP-1)	<u>G</u> GC	<u>G</u> GC (100%)	<u>A</u> GC (17%)	<u>G</u> GC (100%)	<u>G</u> GC (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{GGC} \rightarrow \text{G}_{83} \\ \text{AGC} \rightarrow \text{S}_{83} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	N.S.
11,083,488	Exon 3 (PRP-1)	<u>G</u> GA	<u><b>G</b></u> GA (100%)	<u><b>G</b></u> GA (100%)	<u>A</u> GA (11%)	<u><b>G</b></u> GA (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} GGA {\rightarrow} G_{94} \\ AGA {\rightarrow} R_{94} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging (0.04)
11,083,531	Exon 3 (PRP-1)	A <u>G</u> G	A <u>G</u> G (100%)	A <u>G</u> G (100%)	A <u>A</u> G (18%)	A <u>G</u> G (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} AGG {\rightarrow} R_{108} \\ AAG {\rightarrow} K_{108} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	N.S.
11,083,536	Exon 3 (PRP-1)	<u><b>C</b></u> AA	<u>C</u> AA (100%)	<u>T</u> AA (11%)	<u>C</u> AA (100%)	<u>C</u> AA (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CAA {\rightarrow} Q_{110} \\ UAA {\rightarrow} stop \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	N.S.
11,083,545	Exon 3 (PRP-1)	<u>C</u> CC	<u>C</u> CC (100%)	<u>T</u> CC (12%)	<u>T</u> CC (6%) *	<u>C</u> CC (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CCC} \rightarrow P_{113} \\ \text{UCC} \rightarrow S_{113} \end{array}$	rs1289206423	T = 0%	N.S.
11,083,551	Exon 3 (PRP-1)	<u>C</u> AG	<u>C</u> AG (97%)	<u>C</u> AG (100%)	<u>T</u> AG (13%)	<u>C</u> AG (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CAG {\rightarrow} Q_{115} \\ UAG {\rightarrow} stop \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	N.S.
11,083,570	Exon 3 (PRP-1)	G <u>G</u> T	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	G <u>A</u> T (18%)	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	G <u>G</u> T (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} GGU {\rightarrow} G_{121} \\ GAU {\rightarrow} D_{121} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	N.S.
11,083,575	Exon 3 (PRP-1)	<u><b>c</b></u> CC	<u>C</u> CC (96%)	<u>T</u> CC (8%) *	<u>T</u> CC (15%)	<u>C</u> CC (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCC \rightarrow P_{123} \\ UCC \rightarrow S_{123} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	N.S.

Chromosome Position (hg19)	Gene Region	Modern Human	Altai Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Chagyrskaya Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Vindija Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Denisovan (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Codon→Amino Acid	SNP id	SNP Total Frequency (ALFA)	SIFT Results (Score)
11,083,581	Exon 3 (PRP-1)	<u>C</u> CT	<u>C</u> CT (100%)	<u>T</u> CT (20%)	<u>T</u> CT (8%) *	<u>C</u> CT (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CCU} \rightarrow \text{P}_{125} \\ \text{UCU} \rightarrow \text{S}_{125} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	N.S.
11,083,582	Exon 3 (PRP-1)	C <u>C</u> T	C <u>C</u> T (100%)	C <u>T</u> T (13%)	C <u>T</u> T (8%) *	C <u>C</u> T (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCU {\rightarrow} P_{125} \\ CUU {\rightarrow} L_{125} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	N.S.
11,083,605	Exon 3 (PRP-1)	<u><b>C</b></u> CA	<u>C</u> CA (100%)	<u>T</u> CA (11%)	<u>C</u> CA (100%)	<u>C</u> CA (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CCA} \rightarrow \text{P}_{133} \\ \text{UCA} \rightarrow \text{S}_{133} \end{array}$	rs1343870622	T = 0%	N.S.
11,083,618	Exon 3 (PRP-1)	G <u>G</u> G	G <u>G</u> G (100%)	G <u>A</u> G (11%)	G <u>G</u> G (100%)	G <u>G</u> G (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} GGG {\rightarrow} G_{137} \\ GAG {\rightarrow} E_{137} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	N.S.
11,083,635	Exon 3 (PRP-1)	<u><b>C</b></u> CT	<u>C</u> CT (100%)	<u>C</u> CT (100%)	<u>T</u> CT (16%)	<u>C</u> CT (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CCU} \rightarrow \text{P}_{143} \\ \text{UCU} \rightarrow \text{S}_{143} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	N.S.
11,083,636	Exon 3 (PRP-1)	C <u>C</u> T	C <u>C</u> T (100%)	C <u>C</u> T (100%)	C <u>T</u> T (11%)	C <u>C</u> T (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCU {\rightarrow} P_{143} \\ CUU {\rightarrow} L_{143} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	N.S.
11,083,663	Exon 3 (C-term removal)	T <u>C</u> T	T <u>C</u> T (100%)	T <u>C</u> T (100%)	T <u>T</u> T (17%)	T <u>C</u> T (100%)	$\begin{array}{l} UCU {\rightarrow} S_{152(rem)} \\ UUU {\rightarrow} F_{152(rem)} \end{array}$	rs746351335	n.a.	N.S.
				HTN1 (dia	rect reading, chro	mosome 4)				
70,920,165	Exon 4	<u>C</u> AT	<u>C</u> AT (100%)	<u>T</u> AT (2%) *	<u>T</u> AT (13%)	<u>C</u> AT (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CAU \rightarrow H_{15} \\ UAU \rightarrow Y_{15} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.37)
70,921,215	Exon 5	<u>G</u> AA	<u><b>G</b></u> AA (100%)	<u>A</u> AA (3%) *	<u><b>A</b></u> AA (11%)	<u><b>G</b></u> AA (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{GAA} \rightarrow \text{E}_{16} \\ \text{AAA} \rightarrow \text{K}_{16} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	N.S
70,921,234	Exon 5	C <u>G</u> A	C <u>A</u> A (2%) *	C <u>A</u> A (58%)	C <u>A</u> A (3%) *	C <u>G</u> A (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CGA \rightarrow R_{32} \\ CAA \rightarrow Q_{32} \end{array}$	rs375127098	A = 0.014%	N.S
				HTN3 (dia	rect reading, chro	mosome 4)				
70,896,460	Exon 2 (Signal)	AT <u>G</u>	AT <u>G</u> (100%)	AT <u>A</u> (11%)	AT <u>G</u> (100%)	AT <u>G</u> (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} AUG \rightarrow M_{0(sp)} \\ AUA \rightarrow I_{0(sp)} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	N.S
70,897,696	Exon 3 (Signal)	<u>G</u> GA	<u><b>G</b></u> GA (100%)	<u>A</u> GA (12%)	<u>A</u> GA (4%) *	<u><b>G</b></u> GA (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} GGA \rightarrow G_{17(sp)} \\ AGA \rightarrow R_{17(sp)} \end{array}$	rs1254624179	n.a.	N.S

Chromosome Position (hg19)	Gene Region	Modern Human	Altai Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Chagyrskaya Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Vindija Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Denisovan (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Codon→Amino Acid	SNP id	SNP Total Frequency (ALFA)	SIFT Results (Score)
				AMY1A (rev	verse reading, chr	omosome 1)				
104,238,248	Exon 2 (Signal)	A <u>C</u> C	A <u>C</u> C (100%)	A <u>C</u> C (100%)	A <u>T</u> C (15%)	A <u>C</u> C (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} UGG {\rightarrow} W_{4(sp)} \\ UAG {\rightarrow} stop \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging due to stop
104,238,189	Exon 2	<u><b>G</b></u> CT	<u>G</u> CT (100%)	<u>A</u> CT (13%)	<u>A</u> CT (20%) **	<u>G</u> CT (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CGA{\rightarrow}R_{10}\\ UGA{\rightarrow}stop \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging due to stop
104,237,696	Exon 3	A <u>C</u> C	A <u>C</u> C (100%)	A <u>C</u> C (100%)	A <u>T</u> C (17%)	A <u>C</u> C (100%)	$UGG \rightarrow W_{59}$ UAG $\rightarrow$ stop	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging due to stop
104,237,685	Exon 3	<u>G</u> TT	<u>G</u> TT (100%)	<u>G</u> TT (100%)	<u>A</u> TT (14%)	<u>G</u> TT (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CAA {\rightarrow} Q_{63} \\ UAA {\rightarrow} stop \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging due to stop
104,237,626	Exon 3	ТА <u>С</u>	TA <u>C</u> (100%)	TA <u>C</u> (100%)	TA <u>T</u> (15%)	TA <u>C</u> (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} AUG{\rightarrow}M_{82}\\ AUA{\rightarrow}I_{82} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging (0.01)
104,236,795	Exon 4	<u>G</u> CA	<u>G</u> CA (100%)	<u>G</u> CA (100%)	<u>A</u> CA (13%)	<u>G</u> CA (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CGU {\rightarrow} R_{92} \\ UGU {\rightarrow} C_{92} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging (0)
104,236,666	Exon 4	<u><b>C</b></u> TA	<u>C</u> TA (100%)	<u>C</u> TA (100%)	<u>T</u> TA (11%)	<u>C</u> TA (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} GAU {\rightarrow} D_{135} \\ AAU {\rightarrow} N_{135} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.08)
104,236,654	Exon 4	<u><b>C</b></u> CA	<u>C</u> CA (100%)	<u>T</u> CA (5%) *	<u>T</u> CA (11%)	<u>C</u> CA (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} GGU {\rightarrow} G_{139} \\ AGU {\rightarrow} S_{139} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.6)
104,236,152	Exon 5	<u>C</u> AG	<u>C</u> AG (100%)	<u>T</u> AG (15%)	<u>T</u> AG (20%)	<u>C</u> AG (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{GUC} \rightarrow \text{V}_{157} \\ \text{AUC} \rightarrow \text{I}_{157} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.17)
104,236,146	Exon 5	<u><b>C</b></u> TA	<u>C</u> TA (100%)	<u>T</u> TA (8%) *	<u>T</u> TA (12%)	<u>C</u> TA (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{GAU} {\rightarrow} \text{D}_{159} \\ \text{AAU} {\rightarrow} \text{N}_{159} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (1)
104,236,139	Exon 5	G <u>C</u> A	G <u>T</u> A (4%) *	G <u>T</u> A (7%) *	G <u>T</u> A (12%)	G <u>C</u> A (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CGU {\rightarrow} R_{161} \\ CAU {\rightarrow} H_{161} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging (0.01)
104,236,080	Exon 5	<u><b>C</b></u> TT	<u>C</u> TT (100%)	<u>C</u> TT (100%)	<u>T</u> TT (13%)	<u>C</u> TT (100%)	$\stackrel{GAA \rightarrow E_{181}}{AAA \rightarrow K_{181}}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.11)
104,235,996	Exon 5	<u>C</u> GT	<u>C</u> GT (96%)	<u>C</u> GT (100%)	<u>T</u> GT (13%)	<u>C</u> GT (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{GCA} \rightarrow \text{A}_{209} \\ \text{ACA} \rightarrow \text{T}_{209} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.27)

Altai Chagyrskaya Vindija Denisovan SNP Total Chromosome Modern Neanderthal Neanderthal Neanderthal **Codon**→**Amino** SIFT Results Position **Gene Region** (Variant SNP id Frequency Human (Variant (Variant (Variant Acid (Score) (ALFA) Frequency <sup>a</sup>) (hg19) Frequency<sup>a</sup>) Frequency<sup>a</sup>) Frequency<sup>a</sup>)  $GAG \rightarrow E_{240}$ Damaging **C**TC 104,235,164 Exon 6 **C**TC (100%) **C**TC (100%) **T**TC (11%) **C**TC (100%) n.a. n.a.  $AAG \rightarrow K_{240}$ (0.01)Tolerated  $AGU \rightarrow S_{245}$ TCA TCA (100%) TCA (100%) TCA (100%) 104,235,148 Exon 6 TTA (18%) n.a. n.a.  $AAU \rightarrow N_{245}$ (0.52) $CGC \rightarrow R_{267}$ 104,235,083 Exon 6 GCG ACG (3%) \* ACG (6%) \* <u>A</u>CG (12%) <u>**G</u>CG (100%)</u></u>** Damaging (0) n.a. n.a. UGC $\rightarrow$ C<sub>267</sub>  $GGA \rightarrow G_{281}$ CCT CCT (100%) CCT (100%) CCT (100%) 104,234,224 Exon 7 CTT (13%) n.a. n.a. Damaging (0)  $GAA \rightarrow E_{281}$  $GGU \rightarrow G_{283}$ Tolerated 104,234,218 Exon 7 C**C**A CCA (100%) CTA (13%) CTA (15%) CCA (100%) n.a. n.a.  $GAU \rightarrow D_{283}$ (0.25) $CUU \rightarrow L_{313}$ 104,234,129 Exon 7 GAA GAA (100%) GAA (100%) Damaging (0) AAA (13%) GAA (100%) n.a. n.a.  $UUU \rightarrow F_{313}$  $ACC \rightarrow T_{314}$ Exon 7 TGG TGG (100%) TAG (17%) TGG (100%) TGG (100%) 104,234,125 Damaging (0) n.a. n.a.  $AUC \rightarrow I_{314}$  $CCU \rightarrow P_{332}$ Damaging 104,233,978 Exon 8 GGA **G**GA (100%) AGA (13%) <u>A</u>GA (11%) GGA (100%) n.a. n.a.  $UCU \rightarrow S_{332}$ (0.05) $CCU \rightarrow P_{332}$ 104,233,977 Exon 8 GGA GGA (100%) GAA (6%) \* GGA (100%) Damaging (0) GAA (11%) n.a. n.a. CUU → L<sub>332</sub>  $CGA \rightarrow R_{337}$ Damaging due 104,233,963 Exon 8 GCT GCT (100%) GCT (100%) ACT (14%) GCT (100%) rs19955486 A = 0.08%UGA→stop to stop UGU $\rightarrow$ C<sub>378</sub> 104,231,858 Exon 9 ACA ACA (100%) ACA (100%) ATA (11%) ACA (100%) n.a. n.a. Damaging (0)  $UAU \rightarrow Y_{378}$  $GUG \rightarrow V_{401}$ 104,231,680 Exon 10 CAC CAC (100%) TAC (4%) \* TAC (20%) CAC (100%) Damaging (0) n.a. n.a.  $AUG \rightarrow M_{401}$  $GGG{\rightarrow}G_{413}$ Damaging 104,231,643 Exon 10 CCC CCC (100%) CTC (5%) \* CTC (11%) CCC (100%) n.a. n.a.  $GAG \rightarrow E_{413}$ (0.02) $GGG \rightarrow G_{420}$ Tolerated 104,231,622 Exon 10 CCC CCC (100%) CCC (100%) CTC (13%) CCC (100%) n.a. n.a.  $GAG \rightarrow E_{420}$ (0.08)

Chromosome Position (hg19)	Gene Region	Modern Human	Altai Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Chagyrskaya Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Vindija Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Denisovan (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Codon→Amino Acid	SNP id	SNP Total Frequency (ALFA)	SIFT Results (Score)
104,230,237	Exon 11	T <u>G</u> A	T <u>G</u> A (100%)	T <u>G</u> A (100%)	T <u>A</u> A (13%)	T <u>G</u> A (100%)	$\substack{ACU \rightarrow T_{442} \\ AUU \rightarrow I_{442}}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging (0)
104,230,129	Exon 11	A <u>G</u> A	A <u>G</u> A (100%)	A <u>G</u> A (100%)	A <u>A</u> A (13%)	A <u>G</u> A (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} UCU {\rightarrow} S_{478} \\ UUU {\rightarrow} F_{478} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.62)
				STATH (di	rect reading, chro	mosome 4)				
70,866,583	Exon 5	<u>G</u> GG	<u>G</u> GG (100%)	<u>A</u> GG (13%)	<u>A</u> GG (3%) *	<u><b>G</b></u> GG (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{GGG} \rightarrow \text{G}_{17} \\ \text{AGG} \rightarrow \text{R}_{17} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	N.A.
70,866,616	Exon 5	<u><b>C</b></u> CA	<u>C</u> CA (98%)	<u>C</u> CA (100%)	<u>T</u> CA (11%)	<u>T</u> CA (3%) *	$\begin{array}{c} CCA \rightarrow P_{28} \\ UCA \rightarrow S_{28} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	N.A.
70,866,626	Exon 5	С <u>С</u> А	C <u>C</u> A (100%)	C <u>T</u> A (15%)	C <u>C</u> A (100%)	C <u>C</u> A (96%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCA \rightarrow P_{31} \\ CUA \rightarrow L_{31} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	N.A.
70,866,628	Exon 5	<u><b>C</b></u> AA	<u>C</u> AA (100%)	<u>T</u> AA (15%)	<u>C</u> AA (100%)	<u>C</u> AA (100%)	CAA→Q <sub>32</sub> UAA→stop	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging due to stop
				SMR3B (di	irect reading, chro	omosome 4)				
71,255,405	Exon 3	A <u>G</u> G	A <u>G</u> G (100%)	A <u>G</u> G (100%)	A <u>A</u> G (12%)	A <u>G</u> G (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} AGG {\rightarrow} R_5 \\ AAG {\rightarrow} K_5 \end{array}$	rs777831757	A = 0%	NS
71,255,444	Exon 3	C <u>C</u> T	C <u>C</u> T (100%)	C <u>T</u> T (12%)	C <u>T</u> T (3%) *	C <u>C</u> T (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCU{\rightarrow}P_{18} \\ CUU{\rightarrow}L_{18} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	NS
71,255,495	Exon 3	G <u>G</u> G	G <u>G</u> G (100%)	G <u>G</u> G (94%)	G <u>A</u> G (17%)	G <u>G</u> G (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{GGG} \rightarrow \text{G}_{35} \\ \text{GAG} \rightarrow \text{E}_{35} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	NS

<sup>a</sup>: Frequency of the substitution (highlighted bases) in the ancient hominin species, as reported in IGV considering the depth (coverage) of the reads displayed at the corresponding locus; \* frequency  $\leq 10\%$  and \*\* counts < 10; n.a.: not available; NS: not scored.

Chromosome Position (hg19)	Gene Region	Modern Human	Altai Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Chagyrskaya Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Vindija Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Denisovan (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Codon→Amino Acid	SNP id	SNP Total Frequency (ALFA)	SIFT Results (Score)	
CST1 (reverse reading, chromosome 20)											
23,731,494	Exon 1 (Signal)	<u><b>A</b></u> TA	<u>G</u> TA (100%)	<u>G</u> TA (95%)	<u>G</u> TA (100%)	<u>G</u> TA (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} UAU {\rightarrow} Y_{3(sp)} \\ CAU {\rightarrow} H_{3(sp)} \end{array}$	rs6076122	G = 71.1%	Tolerated (0.11)	
23,731,463	Exon 1 (Signal)	T <u>G</u> G	T <u>A</u> G (2%) *	T <u>A</u> G (13%)	T <u>A</u> G (5%) *	T <u>G</u> G (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} ACC {\rightarrow} T_{13(sp)} \\ AUC {\rightarrow} I_{13(sp)} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.39)	
23,731,455	Exon 1 (Signal)	<u><b>C</b></u> AC	<u>C</u> AC (100%)	<u>C</u> AC (100%)	<u>T</u> AC (16%)	<u>C</u> AC (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} GUG {\rightarrow} V_{16(sp)} \\ AUG {\rightarrow} M_{16(sp)} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.23)	
23,731,446	Exon 1 (Signal)	<u><b>C</b></u> GG	<u>C</u> GG (100%)	<u>C</u> GG (100%)	<u>T</u> GG (11%)	<u>C</u> GG (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} GCC {\rightarrow} A_{19(sp)} \\ ACC {\rightarrow} T_{19(sp)} \end{array}$	rs1425228752	T = 0.001%	Damaging (0.01)	
23,731,439	Exon 1	T <u>C</u> G	T <u>C</u> G (100%)	T <u>T</u> G (6%) *	T <u>T</u> G (14%)	T <u>C</u> G (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} AGC {\rightarrow} S_2 \\ AAC {\rightarrow} N_2 \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.15)	
23,731,428	Exon 1	<u>C</u> TC	<u>C</u> TC (100%)	<u>C</u> TC (100%)	<u>T</u> TC (21%)	<u>C</u> TC (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} GAG {\rightarrow} E_6 \\ AAG {\rightarrow} K_6 \end{array}$	rs1292698911	T = 0.0004%	Tolerated (0.66)	
23,731,394	Exon 1	C <u>G</u> T	C <u>G</u> T (100%)	C <u>A</u> T (13%)	C <u>G</u> T (100%)	C <u>G</u> T (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{GCA} \rightarrow \text{A}_{17} \\ \text{GUA} \rightarrow \text{V}_{17} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.25)	
23,731,344	Exon 1	<u><b>C</b></u> TC	<u>T</u> TC (3%) *	<u>C</u> TC (100%)	<u>T</u> TC (11%)	<u>T</u> TC (3%) *	$\begin{array}{c} GAG {\rightarrow} E_{34} \\ AAG {\rightarrow} K_{34} \end{array}$	rs368203290	T = 0.008%	Tolerated (0.07)	
23,731,307	Exon 1	G <u>C</u> A	G <u>C</u> A (100%)	G <u>T</u> A (14%)	G <b>C</b> A (100%)	G <u>T</u> A (6%) *	$\begin{array}{c} CGU {\rightarrow} R_{46} \\ CAU {\rightarrow} H_{46} \end{array}$	rs758187154	T = 0%	Damaging (0.01)	
23,731,281	Exon 1	<u>G</u> TT	<u>G</u> TT (100%)	<u>G</u> TT (100%)	<u>A</u> TT (13%)	<u>G</u> TT (100%)	CAA→Q <sub>55</sub> UAA→stop	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging due to stop	
23,729,759	Exon 2	C <u>C</u> C	C <u>C</u> C (100%)	C <u>C</u> C (100%)	C <u>G</u> C (26%)	C <u>C</u> C (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{GGG} \rightarrow \text{G}_{59} \\ \text{GCG} \rightarrow \text{A}_{59} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (1)	
23,729,700	Exon 2	<u>G</u> GG	<u>G</u> GG (100%)	<u><b>G</b></u> GG (100%)	<u>A</u> GG (11%)	<u>G</u> GG (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCC \rightarrow P_{79} \\ UCC \rightarrow S_{79} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.38)	
23,729,699	Exon 2	G <u>G</u> G	G <u>G</u> G (100%)	G <u>A</u> G (3%) *	G <u>A</u> G (11%)	G <u>G</u> G (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCC \rightarrow P_{79} \\ CUC \rightarrow L_{79} \end{array}$	rs756782667	A = 0%	Tolerated (0.06)	

Table 3. Neanderthal and Denisovan nucleotide substitutions and the corresponding SIFT results on *CST1*, *CST2*, *CST3*, *CST4*, *CST5*, *CSTA*, and *CSTB* gene loci.

Chromosome Position (hg19)	Gene Region	Modern Human	Altai Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Chagyrskaya Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Vindija Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Denisovan (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Codon→Amino Acid	SNP id	SNP Total Frequency (ALFA)	SIFT Results (Score)
23,729,687	Exon 2	T <u>G</u> G	T <u>G</u> G (100%)	T <u>A</u> G (16%)	T <u>A</u> G (4%) *	T <u>G</u> G (100%)	$\substack{\text{ACC}\rightarrow\text{T}_{83}\\\text{AUC}\rightarrow\text{I}_{83}}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging (0.02)
23,728,503	Exon 3	<u>G</u> GG	<u><b>G</b></u> GG (100%)	<u>A</u> GG (11%)	<u>A</u> GG (3%) *	<u>G</u> GG (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCC {\rightarrow} P_{106} \\ UCC {\rightarrow} S_{106} \end{array}$	rs754531104	A = 0.004%	Tolerated (0.09)
23,728,494	Exon 3 (Cys-SN)	<u>T</u> TG	<u>C</u> TG (10%) *	<u>C</u> TG (11%)	<u>C</u> TG (14%)	<u>C</u> TG (4%) *	$\begin{array}{c} AAC {\rightarrow} N_{109} \\ GAC {\rightarrow} D_{109} \end{array}$	rs3188319	C = 0.004%	Tolerated (1)
23,728,490	Exon 3	T <u>C</u> T	T <u>T</u> T (2%) *	T <u>T</u> T (14%)	T <u>C</u> T (100%)	T <u>C</u> T (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} AGA {\rightarrow} R_{110} \\ AAA {\rightarrow} K_{110} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (1)
23,728,487	Exon 3	T <u>C</u> C	T <u>C</u> C (100%)	T <u>T</u> C (13%)	T <u>T</u> C (7%) *	T <u>C</u> C (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} AGG {\rightarrow} R_{111} \\ AAG {\rightarrow} K_{111} \end{array}$	rs3188320	T = 0%	Tolerated (0.85)
CST2 (reverse reading, chromosome 20)										
23,807,260	Exon 1 (Signal)	C <u>G</u> G	C <u>G</u> G (100%)	C <u>G</u> G (100%)	C <u>A</u> G (14%)	C <u>G</u> G (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} GCC{\rightarrow}A_{12(sp)}\\ GUC{\rightarrow}V_{12(sp)} \end{array}$	rs1411653443	A = 0.007%	Damaging (0.02)
23,807,257	Exon 1 (Signal)	T <u>G</u> G	T <u>G</u> G (100%)	T <u>A</u> G (14%)	T <u>G</u> G (100%)	T <u>G</u> G (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} ACC \rightarrow T_{13(sp)} \\ AUC \rightarrow I_{13(sp)} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.43)
23,807,245	Exon 1 (Signal)	C <u>G</u> G	C <u>G</u> G (100%)	C <u>A</u> G (14%)	C <u>G</u> G (100%)	C <u>G</u> G (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} GCC{\rightarrow}A_{17(sp)}\\ GUC{\rightarrow}V_{17(sp)} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.1)
23,807,231	Exon 1	<u>G</u> GG	<u><b>G</b></u> GG (100%)	<u>A</u> GG (14%)	<u>A</u> GG (8%) *	<u><b>G</b></u> GG (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCC \rightarrow P_3 \\ UCC \rightarrow S_3 \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (1)
23,807,162	Exon 1	<u>G</u> CA	<u>A</u> CA (95%)	<u>A</u> CA (100%)	<u>A</u> CA (100%)	<u>A</u> CA (8%) *	$\begin{array}{c} CGU {\rightarrow} R_{26} \\ UGU {\rightarrow} C_{26} \end{array}$	rs111349461	A = 0.06%	Damaging (0.05)
23,807,138	Exon 1	<u>C</u> TC	<u>T</u> TC (3%) *	<u>T</u> TC (12%)	<u>T</u> TC (6%) *	<u>C</u> TC (100%)	$\begin{matrix} GAG {\rightarrow} E_{34} \\ AAG {\rightarrow} K_{34} \end{matrix}$	rs541427772	T = 0.017%	Tolerated (0.07)
23,807,102	Exon 1	<u>G</u> CG	<u>A</u> CG (3%) *	<u>G</u> CG (100%)	<u>A</u> CG (11%)	<u>G</u> CG (100%)	$\overrightarrow{\text{CGC} \rightarrow \text{R}_{46}} \\ \text{UGC} \rightarrow \text{C}_{46}$	rs112783512	A = 0.019%	Tolerated (0.07)
23,807,093	Exon 1	<u>G</u> CC	<u>G</u> CC (100%)	<u>A</u> CC (4%)	<u>A</u> CC (20%)	<u>G</u> CC (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CGG {\rightarrow} R_{49} \\ UGG {\rightarrow} W_{49} \end{array}$	rs55860552	A = 0.12%	Damaging (0)

Altai Chagyrskaya Vindija Denisovan SNP Total Chromosome Modern Neanderthal Neanderthal Neanderthal **Codon**→**Amino** SIFT Results Position **Gene Region** (Variant SNP id Frequency Human (Variant (Variant (Variant Acid (Score) (ALFA) (hg19) Frequency<sup>a</sup>) Frequency<sup>a</sup>) Frequency<sup>a</sup>) Frequency<sup>a</sup>)  $CGA \rightarrow R_{52}$ Damaging due rs568411970 A = 0%23,807,084 Exon 1 <u>G</u>CT **G**CT (100%) ACT (5%) \* **<u>G</u>CT (100%)** ACT (15%) UGA→stop to stop  $AGG \rightarrow R_{54}$ Tolerated TCC TCC (100%) TCC (100%) TTC (13%) TCC (100%) 23,807,077 Exon 1 n.a. n.a.  $AAG \rightarrow K_{54}$ (0.34) $GAG \rightarrow E_{55}$ Tolerated 23,807,075 Exon 1 CTC **C**TC (100%) <u>T</u>TC (12%) TTC (12%) **C**TC (100%) n.a. n.a.  $AAG \rightarrow K_{55}$ (1) AUA→I<sub>67</sub> Tolerated TAT CAT (7%) \* rs199856966 C = 0.004%23,805,930 Exon 2 CAT (5%) \* CAT (14%) CAT (4%) \*  $GUA \rightarrow V_{67}$ (1) $CGA \rightarrow R_{71}$ Damaging GCT rs150428155 T = 0.008%23,805,917 Exon 2 GTT (2%) \* GTT (13%) GTT (5%) \* GTT (2%) \*  $CAA \rightarrow Q_{71}$ (0.01) $UGU \rightarrow C_{84}$ Damaging 23,805,878 Exon 2 ACA ACA (97%) ATA (14%) ACA (100%) ACA (100%) n.a. n.a.  $UAU \rightarrow Y_{84}$ (0) $GCC \rightarrow A_{85}$ Tolerated Exon 2 CGG CGG (100%) CAG (2%) \* CGG (100%) 23,805,875 CAG (15%) n.a. n.a.  $GUC \rightarrow V_{85}$ (0.06) $UGC \rightarrow C_{98}$ Damaging 23,804,730 Exon 3 ACG ACG (100%) ATG (7%) \* ATG (11%) ACG (100%) n.a. n.a.  $UAC \rightarrow Y_{98}$ (0)  $UGG \rightarrow W_{107}$ Damaging due 23,804,702 Exon 3 ACC ACC (100%) ACT (12%) ACC (100%) ACC (100%) rs1380420803 n.a. UGA→stop to stop  $AUG \rightarrow M_{111}$ Tolerated 23,804,691 Exon 3 TAC TCC (13%) TCC (10%) \* TCC (9%) \* TAC (100%) rs202150666 C = 0.01%(0.31) $AGG \rightarrow R_{111}$ CST3 (reverse reading, chromosome 20)  $CUC \rightarrow L_{8(sp)}$ Exon 1 Damaging GAG GAG (100%) **<u>G</u>AG (100%)** rs1285248919 23,618,472 AAG (8%) \* AAG (15%) n.a.  $UUC \rightarrow F_{8(sp)}$ (Signal) (0)  $CCC \rightarrow P_{22(sp)}$ **G**GG (100%) Tolerated 23,618,433 GGG **G**GG (100%) **G**GG (100%) AGG (13%) Exon 1 n.a. n.a. UCC $\rightarrow$ S<sub>22(sp)</sub> \*\* (0.5) $GUG{\rightarrow}V_{18}$ Tolerated CAC TAC (13%) CAC (100%) 23,618,370 Exon 1 CAC (100%) **C**AC (100%) n.a. n.a.  $AUG \rightarrow M_{18}$ (0.11)

Chromosome Position (hg19)	Gene Region	Modern Human	Altai Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Chagyrskaya Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Vindija Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Denisovan (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Codon→Amino Acid	SNP id	SNP Total Frequency (ALFA)	SIFT Results (Score)
23,618,358	Exon 1	<u><b>C</b></u> CA	<u>C</u> CA (100%)	<u>T</u> CA (22%)	<u>T</u> CA (4%) *	<u>C</u> CA (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} GGU {\rightarrow} G_{22} \\ AGU {\rightarrow} S_{22} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.48)
23,618,357	Exon 1	C <u>C</u> A	C <u>C</u> A (100%)	C <u>T</u> A (11%)	C <u>C</u> A (100%)	C <u>C</u> A (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} GGU {\rightarrow} G_{22} \\ GAU {\rightarrow} D_{22} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.56)
23,618,295	Exon 1	<u>G</u> TG	<u>G</u> TG (100%)	<u>G</u> TG (100%)	<u>A</u> TG (13%)	<u>G</u> TG (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CAC {\rightarrow} H_{43} \\ UAC {\rightarrow} Y_{43} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (1)
23,615,994	Exon 2	C <u>C</u> C	C <u>T</u> C (3%) *	C <u>C</u> C (100%)	C <u>T</u> C (13%)	C <u>C</u> C (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} GGG {\rightarrow} G_{59} \\ GAG {\rightarrow} E_{59} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging (0.01)
23,614,564	Exon 3	<u>G</u> TC	<u>G</u> TC (100%)	<u>G</u> TC (100%)	<u>A</u> TC (13%)	<u>G</u> TC (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CAG {\rightarrow} Q_{118} \\ UAG {\rightarrow} stop \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging due to stop
CST4 (reverse reading, chromosome 20)										
23,669,566	Exon 1 (Signal)	T <u>G</u> G	T <u>G</u> G (100%)	T <u>A</u> G (7%) *	T <u>A</u> G (11%)	T <u>G</u> G (100%)	$\begin{array}{l} ACC \rightarrow T_{13(sp)} \\ AUC \rightarrow I_{13(sp)} \end{array}$	rs770415022	n.a.	Tolerated (0.37)
23,669,561	Exon 1 (Signal)	<u>C</u> GA	<u>C</u> GA (100%)	<u>C</u> GA (100%)	<u>C</u> GA (100%)	<u>A</u> GA (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{GCU} \rightarrow \text{A}_{15(\text{sp})} \\ \text{UCU} \rightarrow \text{S}_{15(\text{sp})} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.39)
23,669,539	Exon 1	A <u>G</u> G	A <u>G</u> G (100%)	A <u>A</u> G (5%) *	A <u>A</u> G (13%)	A <u>G</u> G (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} UCC \rightarrow S_3 \\ UUC \rightarrow F_3 \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.08)
23,669,470	Exon 1	G <u>C</u> A	G <u>C</u> A (100%)	G <u>T</u> A (15%)	G <u>C</u> A (100%)	G <u>T</u> A (17%)	$\begin{array}{c} CGU {\rightarrow} R_{26} \\ CAU {\rightarrow} H_{26} \end{array}$	rs201273557	T = 0.01%	Tolerated (0.08)
23,669,462	Exon 1	<u>G</u> TG	<u>G</u> TG (100%)	<u>G</u> TG (100%)	<u>A</u> TG (18%)	<u>G</u> TG (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CAC {\rightarrow} H_{29} \\ UAC {\rightarrow} Y_{29} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.06)
23,669,408	Exon 1	<u>G</u> GC	<u>G</u> GC (100%)	<u>A</u> GC (12%)	<u>G</u> GC (100%)	<u>G</u> GC (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCG {\rightarrow} P_{47} \\ UCG {\rightarrow} S_{47} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.06)
23,667,835	Exon 2	<u>A</u> AA	<u>C</u> AA (97%)	<u>C</u> AA (100%)	<u>C</u> AA (90%)	<u>A</u> AA (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} UUU{\rightarrow}F_{58}\\ GUU{\rightarrow}V_{58} \end{array}$	rs145608577	C = 0.2%	Tolerated (1)
23,667,828	Exon 2	C <u>C</u> C	C <u>C</u> C (100%)	C <u>T</u> C (18%)	C <u>C</u> C (100%)	C <u>C</u> C (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{GGG} {\rightarrow} \text{G}_{60} \\ \text{GAG} {\rightarrow} \text{E}_{60} \end{array}$	rs144556333	T = 0.007%	Damaging (0)

Chromosome Position (hg19)	Gene Region	Modern Human	Altai Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Chagyrskaya Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Vindija Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Denisovan (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Codon→Amino Acid	SNP id	SNP Total Frequency (ALFA)	SIFT Results (Score)
23,667,826	Exon 2	<u>C</u> AC	<u>C</u> AC (100%)	<u>T</u> AC (10%) *	<u>T</u> AC (27%)	<u>C</u> AC (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{GUG} \rightarrow \text{V}_{61} \\ \text{AUG} \rightarrow \text{M}_{61} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.24)
23,667,808	Exon 2	<u>C</u> AT	<u>C</u> AT (100%)	<u>T</u> AT (13%)	<u>C</u> AT (100%)	<u>T</u> AT (4%) *	$\begin{array}{c} \text{GUA} \rightarrow \text{V}_{67} \\ \text{AUA} \rightarrow \text{I}_{67} \end{array}$	rs774067751	T = 0.007%	Tolerated (0.23)
23,667,792	Exon 2	T <u>G</u> G	T <u>G</u> G (100%)	T <u>A</u> G (13%)	T <u>G</u> G (100%)	T <u>G</u> G (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} ACC {\rightarrow} T_{72} \\ AUC {\rightarrow} I_{72} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging (0)
23,667,783	Exon 2	T <u>G</u> G	T <u>G</u> G (100%)	T <u>G</u> G (95%)	T <u>A</u> G (15%)	T <u>G</u> G (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} ACC {\rightarrow} T_{75} \\ AUC {\rightarrow} I_{75} \end{array}$	rs760057501	A = 0%	Damaging (0.01)
23,666,565	Exon 3	T <u>A</u> C	T <u>C</u> C (88%)	T <u>C</u> C (14%)	T <u>C</u> C (80%)	T <u>A</u> C (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} AUG{\rightarrow}M_{111}\\ AGG{\rightarrow}R_{111} \end{array}$	rs779547810	C = 0%	Tolerated (0.87)
				CST5 (reve	rse reading, chroi	nosome 20)				
23,860,243	Exon 1	A <u>G</u> C	A <u>A</u> C (3%) *	A <u>G</u> C (100%)	A <u>A</u> C (11%)	A <u>A</u> C (5%) *	$\begin{array}{c} UCG {\rightarrow} S_4 \\ UUG {\rightarrow} L_4 \end{array}$	rs145031249	A = 0.011%	Tolerated (0.27)
23,860,211	Exon 1	<u>G</u> TA	<u>G</u> TA (100%)	<u><b>G</b></u> TA (100%)	<u>A</u> TA (12%)	<u>G</u> TA (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CAU {\rightarrow} H_{15} \\ UAU {\rightarrow} Y_{15} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (1)
23,860,199	Exon 1	<u>G</u> AG	<u><b>G</b></u> AG (100%)	<u>A</u> AG (11%)	<u>G</u> AG (100%)	<u>G</u> AG (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CUC{\rightarrow}L_{19}\\ UUC{\rightarrow}F_{19} \end{array}$	rs370924959	A = 0%	Tolerated (0.66)
23,860,178	Exon 1	<u><b>A</b></u> CA	<u>G</u> CA (93%)	<u>G</u> CA (100%)	<u>G</u> CA (95%)	<u>G</u> CA (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} UGU {\rightarrow} C_{26} \\ CGU {\rightarrow} R_{26} \end{array}$	rs1799841	G = 43.2%	Tolerated (1)
23,860,174	Exon 1	C <u>G</u> G	C <u>G</u> G (100%)	C <u>G</u> G (100%)	C <u>A</u> G (11%)	C <u>G</u> G (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{GCC} \rightarrow \text{A}_{27} \\ \text{GUC} \rightarrow \text{V}_{27} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.18)
23,860,130	Exon 1	<u><b>C</b></u> TA	<u>C</u> TA (100%)	<u>C</u> TA (100%)	<u>T</u> TA (14%)	<u>C</u> TA (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{GAU} {\rightarrow} \text{D}_{42} \\ \text{AAU} {\rightarrow} \text{N}_{42} \end{array}$	rs1257216384	n.a.	Tolerated (0.11)
23,860,093	Exon 1	C <u>G</u> G	C <u>G</u> G (100%)	C <u>G</u> G (100%)	C <u>A</u> G (11%)	C <u>G</u> G (100%)	$\begin{matrix} \hline GCC \rightarrow A_{54} \\ GUC \rightarrow V_{54} \end{matrix}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.11)
23,858,200	Exon 2	T <u>G</u> G	T <u>G</u> G (100%)	T <u>A</u> G (22%)	T <u>G</u> G (100%)	T <u>G</u> G (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} ACC {\rightarrow} T_{76} \\ AUC {\rightarrow} I_{76} \end{array}$	rs41282292	A = 0.061%	Damaging (0)

Chromosome Position (hg19)	Gene Region	Modern Human	Altai Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Chagyrskaya Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Vindija Neanderthal (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Denisovan (Variant Frequency <sup>a</sup> )	Codon→Amino Acid	SNP id	SNP Total Frequency (ALFA)	SIFT Results (Score)
				CSTA (dir	ect reading, chror	mosome 3)				
122,044,197	Exon 1	<u>G</u> TT	<u>G</u> TT (100%)	<u>A</u> TT (11%)	<u>G</u> TT (100%)	<u>G</u> TT (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} GUU {\rightarrow} V_{20} \\ AUU {\rightarrow} I_{20} \end{array}$	rs778366890	A = 0%	Tolerated (0.23)
122,056,400	Exon 2	<u><b>C</b></u> CA	<u>C</u> CA (100%)	<u>C</u> CA (100%)	<u>T</u> CA (12%)	<u>C</u> CA (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} CCA {\rightarrow} P_{25} \\ UCA {\rightarrow} S_{25} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.74)
122,060,361	Exon 3	<u>C</u> TT	<u>C</u> TT (100%)	<u>C</u> TT (100%)	<u>T</u> TT (16%)	<u>C</u> TT (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CUU} \rightarrow \text{L}_{82} \\ \text{UUU} \rightarrow \text{F}_{82} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging (0)
122,060,373	Exon 3	<u>C</u> AG	<u>C</u> AG (100%)	<u>C</u> AG (100%)	<u>T</u> AG (12%)	<u>C</u> AG (100%)	CAG→Q <sub>86</sub> UAG→stop	n.a.	n.a.	Damaging due to stop
				CSTB (reve	rse reading, chroi	mosome 21)				
45,194,562	Exon 2	<u>C</u> GC	<u>T</u> GC (2%) *	<u>T</u> GC (11%)	<u>C</u> GC (100%)	<b><u>C</u>GC (100%)</b>	$\begin{array}{c} GCG{\rightarrow}A_{49}\\ ACG{\rightarrow}T_{49} \end{array}$	rs559906825	T = 0.007%	Damaging (0)
45,194,138	Exon 3	T <u>G</u> G	T <u>G</u> G (98%)	T <u>C</u> G (13%)	T <u>G</u> G (95%)	T <u>G</u> G (100%)	$\begin{array}{c} ACC{\rightarrow}T_{81}\\ AGC{\rightarrow}S_{81} \end{array}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.65)
45,194,132	Exon 3	A <u>G</u> A	A <u>G</u> A (100%)	A <u>G</u> A (100%)	A <u>A</u> A (15%)	A <u>G</u> A (100%)	$UCU \rightarrow S_{83}$ $UUU \rightarrow F_{83}$	n.a.	n.a.	Tolerated (0.1)

<sup>a</sup>: Frequency of the substitution (highlighted bases) in the ancient hominin species, as reported in IGV considering the depth (coverage) of the reads displayed at the corresponding locus; \* frequency  $\leq$  10% and \*\* counts < 10; n.a.: not available. The variants fixed at 100% in modern humans compared with ancient hominines are highlighted in light orange. The genomic variants whose frequencies show a different geographic distribution among humans are in red text. In the following subparagraphs, the results were detailed considering one locus at a time. Note that given the extreme structure heterogeneity of the tested genes with multiple alleles and different lengths, the nucleotide variations were indicated according to their genomic coordinates (see Section 4 for details).

# 2.1. Nucleotide Variations in the Gene Loci Encoding Basic Proline-Rich Proteins 2.1.1. PRB1 Gene

The genomic alignment allowed us to identify 130 nucleotide changes in the PRB1 gene in ancient hominines compared with modern humans (Tables 1 and S1). Fifty-five of these were detected within coding exons and included ten synonymous and fortyfive nonsynonymous nucleotide substitutions. Among the nonsynonymous nucleotide substitutions, 20 corresponded to SNPs annotated in modern humans (Table 1). SIFT prediction indicated that 46% of these missense variants have a significant effect on protein function based on sequence homology and the physical properties of the involved amino acids (Table 1). The T-C transition, which occurred in modern humans at position 11,506,774, causing the substitution of  $R_{72}$  with a Q in the II-2 isoform (Table 1 and Figure 4a), may have an impact on post-translational protein processing. Indeed, the modern human  $R_{72}$  residue is part of the  $R_{72}$ SPR<sub>75</sub> consensus sequence recognized by the pro-protein convertase responsible for the cleavage between II-2 and P-E peptides. Therefore, we may hypothesize that in archaic species, the PRB-1-encoded protein was a fused peptide spanning 136 amino acids, which integrates the modern II-2 and P-E (Table 1 and Figure 4a). The sequences of the peptides and the resulting putative archaic protein primary structures (named PRB-1 salivary archaic fusion 1 peptide, PRB-1 SAF-1) are reported in Figure 4a. The remaining seventy-five nucleotide changes identified in the *PRB1* locus were found to fall within noncoding regions, namely fifty-four in introns, six in upstream regions, one in the 5' UTR, 1 in the 3'UTR, and thirteen in downstream regions (Table S1).

PRB1 s	alivary archaic fusion peptide (PRB1 SAF-1 peptide R72Q) <sup>a</sup> Neanderthal(13%), Chagyrskaya (8%),Vindija (6%)and Denisovan (9%)
- ( 12	1 QNLNEDV <i>S</i> QE ESPSLIAGNP QGPSPQGGNK PQGPPPPGK PQGPPPQGGN KPQGPPPPGK 51 PQGPPPQGDK S <b>Q</b> SPRSPPGK PQGPPPQGGN QPQGPPPPG KPQGPPPQGG NRPQGPPPPG 21 KPQGPPPQGD KSRSPR
_	b
PRB2 s	salivary archaic fusion peptide (PRB2 SAF-2 peptide R <sub>93</sub> Q) Neanderthal (61%), Chagyrskaya (63%),Vindija (60%)and Denisovan (100%))and R <sub>96</sub> Q (Neanderthal (100%),Chagyrskaya (100%) Vindija (100%)and Denisovan (24%)
6	1 QNLNEDV <i>S</i> QE ESPSLIAGNP QGAPPQGGNK PQGPPSPPGK PQGPPPQGGN QPQGPPPPPG 51 KPQGPPPQGG NKPQGPPPG KPQGPPPQGD KS <b>Q</b> SPP <b>G</b> KPQGPPPQGG NQPQGPPPPP 21 GKPQGPPPQG GNKPQGPPPP GKPQGPPPQG DNKSRSS
	c
PRB2 s	alivary archaic cleavage-1 peptide (PRB2 SAC-1)(IB-8a Con1Q₅9R) eanderthal (87%),Chagyrskaya (77%),Vindija (67%)and enisovan (94%)
6	1 SPPGKPQGPP PQGGNQPQGP PPPPGKPQGP PPQGGNKPQG PPPPGKPQGP PPQGDNKS <b>R</b>
0	d
PRB2 s	alivary archaic cleavage-2 peptide (PRB2 SAC-2)
6	1 SPPGKPQGPP PQGGNQPQGP PPPPGKPQGP PPQGGNKPQG PPPPGKPQGP PPQGGSKSRS 51 S(R)

Figure 4. Predicted archaic hominins' PRB-1 (panel (a)) and PRB-2 (panels (b-d)) protein variants.

# 2.1.2. PRB2 Gene

One hundred and thirty-six nucleotide substitutions were detected in the PRB2 locus in ancient hominines compared with modern humans (Tables 1 and S2). Thirty-seven of these were identified in introns, ten in upstream regions, one in the 3'UTR, and eight in downstream regions. The remaining eighty variations were found in coding regions, namely two in exon 1 (corresponding to the signal peptide), one in exon 2, and the remaining in exon 3 (Tables 1 and S2). Of note, the modern human sequence reported in the UniProtKB database corresponded to the L allele coding for the common isoforms IB-8a Con1<sup>-</sup> and  $P-H S_1$ , the first one with a P residue instead of an S at position 100, the second one with an S residue instead of an A at position 1 [8]. Of the 80 sequence variants found in coding exons, 64 were nonsynonymous, causing amino acid substitutions. SIFT prediction indicated that 19% of these missense variants have a significant effect on protein function based on sequence homology and the physical properties of the involved amino acids (Table 1). Twenty-six out of the sixty-four nonsynonymous substitutions were annotated as common variants (SNPs) in modern humans (Table 1). In particular, two changes occurring at 11,546,686 bp and 11,546,677 bp caused the substitution of the  $R_{93}$  and  $R_{96}$  with Q within the ancient IB-1 isoform. The two archaic residues were found in all four species, (Table 1). This implied that the archaic hominins'  $R_{93}$ SPR<sub>96</sub> consensus sequence, recognized by the pro-protein convertase, apparently lacked two key arginine residues, thus disabling the post-translational cleavage. Therefore, the ancient saliva composition should feature a protein deriving from the fusion of IB-1 and P-J peptides, spanning 157 amino acids (named the PRB-2 salivary archaic fusion 2 peptide, PRB-2 SAF-2 peptide, in Figure 4b). Conversely, the presence of a C nucleotide at 11,546,314 bp in Neanderthals and Denisovans, instead of T in modern humans, led to the introduction of an R instead of the  $Q_{59}$  ( $Q_{217}$  in pro-protein) of the IB-8a Con1<sup>-</sup> isoform. This archaic primary structure would then include an additional pro-protein convertase consensus sequence, R<sub>59</sub>SAR<sub>62</sub>, causing the cleavage of the IB-8a Con1<sup>-</sup> protein into two smaller peptides. According to the usual removal of the C-terminal arginine residue observed for almost all the bPRPs, both peptides should be 61 aminoacidic residues long (Figure 4c). These putative archaic hominins' PRB-2 variants are named by us the PRB-2 salivary archaic cleavage 1 peptide (PRB-2 SAC-1 peptide) and the PRB-2 salivary archaic cleavage 2 peptide (PRB-2 SAC-2 peptide) and are shown in Figure 4c. Of note, the sequence of the PRB-2 SAC-1 peptide exactly corresponds to the sequence of the modern human P-J peptide with an alanine  $(A_{61})$  instead of a serine in the last amino acid residue. The sequence of the PRB-2 SAC-2 peptide exactly corresponds to the modern human P-F peptide with a serine ( $S_{61}$ ) instead of an alanine in the last amino acid residue (Figure 4d and [9]). The variation at 11,546,395 bp indicated that in archaic hominins, the  $P_{31}$  ( $P_{189}$  of pro-protein) residue was replaced by a Q in the IB-8a Con1<sup>-</sup>; this change results probably in a deleterious effect on protein function, as predicted by SIFT analysis.

The protein name, the modifications with respect to modern humans, and the corresponding frequencies found in Neanderthals, Chagyrskayas, Vindijas and/or Denisovans are reported for each archaic protein. The positions of each substitution are also reported in the primary sequences (residues in bold characters). q: pyroglutamic acid; S: phosphorylated serine.

# 2.1.3. PRB3 Gene

We have identified 163 nucleotide variations in the *PRB3* locus in ancient hominines compared with modern humans (Tables 1 and S3). Of these, 53 were detected in coding regions and 110 in noncoding regions (71 within introns, 14 in upstream regions, 2 in the 3'UTR, and 23 in downstream regions; Table S3). The archaic sequences were compared with the allele Gl-2 (or PRP-3M) of modern humans. Fourteen variations identified in coding exons were synonymous, whereas thirty-nine changes were missense variants. Twelve out of the thirty-nine nonsynonymous substitutions corresponded to annotated common variants in modern humans (Table 1). PRP3 protein contains eight N-glycosylated Asp residues falling into the NXS/pS sequen; among the substitutions found in the *PRB3* 

gene, only those at position 11,420,728 fall within the consensus sequence ( $S_{136}F$ ), and deleterious results for the protein function were predicted by SIFT (Table 1). Overall, 37.5% of the substitutions were found to be deleterious on the protein function (Table 1). The noncoding variant found at position 11,420,458 could probably affect the splicing process of *PRB3* transcripts in ancient hominins since it fell within the GU consensus site (splice donor site) at 5' end of intron 3 (Table S3).

# 2.1.4. PRB4 Gene

For the *PRB4* locus, we detected 129 nucleotide substitutions in ancient hominines compared with modern humans (Tables 1 and S4). Of these, 27 were found in coding exons, including 4 synonymous and 23 nonsynonymous (Table 1), and 102 in noncoding regions (Table S4). The archaic sequence was compared with the small allele of the modern human locus coding for P-D peptides and glycosylated protein A (PGA). The 23 missense variants were all found within coding regions for the glycosylated protein A, while none of the identified variations would affect the P-D variant (see Table 1 for details). These variations had no consequence on the consensus sequence of pro-protein convertase or on the sequence of the glycosylation sites. It is interesting to observe that all the archaic sequences reported a code for the P-D  $P_{32}A$  variant. Overall, seven out of the twenty-three nonsynonymous in the *PRB4* locus corresponded to annotated common variants in modern humans, and only 13% were found to be deleterious on the protein function (Table 1).

#### 2.2. Nucleotide Variations in the Gene Locus Encoding the a-PRP

One hundred and sixty-three nucleotide substitutions have been annotated in the *PRH2* gene locus in ancient hominines compared with modern humans (Tables 2 and S5), of which thirty fell within coding exons, including seven synonymous and twenty-three nonsynonymous. Four of these latter corresponded to annotated common variants in modern humans (Table 2). Sixty-six nucleotide substitutions were identified in introns, seven in upstream regions, three in the 5'UTR, forty-nine in the 3'UTR, and eight in downstream regions (Table S5). The archaic DNA sequences reported in the sequence database used in this study (see Section 4 for details) corresponded to the PRP-1 protein of the *PRH2* alleles, thus having a N<sub>50</sub> residue. The nucleotide variations reported in Table 1 generated two synonymous substitutions at D<sub>6</sub> and P<sub>135</sub>.

# 2.3. Nucleotide Variations in the HTN Gene Loci

A total of 188 and 175 nucleotide substitutions were identified in the *HTN1* and *HTN3* genes, respectively (Table 2, Tables S6 and S7). The nucleotide substitutions reported in *HTN1* are distributed as follows: 4 fell within coding exons, including1 synonymous and 3 nonsynonymous, and 184 fell in noncoding regions, including146 within introns, 6 in upstream regions, 3 in the 5'UTR, 9 in the 3'UTR, and 20 in downstream regions (Tables 2 and S6). Regarding *HTN3*, 3 nucleotide changes were reported in coding exons (1 synonymous and 2 nonsynonymous), whereas 172 fell in noncoding regions (145 within introns, 9 in upstream regions, 3 in the 5'UTR, 5 in the 3'UTR, and 10 in downstream regions) (Tables 2 and S7). One missense variant for *HTN1* and one for *HTN3* found in ancient hominins were also reported as SNPs in modern humans (Table 2).

## 2.4. Nucleotide Variations in the AMY1A Gene Locus

Two hundred and twelve nucleotide substitutions have been annotated in the *AMY1A* gene locus in Neanderthals and Denisovans compared with modern humans (Tables 2 and S8). Forty changes fell within coding exons, of which eleven were synonymous and twentynine were nonsynonymous. Only one of the nonsynonymous substitutions corresponded to an annotated common variant in modern humans (Table 2). One hundred forty-four nucleotide substitutions were identified in introns, four in upstream regions, nine in the 5'UTR, and fifteen in downstream regions (Table S8).

### 2.5. Nucleotide Variations in the STATH and P-B Gene Loci

One hundred fifty-nine nucleotide substitutions have been annotated in the *STATH* gene locus in Neanderthals and Denisovans compared with modern humans (Tables 2 and S9). Six changes fell within coding exons, of which two were synonymous and four were nonsynonymous (Table 2). One hundred fifty-three nucleotide substitutions were detected in introns and regulatory regions (Table S9).

One hundred eighty-seven nucleotide substitutions were detected in the *SMR3B* locus in Neanderthals and Denisovans compared with modern humans (Tables 2 and S10). Of these, 5 were found in coding exons (2 synonymous and 3 nonsynonymous), 155 were in introns, 3 in upstream regions, 3 in 5'UTRs, 10 in 3'UTR, and 11 in downstream regions (Tables 2 and S10). One missense variant was reported as an SNP in modern humans (Table S10).

# 2.6. Nucleotide Variations in the CST Gene Loci 2.6.1. CST1 Gene

We have annotated 227 nucleotide substitutions in the *CST1* locus in Neanderthals and Denisovans compared with modern humans (Tables 3 and S11). Of these, 128 were found in introns, 19 in upstream regions, 7 in the 5'UTR, 12 in the 3'UTR, 32 in downstream regions (Table S11), and 29 in coding regions, including 11 synonymous and 18 missense variations (Table 3). The nucleotide variation at 23,731,494 bp caused the substitution of the Y<sub>3</sub>(sp) with an H, affecting the third amino acid residue of the signal peptide. This should not impact the function of the protein, although it may have affected the speed of protein translation and/or the correct processing and trafficking. Four substitutions out of eighteen could have a negative impact on protein function, as predicted by SIFT. Overall, nine nonsynonymous nucleotide substitutions corresponded to annotated common variants in modern humans (Table S11).

# 2.6.2. CST2 Gene

We detected 167 nucleotide changes in the *CST2* locus in Neanderthals and Denisovans compared with modern humans (Tables 3 and S12). Of these, 103 were in introns, 15 in upstream regions, 8 in the 3'UTR, 17 in downstream noncoding regions (Table S12), and 24 in coding regions (Table 2). The latter included six synonymous and nineteen nonsynonymous variations, eight of which were predicted to have a deleterious effect on protein function (SIFT score < 0.05). Ten out of the eighteen nonsynonymous substitutions corresponded to annotated common variants in modern humans (Table 2). Interestingly, the nucleotide change at 23,804,691 bp fell into the canonical DNA-binding motif for the NR3C1 (nuclear receptor subfamily 3 group C member 1) transcription factor, as reported in the UCSC Genome Browser. This variation could most likely affect the affinity of this factor for the regulatory region and thus the expression of the *CST2* gene.

# 2.6.3. CST3 Gene

In the *CST3* locus, we have identified 452 nucleotide variations in Neanderthals and Denisovans compared with modern humans (Tables 3 and S13). Of these, 329 were in introns, 18 in upstream regions, 9 in 5'UTR, 50 in 3'UTR, 29 in downstream noncoding regions (Table S13), and 17 in coding regions, including 9 synonymous and 8 nonsynonymous variations (Table 2). One nucleotide substitution corresponded to an annotated common variant in modern humans (Table 2).

# 2.6.4. CST4 Gene

Two hundred and sixty-three nucleotide substitutions were detected in the *CST4* locus in Neanderthals and Denisovans compared with modern humans (Tables 3 and S14). These included 130 changes in introns, 42 in upstream regions, 4 in the 5'UTR, 20 in the 3'UTR, 43 in downstream noncoding regions (Table S14), and 24 in coding exons (11 synonymous and 13 missense variations; Table 3). Seven variations in this locus corresponded to annotated

common variants in modern humans (Table 3). The change at 23,666,565 bp caused the substitution of the  $M_{111}$  with an R in the corresponding Neanderthal peptide structure. Even if it causes the substitution of an uncharged amino acid with a charged one, the SIFT analysis did not predict a deleterious effect of this variant on the function of the archaic protein compared to modern humans.

# 2.6.5. CST5 Gene

One hundred ninety-three nucleotide substitutions were annotated in the *CST5* locus in Neanderthals and Denisovans compared with modern humans (Tables 3 and S15). Sixteen changes were mapped in the coding region, including eight synonymous and eight nonsynonymous (Table 3). Of the 177 nucleotide substitutions located in noncoding regions, 118 were in introns, 24 in upstream regions, 18 in 3'UTR, and 17 in downstream regions (Table S15). The exonic nucleotide variation generated the codon for an R in both archaic hominins instead of  $C_{26}$ . This represented a common variant also found in modern humans (rs1799841). The cystatin D variant with the  $R_{26}$  is frequently detected in the soluble fraction of human saliva, probably because is more soluble than the  $C_{26}$ -containing isoform [19]. Moreover, the opposite substitution ( $R_{26}C$ ) was detectable with high frequency at the same amino acid residue in the cystatin SA gene of Neanderthals. Five out of the eight nonsynonymous nucleotide substitutions corresponded to annotated common variants in modern humans (Table 3).

#### 2.6.6. CSTA and CSTB Genes

Finally, 394 and 134 nucleotide substitutions were identified in *CSTA* and *CSTB* loci, respectively, in Neanderthals and Denisovans compared with modern humans (Tables 3, S16 and S17). The nucleotide substitutions reported in *CSTA* were distributed as follows: 6 fell in coding exons, including 2 synonymous and 4 nonsynonymous, and 388 fell in noncoding regions, including 346 in introns, 10 in upstream regions, 5 in the 5'UTR, 10 in the 3'UTR, and 17 in downstream regions (Tables 3 and S16). Among these changes, the variation at 122,044,848-122,044,850 positions of *CSTA* was a CTT deletion, observed exclusively in Denisovans (Table S16). This fell within the canonical DNA-binding motif for the Spi-1 proto-oncogene transcription factor (source: UCSC Genome Browser); therefore, it could probably affect the expression of the *CSTA* gene in the ancient hominin. Regarding *CSTB*, 9 nucleotide changes were reported in coding exons (6 synonymous and 3 nonsynonymous), whereas 125 fell in noncoding regions (55 within introns, 27 in upstream regions, 5 in the 5'UTR, 15 in the 3'UTR, and 23 in downstream regions) (Tables 3 and S17). One missense variant for *CSTA* and 1 for *CSTB* found in ancient hominins were also reported as an SNP in modern humans (Table 3).

#### 2.7. Geographic Distribution of Genetic Variants in Modern Humans

Of note, the salivary protein genes tested resulted polymorphic in humans. The frequency of specific coding nonsynonymous genetic variants also changed between different populations, as reported in the Geography of Genetic Variants Browser (https://popgen. uchicago.edu/ggv; accessed on 22 July 2022) (File S1) [29]. In particular, 20 genetic variants (three in the *PRB1* gene, six in *PRB2*, one in *PRB3*, two in *CST1*, four in *CST2*, three in *CST5*, and one in *CSTB*; highlighted in red in Tables 1–3) displayed a different geographic distribution and specifically; rs554211998, rs201994479, rs34305575, rs6076122, rs111349461, rs55860552, rs568411970, rs145031249, and rs1799841 showed a peculiar allele frequency in African populations (File S1).

#### 2.8. Evolutionary Pressure of Salivary Protein Genes

To investigate if some of the salivary protein genes studied showed evidence of positive selection in anatomically modern humans, we performed a population branch statistics (PBS) analysis [30]. Our results showed no signal of recent selective pressure for the genes analysed, attesting that variants on these genes did not affect individual fitness (File S2).

We also implemented the Tajima test as an additional evolutionary analysis to evaluate the selective effects of each observed substation. Tajima's D values show comparable variance among the genes analysed. The D values were prevalently slightly negative or positive (ranging from -0.698 to 3.359) (File S3), confirming the absence of a selective sweep [31], which was already suggested by the PBS test.

Compared to modern humans, Neanderthal and Denisovan genomes showed evidence of ancient interbreed [32], leading to an uneven distribution of introgressed chromosomal regions because of natural selection [33]. To investigate if some of the salivary protein gene variants studied might be due to interbreeding, we used two databases of archaic introgression based on a comparison with modern genomes from the 1000 genomes project [34] and the Estonian Biocentre collection [35], which also reported data from previous studies [33,36]. However, the considered genes were not encompassed within the chromosomal regions highlighted in the databases and, therefore, did not show an apparent sign of adaptative introgression from archaic hominins.

### 3. Discussion

The different dietary habits of archaic hominins and modern humans have been mostly attributed to the changes in the availability of natural food resources, the oral bacterial community (microbiota), and climatic conditions [37,38]. A role for salivary proteins can be also inferred, as they are known to be implicated in the modulation of the microbiome of the oral cavity, the entire gastrointestinal tract, and taste perception [39]. aPRPs can promote the attachment of several important bacteria, such as Actinomyces viscosus, Bacteroides gingival, and some strains of Streptococcus mutans. Moreover, both aPRPs and statherin promote the colonization of oral surfaces by *Porfiromonas gingivalis* [40]. It was reported that the salivary proteins may modulate oral health and homeostasis, maintain a stable ecosystem, and inhibit the growth of cariogenic bacteria [41,42]. Recently, 258 salivary proteins were found differentially expressed between the caries-free and caries-active children [43]. They are also involved in taste perception. In particular, the salivary bPRPs II-2 and Ps-1 contribute to bitter taste sensitivity [44]. Also, some salivary peptides belonging to the bPRPs and the histatin families can bind polyphenols in tannin-rich foods, thus evoking the typical astringent sensation [44]. Salivary proteins play an important role in affecting sweet [45], salt [46], and umami [47] tastes, along with fat, salt, and bitter acceptance [48,49]. Also, cystatins are supposed to affect taste perception, as lower salivary levels of these peptides may enhance proteolysis, which would affect the mucosal pellicle lining of the oral cavity, thereby increasing the accessibility of tastants to taste receptors [49]. Interestingly, most of these proteins have been shown to be modulated in pathological conditions, including tumors and inflammation, suggesting that they play a role as clinically relevant biomarkers [5].

Therefore, a hypothesis has been raising that the evolutionary changes occurred in the structure of these proteins could be associated with the different dietary habits of archaic hominins. In this regard, mutations in different bitter taste receptor genes (namely *TAS2R62, TAS2R64,* and *TAS2R38*) and the masticatory myosin gene *MYH16,* along with the duplication of the salivary amylase gene *AMY1* that has occurred in recent human evolution, have been associated with variations in taste sensitivity and the shift toward the food cooking habits of modern humans [50].

Based on this emerging background, in this study, we identified and inferred the functional consequences of the nucleotide substitutions fixed in the gene loci coding for the main salivary proteins in modern humans compared to ancient hominins species (Neanderthals and Denisovans).

By mapping over 3400 nucleotide substitutions, we have shown that the majority (87.7%) of changes are detectable in the genes expressing the most important salivary proteins (proline-rich proteins, statherin, P-B peptides, histatins, cystatins, and amylases) of modern humans, compared with Neanderthals and Denisovans, mapped within non-coding regions.

Quite unexpectedly, our data also showed the presence of nucleotide variations affecting the coding sequence of all 17 gene loci analysed. Overall, the frequency of coding variations in these genomic loci is far higher than the general rate found throughout the genome since previous studies highlighted that relatively few amino acid changes have become fixed in recent human evolution to date [51,52]. To the best of our knowledge, this study provides the first original description of coding nucleotide changes that occurred in salivary protein genes during the recent evolutionary shift of modern humans from Neanderthal and Denisovan species. Focusing on these missense variations, we hypothesized the possible functional effects they could have played in protein structure, processing, and function. Of the 307 missense changes found in the coding regions of the tested genes, 92 were predicted to have a potentially deleterious effect on protein function.

The changes identified in the *PRB1* and *PRB2* genes are worth particular attention and could be interpreted in light of the extant knowledge of the biology of the encoded proteins. As already mentioned, the PRB protein family is highly polymorphic and, despite being common to all mammals, the proteins belonging to this family feature have significant structural differences among species. For instance, the peptides generated by the convertase cleavage span 50 to 90 amino acids in length in humans and 10 to 40 in pigs, with sensible variations in the peptide sequences [53]. Therefore, bPRPs appear to be non-conserved across species, probably because they are mostly implicated in taste perception and underwent a deep transformation during evolution due to the changing habits and habitats of the species [44]. Interestingly, our results showed that three nucleotide substitutions annotated in the archaic hominins' PRB1 and PRB2 genes affect specific arginine residues within the consensus sequences of the polypeptide, which are recognized by the pro-protein convertases responsible for their cleavage. These changes could have determined the presence of fused proteins in the archaic hominins' proteome. The putative "PRB1 salivary archaic fusion 1 peptide" and "PRB2 salivary archaic fusion 2 peptide" could have been possibly associated with additional and/or alternative functions that able to influence the eating habits of extinct hominins. In addition, we have also identified a sequence change in the *PRB2* gene that instead generates a new pro-protein convertase consensus sequence in the encoded peptide. As a result, ancient hominins could have expressed two smaller peptides, the "PRB2 salivary archaic cleavage 1 peptide" and the "PRB2 salivary archaic cleavage 2 peptide", possibly exerting alternative functions, which deserve further functional studies.

The missense nucleotide substitutions annotated in the remaining salivary protein genes described in this study (aPRPs, histatins, amylases, statherin, P-B peptide, and cystatins) could be interpreted, at least in part, considering the putative changes that they can cause in post-translational protein processing, sorting, localization, and trafficking toward secretion. In addition, all the missense variations that introduce or remove a cysteine residue on the archaic cystatins, most likely affecting the conserved sequences involved in the protein-protein binding [53], could also influence protein function.

We also annotated the nucleotide variations fixed within the noncoding regions of modern humans of the tested genes, given these could reasonably affect the expression levels of salivary proteins by changing the affinity of transcriptional regulators for promoters, enhancer and/or silencer elements, and/or the splicing, in addition to changing splice site consensus sequences and leading to the formation of alternative coding transcripts. Also, they could affect post-transcriptional regulation mechanisms, such as the binding of the noncoding regulatory RNAs, leading to varying protein types and amounts that emerged during the recent evolution. Specifically, two nucleotide substitutions found in the *CST2* and *CSTA* gene loci appear to fall within the canonical DNA-binding motifs for specific transcriptional factors, which could most likely intervene in the modulation of their expression. We also annotated 216 changes in the 3' untranslated regions in 16 of the 17 genes analysed (in all but *AMY1A*). These substitutions might instead condition the binding of specific microRNA-targeting salivary protein transcripts, modulating their stability and the translation process.

Lastly, 34.9% of the nonsynonymous nucleotide substitutions identified in this study appear to be frequent in the modern human genome, where they are annotated as single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). In addition, some of these coding genetic variants display a different geographic distribution in humans. This observation reduces the evolutionary significance of such changes, which are to be considered in light of the polymorphic nature of these genomic loci. However, taken together, variants showing alternative nucleotide fixation in modern vs. archaic humans represent 7.3% of all the nucleotide substitutions reported in the study.

Also, our results do not suggest any significant evolutionary pressure or sign of adaptative introgression from archaic hominins on the tested genes.

## 4. Materials and Methods

# 4.1. Nucleotide Variants Annotation

In order to annotate all the nucleotide variants within the gene loci of the salivary proteins of interest, we compared modern human sequences with Altai Neanderthals (downloaded from http://cdna.eva.mpg.de/Neanderthal/altai/AltaiNeanderthal/bam/, accessed on 2 May 2020), Chagyrskaya Neanderthals (Index of/neandertal/Chagyrskaya/BAM (mpg. de), accessed on 9 December 2022), Vindija Neanderthals (Index of/neandertal/Vindija/bam/ Pruefer\_etal\_2017/ Vindija33.19 (mpg.de), accessed on 9 December 2022), and Denisova sequences (http://cdna.eva.mpg.de/denisova/alignments/, accessed on 2 May 2020) [54,55]. The fossil remains, aged between 50,000 and 30,000 years, come from two distinct geographical areas. The female Neanderthal sample from Vindija (Croatia), in the Western Balkans, yielded a  $30 \times$  genome coverage [56]. The other samples came from two different sites in the Altai Mountains in Siberia (Russia): the genomic data of a female Neanderthal (at  $52 \times$  coverage) [57] and a juvenile female Denisovan individual (at  $30 \times$  coverage) [55] came from the Denisova cave, and another female sample came from the Chagyrskaya cave, located about 100 km westward, and yielded a genome of  $27 \times$  coverage [58]. In particular, we aligned the sequences of modern humans and ancient hominines by means of the Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV) tool (2.3.72 version) [59-61]. Note that the reference genomes annotated in this database are set on the hg19 genome assembly coordinates. We annotated all the nucleotide substitutions with a frequency greater than 10% and a coverage of a minimum of 10 counts in both coding, noncoding, and regulatory sequences (i.e., 5' and 3' untranslated and flanking upstream and downstream regulatory regions) for each gene of interest to consider the possible damage and fragmentation to which the ancient hominin DNA was subjected. Of note, the variant frequency indicated the percentage of frequency of that substitution in ancient hominines, as reported by the IGV tool, considering the depth (coverage) of the reads displayed at each locus. For each tested gene, a region of approximately 500 bp upstream and downstream of the first and last exons was, respectively, considered and screened to annotate nucleotide substitutions within regulatory regions able to affect the gene expression rate. The precise hg19 genomic coordinates for each tested gene locus were as follows: PRB1 locus 11,509,000–11,504,200 on chromosome 12; PRB2 locus 11,549,000-11,544,000 on chromosome 12; PRB3 locus 11,423,140-11,418,300 on chromosome 12; PRB4 locus 11,463,900-11,459,500 on chromosome 12; PRH2 locus 11,081,500–11,087,950 on chromosome 12; HTN1 locus 70,915,750–70,925,000 on chromosome 4; HTN3 locus 70,893,670–70,902,700 on chromosome 4; AMY1A locus 104,239,500-104,229,500 on chromosome 1; STATH locus 70,861,200-70,868,790 on chromosome 4; SMR3B locus 71,248,550–71,256,400 on chromosome 4; CST1 locus 23,732,000– 23,727,600 on chromosome 20; CST2 locus 23,807,800–23,803,900 on chromosome 20; CST3 locus 23,619,100-23,606,800 on chromosome 20; CST4 locus 23,670,200-23,665,700 on chromosome 20; CST5 locus 23,860,900-23,856,000 on chromosome 20; CSTA locus 122,043,600-122,061,300 on chromosome 3; and CSTB locus 45,196,800–45,193,000 on chromosome 21.

The annotation with the corresponding frequency of all variations in present-day human populations was collected by integrating information from both the dbSNP (Single Nucleotide Polymorphism Database; https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/snp, accessed

on 15 July 2020) and the Ensembl (http://www.ensembl.org/index.html, accessed on 15 July 2020) databases. In particular, the frequency was reported as the Allele Frequency Aggregator (ALFA New). The analysis of regulatory regions in the gene loci analysed was assessed by implementing the information available on the UCSC Genome Browser database (https://genome.ucsc.edu, accessed on 15 July 2020).

The coding sequences of salivary proteins were extracted from the publicly available UniProtKB database (https://www.uniprot.org/, accessed on 15 July 2020): PRB1, primary accession number: P04280; PRB2: P02812; PRB3: Q04118; PRB4: P10163; PRH2: P02810; HTN1: P15515; HTN3: P15516; STATH: P02808; AMY1A: P0DUB6; P-B: P02814, CST1: P01037; CST2: P09228; CST3: P01034; CST4: P01036; CST5: P28325, CSTA: P01040, CSTB: P04080.

### 4.2. Protein Data Analysis

The potential impact of the amino acid substitution on salivary protein function was predicted by SIFT (sorting intolerant from tolerant) version 5.1.1 using the Genome tool (SIFT nonsynonymous single nucleotide variants (genome-scale), available at the SIFT website (http://sift.jcvi.org/, accessed on 20 June 2022). The SIFT algorithm is based on the degree of conservation of amino acid residues in sequence alignments derived from closely related sequences, collected through PSI-BLAST [62]. SIFT results with a score < 0.05 indicate amino acids deleterious on protein function.

#### 4.3. Selective Pressure Analysis

To detect any possible trace of selective pressure, PBS has been applied. PBS is a statistical three-population test based on the FST fixation index, and it has proven to be one of the best methods of detecting signs of recent natural selection on genomes [31]. Regarding the choice of the three populations, we used three distant populations worldwide (CEU for Europe, CHB for Asia, and YRI for Africa), which are the most commonly used [63,64] and are among the first populations released by the 1000 Genomes, Phase 1 [64].

FST among three possible populations pairs (CEU, CHB, and YRI) has been calculated by VCFtools v0.1.16 [65] using VCF files of each gene under scrutiny. The genes were previously filtrated with Plink 1.9 [66] to keep only the variants with MAF  $\geq$  0.05. Then, PBS and relative plots were performed with R Studio software (R Core Team 2021, https: //www.R-project.org, accessed on 2 December 2022).

# 5. Conclusions

In conclusion, the nucleotide substitutions that have putatively affected the amino acid composition, the post-translational modification, and/or the gene expression levels of salivary proteins described in this study might have generated novel functional features and a different expression ratio among the several components of the salivary proteome. Given the largely unknown functional roles of most salivary proteins, we may only speculate that these changes could have ultimately modified the entire homeostasis of the oral cavity environment, possibly conditioning the eating habit lifestyle of modern humans. Our data may pave the way to unravelling evolutionary processes that have occurred through changes of salivary composition in the oral cavity homeostasis. This knowledge could provide additional novel cues toward a better understanding of the ability of different species to adapt to different and changing environments.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ijms241915010/s1.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization: M.C. and O.P.; data elaboration and collection, L.D.P., M.C., M.B., B.M. and A.O.; manuscript editing, L.D.P., W.L., M.C., B.M., T.C., O.P. and S.S. All authors contributed to the discussion and revision of the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This study was partially supported by the FIR 2021 funds (Cagliari, Italy) to T.C. and the "Linea D.1–D.3.1" funds from the Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore (Rome, Italy) to L.D.P., W.L., and O.P.

**Data Availability Statement:** All data reported in this manuscript are shown in the results section and further supported by the extended datasets provided in the supplementary files. No new primary datasets to be deposited have been generated.

Acknowledgments: We thank Luca Pagani (Università di Padova) for their useful advice on adaptative introgression.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# References

- 1. Cabras, T.; Iavarone, F.; Manconi, B.; Olianas, A.; Sanna, M.T.; Castagnola, M.; Messana, I. Top-down analytical platforms for the characterization of the human salivary proteome. *Bioanalysis* **2014**, *6*, 563–581. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bandhakavi, S.; Stone, M.D.; Onsongo, G.; Van Riper, S.K.; Griffin, T.J. A Dynamic Range Compression and Three-Dimensional Peptide Fractionation Analysis Platform Expands Proteome Coverage and the Diagnostic Potential of Whole Saliva. *J. Proteome Res.* 2009, *8*, 5590–5600. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Vila, T.; Rizk, A.M.; Sultan, A.S.; Jabra-Rizk, M.A. The power of saliva: Antimicrobial and beyond. *PLoS Pathog.* **2019**, *15*, e1008058. [CrossRef]
- 4. Ngo, L.H.; Veith, P.D.; Chen, Y.Y.; Chen, D.; Darby, I.B.; Reynolds, E.C. Mass Spectrometric Analyses of Peptides and Proteins in Human Gingival Crevicular Fluid. *J. Proteome Res.* **2010**, *9*, 1683–1693. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Boroumand, M.; Olianas, A.; Cabras, T.; Manconi, B.; Fanni, D.; Faa, G.; Desiderio, C.; Messana, I.; Castagnola, M. Saliva, a bodily fluid with recognized and potential diagnostic applications. J. Sep. Sci. 2021, 44, 3677–3690. [CrossRef]
- 6. Beeley, J.A. Basic proline-rich proteins: Multifunctional defence molecules? Oral Dis. 2012, 7, 69–70. [CrossRef]
- 7. Hajishengallis, G.; Russell, M.W. Innate Humoral Defense Factors. Mucosal Immunol. 2015, 1, 251–270. [CrossRef]
- 8. Lyons, K.M.; Azen, E.A.; Goodman, P.A.; Smithies, O. Many protein products from a few loci: Assignment of human salivary proline-rich proteins to specific loci. *Genetics* **1988**, *120*, 255–265. [CrossRef]
- Padiglia, A.; Orrù, R.; Boroumand, M.; Olianas, A.; Manconi, B.; Sanna, M.T.; Desiderio, C.; Iavarone, F.; Liori, B.; Messana, I.; et al. Extensive Characterization of the Human Salivary Basic Proline-Rich Protein Family by Top-Down Mass Spectrometry. J. Proteome Res. 2018, 17, 3292–3307. [CrossRef]
- Manconi, B.; Castagnola, M.; Cabras, T.; Olianas, A.; Vitali, A.; Desiderio, C.; Sanna, M.T.; Messana, I. The intriguing heterogeneity of human salivary proline-rich proteins. J. Proteom. 2016, 134, 47–56. [CrossRef]
- 11. Lyons, K.M.; Stein, J.H.; Smithies, O. Length polymorphisms in human proline-rich protein genes generated by intragenic unequal crossing over. *Genetics* **1988**, *120*, 267–278. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Azen, E.A.; Amberger, E.; Fisher, S.; Prakobphol, A.; Niece, R.L. PRB1, PRB2, and PRB4 coded polymorphisms among human salivary concanavalin-A binding, II-1, and Po proline-rich proteins. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **1966**, *58*, 143–153.
- Messana, I.; Cabras, T.; Pisano, E.; Sanna, M.T.; Olianas, A.; Manconi, B.; Pellegrini, M.; Paludetti, G.; Scarano, E.; Fiorita, A.; et al. Trafficking and Postsecretory Events Responsible for the Formation of Secreted Human Salivary Peptides: A Proteomics Approach. *Mol. Cell. Proteom.* 2008, 7, 911–926. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Jensen, J.L.; Lamkin, M.S.; Troxler, R.F.; Oppenheim, F.G. Multiple forms of statherin in human salivary secretions. *Arch. Oral Biol.* **1991**, *36*, 529–534. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Inzitari, R.; Cabras, T.; Rossetti, D.V.; Fanali, C.; Vitali, A.; Pellegrini, M.; Paludetti, G.; Manni, A.; Giardina, B.; Messana, I.; et al. Detection in human saliva of different statherin and P-B fragments and derivatives. *Proteomics* 2006, 6, 6370–6379. [CrossRef]
- Cabras, T.; Inzitari, R.; Fanali, C.; Scarano, E.; Patamia, M.; Sanna, M.T.; Pisano, E.; Giardina, B.; Castagnola, M.; Messana, I. HPLC–MS characterization of cyclo-statherin Q-37, a specific cyclization product of human salivary statherin generated by transglutaminase 2. *J. Sep. Sci.* 2006, 29, 2600–2608. [CrossRef]
- 17. Torres, P.; Castro, M.; Reyes, M.; Torres, V. Histatins, wound healing, and cell migration. Oral Dis. 2018, 24, 1150–1160. [CrossRef]
- Castagnola, M.; Inzitari, R.; Rossetti, D.V.; Olmi, C.; Cabras, T.; Piras, V.; Nicolussi, P.; Sanna, M.T.; Pellegrini, M.; Giardina, B.; et al. A Cascade of 24 Histatins (Histatin 3 Fragments) in Human Saliva: Suggestion for a Pre-Secretory Sequential Cleavage Pathway. J. Biol. Chem. 2004, 279, 41436–41443. [CrossRef]
- 19. Wang, G. Human Antimicrobial Peptides and Proteins. *Pharmaceuticals* 2014, 7, 545–594. [CrossRef]
- Dickinson, D.P. Cysteine peptidases of mammals: Their biological roles and potential effects in the oral cavity and other tissues in health and disease. Crit. Rev. Oral Biol. Med. 2022, 13, 238–275. [CrossRef]
- Manconi, B.; Liori, B.; Cabras, T.; Vincenzoni, F.; Iavarone, F.; Castagnola, M.; Messana, I.; Olianas, A. Salivary Cystatins: Exploring New Post-Translational Modifications and Polymorphisms by Top-Down High-Resolution Mass Spectrometry. *J. Proteome Res.* 2017, 16, 4196–4207. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Perry, G.H.; Dominy, N.J.; Claw, K.G.; Lee, A.S.; Fiegler, H.; Redon, R.; Werner, J.; Villanea, F.A.; Mountain, J.L.; Misra, R.; et al. Diet and the evolution of human amylase gene copy number variation. *Nat. Genet.* **2007**, *39*, 1256–1260. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Polley, S.; Louzada, S.; Forni, D.; Sironi, M.; Balaskas, T.; Hains, D.S.; Yang, F.; Hollox, E.J. Evolution of the rapidly mutating human salivary agglutinin gene (DMBT1) and population subsistence strategy. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2015, *112*, 5105–5110. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Xu, D.; Pavlidis, P.; Taskent, R.O.; Alachiotis, N.; Flanagan, C.; DeGiorgio, M.; Blekhman, R.; Ruhl, S.; Gokcumen, O. Archaic Hominin Introgression in Africa Contributes to Functional Salivary MUC7 Genetic Variation. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 2017, 34, 2704–2715. [CrossRef]
- Xu, D.; Pavlidis, P.; Thamadilok, S.; Redwood, E.; Fox, S.; Blekhman, R.; Ruhl, S.; Gokcumen, O. Recent evolution of the salivary mucin MUC7. Sci. Rep. 2016, 6, 31791. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Thamadilok, S.; Choi, K.S.; Ruhl, L.; Schulte, F.; Kazim, A.L.; Hardt, M.; Gokcumen, O.; RuhL, S. Human and Nonhuman Primate Lineage-Specific Footprints in the Salivary Proteome. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **2020**, *37*, 395–405. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Edwards, A.W.F. The Genetical Theory of Natural Selection. Genetics 2000, 154, 1419–1426. [CrossRef]
- 28. Lynch, M. Rate, molecular spectrum, and consequences of human mutation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2010, 107, 961–968. [CrossRef]
- 29. Marcus, J.H.; Novembre, J. Visualizing the geography of genetic variants. *Bioinformatics* **2017**, *33*, 594–595. [CrossRef]
- 30. Yi, X.; Liang, Y.; Huerta-Sanchez, E.; Jin, X.; Cuo, Z.X.; Pool, J.E.; Xu, X.; Jiang, H.; Vinckenbosch, N.; Korneliussen, T.S.; et al. Sequencing of 50 human exomes reveals adaptation to high altitude. *Science* **2010**, *329*, 75–78. [CrossRef]
- 31. Tajima, F. Statistical method for testing the neutral mutation hypothesis by DNA polymorphism. *Genetics* **1989**, *123*, 585–595. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Skoglund, P.; Jakobsson, M. Archaic human ancestry in East Asia. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2011**, *108*, 18301–18306. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sankararaman, S.; Mallick, S.; Patterson, N.; Reich, D. The Combined Landscape of Denisovan and Neanderthal Ancestry in Present-Day Humans. *Curr. Biol.* 2016, 26, 1241–1247. [CrossRef]
- 34. Racimo, F.; Marnetto, D.; Huerta-Sánchez, E. Signatures of Archaic Adaptive Introgression in Present-Day Human Populations. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **2017**, *34*, 296–317. [CrossRef]
- Jagoda, E.; Lawson, D.J.; Wall, J.D.; Lambert, D.; Muller, C.; Westaway, M.; Leavesley, M.; Capellini, T.D.; Mirazón Lahr, M.; Gerbault, P.; et al. Disentangling Immediate Adaptive Introgression from Selection on Standing Introgressed Variation in Humans. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 2018, 35, 623–630. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Vernot, B.; Akey, J.M. Resurrecting surviving Neandertal lineages from modern human genomes. *Science* 2014, 343, 1017–1021. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Weyrich, L.S.; Duchene, S.; Soubrier, J.; Arriola, L.; Llamas, B.; Breen, J.; Morris, A.G.; Alt, K.W.; Caramelli, D.; Dresely, V.; et al. Neanderthal behaviour, diet, and disease inferred from ancient DNA in dental calculus. *Nature* 2017, 544, 357–361. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 38. El Zaatari, S.; Grine, F.E.; Ungar, P.S.; Hublin, J.J. Neandertal versus Modern Human Dietary Responses to Climatic Fluctuations. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0153277. [CrossRef]
- Cornejo Ulloa, P.; van der Veen, M.H.; Krom, B.P. Review: Modulation of the oral microbiome by the host to promote ecological balance. *Odontology* 2019, 107, 437–448. [CrossRef]
- 40. Lamont, R.J.; Jenkinson, H.F. Subgingival colonization by Porphyromonas gingivalis. *Oral Microbiol. Immunol.* **2000**, *15*, 341–349. [CrossRef]
- Laputková, G.; Schwartzová, V.; Bánovčin, J.; Alexovič, M.; Sabo, J. Salivary Protein Roles in Oral Health and as Predictors of Caries Risk. Open Life Sci. 2018, 13, 174–200. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Lynge Pedersen, A.M.; Belstrøm, D. The role of natural salivary defences in maintaining a healthy oral microbiota. *J. Dent.* 2019, 80, S3–S12. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Chen, W.; Jiang, Q.; Yan, G.; Yang, D. The oral microbiome and salivary proteins influence caries in children aged 6 to 8 years. BMC Oral Health **2020**, 20, 295. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cabras, T.; Melis, M.; Castagnola, M.; Padiglia, A.; Tepper, B.J.; Messana, I.; Tomassini Barbarossa, I. Responsiveness to 6-n-Propylthiouracil (PROP) Is Associated with Salivary Levels of Two Specific Basic Proline-Rich Proteins in Humans. *PLoS ONE* 2012, 7, e30962. [CrossRef]
- 45. Rodrigues, L.; Costa, G.; Cordeiro, C.; Pinheiro, C.; Amado, F.; Lamy, E. Salivary proteome and glucose levels are related with sweet taste sensitivity in young adults. *Food Nutr. Res.* **2017**, *61*, 1389208. [CrossRef]
- 46. Stolle, T.; Grondinger, F.; Dunkel, A.; Meng, C.; Médard, G.; Kuster, B.; Hofmann, T. Salivary Proteome Patterns Affecting Human Salt Taste Sensitivity. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2017**, *65*, 9275–9286. [CrossRef]
- 47. Scinska-Bienkowska, A.; Wrobel, E.; Turzynska, D.; Bidzinski, A.; Jezewska, E.; Sienkiewicz-Jarosz, H.; Golembiowska, K.; Kostowski, W.; Kukwa, A.; Plaznik, A.; et al. Glutamate concentration in whole saliva and taste responses to monosodium glutamate in humans. *Nutr. Neurosci.* **2006**, *9*, 25–31. [CrossRef]
- 48. Méjean, C.; Morzel, M.; Neyraud, E.; Issanchou, S.; Martin, C.; Bozonnet, S.; Urbano, C.; Schlich, P.; Hercberg, S.; Péneau, S.; et al. Salivary Composition Is Associated with Liking and Usual Nutrient Intake. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0137473. [CrossRef]
- 49. Morzel, M.; Chabanet, C.; Schwartz, C.; Lucchi, G.; Ducoroy, P.; Nicklaus, S. Salivary protein profiles are linked to bitter taste acceptance in infants. *Eur. J. Pediatr.* 2014, 173, 575–582. [CrossRef]
- 50. Perry, G.H.; Kistler, L.; Kelaita, M.A.; Sams, A.J. Insights into hominin phenotypic and dietary evolution from ancient DNA sequence data. *J. Hum. Evol.* 2015, 79, 55–63. [CrossRef]

- 51. Green, R.E.; Krause, J.; Briggs, A.W.; Maricic, T.; Stenzel, U.; Kircher, M.; Patterson, N.; Li, H.; Zhai, W.; Fritz, M.H.; et al. A Draft Sequence of the Neandertal Genome. *Science* **2010**, *328*, 710–722. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 52. Burbano, H.A.; Hodges, E.; Green, R.E.; Briggs, A.W.; Krause, J.; Meyer, M.; Good, J.M.; Maricic, T.; Johnson, P.L.; Xuan, Z.; et al. Targeted Investigation of the Neandertal Genome by Array-Based Sequence Capture. *Science* 2010, *328*, 723–725. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 53. Bode, W.; Engh, R.; Musil, D.; Thiele, U.; Huber, R.; Karshikov, A.; Brzin, J.; Kos, J.; Turk, V. The 2.0 A X-ray crystal structure of chicken egg white cystatin and its possible mode of interaction with cysteine proteinases. *EMBO J.* **1988**, *7*, 2593–2599. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Mednikova, B.B. A Proximal Pedal Phalanx of a Paleolithic Hominin from Denisova Cave, Altai. *Archaeol. Ethnol. Anthropol. Eurasia* **2011**, *39*, 129–138. [CrossRef]
- 55. Meyer, M.; Kircher, M.; Gansauge, M.T.; Li, H.; Racimo, F.; Mallick, S.; Schraiber, J.G.; Jay, F.; Prüfer, K.; de Filippo, C.; et al. A high-coverage genome sequence from an archaic Denisovan individual. *Science* **2012**, *338*, 222–226. [CrossRef]
- 56. Prüfer, K.; de Filippo, C.; Grote, S.; Mafessoni, F.; Korlević, P.; Hajdinjak, M.; Vernot, B.; Skov, L.; Hsieh, P.; Peyrégne, S.; et al. A high-coverage Neandertal genome from Vindija Cave in Croatia. *Science* 2017, 358, 655–658. [CrossRef]
- 57. Prüfer, K.; Racimo, F.; Patterson, N.; Jay, F.; Sankararaman, S.; Sawyer, S.; Heinze, A.; Renaud, G.; Sudmant, P.H.; de Filippo, C.; et al. The complete genome sequence of a Neanderthal from the Altai Mountains. *Nature* **2014**, *505*, 43–49. [CrossRef]
- 58. Mafessoni, F.; Grote, S.; de Filippo, C.; Slon, V.; Kolobova, K.A.; Viola, B.; Markin, S.V.; Chintalapati, M.; Peyrégne, S.; Skov, L.; et al. A high-coverage Neandertal genome from Chagyrskaya Cave. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2020, 117, 15132–15136. [CrossRef]
- 59. Robinson, J.T.; Thorvaldsdóttir, H.; Winckler, W.; Guttman, M.; Lander, E.S.; Getz, G.; Mesirov, J.P. Integrative genomics viewer. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2011**, *29*, 24–26. [CrossRef]
- 60. Thorvaldsdottir, H.; Robinson, J.T.; Mesirov, J.P. Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV): High-performance genomics data visualization and exploration. *Brief. Bioinform.* **2013**, *14*, 178–192. [CrossRef]
- 61. Robinson, J.T.; Thorvaldsdóttir, H.; Wenger, A.M.; Zehir, A.; Mesirov, J.P. Variant Review with the Integrative Genomics Viewer. *Cancer Res.* **2017**, *77*, e31–e34. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 62. Ng, P.C. SIFT: Predicting amino acid changes that affect protein function. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2003**, *31*, 3812–3814. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 63. Pfeifer, B.; Alachiotis, N.; Pavlidis, P.; Schimek, M.G. Genome scans for selection and introgression based on k-nearest neighbour techniques. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 2020, 20, 1597–1609. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 64. Bhatia, G.; Patterson, N.; Pasaniuc, B.; Zaitlen, N.; Genovese, G.; Pollack, S.; Mallick, S.; Myers, S.; Tandon, A.; Spencer, C.; et al. Genome-wide comparison of African-ancestry populations from CARe and other cohorts reveals signals of natural selection. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **2011**, *89*, 368–381. [CrossRef]
- 65. Danecek, P.; Auton, A.; Abecasis, G.; Albers, C.A.; Banks, E.; DePristo, M.A.; Handsaker, R.E.; Lunter, G.; Marth, G.T.; Sherry, S.T.; et al. The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics* **2011**, *27*, 2156–2158. [CrossRef]
- Purcell, S.; Neale, B.; Todd-Brown, K.; Thomas, L.; Ferreira, M.A.; Bender, D.; Maller, J.; Sklar, P.; de Bakker, P.I.; Daly, M.J.; et al. PLINK: A tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 2007, *81*, 559–575. [CrossRef]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.