A theoretical and experimental study of the effects of NaCl and the competitive chemisorption of ions at the surface sites in the context of galena flotation

Anna M. Nowosielska†, Aleksandar N. Nikoloski† and Drew F. Parsons*‡

†College of Science, Health, Engineering and Education, Murdoch University, 90 South St, Murdoch, WA 6150, Australia

‡Department of Chemical and Geological Sciences, University of Cagliari, Cittadella Universitaria, 09042 Monserrato, CA, **Italy**

Keywords: Flotation, Galena, Bubble, Zeta potential, Chemisorption

Main Findings:

- The recovery of galena improves as a result of NaCl addition.
- Our galena surface complexation model is a "two-site / not amphoteric" model.
- The theoretical predictions of the models support the experimental results.
- The decreasing repulsion at higher ionic strengths corresponds to a higher galena recovery.

^{*}Electronic mail: drew.parsons@unica.it

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT



A: Lower ionic strength

1 repulsion

 \uparrow particle-bubble separation

↓ recovery

B: Higher ionic strength

 \downarrow repulsion

 \downarrow particle-bubble separation

1 recovery

ABSTRACT

1

2

In this study we have investigated the effects of increasing the NaCl concentration on the
flotation of galena. Experiments were carried out using a Hallimond tube in NaCl solutions with
concentrations of 1, 10 and 100 mM, at pH 9. It was found that the recovery of galena improved
for higher NaCl concentrations.

Zeta potential measurements made on galena particles conditioned in NaCl solutions were 7 used to calibrate the parameters for describing a chemisorption model representing charge 8 regulation at the galena binding sites. Our galena chemisorption model presented here is a 9 "two-site/not amphoteric" surface complexation model. A chemisorption model representing 10 the charge regulation process on the surface of an air bubble has also been applied. The zeta 11 potentials calculated using these models were in agreement with the measured values, 12 indicating that these models can be applied to predict the potentials on the surface of galena 13 and an air bubble for a range of NaCl concentrations and pH. 14

In order to investigate the mechanisms of particle-bubble interactions for each NaCl 15 concentration, the total interaction free energy as a function of the separation distance 16 between galena and an air bubble was determined. It was found that at a NaCl concentration 17 of 1 mM, due to adsorption of ions at the galena surface, repulsion dominated the interaction 18 and the lowest galena recovery was reported. With an increase in NaCl concentration in 19 solution to 100 mM, the total interaction between galena and an air bubble was represented 20 21 by a purely attractive total interaction free energy curve. The theoretical predictions of the 22 models supported the experimental results, with a stronger attraction predicted by the models at higher ionic concentrations, corresponding to a higher galena recovery during flotation. 23

24 1. INTRODUCTION

Froth flotation is one of the most successful and efficient techniques used in the mineral 25 industry for separating minerals from gangue. The process involves adding mineral particles to 26 a solution to form an aqueous pulp and aerating this with air bubbles, which results in the 27 formation of a particle-bubble aggregate that can rise to the surface of the pulp. The selectivity 28 of the process has been shown to strongly depend on control of the surface forces involved in 29 the interactions (Pineres and Barraza, 2011). The thinning and rupture of the intervening water 30 film between a particle and an air bubble, which is necessary for a successful attachment, is 31 mainly controlled by the surface forces. In order to predict the flotation behaviour, many 32 investigations have focused on understanding of these surface forces during the interaction. 33

The DLVO theory, named after Derjaguin and Landau (1941), Verwey and Overbeek (1948), 34 formulates the classical theory of colloidal dispersions. This theory incorporates two 35 components - the attractive van der Waals force and the repulsive ionic diffuse layer force. The 36 magnitude of the van der Waals force is governed by a Hamaker constant calculated for the 37 interaction and is expressed in terms of the separation distance between the two interacting 38 objects. The ionic diffuse layer force, on the other hand, is determined by the surface chemistry 39 and therefore will be significantly affected by any changes to the surface potential or the 40 electrolyte concentration. This work studies the effects of the ionic concentration in froth 41 flotation of galena, therefore, understanding the contribution from the ionic diffuse layer force 42 is an important objective. 43

This is important as, due to the scarcity of fresh water, more flotation plants around the world are looking into utilising seawater or recycled water for mineral processing. Using water which contains high electrolyte concentrations can be beneficial to mineral recovery. However, the process becomes more complex, mainly due to the differences in chemistry between salt water and fresh water. The mechanisms involved in the process are still not well understood, underlining the need for further research.

A number of studies have reported that high electrolyte concentration in water has a positive
 effect on mineral recovery (Castro et al.,2013; Hencer et al.,2001; Lucay et al.,2015; Ramos et
 al.,2013; Smith and Heyes,2012). This has been mainly attributed to increased particle-bubble

attachment efficiency and a decreased bubble coalescence. The increased attachment
efficiency has also been linked to a reduction of particle and bubble zeta potentials, resulting
from the compression of the ionic diffuse layers at higher electrolyte concentrations.

The aim of this study was to explore the effects of NaCl concentration on the flotation of galena. We measured the recovery of galena by micro-flotation experiments at different ionic concentrations. Here we present a theoretical investigation of the galena/air bubble interactions for the different NaCl concentrations, supported by the experimental measurements of galena zeta potentials.

61

62 2. EXPERIMENTAL

63 2.1 Minerals and Reagents

The mineral tested was galena (>99% PbS). The galena specimen was from an unknown
location. Deionised water was used in all experiments. Analytical grade sodium chloride (NaCl)
was used in each test. Analytical grade sodium hydroxide (NaOH) was used to regulate the pH.
The particle size distribution for the galena feed was determined by laser diffraction (Microtrac
S3500) and is depicted in Figure 2. The results indicate that P₈₀ of the galena sample was 80µm.
Figure 3 shows the Scanning Electron Microscopy/Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy
(SEM/EDX) analysis of the feed material.

71

2.2 Zeta Potential Measurements and the Chemisorption Models for Galenaand Air Bubble

The zeta potentials of galena dispersed in 0.5, 1, 5, 10 and 100 mM NaCl solutions were measured using a Malvern Nano-ZS90 zeta potential analyser (Malvern Instruments, UK) at room temperature. All electrophoretic mobility results obtained were converted to zeta potential values using the Smoluchowski equation. The measurements involved the freshly ground galena particles (~ 5µm) distributed in either 0.5, 1, 5, 10 or 100 mM NaCl background solution, at a pH range of 2-10. At least 3 measurements were done at each condition, with the average values plotted in Figure 4. Figure 4 also illustrates the zeta potentials of galena
calculated using a chemisorption model describing competitive ion binding at the galena
surface. This model is represented by Eq.(1-4).

According to the galena chemisorption model, there are two separate binding sites on the surface of galena where the dissociation reactions take place, represented as: (1) - a negative galena site X^- (neutral when H⁺ is bound):

$$XH \stackrel{pK_{H_1}}{\longleftrightarrow} X^- + H^+$$
(1)

$$XNa \stackrel{pK_{Na}}{\longleftrightarrow} X^{-} + Na^{+}$$
(2)

and, (2) - a neutral galena site X (positive when H^+ is bound):

$$XH^{+} \stackrel{pK_{H_{2}}}{\longleftrightarrow} X + H^{+}$$
(3)

$$XCl^{-} \stackrel{pK_{Cl}}{\longleftrightarrow} X + Cl^{-}$$
(4)

For a pH higher than the isoelectric point, the surface of galena will be negatively charged,
which is attributed to the number of dissociated type-1 sulfur sites. The neutral sites result from
positively charged lead and lead hydroxides ions adsorbing at the Stern layer onto the sulfide
sites (Fornasiero et al.,1993).

At the type-1 negative galena sites, protons (H⁺) compete with sodium (Na⁺) cations to bind directly onto the site. At the type-2 neutral galena sites, protons (H⁺) compete with chloride (Cl⁻) anions. In this model there is only single-binding of ions at each of the sites. Previous galena chemisorption model applied by Fornasiero et al.,1993 only considered a single galena site where the competitive ion binding is taking place, however, we found the two-site model presented here to be better at quantitatively predicting galena zeta potentials based on the measured zeta potentials.

For the purpose of illustrating the competitive ion binding on the surface of an air bubble, we
have applied a double-layer model of the air bubble/water interface from a study of Leroy et
al.,2012, which can be represented by Eq.(5-7). By using the measured zeta potentials of an air
bubble in various NaCl concentration from the works of Yang et al.,2001 we attempted to

optimise the model parameters in order to predict the zeta potential values at a given ionic
 concentration and pH. Figure 5 depicts the measured and calculated zeta potentials of an air
 bubble in various NaCl concentrations against pH.

105 The dissociation reactions taking place at a site on an air bubble surface are as follows:

$$XH \stackrel{pK_H}{\longleftrightarrow} X^- + H^+$$
(5)

$$XH_2^+ \stackrel{pK_{HH}}{\longleftrightarrow} XH + H^+$$
 (6)

In this study the bubble model is being applied at low ionic concentrations of 1 mM and 10 mM
and according to Leroy et al.,2012, at these concentrations it will be the chloride anion and not
the sodium cation which is able to come closer to the surface. Keeping this in mind, the model
includes the following reaction representing the adsorption of chloride (Cl⁻) on the bubble
surface:

$$XH - Cl^{-} \stackrel{pK_{HCl}}{\longleftrightarrow} XH + Cl^{-}$$
(7)

The surface complexation model for an air bubble in Eq.(5-7) is an amphoteric "one-site/two pK" model. The model indicates a bubble site that is negatively charged (X^-) , where either the single binding of protons (H^+) Eq.(5), the double-binding of protons (H^+) Eq.(6) or the double-binding of the Cl⁻ ions Eq.(7) can take place. The H⁺ ions compete with the Cl⁻ ions during the double-binding process.

The terms pK_{H_1} , pK_{H_2} and pK_H are the equilibrium constants associated with the surface adsorption of protons. In this study the equilibrium constants for the adsorption and desorption reactions of all ions were determined by least-square fitting to the measured zeta potential values for the different ionic concentrations.

120 The total surface charge (σ_s) for a given site can be calculated according to:

$$\sigma_{\rm s} = q_{\rm s} N_{\rm s} + \sum_{\rm i} q_{\rm i} \Gamma_{\rm i} \tag{8}$$

where q_s is the charge of the dissociated site, N_s is the site density (number of sites per unit area) and q_i is the ionic charge (Parsons and Salis,2019). 123 The total amount of bound charge (Γ_i) from ion i can be calculated from:

$$\Gamma_{i} = \frac{N_{s}}{A_{s}} \left[\frac{a_{i}}{K_{i}} + \sum_{j} a_{i}a_{j} \left(\frac{1}{K_{i}K_{ij}} + \frac{1}{K_{j}K_{ji}} \right) \right]$$
(9)

where K_i and K_j indicate the single-binding of ion i and j, respectively, K_{ij} represents binding of ion j to a site with ion i already bound, and K_{ji} describes a site with ion i double-binding to a site with ion j already bound.

127 A_s is a measure of the total association or in other terms, an inverse of γ_s (γ_s being a fraction 128 of fully dissociated surface sites) and can be written as:

$$A_{s} = \frac{1}{\gamma_{s}} = 1 + \sum_{m} \frac{a_{m}}{K_{m}} \left(1 + \sum_{n} \frac{a_{n}}{K_{mn}} \right)$$
 (10)

The term a_i does not describe the surface activity of an ion, rather it corresponds to the "partial
ion activity" at a particular concentration, and according to Parsons and Salis,2015 and 2019,
is quantified by:

$$a_{i} = c_{i}^{\text{bulk}} e^{-q_{i}\psi_{0}/_{kT}}$$
(11)

132 where c_i^{bulk} is the concentration of ion i in the bulk, ψ_0 is the electrostatic potential at the

133 surface, **k** is the Boltzmann constant (1.3806 x 10^{-23} J/K) and **T** is the temperature (298 K).

TABLE 1. Parameters used to fit the chemisorption model for galena calibrated using the measured zeta potentials (galena zeta potentials in 10 mM NaCl).

PARAMETER	VALUE
N _s negative (sites m ⁻²)	1.519 x 10 ¹⁶
N₅ neutral (sites m ⁻²)	2.765 x 10 ¹⁶
рК _{н1}	5.265
рК _{H2}	2.393
рК _{Na}	-2.376
рК _С	7.911

TABLE 2. Parameters used to fit the chemisorption model for an air bubble calibrated using the measured
bubble zeta potentials in 10 mM NaCl, taken from Yang et al.,2001.

PARAMETER	VALUE
N₅ (sites m ⁻²)	4.676 x 10 ¹⁶
рК _н	5.812
рК _{нн}	2.984
рК _{НСІ}	1.962

The model parameters presented in Table 2 are in good agreement with the ones reported by 134 Leroy et al.,2012 (pK_H = 5.06, pK_{HH} = 2.54, pK_{HCl} = 1.8). The value of the site density (N_s), 135 however, is in one order of magnitude lower than the value reported by Leroy et al., 2012 ($N_s =$ 136 3.8 x 10¹⁷ sites m⁻²). In their calculations, these authors assumed that the electrical potential at 137 the outer Helmholtz plane is equal to the zeta potential, indicating that the slipping plane is 138 located at the outer Helmholtz plane of the diffuse layer at the gas/water interface, hence 139 possible discrepancies in the parameter values obtained. 140

141

2.3 Micro-Flotation Experiments 142

The micro-flotation tests of the dispersed galena were performed in a Hallimond tube with air 143 as the bubble source. Shortly prior to the experiments a small amount of the feed was dry-144 ground using a ceramic mortar and pestle. After each experiment, the galena feed was stored 145 in a freezer to reduce oxidation. Each micro-flotation experiment consisted of 2 grams of 146 galena particles suspended in 250 mL of test solution. The test solution was prepared using 147 the deionised water and contained either 1, 10 or 100 mM NaCl. The pH was adjusted to 9 using 148 NaOH. This pulp was then transferred to a Hallimond tube, agitated and conditioned for 3 149 minutes. It was aerated for 1, 3 and 7 minutes with air at a flow rate of 60 mL/min. The froth 150 concentrates and the tailings were recovered and weighed after filtration and drying. For each 151 test the recovery of galena was calculated by dividing the mass of the froth concentrate by the 152 combined mass of the froth concentrate and the tailings and is presented as a percentage of 153

recovery. Any mass of the salt precipitation after drying was not included in the recovery calculations, as it was assumed insignificant at the 1 and 10 mM NaCl concentrations. After filtration, the concentrates and the tailings from the 100 mM experiments were washed using deionised water to remove any possible salt from the solid samples once dried. For this reason, at the 100 mM NaCl concentration, all the salt was assumed to be washed out of the samples, hence, was not considered in the galena recovery calculations.

160

161 2.4 Total Interaction Free Energy and the DLVO Theory

The DLVO theory describes the total interaction energy between two objects in terms of a balance of the attractive and repulsive contributions to the total free energy. However, for NaCl concentrations higher than 100 mM, the ionic diffuse layer force is screened, leaving the van der Waals force as the dominant factor in the interaction. The total interaction free energy (F_{tot}) can be broken down into four individual contributions:

$$F_{tot} = F_{el} + F_{en} + F_{vdW} + F_{chem}$$
(12)

Fel represents the direct electrostatic energy due to surface and electrolyte charges, Fen is the 167 contribution due to the entropy (osmotic energy) of ions physisorbed near the surface. The 168 term F_{vdW} represents the van der Waals interactions characterised by the Hamaker constant 169 for the system and F_{chem} is the chemisorption free energy resulting from ion binding (Parsons 170 and Salis, 2019), also known as charge regulation. The electrostatic energy F_{el} is determined by 171 the electrostatic potential $\psi(z)$ generated by physisorbed ions (the so-called electric double 172 layer) and by the surface charge (Eq.8), where z is the position of the ion (its distance from the 173 galena surface) (Parsons and Ninham, 2012): 174

175
$$F_{el} = \frac{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon}{2} \int_0^L \left(\frac{d\psi}{dz}\right)^2 dz$$
(13)

and L represents the separation distance between the two interacting surfaces.

The entropic energy F_{en} is generated by the concentration profiles $c_i(z)$ of the adsorbed ions, according to the following:

179
$$F_{en} = kT \sum_{i} \int_{0}^{L} dz \left\{ c_{i}(z) ln \frac{c_{i}(z)}{c_{i0}} - c_{i}(z) + c_{i0} \right\}$$
(14)

where c_{i0} is the bulk activity of ion i (Parsons and Ninham,2012). A more detailed description of the term F_{chem} from Eq.(12) can be found in the studies of Parsons and Salis,2015 and 2019. While the chemisorption contributions to the total free energy are mainly influenced by the surface charge and the concentration and distribution of ions in the system, the nonelectrostatic van der Waals interactions F_{vdW} are characterised by a Hamaker constant (A₁₃₂), with

$$F_{vdW} = \frac{-A_{132}}{12\pi d^2}$$
(15)

The Hamaker constant characterises the interaction between sphere 1 (particle) and sphere 2 (air bubble) immersed in aqueous medium 3 (aqueous solution). Using Lifshitz theory, the Hamaker constant can be calculated from the frequency dependent dielectric properties (optical spectra) of the continuous phases (Lee et al.,2002; Bergström,1997). The non-retarded Hamaker constant (A_{132}) for galena (1) and a bubble (2) interacting in an aqueous solution (3) can be approximated as:

$$A_{132} = \frac{3kT}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\Delta_{13}\Delta_{23})^s}{s^3}$$
(16)

- The prime on the first summation indicates that when n=0 (the static contribution) the value ismultiplied by 0.5 (Takagishi et al.,2019).
- **192** The quantity in Eq.(16) is a reflection coefficient, defined as:

$$\Delta_{kl} = \frac{\varepsilon_k(i\zeta_m) - \varepsilon_l(i\zeta_m)}{\varepsilon_k(i\zeta_m) + \varepsilon_l(i\zeta_m)}$$
(17)

which describes the difference in the dielectric response function of material \mathbf{k} and \mathbf{l} , respectively. In Lifshitz theory, it is evaluated at the imaginary frequency ($\mathbf{i}\zeta_{m}$), where

$$\zeta_{\rm m} = {\rm m} \frac{4\pi^2 {\rm k} T}{{\rm h}} \tag{18}$$

Here **h** is the Planck constant (6.626 x 10^{-34} J/K), **k** is the Boltzmann constant, **m** is an integer (0,1,2,3,4...) and **T** is the absolute temperature. At room temperature, ζ_m are sampled at integral multiples of 2.4 x 10^{14} rad/s.

198 We apply a model for the dielectric function of galena provided by Bergström, 1997, using

$$\varepsilon(i\zeta_{\rm m}) = 1 + \frac{C_{\rm UV}}{1 + \left(\frac{\zeta_{\rm m}}{\omega_{\rm UV}}\right)^2} + \frac{C_{\rm IR}}{1 + \left(\frac{\zeta_{\rm m}}{\omega_{\rm IR}}\right)^2}$$
(19)

199 Optical parameters C_{UV} and C_{IR} are the adsorption strengths in the UV and IR range, and for a 200 cubic galena these values are 15.04 and 153, respectively. For a cubic galena, the terms ω_{UV} 201 and ω_{IR} , which represent the adsorption frequencies in the UV and the IR range, have a value 202 of 0.167 (10¹⁶ rad/s) and 0.14 (10¹⁴ rad/s), respectively (Bergström,1997). For water we used 203 Dagastine's numerical data (Dagastine et al.,2000). The dielectric function of air is taken to be 204 simply $\varepsilon_{air} = 1$. In this study, the Hamaker constant for the interaction between galena and an 205 air bubble in water was calculated to be 6.9984 x 10⁻²¹ J.

This approach provides a numerical calculation of the full total interaction free energy including charge regulation, and contrasts with an "approximate" analytical formula commonly used, one which assumes constant potential conditions. In this work we attempted to differentiate between the two methods and the results are shown in Figure 8. Generally speaking, the free energy of charge regulation (F_{chem}) is the key difference between the two methods and provides stronger repulsion at short-mid range distances of around one Debye length.

The Debye length characterizes the screening distance of the electrostatic force, or in other words, the thickness of the electrical double layer denoted as (κ^{-1}) , and is defined as:

$$\kappa^{-1} = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_{\rm r} \varepsilon_0 kT}{e^2 \sum_{\rm i} \rho_{\rm i}^{\infty} z_{\rm i}^2}}$$
(20)

where ε_0 is the permittivity of free space, ε_r is the dielectric constant of the medium, **k** is the Boltzmann constant, **T** is the absolute temperature, **e** is the electronic charge, ρ_i is the number density of ion i and z_i is the ion valency. According to Eq.(20), the Debye length will decrease monotonically as the ion concentration increases and will continue to decrease to a point
where no long-range electrostatic forces will be expected in a concentrated electrolyte (Smith
et al.,2016).

221

222 2.4.1 The Poisson-Boltzmann model

The total free energy, (Eq.12), develops as a result of ion adsorption (the electric double layer) at the surface, forming ion concentration profiles $c_i(z)$. Ions adsorb primarily in response to the electrostatic potential $\psi(z)$. Assuming that each ion is in equilibrium with the bulk solution, the concentration profile is formed as a Boltzmann distribution determined by the electrostatic energy of the ion:

$$c_{i}(z) = c_{i\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{Z_{i}e\psi(z)}{kT}\right)$$
(21)

where k is the Boltzmann constant, T is the temperature and $c_{i\infty}$ is the bulk number concentration of all of the ions.

The electrostatic potential $\psi(z)$ is determined by the Poisson equation (the first of Maxwell's equations):

$$\frac{d^2}{dz^2}\psi(z) = -\frac{e}{\epsilon\epsilon_0}\sum_i Z_i c_{i\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{Z_i e\psi(z)}{kT}\right)$$
(22)

where **e** is the elementary charge and Z_i is the valency of the corresponding ion. ε and ε_0 are the dielectric constant of the medium and the permittivity of free space. Solving for $c_i(z)$ and $\psi(z)$ simultaneously, Eq.(21) and Eq.(22) together, form the nonlinear Poisson-Boltzmann model.

Solving the Poisson equation Eq.(21), however, requires defining the boundary conditions
relating the gradient of the electrostatic potential at the galena and bubble surfaces to their
respective surface charges, as follows:

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\Psi}{\mathrm{d}z^2}\right)_{\mathrm{surface}} = \frac{-\sigma}{\varepsilon\varepsilon_0} \tag{23}$$

Here we apply the charge regulation model, Eq.(8) to determine the surface charges of the twosurfaces.

We solve the nonlinear Poisson-Boltzmann model by finite element methods using the FEniCS software (Alnæs et al.,2015). Once $c_i(z)$ and $\psi(z)$ have been calculated, they are used to determine the total interaction free energy Eq.(12), between galena and an air bubble, both represented as flat planes. Finally, the Derjaguin approximation (Derjaguin,1934) is applied to convert the flat-plane interaction energy F(d) into a force f(d) between two spherical particles:

$$f(d) = 2\pi \left(\frac{R_1 R_2}{R_1 + R_2}\right) F(d)$$
 (24)

where R_1 and R_2 are the radii of the two particles (galena particle and air bubble), respectively.

248 Figure 1 illustrates the sequence of steps required to perform the calculations presented in this

249 study.



Figure 1. The calculation/optimization sequence implemented in the study.

- **250** 1. Zeta potentials are measured as a function of pH and the ionic concentration.
- 251 2. The measured zeta potentials from Step 1 are used to calibrate the charge regulation 252 parameters of our model, determining the charge-regulated surface charge (σ_s) in 253 Eq.(8). The charge regulation parameters are fitted by least-square difference to 254 minimize the difference between the measured zeta potentials and the surface 255 potentials calculated by the PB model for galena and air bubble surfaces, taken 256 separately.
- Using the charge regulation parameters from Step 2 and the PB model from Eq.(21) and
 Eq.(22), the potential and the ion concentration profiles for galena and air bubble
 interacting at various separation distances (d) are calculated.
- Using the electrostatic potentials and the ion concentration profiles calculated in Step
 3, the total interaction free energy between the two surfaces, separated by distance (d),
 is calculated using Eq.(12). The total free energy between two flat surfaces is then
 converted to a force between spherical particles using the Derjaguin approximation
 Eq.(24).
- 265

266 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

267 3.1 Particle Size Analysis

Figure 2 shows the particle size distribution of the galena feed. The P₈₀, which represents the
size at which 80% of the galena particles are passing was 80µm. For the purpose of calculating
the total interaction free energy between a galena particle and an air bubble, 40µm was used
as the mean radius of a galena particle and 1000µm was used as the radius of the air bubble.



Figure 2. Particle size distribution of the galena feed used in this study.

3.2 SEM/EDX Analysis

- 273 SEM/EDX analysis was conducted in order to examine the structural morphology of the galena
- feed material. The results are presented in Figure 3 below.



Figure 3. SEM/EDX analysis of galena feed (a) SEM image of galena particles and (b) corresponding EDX spectra for image (a).

- 275 The examination of the galena feed material using the SEM (Figure 3 (a)) indicated a presence
- of particles with a cubic cleavage, one of the main structural characteristics of galena (Warren

- et al.,1987). The EDX spectra (Figure 3 (b)) confirmed that the galena ore was mainly comprised
 of lead (Pb) and sulfur (S). The analysis also revealed traces of oxygen (O) in the feed sample,
 suggesting some degree of oxidation of galena surfaces, possibly attributed to the air exposure
- of the sample while being dry-ground.
- 281

282 3.3 Zeta Potential Analysis and the Chemisorption Models

The measured and calculated zeta potentials versus pH for a galena particle conditioned in
0.5, 1, 5, 10 and 100 mM NaCl solutions are presented in Figure 4.



Figure 4. Zeta potential versus pH curves for galena conditioned in 0.5, 1, 5, 10 and 100 mM NaCl solutions. Solid lines represent the measured values, dashed lines illustrate the calculated values using the galena chemisorption model. The error bars represent the standard error of the mean.

The measured zeta potentials of galena conditioned in NaCl solutions decreased from -1 to 82 mV as the pH increased. These zeta potential values were always negative indicating the

isoelectric point (IEP) is at pH < 1. A number of researchers have observed the surface of galena 287 to be negatively charged over most pH values, while others reported the (IEP) of galena to 288 occur between pH 2-8. These discrepancies in the reported (IEP) values could be attributed to 289 the complexity of oxidation of sulfide minerals (Pugh, 1988). The value of the isoelectric point 290 (IEP) is, therefore, often used to represent the level of oxidation of the sulfide mineral, where a 291 low (IEP) indicates a surface which is less oxidised, while a high (IEP) suggest heavy oxidation 292 (Das,2006). A low (IEP) of galena, reported in this study, could be attributed to the surface 293 coating of elemental sulfur (S⁰) produced by the following: 294

 $MS \rightarrow M^{2+} + S^0 + 2e$ (oxidation) and (25)

$$\frac{1}{2}O_2 + 2H^+ + 2e \to H_2O \text{ or}$$
(26)

$$2H_2O + 2e \rightarrow H_2 + 2OH^- \text{ (reduction)}$$
(27)

The oxidation of galena will result in the formation of both the elemental sulfur (S⁰) and a metal ion (Healy and Moignard,1976). Our measured zeta potentials of galena are consistent with the previously reported galena zeta potentials, indicating that a non-oxidized galena surface will be negatively charged, with the zeta potentials values close to that of elemental sulfur. Once oxidized, the zeta potential versus pH curves will become less negative due to the increased metal oxide/hydroxide species covering the surface of the mineral (Wang et al.,2017).

Figure 4 shows that the zeta potentials of galena were more negative at lower NaCl 301 concentrations, and as the NaCl concentration was increased, the zeta potentials became less 302 negative. A more negative zeta potential value indicates that the colloidal stability of galena 303 particles is greater for the lower NaCl concentrations (ie. 0.5 mM), meaning that the particles 304 are less likely to form aggregates in this solution compared to a 100 mM NaCl solution. An 305 increase in NaCl concentration causes a reduction in the Debye length and the ionic diffuse 306 layers between the particle and the solution, and as the magnitude of the zeta potential 307 decreases, the particles tend to agglomerate. The increased repulsion indicated by the more 308 negative zeta potentials at the lower NaCl concentrations will also prevent galena particles 309 from attaching onto the air bubbles, decreasing flotation efficiency (Huo et al., 2019). On the 310 other hand, for increased pH, the H⁺ concentration in solution decreases, while the cation 311

(Na⁺) and the anion (Cl⁻) concentrations remain unaffected. The calculations of the chemisorption model for galena and the measured negative zeta potentials suggest that the charge on the surface of galena is mainly regulated by the anions present in the system. The equilibrium constant for the direct binding of the anion (pK_{Cl}) has a value of 7.911 which is higher than the other constants in the model (Table 1).

Figure 5 shows the measured and calculated zeta potentials versus pH for an air bubbleconditioned in 1 and 10 mM NaCl solutions.



Figure 5. Zeta potential versus pH curves for air bubble conditioned in 1mM and 10mM of NaCl solution. Solid lines represent the measured values (Yang et al.,2001), dashed lines illustrate the calculated values using the bubble chemisorption model. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean.

In an aqueous solution, an ionic diffuse layer will also form around an air bubble. Leroy et al.,2012 reported the (IEP) of a bubble to be around pH 3.8. For example, Oliveira and Rubio,2011, have found that a bubble carries a negative charge for pH of 2 or greater when immersed in salt solutions. There have also been reports of the zeta potential of an air bubble decreasing with increasing ionic concentration, suggesting limited adsorption of Na⁺ and Cl⁻
ions near the gas/water interface (Li and Somasundran,1992).

Figure 5 presents data from Yang et al., 2001 for the calculated and measured zeta potentials of 325 326 an air bubble in NaCl solutions of different concentrations, as a function of solution pH. This 327 shows that an air bubble is positively charged at pH 2-3, with the exact value depending on the NaCl concentration. Bueno-Tokunga et al.,2015 also measured the zeta potential of an air 328 329 bubble in an aqueous solution at pH around 2 and found that in an acidic environment the bubble will carry a positive charge. According to Pineres and Barraza, 2011, these positive zeta 330 potentials at lower pH may be due to acid formation. The negative zeta potential values in the 331 alkaline range (pH > 7) could be attributed to a higher number of OH^- ions compared to H^+ 332 ions in the air/water interfaces. Yoon and Yordan,1988 proposed that this difference in ion 333 concentration might be related to the difference in enthalpies of hydration between OH⁻ ions 334 (-446.8 kJ/mol) and H⁺ ions (-1104 kJ/mol). There has, however, been an ongoing debate on 335 the nature of interactions at the air/water interface (Beattie et al., 2009). 336

337

338 3.4 Micro-Flotation Experiments

The objective of the micro-flotation experiments was to investigate the effects of NaCl on the galena recovery in the flotation process. The results from micro-flotation experiments are presented in Figure 6.



Figure 6. Recovery of galena at pH 9 as a function of flotation time at different ionic concentrations. Error bars indicate a standard error of the mean values.

From the results in Figure 6, it can be seen that the recovery of galena increased with increasing 342 NaCl concentration. At 7 minutes, the recovery for the solution containing 1 mM NaCl was 343 around 50%, compared to ~59% for the 100 mM NaCl solution. The most significant differences 344 in total galena recovery were observed within the first minute of the flotation tests, where 345 recovery for the solution with 1 mM was ~20%, compared to ~29% for the 10 mM solution and 346 ~ 38.5% for the 100 mM NaCl solution (Figure 6). A possible explanation for the higher galena 347 recoveries as salt addition is increased might be related to the induction time, which is 348 described by Nguyen and Schulze,2004, as the time needed for the intervening liquid film to 349 drain to the critical thickness for the formation of a stable particle-bubble aggregate. In more 350 concentrated electrolytes, the repulsion between a particle and an air bubble will decrease, in 351 turn decreasing the water film stability. Consequently, the time required for the intervening 352 film to rupture will decrease, leading to a faster particle-bubble attachment and recovery. The 353 observed higher recoveries of the flotation tests in NaCl solutions can also be attributed to the 354

compression of the ionic diffuse layers and an enhanced particle aggregation when therepulsive forces are reduced (Wang and Peng,2014).

According to Jeldres et al.,2016, the addition of salt ions will affect the mobility of the water molecules in salt solutions, by reducing the surface hydration. The adsorption of salt ions on the surface of the particle will disrupt of the hydration layers surrounding the particle, giving rise to stronger attractive forces between a particle and an air bubble (Klassen and Mokrousov,1963).

A possible reason for increased galena recovery at higher NaCl concentrations could also be 362 related to the air bubbles themselves. In a flotation process, frothers are often added to the 363 pulp to stabilize the froth and assist in the reduction of bubble coalescence (Ramos et al., 2013). 364 On a par with a frother, the addition of NaCl has been reported to be beneficial to the process, 365 assisting with froth stabilization as well as reducing the bubble size (Castro et al., 2013; Quinn 366 et al.,2014). During flotation, a smaller bubble size is more favourable as it leads to increased 367 collision and attachment efficiencies, resulting in an increased mineral recovery (Dobby and 368 Finch,1986). According to Craig et al.,1993b, a reduction in the bubble size at higher ionic 369 concentration can be attributed to the fact that when inorganic salt ions are added to water, 370 they seem to slow the inner-bubble drainage, thereby promoting the stability in the froth 371 phase. The addition of salt ions has been shown to inhibit bubble coalescence by increasing 372 the contact area and the attachment efficiency between the particle and air bubble (Wu et 373 al.,2016; Craig et al.,1993a; Craig et al. 1993b; Klassen and Mokrousov,1963). The foam stability 374 will increase as the dynamic processes involved in the thinning and rupture of the intervening 375 film are altered due to the increased salt concentration. Likewise, Zhang et al., 2008 suggested 376 that increasing solution salinity decreases gas solubility in the solution, causing air 377 precipitation on the mineral surfaces and leading to the formation of nano-bubbles. These 378 nano-bubbles have been shown to enhance the interactions between particles and bubbles, 379 by improving the attachment efficiency and consequently the recovery (Calgaroto et al., 2014). 380

381

383 3.5 Total Interaction Free Energy

The calculated total interaction free energies between a galena particle and an air bubble in solutions containing increasing concentrations of NaCl, at pH 9, are illustrated in Figure 7.



Figure 7. The total interaction free energy versus separation distance between galena and an air bubble in 1, 10 and 100 mM NaCl solutions, at pH 9. 40μm and 1000μm were used as the particle and bubble radii, respectively. The inset shows the secondary minimum of the galena/air bubble interaction in 1 mM NaCl solution, at pH 9, appearing at larger (>25 nm) separation distances.

In Figure 7, the energy profile for 1 mM NaCl showed an energy barrier of 1.2×10^{-15} J at around 0.5 nm separation. An energy barrier appears when repulsion exceeds attraction. At lower concentrations strong repulsion dominates the interactions at shorter separation distances. At a distances of > 25 nm, however, a secondary minimum was observed in the 1 mM energy profile, illustrated in the inset in Figure 7. This secondary minimum represents a metastable equilibrium between galena and an air bubble where attraction, although weak, dominates the interactions. This attraction at the secondary minimum is not enough to guarantee a stable 392 galena/air bubble aggregation, however. The repulsive barrier between the particles and air393 bubbles, depicted in the 1 mM energy curve in Figure 7, causes them to redisperse.

The energy profile for 10 mM NaCl also exhibits a repulsive maximum, but with a significantly smaller energy barrier of 0.1×10^{-15} J at around 0.5 nm separation. The calculated Debye lengths (κ^{-1}) in 1 mM, 10 mM and 100 mM NaCl concentrations were 9.62 nm, 3.04 nm and 0.96 nm, respectively. As the ionic concentration increases, the Debye lengths decrease and so do the heights of the energy barriers. A lower energy barrier allows the particle and bubble to come closer together, with significantly less kinetic energy required to form an attachment, resulting in an increased particle recovery, which is seen in Figure 6.

The total interaction free energy between a galena particle and an air bubble in 100 mM solution is always negative and monotonically varying with the separation distance. This increased attraction was largely attributed to the screening of the particle and bubble ionic diffuse layers such that the total interaction is mainly controlled by the attractive van der Waals interactions. The nature of this repulsion/attraction transition is discussed in more detail in a study by Trefalt et al.,2016, where this transition was shown to strongly depend on the value of the charge regulation parameter.

In the DLVO description of the system, it is not possible to vary the attractive component of the 408 interaction energy, as this value is fixed by the Hamaker constant of the interacting materials. 409 However, the degree of repulsion can be reduced by adding more ions to the system thereby 410 decreasing the screening length resulting from an increased ionic strength. The higher the salt 411 412 concentration in solution, the shorter the Debye length, meaning a shorter range of repulsion from the ion adsorption layers. Decreasing the ionic repulsion will increase the attachment 413 efficiency and result in a higher galena recovery. At the 1 mM NaCl concentration, the efficiency 414 of particle-bubble attachment is decreased by greater repulsion between galena and an air 415 bubble, arising from stronger counterion adsorption in accordance with the more negative 416 zeta potentials measured for galena (Figure 4) and an air bubble (Figure 5) at this 417 concentration. Possibly due to the long-range nature of the ionic diffuse layer forces compared 418 to the shorter-range van der Waals interactions, the efficiency of flotation at ionic 419 concentrations smaller than 10 mM was predominantly controlled by these ionic diffuse layer 420

forces. Our micro-flotation results indicated that particles and bubbles could form aggregates even at low NaCl concentrations suggesting that their kinetic energies were enough to overcome the energy barrier arising due to the repulsive ionic diffuse layer interactions, possibly aided by the existence of the secondary minimum. Due to screening of the electrostatic repulsive forces in 100 mM NaCl solution, the total interactions then become mainly dominated by the attractive van der Waals forces.

In this study, we evaluated the interaction free energy between a galena particle and an air bubble under conditions of charge regulation. An alternative "approximate" analytical method which is frequently employed and uses constant potential conditions, calculates the total interaction energy (E_{DLVO}) as:

$$E_{DLVO} = E_{vdW} + E_{el}$$
(28)

431 1. van der Waals interactions (E_{vdW})

$$E_{vdW} = -\frac{A_{132}R_{p}R_{b}}{6d(R_{p} + R_{b})}$$
(29)

432 where d is the surface to surface distance, R_p and R_b are the particle and bubble radii, 433 respectively, and A_{132} is the Hamaker constant.

434 2. Electrostatic (ionic) interactions
$$(E_{el})$$

$$E_{el} = 4\pi\epsilon_{0}\epsilon_{r}\frac{D_{p}D_{b}}{2(D_{p}+D_{b})}\left[\psi_{p}\psi_{b}e^{-\kappa H} - \frac{1}{4}(\psi_{p}^{2}+\psi_{b}^{2})e^{-2\kappa H}\right]$$
(30)

where ε_r is the dielectric constant, ε_0 is the dielectric permittivity of the medium in vacuum, κ is the reciprocal of the Debye length, and ψ_p and ψ_b are the particle and bubble surface potentials, respectively and D_p and D_b are the particle and bubble diameters, respectively.

Figure 8 compares the total interaction energy (E_{DLVO}) calculated by the "approximate" method (constant potential) against the total interaction free energy (F_{tot}) under charge regulated conditions between a galena particle and an air bubble in different NaCl concentrations, at pH 9. The radii of the galena particle and air bubble radii were 40µm and 1000µm, respectively.



Figure 8. The total interaction free energy (solid lines)-calculated using Eq.(12), and the total interaction energy E_{DLVO} (dashed lines)-calculated using Eq.(28), versus distance of separation between a galena particle and an air bubble in 1, 10 and 100 mM NaCl solutions, at pH 9.

When we compare the results produced by the two methods against each other (energy curves 443 in Figure 8), the results are not entirely consistent. The major discrepancy between the 444 methods is noticed in the lowest NaCl concentration curves. Indeed, both profiles representing 445 the interactions in 1 mM indicate an energy barrier, but the height of this barrier calculated 446 using Eq.(12) was roughly double the height of the one calculated using the "approximate" 447 method represented by Eq.(28) with the repulsion extending over a distance of about one 448 Debye length. As mentioned earlier, the van der Waals contribution to the total interaction 449 energy mainly depends on a Hamaker constant value, specific to that interaction. Changes to 450 ionic concentrations will have no effect on the van der Waals interactions. For this reason, we 451 should focus on comparing how the electrostatic (ionic) interactions are represented and 452 calculated in each method. In the expression for the electrostatic (ionic) interactions described 453 by Eq.(30), the surface potentials for a particle and an air bubble remain constant, they do not 454

change despite the changes in the separation distance. Consequently, the "approximate" method can be identified as a "constant potential" method. On the other hand, when we calculate the total interaction free energy using Eq.(12), we are taking into consideration the varying particle and bubble surface potentials. We note that these surface potential variations result from the changes in the concentration and the distributions of ions inside the diffuse layer. Subsequently, this method can be identified as a "charge-regulated potential" method.

461 One of the main objectives of this study involved studying the contributions due to the specific 462 ion binding activities at surface sites, which are represented by the term F_{chem} in Eq.(12), one 463 of the four individual components to the total interaction free energy calculations whose 464 contributions are neglected in the "approximate" analytical method. With this in mind, we 465 postulate that the underestimated energy barrier for the 1 mM energy profile, determined using 466 Eq.(28), resulted from a charge regulation process not being taken into consideration by the 467 (E_{DLVO}) analytical method.

468

469 4. CONCLUSION

This work presents new findings of the effects of different NaCl concentrations on the flotation
of galena. The micro-flotation tests were carried out at three different NaCl concentrations, at
pH 9. It was found that the recovery of galena increased with increased NaCl concentration.

473 Chemisorption models describing the local surface charge at the galena/electrolyte and
474 bubble/electrolyte interface have been identified and applied. Chemisorption parameters
475 were calibrated against the measured zeta potentials. The predicted zeta potential values were
476 in line with the measured zeta potentials.

The total interaction free energy between a galena and an air bubble for each of the three NaCl concentrations tested in this study was determined using the DLVO theory with surface charge determined based on the identified chemisorption model parameters. The repulsive forces were shown to dominate at a NaCl concentration of 1 mM, with a well-defined energy barrier at a particle-bubble separation distance of 0.5 nm. On the other hand, the galena/air bubble interactions in 10 mM NaCl solution were controlled by a significantly weaker ionic diffuse layer repulsions, indicated by a lower energy barrier as a result of an increase in NaCl concentration
in solution. The total energy calculated in 100 mM NaCl concentration suggested interactions
which are predominantly controlled by the attractive van der Waals forces. The theoretical
models appeared to be in agreement with the micro-flotation recovery results, recognising that
they may be useful in predicting the flotation behaviour of galena.

The main focus of this study was to gain a better understanding of the effects of NaCl addition
on galena recovery in flotation. Future work will involve experiments with an addition of a
collector, as well as investigations into the effects of other salt ions on the flotation of galena.

491

492 **5. REFERENCES**

- Alnæs, M., Blechta, J., Hake, J., Johansson, A., Kehlet, B., Logg, A., Richardson, C., Ring, J.,
 Rognes, M.E. and Wells, G.N. The FEniCS Project Version 1.5. Archive of Numerical
 Software, Vol.3 No.100, 2015.
- Beattie, J.K., Djerdjev, A.M. and Warr, G.G. (2009). The surface of neat water is basic. *Faraday Discussions* 141, 31-39.
- Bergström, L. (1997). Hamaker constants of inorganic materials. *Advances in Colloid and Interface Science* 70, 125-169.
- Bueno-Tokunga, A., Perez-Garibay, R. and Martinez-Carrillo, D. (2015). Zeta potential of air
 bubbles conditioned with typical froth flotation reagents. *International Journal of Mineral Processing* 140, 50-57.
- Calgaroto, S., Wilber, K.Q. and Rubio, J. (2014). On the nanobubbles interfacial properties and
 future applications in flotation. *Minerals Engineering* 60, 33-40.
- Castro, S., Miranda, C., Toledo, P. and Laskowski, J.S. (2013). Effect of frothers on bubble
 coalescence and foaming in electrolyte solutions and seawater. *International Journal of Mineral Processing* 124, 8-14.

- 508 Craig, V.S.J., Ninham, B.W. and Pashley, R.M. (1993a). Effect of electrolytes on bubble
 509 coalescence. *Nature* 364 (6435), 317-319.
- Craig, V.S.J., Ninham, B.W. and Pashley, R.M. (1993b). The effect of electrolytes on bubble
 coalescence in water. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry* 97 (39), 10192-10197.
- Dagastine, R.R., Prieve, D.C. and White, L.R. (2000). The dielectric function for water and its
 application to van der Waals forces. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science* 231, 351358.
- 515 Das, K.K. (2006). Electrokinetics of mineral particles. In Encyclopedia of Surface and Colloid
 516 Science. 2nd Ed. Columbia University, New York, USA.
- 517 Derjaguin, B. (1934). Untersuchungen über die Reibung und Adhäsion, IV. *Kolloid-Zeitschrift* 69,
 518 155-164.
- 519 Derjaguin, B.V. and Landau, L. (1941). Theory of the stability of strongly charged lyophobic sols
 520 and of the adhesion of strongly charged particles in solution of electrolytes. *Acta*521 *Physicochim. USSR* 14, 633-662.
- 522 Dobby, G.S. and Finch, J.A. (1986). Particle collection in columns-Gas rate and bubble size
 523 effects. *Canadian Metallurgical Quarterly* 27, 85-90.
- Fornasiero, D., Li, F. and Ralston, J. (1993). Oxidation of galena II. Electrokinetic Study. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science* 164, 345-354.
- Healy, T.W. and Moignard, M.S. (1976). A review of electrokinetic studies of metal sulfides. In
 Flotation-A.M. Gaudin Memorial Volume; Fuerstenau, M.C. Ed; AIME: New York, Vol 1,
 275-297.
- Hencer, M., Celik, M.S. and Miller, J.D. (2001). The significance of interfacial water structure in
 soluble salt flotation systems. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science* 235, 150-161.
- Huo, W., Zhang, X., Gan, K., Chen, Y., Xu, J. and Yang, J. (2019). Effect of zeta potential on
 properties of foamed colloidal suspension. *Journal of the European Ceramic Society* 39,
 574-583.

- Jeldres, R.I., Forbes, L. and Cisternas, L.A. (2016). Effect of Seawater on Sulfide Ore Flotation: A
 review. *Mineral Processing and Extractive Metallurgy Review* 37 (6), 369-384.
- 536 Klassen, V.I. and Mokrousov, V.A. (1963). An introduction to the theory of flotation.
 537 Butterworths, London.
- Lee, S. and Sigmund, W.M. (2002). AFM study of repulsive van der Waals forces between Teflon
 AF[™] thin film and silica or alumina. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects 204*, 43-50.
- Leroy, P., Jougnot, D., Revil, A., Lassin, A and Azaroual, M. (2012). A double-layer model of the
 gas bubble/water interface. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science* 388, 243-256.
- Li, C. and Somasundaran, P. (1992). Role of the electrical double layer forces and
 hydrophobicity in coal flotation in NaCl solutions. *Energy and Fuels* 7 (2), 244-248.
- Lucay, F., Cisternas, L.A., Galvez, E.D. and Lopez-Valdivieso, A. (2015). Study of the natural
 floatability of molybdenite fines in saline solutions. Effect of gypsum precipitation. *Minerals and Metallurgical Processing Journal* 32, 203-208.
- 548 Nguyen, A.V. and Schulze, H.J. (2004). Colloidal Science of Flotation. New York, Marcel Dekker.
- Oliveira, C. and Rubio, J. (2011). Zeta potential of single and polymer-coated microbubbles
 using an adapted microelectrophoresis technique. *International Journal of Mineral Processing* 98 (1-2), 118-123.
- Parsons, D.F. and Ninham, B.W. (2012). Nonelectrostatic ionic forces between dissimilar
 surfaces: A mechanism for colloid separation. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* 116,
 7782-7792.
- Parsons, D.F. and Salis, A. (2015). The impact of the competitive adsorption of ions at surface
 sites on surface free energies and surface forces. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* 142.
- Parsons, D.F. and Salis, A. (2019). A thermodynamic correction to the theory of competitive
 chemisorption of ions at surface sites with nonelectrostatic physisorption. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* 151.

- Pineres, J. and Barraza, J. (2011). Energy barrier of aggregates coal particle-bubble through the
 extended DLVO theory. *International Journal of Mineral Processing* 100, 14-20.
- Pugh, R.J. (1988). Surface chemical studies on sulphide flotation. Proceedings of XVI
 International Mineral Processing Congress, Stockholm, June 5-10; Forssberg, E., Ed.;
 Elsevier: Amsterdam, 751.
- Quinn, J.J., Kracht, W., Gomez, C.O., Gagnon, C. and Finch, J.A. (2014). Comparing the effect of
 salts and frother (MIBC) on gas dispersion and froth properties. *Minerals Engineering 20*,
 1296-1302.
- Ramos, O., Castro, S. and Laskowski, J.S. (2013). Copper-molybdenum ores flotation in
 seawater: floatability and frothability. *Minerals Engineering* 53, 108-112.
- Smith, A.M., Lee, A.A. and Perkin, S. (2016). The electrostatic screening length in concentrated
 electrolytes increases with concentration. *Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters* 7, 21572167.
- Smith, L.K. and Heyes, G.W. (2012). The effect of water quality on the collector-less flotation of
 chalcopyrite and bornite. *Third International Congress on Water Management in the Mining Industry*, Santiago, Chile.
- Takagishi, H., Masuda, T., Shimoda, T., Maezono, R. and Hongo, K. (2019). Method for the
 calculation of the Hamaker constants of organic materials by the Lifshitz macroscopic
 approach with Density Functional Theory. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry* 123, 87268733.
- Trefalt, G., Behrens, S.H. and Borkovec, M. (2016). Charge regulation in the Electrical Double
 Layer: Ion adsorption and surface interactions. *Langmuir* 32, 380-400.
- Verwey, E.J.W. and Overbeek, J.T.G. (1948). Theory of stability of Lyophobic Colloids. Elsevier,
 Amsterdam.
- Wang, B. and Peng, Y. (2014). The effect of saline water on mineral flotation a critical review. *Minerals Engineering* 66-68, 13-24.

- Wang, D., Jiao, F., Qin, W. and Wang, X. (2017). Effect of surface oxidation on the flotation
 separation of chalcopyrite and galena using sodium humate as depressant. *Separation Science and Technology* 53, 961-972.
- Warren, G.W., Kim, S.H. and Henein, H. (1987). The effect of chloride ion on the ferric chloride
 leaching of galena concentrate. *Metallurgical Transactions B* 18, 59-69.
- Wu, Z., Wang, X., Liu, H., Zhang, H. and Miller, J.D. (2016). Some physicochemical aspects of
 water-soluble mineral flotation. *Advances in Colloid and Interface Science* 235, 190-200.
- Yang, C., Dabros, T., Li, D., Czarnecki, J. and Masliyah, J.H. (2001). Measurement of the Zeta
 Potential of Gas Bubbles in Aqueous Solutions by Microelectrophoresis. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science* 243, 128-135.
- Yoon, R. and Yordan, J. (1988). Zeta potential measurement on microbubble generated using
 various surfactants. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science* 113, 430-438.
- Zhang, X.H., Quinn, A. and Ducker, W.A. (2008). Nanobubbles at the interface between waterand hydrophobic solid. *Langmuir* 24, 4756-4764.