



UNICA IRIS Institutional Research Information System

This is the Author's [accepted] manuscript version of the following contribution: (author name, title, publisher, ecc)

Carucci Sara

Long-term safety of methylphenidate in children and adolescents with ADHD: 2-year outcomes of the Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Drugs Use Chronic Effects (ADDUCE) study

THE LANCET. PSYCHIATRY
Volume 10 Fascicolo 5 pagg. 323 – 333 (2023)

The publisher's version is available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(23)00042-1

This full text was downloaded from UNICA IRIS https://iris.unica.it/

1 Title

- 2 Long-term safety of methylphenidate in children and adolescents
- with ADHD: Results of a two-year naturalistic pharmacovigilance
- 4 study.

5

- 6 Authors & Affiliations
- 7 Kenneth K.C. Man*, Alexander Häge*, Tobias Banaschewski*, Sarah K. Inglis, Jan
- 8 Buitelaar, Sara Carucci, Marina Danckaerts, Ralf W. Dittmann, Bruno Falissard, Peter
- 9 Garas, Chris Hollis, Kerstin Konrad, Hanna Kovshoff, Elizabeth Liddle, Suzanne McCarthy,
- Antje Neubert, Peter Nagy, Eric Rosenthal, Edmund J.S. Sonuga-Barke, Alessandro Zuddas†,
- 11 Ian C.K. Wong**, David Coghill** and the ADDUCE Consortium
- * Joint co-first authors
- **Joint senior authors
- † We would like to dedicate this manuscript to our friend and colleague Alessandro Zuddas
- who contributed greatly to the ADDUCE Consortium and who sadly passed away in July
- 16 2022.

17

- 19 Kenneth K.C. Man, PhD; Research Department of Practice and Policy, School of Pharmacy,
- 20 University College London, London, United Kingdom; Centre for Medicines Optimisation
- 21 Research and Education, University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust,
- London, UK; Centre for Safe Medication Practice and Research, Department of
- 23 Pharmacology and Pharmacy, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong
- 24 Kong, Hong Kong (email: <u>kenneth.man@ucl.ac.uk</u>)
- 25 Alexander Häge, MD; Department of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry and Psychotherapy,
- Medical Faculty Mannheim, Central Institute of Mental Health, University of Heidelberg,
- 27 Mannheim, Germany; J5, 68159 Mannheim, Germany (email: alexander.haege@zi-
- 28 <u>mannheim.de</u>)

- 29 Tobias Banaschewski, MD; Department of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry and
- 30 Psychotherapy, Medical Faculty Mannheim, Central Institute of Mental Health, University of
- Heidelberg, Mannheim, Germany; J5, 68159 Mannheim, Germany (email:
- 32 tobias.banaschewski@zi-mannheim.de)
- 33 Sarah K Inglis PhD; Tayside Clinical Trials Unit, University of Dundee, Dundee, Scotland
- Jan Buitelaar MD; Radboudumc, Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behavior,
- 35 Department of Cognitive Neuroscience, Nijmegen, The Netherlands; Karakter Child and
- 36 Adolescent Psychiatry University Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands
- 37 Sara Carucci, MD; Dept. Biomedical Sciences, Sect. Neuroscience & Clinical Pharmacology,
- 38 University of Cagliari, and Child & Adolescent Neuropsychiatry Unit, "A.Cao" Paediatric
- 39 Hospital, Cagliari Italy
- 40 Marina Danckaerts, MD; KU Leuven, Department of Neurosciences, Developmental
- Psychiatry; University Psychiatric Center KU Leuven, Department of Child and Adolescent
- 42 Psychiatry, Belgium
- 43 Ralf W. Dittmann, MD; Paediatric Psychopharmacology, Department of Child and
- 44 Adolescent Psychiatry, Central Institute of Mental Health (CIMH), Medical Faculty
- 45 Mannheim, University of Heidelberg, Mannheim, Germany;
- 46 J5, 68159 Mannheim, Germany
- 47 Bruno Falissard, PhD; Centre de Recherche en Epidemiologie et Santé des Populations,
- 48 CESP, INSERM U1018, Université Paris-Saclay, Paris, France
- 49 Peter Garas MD, Semmelweis University, Mental Health Sciences School of Ph.D., H-1085
- 50 Budapest, Üllői út 26., Hungary
- 51 Chris Hollis, PhD FRCPsych; Mental Health and Clinical Neurosciences, School of
- 52 Medicine; NIHR Nottingham Biomedical Research Centre; NIHR MindTech MedTech Co-
- operative, Institute of Mental Health, University of Nottingham, UK
- Kerstin Konrad, PhD, Child Neuropsychology Section, Department of Child and Adolescent
- Psychiatry, Psychosomatics and Psychotherapy, University Hospital RWTH Aachen, Aachen,
- 56 Germany. JARA-Brain Institute II, Molecular Neuroscience and Neuroimaging, RWTH
- 57 Aachen and Research Centre Jülich, Jülich, Germany

- Hanna Kovshoff, PhD, School of Psychology, University of Southampton, UK
- 59 Suzanne McCarthy PhD, School of Pharmacy, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland
- Antje Neubert, Department of Paediatrics and Adolescents Medicine, Universitätsklinikum
- 61 Erlangen, Germany
- Peter Nagy, MD, Vadaskert Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Hospital, Budapest, Hungary,
- and Division of Neurodevelopmental Disorders, Bethesda Children's Hospital, Budapest,
- 64 Hungary
- 65 Eric Rosenthal MD FRCP, Evelina London Children's Hospital, London, UK
- 66 Edmund J S Sonuga-Barke, School of Academic Psychiatry, Institute of Psychiatry,
- 67 Psychology & Neuroscience, King's College London, UK.
- 68 Ian C.K. Wong PhD; Centre for Safe Medication Practice and Research, Department of
- 69 Pharmacology and Pharmacy, L02-56, 2/F, Laboratory Block, Li Ka Shing Faculty of
- 70 Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, 21 Sassoon Road, Pokfulam, Hong Kong SAR
- 71 China and Aston Pharmacy School, Aston University, Birmingham, B4 7ET, UK. (email:
- 72 wongick@hku.hk)

77

- 73 David Coghill MD; Departments of Paediatrics and Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine,
- 74 Dentistry and Health Sciences, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia; Murdoch
- 75 Children's Research Institute, Melbourne, Australia and Faculty of Medicine, University of
- 76 Dundee, Dundee, Scotland. (email: <u>david.coghill@unimelb.edu.au</u>)

78 Corresponding author: David Coghill

Abstract/summary:

Background:

79

80

- 81 Methylphenidate is the most frequently prescribed medication for the treatment of attention-
- deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in children and adolescents in many countries. While
- many randomised controlled trials support short-term efficacy, tolerability, and safety, data on
- long-term safety and tolerability are limited. The aim of this study was to investigate the safety
- of MPH over a two-year period in relation to growth and development, psychiatric health,
- 86 neurological health, and cardiovascular function in children and adolescents.

87 Methods:

- 88 As part of the European Attention-Deficit-Hyperactivity-Disorder-Drugs-Use-Chronic-Effects
- 89 (ADDUCE) research program, a two-year naturalistic, longitudinal, controlled study was
- 90 conducted to assess adverse effects of methylphenidate on growth and development,
- 91 psychiatric, neurological, and cardiovascular health outcomes. Three cohorts were recruited:
- 92 medication-naive ADHD patients who intended to start methylphenidate treatment (ADHD-
- 93 MPH), medication-naive ADHD patients who did not intend to start any ADHD medication
- 94 (ADHD-noMPH), and a control group without ADHD (noADHD).

Findings:

95

106

- In total, n=1,410 participants were included (ADHD-MPH: n=756, ADHD-noMPH: n=391,
- 97 noADHD: n=263). 1,070 (76.3%) participants were males, 332 (23.7%) were females and 8
- 98 with unknown gender. The average age for the cohort was 9.28 years (S.D.=2.78), interquartile
- 99 range 7 to 11. 93.1% (n=1,312) of the participants were Caucasian. The ADHD-MPH and
- ADHD-noMPH groups differed in ADHD symptom severity and other characteristics. After
- controlling for the effects of these variables using propensity score, there was little evidence of
- impact on growth or increased risk of psychiatric/neurological adverse events in the ADHD-
- MPH compared to the ADHD-noMPH group. A statistically significant increase in pulse rate
- and systolic and diastolic blood pressure was observed in the ADHD-MPH group compared to
- the ADHD-noMPH group after 24 months of treatment.

Interpretation:

- Overall, the results suggest that long-term treatment with methylphenidate for two years is safe.
- There was no evidence to support the hypothesis that methylphenidate treatment leads to

- 109 reductions in growth. Methylphenidate-related pulse and blood pressure changes, although
- relatively small do require regular monitoring.

111 Funding:

113

European Union's Seventh Framework Programme Grant agreement; ID:260576.

Research in context

Evidence before this study

As part of the European Union-funded Attention-Deficit-Hyperactivity-Disorder-Drugs-Use-Chronic-Effects (ADDUCE) project (ID: 260576) we conducted and published three systematic reviews of studies on long-term adverse effects of MPH/ADHD medication. These reviews highlighted the relative lack of long-term data for cardiovascular, growth, neurological and psychiatric effects. Of ten studies on cardiovascular safety only two were longer than one year. Neither of these reported significant changes in blood pressure or heart rate. We identified eighteen studies focussed on the long-term effects of MPH on growth. While MPH was associated with statistically significant pre-post reductions in both height and weight, effect sizes were small, inconsistent across studies, and the clinical impact judged to be minimal. Data on potential long-term effects of MPH on neurological and psychiatric outcomes were spread across forty-six publications of varying quality and design. While several studies suggested a reduction in depression and suicidality the findings for tics, dyskinesia, and psychosis-like symptoms were inconsistent. None of these studies across all outcome domains included a comparison with unmedicated ADHD.

Added value of this study

This is the first naturalistic, prospective, longitudinal, controlled study to investigate safety of MPH over a two-year period in relation to growth and development, psychiatric health, neurological health, and cardiovascular function in children and adolescents. Data from 1,410 children and adolescents were analysed. Over this period, save an effect on weight velocity at the six-month assessment, MPH was not associated with growth or psychiatric/neurological symptoms. Long-term MPH treatment was associated with significant, albeit moderate on average, increases in systolic and diastolic blood pressure and pulse rate.

Implications of all the available evidence

Long-term safety data suggest that MPH used for the treatment of child and adolescent ADHD is safe. Furthermore, long-term treatment with MPH appears to have beneficial effects not only on the core symptoms of ADHD but also on several symptoms commonly associated with ADHD. However, recommended follow-up examinations should be performed and, in particular, pulse and blood pressure levels should be monitored.

Manuscript

T 4	1	4 •	
Intr	\mathbf{v}	ıctior	٠.
11111	vuu	ICUUI.	ı.

- Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is a neurodevelopmental disorder characterised by the core symptoms of inattention, hyperactivity, and impulsivity, that is associated with a wide range of psychiatric comorbidities and adverse health, academic, and psychosocial outcomes ^{1,2}. The worldwide prevalence of ADHD is estimated to lie between 5-7% in children and adolescents and 2-3% in adults, and the disorder is apparently more common in males than in females ^{3,4}.
- MPH, a central nervous system psychostimulant medication recommended by clinical guidelines as a first-line treatment option for ADHD, is the most commonly prescribed medication for the treatment of ADHD in children and adolescents globally ^{5,6}. MPH is known to inhibit the reuptake of dopamine and norepinephrine into presynaptic neurons ⁷. It is assumed that MPH increases the efficiency of prefrontal cortex activity and optimises executive and attentional functions in patients with ADHD by improving dopaminergic and noradrenergic modulation of cortical and subcortical circuits ⁸.
 - In recent decades, the use of MPH has increased considerably in many European countries as well as in the United States, Asia, and Australia ⁶. While MPH is recommended as a first-line treatment for ADHD in all current evidence-based ADHD clinical guidelines, it is not available in all countries worldwide and has not yet been included in the World Health Organisation (WHO) model list of essential medicines ^{9,10}. Indeed, two recent applications for inclusion in this list were rejected by the committee, who stated that in their opinion, the benefit-to-harm ratio of MPH remains uncertain for long-term use ¹⁰. Moreover, the committee also specifically recommended that evidence on tolerability and safety of at least 52 weeks duration would be informative for any future consideration for inclusion of MPH in the model list ¹⁰.
 - While short- and medium-term safety and tolerability of MPH have been extensively studied ¹¹, we agree that long-term data are limited. This gap in knowledge was also highlighted by the European Commission's Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP), which specifically called for data describing the long-term (> 52 weeks) effects of MPH on (1) growth and development, (2) neurological health, (3) psychiatric health, (4) sexual development and

fertility, and (5) cardiovascular responses in children and adolescents ¹². Here, we present data from the Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Drugs Use Chronic Effects (ADDUCE) research programme (ID: 260576). The ADDUCE consortium has conducted a programme of research designed to fill the identified gaps in the current literature and to address the concerns of the CHMP ¹³. ADDUCE has previously published a series of systematic reviews and secondary analyses of existing datasets that describe the state of the art of the field ¹⁴⁻¹⁶. These identified that a major gap in the field has been the failure to compare individuals routinely taking ADHD medications in general clinical practice with individuals with ADHD who are not on medication. The present paper addresses this gap and describes the findings from a two-year (104 week) prospective cohort study designed to provide new data on long-term MPH safety in children and adolescents with ADHD.

Methods

189

190

04 1	
Study	design
Study	design

- The ADDUCE study was a two-year (104 week) naturalistic prospective pharmacovigilance 191 multicentre study designed to investigate the long-term safety of MPH in children and 192 adolescents aged six to 17 years. The study was conducted in 27 European child and adolescent 193 mental health centres in the United Kingdom, Germany, Switzerland, Italy, and Hungary. 194 Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the East of Scotland Research Ethics Service 195 as the coordinating centre. In addition, ethical approvals were obtained for the other countries 196 197 and individual sites as necessary. Study participants were assessed five times over a two-year follow-up period (see Figure 1). Three cohorts of children and adolescents were recruited: 198
- ADHD-MPH group: children and adolescents with ADHD not previously medicated with any
- 200 ADHD medication who were about to start MPH treatment.
- 201 ADHD-noMPH group: children and adolescents with ADHD not previously medicated with
- any ADHD medication, and who did not intend to start any ADHD medication.
- 203 noADHD group: an index group of children and adolescents without ADHD who screened
- 204 negative for ADHD at study enrolment.
- Details of the study and of the study protocol have been published elsewhere ¹⁷.

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

Participants

To ensure that the study results could be generalised to typical ADHD populations in clinical services throughout the EU the inclusion criteria were deliberately broad and the exclusion criteria minimal. Eligible participants for the ADHD-MPH and ADHD-noMPH groups were children and adolescents aged six to 17 years with ADHD diagnosed by a qualified clinician according to the DSM-IV criteria. Participants eligible for the noADHD group were children and adolescents within the same age range who scored less than 1·5 on average on the Swanson, Nolan, and Pelham IV rating scale (SNAP-IV)¹⁸ for ADHD items, and whose hyperactivity score on the parent-rated Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ)¹⁹ was within the normal range (<6). Participants were excluded if they had previously taken any ADHD medications but remained eligible if they had previously taken or were currently taking other psychotropic drugs. Participants in the ADHD-MPH and ADHD-noMPH groups were recruited

from community-based child and adolescent mental health services at the four coordinating centres in the UK, Germany, Italy, and Hungary and additionally in 23 satellite sites (n=6 in the UK, n=4 in Italy, and n=13 in Germany and Switzerland). Children and adolescents in the noADHD group were recruited through advertisements in the communities local to the clinical sites. In accordance with country-specific regulations, required written informed consent/assent was obtained from patients and their legal guardians prior to study participation.

Outcomes

- The study outcomes were those highlighted by the European Medicines Agency (EMA) through
- 227 CHMP as needing additional research: growth, cardiovascular, psychiatric and neurological
- 228 health.

219

220

221

222

223

224

- The **primary outcome** measure was height velocity, operationalised as height velocity standard
- deviation score (SDS). This was estimated from at least two consecutive height measurements,
- and normalized with reference to the mean and SD of a population of the same age and sex:

height velocity SDS =
$$\frac{v - \overline{v}}{SD}$$

- The mean and SD height velocities for each country represented in the study were obtained
- from the most recent standardized growth charts available for the respective countries.
- Secondary growth outcomes were weight and body mass index (BMI). Cardiovascular health
- was assessed through pulse rate and blood pressure, which were measured at each visit.
- Outcomes for psychiatric health included: the Mood and Feelings Questionnaire (MFQ)²⁰ to
- assess symptoms of depression; a shortened version of the Psychosis-Like Symptoms semi-
- structured interview (PLiKSi)²¹ to assess delusions and hallucinations; and the Yale Global Tic
- 240 Severity Scale (YGTSS)²² to assess motor and phonic tics. The Columbia Suicide Severity
- Rating Scale (C-SSRS)²³ and the Substance Use Questionnaire (SUQ)²⁴ were used to assess
- suicidality and substance use, respectively. Neurological outcomes regarding dyskinesia were
- measured using the Abnormal Involuntary Movement Scale (AIMS)²⁵. The effectiveness of
- MPH treatment on core ADHD and oppositional defiant disorder (ODD) symptoms was also
- measured. Table S1 provides an overview of all outcome measures, and Table S2 presents the
- schedule of visits and assessments.

248 Statistical analyses

- Description at baseline 249 Characteristics of participants included in the study were presented for each group, and the 250 groups were compared using statistical tests (t-test, ANOVA, chi-square tests where 251 appropriate). The changes of time-varying factors throughout the study period are also 252 presented. 253 254 Within group changes over time were calculated using the crude scores for all three groups. Due to the substantial difference between the groups with and without ADHD, it was not 255 possible to conduct propensity score analyses to account for baseline differences for all three 256 groups. Therefore, the longitudinal between-group analyses using adjusted estimates were only 257 258 conducted for comparisons between the ADHD-MPH and ADHD-noMPH groups (Table 2 and Table 3). 259 Propensity score 260 We compared the outcome status between children in the ADHD-MPH group and the ADHD-261 262 noMPH group. As children with severe symptoms may have a higher likelihood of being treated with MPH, propensity score (PS) adjustment was applied to address potential differences in 263 patient characteristics between the medicated and the not medicated group²⁶. (Appendix 1) 264 Analysis for each outcome variable 265 266 Logistic regression models were used for dichotomous outcomes and generalized mixed models were applied for continuous outcomes. The propensity scores were adjusted as a continuous 267 variable in all models. All continuous outcomes were log-transformed to ensure the model 268 assumptions are met for robust analyses^{27,28}. 269 We did not adjust p-values for multiple comparisons, as the primary hypothesis concerned the 270 271 effect of the 'group' variable. Moreover, in a pharmacovigilance study, statistical power is at least as important as type one error. 272
- Multiple imputation for missing data 273
- Multiple imputations were conducted using a Gibbs sampler to address missing data. Only the 274
- 33 baseline factors that were included in the propensity score model were were included in the 275
- imputation. Both complete-case analyses and imputed analyses were conducted. 276

All analyses were conducted with SAS version 9.4.

Role of funding

278

The project received funding from the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme for research, technological development and demonstration under grant agreement no. 324487.

The funder of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report. However, the team worked with the European Medicines Agency to ensure that the objectives of ADDUCE programme are addressing the public health concerns raised by the CHMP.

Results

Between February 2012 and January 2016, n=756 participants were recruited into the ADHD-MPH group, n=391 into the ADHD-noMPH group, and n=263 into the noADHD group (see also Table S3). Due to the differences in clinical practice across the four participating countries, the proportions of participants in each group differed considerably between countries. As was to be expected, the majority of participants with ADHD were male (male: 82·4%, n=622; female: 17·6%, n=133; unknown: n=1 in the ADHD-MPH group and male: 85·0%, n=329; female: 14·8%, n=58; unknown: n=4 in the ADHD-noMPH group), and the sex ratio in the noADHD group was much more balanced (45.6%, n=119 male, 53.6%, n=141 female). The majority of subjects across all three groups were Caucasian (ADHD-MPH group: 696, ADHD-noMPH group: 373, noADHD group: 243). There were statistically significant differences in age between the three groups, with a mean age of 9.22 years in the ADHD-MPH group, 8.74 years in the ADHD-noMPH group, and 10.25 years in the noADHD group. Table 1 provides an overview of the baseline characteristics, shows the corresponding group comparisons. As this was a non interventional observational study not all participants attended every visit (see Table S3) reasons for non-attendance were not captured. There was no substantial difference in baseline characteristics between the complete sample (Table 1) and those participants included in the 24 month follow-up assessments (Table S4). Few participants in the ADHD-MPH group who attended visit reported discontinuing MPH since previous visit (Table S5).

Baseline differences

In accordance with the age differences between the groups, corresponding differences emerged with respect to height and weight at baseline, but not with respect to BMI. There were no differences between the groups with regard to diastolic blood pressure or pulse rate. However, baseline mean systolic blood pressure was higher in the noADHD and the ADHD-MPH groups compared to the ADHD-noMPH group, and these differences remained statistically significant after adjusting for age and sex. In line with expectation, compared to the noADHD group, the two ADHD groups had higher scores on the SNAP-IV Total score, the Inattention and Hyperactivity/Impulsivity subscales, and the SNAP-IV ODD scale (all p<0·0001). Moreover, the ADHD-MPH group had higher SNAP-IV scores (Total, Inattention and Hyperactivity/Impulsivity) than the ADHD-noMPH group (all p<0·0001). Table 1 provides an overview of all baseline scores and the corresponding group differences.

Adverse events

318

322

- No serious adverse events were reported during the study. The results of the between group
- analyses (ADHD-MPH and ADHD-noMPH) are detailed in Tables 2 and 3 (imputed analyses)
- and Tables S7 and S8 (complete case analyses).

Growth

- 323 There was no difference between the ADHD-MPH and ADHD-noMPH groups on height
- velocity, the primary outcome, at any time point. Weight velocity showed an initial slowing at
- six months in the ADHD-MPH group (p<0.0001), but no differences were seen after this point.
- There were no group differences with respect to BMI at any time point (see Table 3).
- We further investigated the percentage changes in the height and weight velocity for the 3
- 328 groups detailed in figures S1-4. There were few differences between the three groups on the
- percentage changes in the height and weight velocity (figure S1 and S2). We looked in more
- detail at the subgroup of participants who had a decreased weight velocity at 6-months (n=366)
- in the ADHD-MPH group, n=116 in the ADHD-noMPH group and n=109 in the noADHD
- group). While no major difference is observed for this group on height velocity in this subgroup,
- there was a trend for increasing weight velocity throughout the follow-up period (figure S3 and
- S4). Although we cannot conduct further analyses due to the limited sample size, these results
- do not suggest that, at a group level, the reduction in weight velocity seen at 6 months continued
- and that there was no subsequent loss of height velocity for this group, but instead their weight
- velocity improved throughout the follow-up period.

Cardiovascular

- Within group analyses identified that mean systolic blood pressure increased significantly
- between baseline and 24 months in the ADHD-MPH (from 108 to 113 mmHg, p<0.0001) and
- ADHD-noMPH (104 to 108 mmHg, p <0.0001) groups but not in the noADHD group (109 to
- 342 111 mmHg, p =0.08). In the ADHD-MPH group, diastolic blood pressure (65 to 67 mmHg,
- p=0.02) and pulse rate (80 to 83 bpm) also increased over this period, while this was not the
- case for the other two groups (64 to 65 mmHg, 66 to 65 mmHg, 80 to 79 bpm, 78 to 79 bpm).
- 345 Between group statistical analyses confirmed a greater increase in systolic and diastolic blood
- pressure in the ADHD-MPH group compared to the ADHD-noMPH group at six, 12, and 24
- 347 (but not 18) months post-baseline. Moreover, pulse rate increased more in the ADHD-MPH

group than in the ADHD-noMPH group at 12 and 24 months but not at six or 18 months (see

349 Table 3).

350

Psychiatric and Neurological Symptoms

- Parent- and child-ratings of mood improved significantly across all three groups during the
- study. Child self-rated and parent-rated mood improved significantly more in the ADHD-MPH
- group than in the ADHD-noMPH group after 24 months of treatment (p=0.01, p=0.02) (see
- 354 Table 3).
- 355 The prevalence of both broad and narrowly defined psychotic-like symptoms decreased for all
- 356 three groups. The numbers at baseline were too small to allow for a meaningful statistical
- analysis. However, when adjusting for baseline differences, there were no significant
- 358 differences between the changes for the ADHD-MPH and ADHD-noMPH groups between
- baseline and 24 months (see Table 2).
- Tic prevalence decreased in all three groups (p<0.0001 for both ADHD groups and p=0.02 in
- the noADHD group). After adjusting for baseline differences, the two ADHD groups did not
- significantly differ regarding tic reduction at six months. However, at 12 months, the tic
- reduction was significantly greater in the ADHD-noMPH group than in the ADHD-MPH group
- 364 (odds ratio 4.71, p=0.041). At 24 months, the prevalence of tics in the ADHD-MPH group was
- still 2.4% but it was not possible to calculate an odds ratio between the two groups at this time
- point because the prevalence in the ADHD-noMPH group was zero (see Table 2).
- 367 The prevalence of suicidal ideation and behaviour decreased steadily for all three groups across
- 368 the study. At 24 months, the prevalence lay at 3.17% in the ADHD-MPH group, 0.77% in the
- 369 ADHD-noMPH group, and 0.76% in the noADHD group. After adjusting for baseline
- differences, there were no significant group differences between the ADHD-MPH and ADHD-
- noMPH groups at the six-, 12-, and 24-month follow-ups. The results were unchanged when
- suicidal ideation and suicidal behaviour were considered separately (see Table 2).
- Prevalence rates for reported smoking were low at baseline in all three groups (ADHD-MPH:
- 4.9%, ADHD-noMPH: 2.8%, noADHD: 3.0%), remained low in all groups over the entire 24-
- month observation period with rates at 24 months of 2.1%, 1.5% and 2.7% respectively, and
- decreased over this period in the ADHD-MPH group. Alcohol use was significantly less
- prevalent in both ADHD groups than in the noADHD group at baseline (0.5% in both ADHD

groups vs 2·3% in the controls) and remained below the level of the control group during the observation period (ADHD-MPH: 0·9%, ADHD-noMPH: 0%, noADHD: 4·9%). Marijuana use was also uncommon at baseline in all three groups and remained low throughout the observation period (always less than 1% in all groups). After adjusting for baseline differences, we found no evidence for negative effects of MPH on smoking, alcohol use, or marijuana use (see Table 2).

Scores on the AIMS, indicating abnormal movements, decreased (with lower scores reflecting greater improvement) for all three groups during the 24-month period. After adjusting for baseline differences, we found a larger AIMS score reduction during treatment in the ADHD-MPH group than in the ADHD-noMPH group at six (p<0.0001) and 12 (p<0.0016) but not 24 (p=0.09) months (see Table 3).

Results on ADHD core symptoms are summarised in Table 3 and Appendix 2.

Discussion

Using a naturalistic, prospective, longitudinal, controlled design, the ADDUCE study was the first to collect comprehensive data on the safety of MPH in previously stimulant naïve children and adolescents with ADHD over a two-year period in terms of growth, cardiovascular function, and psychiatric and neurological health and compared these with participants with ADHD not treated with MPH and a non-ADHD comparison group.

Due to concerns that a reduction in growth may be a particularly common adverse effect of long-term administration of MPH for ADHD, we chose height velocity as the primary outcome measure for this study. Our findings did not reveal any differences in height velocity between the groups with and without MPH treatment at any of the follow-up time points. These findings conflict with the conclusions of our recent systematic review and meta-analysis on the impact of long-term stimulant treatment on growth by Carucci and colleagues¹⁴. There we reported that MPH might be associated with a slight growth deficit, especially with respect to height, but that these reductions were judged to have a minimal clinical impact and to generally remit in adulthood. In that review the pre-post standardized mean difference for the effects of 24-month treatment with a stimulant medication (either MPH or amphetamine) was small (SMD 0·27, 95% CI 0·22-0·31), and interestingly, only half (6/12) of the included studies reported pre-post differences in height¹⁴.

With respect to weight, the only differences between medicated and unmedicated individuals with ADHD in our sample were identified six months after starting medication, and there were no between-group differences at 12, 18, or 24 months. These findings are in line with the results of the meta-analysis by Carucci and colleagues¹⁴, who reported small but significant reductions in weight gain associated with MPH as a monotherapy (SMD 0·24, 95% CI 0·14-0·35), which is equivalent to a reduction in weight gain of around 1·43 kg over a 2-year period for a ten-year-old boy. Similar to the findings of our current study, several authors have reported that the effects of psychostimulants on weight are time-limited and subsequently normalize²⁹⁻³¹.

The finding of an increased systolic blood pressure in our sample is consistent with a recent systematic review and meta-analysis by Hennissen and colleagues¹⁵, who found a small but statistically significant increase associated with MPH treatment (SMD 0.25, 95% CI 0.08-0.42, p<0.01) when pooling the results of ten trials. However, unlike the latter review, we here also found statistically significant increases in both diastolic blood pressure and pulse rate in the

medicated vs. unmedicated ADHD group. Results of another study of the ADDUCE consortium showed that long-term use of MPH in adolescents and young adults with ADHD (aged 12 to 25 years) was associated with a small but statistically significant increase in systolic blood pressure and heart rate compared to controls (=ADHD patients without MPH treatment), whereas diastolic blood pressure did not differ between the two groups³². Overall, current data suggest that long-term treatment with MPH affects cardiovascular parameters, although these effects appear to be mostly without clinical significance.

Depression scores in our sample, as measured by the MFQ, were higher (worse) at baseline in patients with ADHD than in controls but decreased in the ADHD-MPH group over the 24 months of the study. This corresponds to findings from several other studies providing evidence that long-term MPH treatment is associated with a favourable outcome regarding mood and depression ^{16,33,34}. A nationwide longitudinal cohort study using the Swedish national registers found that ADHD medication was associated with a reduced long-term risk (i.e., three years later) for depression, and this risk was lower for longer duration of ADHD medication³⁵. Moreover, a within-individual analysis suggested that depression was 20% less common during periods when patients received ADHD medication compared to periods when they did not receive medication³⁵.

We found no evidence that long-term treatment with MPH increased the risk of psychosis-like symptoms. This finding is consistent with several previous studies. An analysis of population-based electronic medical records in Hong Kong, based on Clinical Data Analysis and Reporting System (CDARS) data from 2001 to 2014, found no evidence for increased risk of psychosis during MPH-exposed compared with non-exposed periods ³⁶. Furthermore, a Swedish cohort study using population-based observational data from three population-based registries also found no increase in psychotic events during MPH treatment ³⁷. Two other comparative studies also provided evidence that MPH reduces the risk of psychosis-like symptoms ^{38,39} and one study found that MPH treatment reduced the risk of hospitalization for psychosis ³⁴. However, as we pointed out in our own review, there is also some, albeit limited, evidence that psychosis may result from MPH treatment in individual cases ¹⁶.

Our findings also suggest that long-term MPH use is generally safe in patients with ADHD and comorbid tics. This is in line with several studies showing that, in most cases, stimulants do not worsen tics in patients with ADHD and coexisting tic disorder⁴⁰. However, clinicians should

continue to exercise caution when using MPH in individuals prone to tics, as it may still exacerbate existing tics in individual cases.

The higher reported rates of suicidal behaviour and/or suicidal ideation in the ADHD-MPH group before treatment may reflect the severity of the psychiatric symptoms that prompted the decision to assess for ADHD in the first place. Similarly, the higher rates in the ADHD-MPH group compared to the ADHD-noMPH group may also be reflected in the clinical decision to initiate medication treatment due to greater severity. Our finding that MPH treatment was not associated with an increased incidence of suicidal ideation, and may in fact be associated with a reduction in risk, is in line with several other studies¹⁶. Chen and colleagues reported a 20% within-patient reduction in the rate of suicide-related events during periods on stimulant medication⁴¹. Using a self-controlled case series design based on data from the Hong Kong CDARS registry, Man and colleagues reported that the incidence of suicide attempts was higher in the 90-day period immediately before the start of MPH treatment and returned to baseline levels during continuation of MPH treatment⁴². In a Taiwanese nationwide population-based cohort study, Liang and colleagues observed a 72% risk reduction in those prescribed MPH for more than 180 days⁴³. Moreover, in a large cohort of patients with ADHD, within-individual analyses demonstrated that stimulant medication was associated with a 28% reduced risk of suicide attempts⁴⁴.

Potentially due to the relatively young age of our sample, we found a very low prevalence of reported substance use in the two ADHD groups, which were even lower than those in the noADHD control group. Notwithstanding the low prevalence of reported substance use at baseline, there was no indication that MPH treatment increased the risk for smoking, alcohol or marijuana use. This is in line with findings from previous studies. For instance, Humphreys and colleagues found comparable outcomes between children with ADHD - with and without a history of medication treatment - for any substance use as well as for abuse or dependence outcomes across all substance types⁴⁵. Likewise, Chang and colleagues found no increased risk of substance abuse among individuals prescribed with stimulant ADHD medication⁴⁶. Furthermore, Schoenfelder and colleagues reported that consistent stimulant treatment of ADHD may reduce smoking risk and that this effect was larger in samples with more severe psychopathology⁴⁷.

In the present study, we found no evidence of an increased risk of MPH-induced dyskinesia.

Rather, the results suggest that treatment with stimulants may, at least initially, reduce the

abnormal involuntary movements as measured by the AIMS. This may be mediated by reduced hyperactivity and improved motor control.

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

The present findings need to be interpreted in the context of some limitations. First, the study focussed on long-term safety rather than tolerability so we cannot comment on long-term tolerability. Second, in common with all long-term observational studies and clinical trials, we experienced a high loss-to-follow up over the 2 years follow-up period with 53.5% (n=755) attended the visit at 24-month. Our participants were all stimulant naive at entry into the study and it is likely that tolerability estimates are going to be lower in this type of sample than seen in industry-sponsored studies that almost include those previously treated. While it is important to highlight this limitation and note that the interpretations of our findings should be with caution it is also important to recognise that longer term safety outcomes are only relevant to those individuals that continue a treatment. Third, the observation period of the study was two years, but, in routine care, many children and adolescents with ADHD will be treated with MPH for a longer period. Fourth, despite the large sample size for a prospective study, the sample size is still too small to rule out the possibility that long-term MPH treatment might result in extremely rare but serious adverse events; however, previous retrospective studies with very large samples have not yielded significant safety concern^{35-37,41,42,44,46,48-51}. Fifth, of relevance for the interpretation of the results, a lack of mean change in growth (and in other aspects) does not mean that clinically relevant changes cannot occur in individual cases. Accordingly, control examinations for height and weight progression, as recommended by clinical guidelines remain indicated even if there were no changes on average for the study population as a whole. Sixth, as most of the participants are males and Caucasian, we are not able to perform gender-specific or ethnicity-specific analyses due to the limited diversity in the study cohort. Seventh, this is an observational study, we allowed the clinicians to choose the most appropriate treatment for the individual patient in their own clinic and therefore did not restrict the treatment form in any preparation, formulation, and dose. In addition, dose was recorded using a free-text entry and adherence to treatment was not assessed. Eighth, similar to all observational studies, we could not exclude the possibility of unmeasured confounding due to the naturalistic and observational nature of this study. Additionally, the application of propensity score adjustment is one of the few propensity-score-based analytic methods where the extent to which covariates were successfully balanced between treated and comparator groups is difficult to investigate and to demonstrate empirically²⁶. Ninth, as participants were recruited from 27 sites across four countries it was not possible to compare findings across the different sites as the sample size will not allow meaningful comparison. Finally, the study investigated long-term effects of MPH only. To compare the safety profile of MPH with other approved ADHD medications, further comparable prospective studies would be desirable.

In summary, the results of this study suggest that safety profile of long-term treatment with MPH for two years is acceptable. The data do not support the hypothesis that long-term MPH treatment is associated with impairments in growth. Pulse and blood pressure changes, although minor on average, require regular monitoring. Moreover, long-term MPH treatment in children with ADHD appears to have rather beneficial effects on some co-existing psychiatric symptoms.

527	Contributors
528	ICKW, DC and TB were responsible for the study concept. All authors were responsible for
529	the study design. DC, AH, TB, SI, JB, SC, RWD, PG, and PN responsible for subject
530	recruitment. DC, TB, KM and ICKW verified the underlying data, BF and KM did the
531	statistical analyses. All authors were involved in the interpretation of data. KKCM, AH, DC,
532	TB and ICKW drafted the manuscript. All authors critically revised the manuscript for
533	important intellectual content. DC and ICKW were responsible for resource acquisition.
534	All authors had full access to all the data in the study, contributed to drafting the report, and
535	all take final responsibility for its content and for the decision to submit for publication.
536	
537	Declaration of interests
538	KKCM reports grants from the CW Maplethorpe Fellowship, the National Institute for Health
539	Research, United Kingdom; the European Union Horizon 2020 Framework, Hong Kong
540	Research Grant Council and personal fees from IQVIA Holdings, Inc., unrelated to this work.
541	AH has received compensation for serving as consultant or speaker for Shire/Takeda and
542	Medice. The present work is unrelated to the above grants and relationships.
543	TB served in an advisory or consultancy role for Eyelevel, Infectopharm, Lundbeck, Medice,
544	Neurim Pharmaceuticals, Oberberg GmbH, Roche and Takeda. He received conference
545	support or speaker's fee by Jansen, Medice, and Takeda. He received royalities from Hogrefe,
546	Kohlhammer, CIP Medien, Oxford University Press; the present work is unrelated to these
547	relationships.
548	SI has no conflict of interest.
549	JB has been in the past 3 years a consultant to / member of advisory board of / and/or speaker
550	for Takeda/Shire, Roche, Medice, Angelini, Janssen, and Servier. He is not an employee of
551	any of these companies, and not a stock shareholder of any of these companies. He has no
552	other financial or material support, including expert testimony, patents, royalties.
553	SC had collaborations within projects from the European Union (7th Framework Program)
554	and in sponsored clinical trials by Shire Pharmaceutical Company, Lundbeck, Otsuka,
555	Janssen-Cilag and Angelini.
556	MD has received research funding outside this study from Takeda/Shire.

- RWD has received compensation for serving as consultant or speaker, or he or the institution
- 558 he works for have received research support or royalties from the organizations or companies
- 559 indicated: EU (FP7 Programme), US National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH), German
- 560 Federal Ministry of Health/Regulatory Agency (BMG/BfArM), German Federal Ministry of
- Education and Research (BMBF), German Research Foundation (DFG), Volkswagen
- Foundation; Boehringer Ingelheim, Ferring, Janssen-Cilag, Lilly, Lundbeck, Otsuka, Servier,
- 563 Shire, Sunovion/Takeda and Theravance. He was a former employee in clinical CNS research
- of Eli Lilly until Aug 2008, and owns Eli Lilly stock (small part of the respective annual
- salary). Since then, he has fully been affiliated with the Department of CAP, CIMH, Med
- Faculty Mannheim, University of Heidelberg, Germany.
- BF has been a consultant to and/o speaker for Abbvie, Actelion, Allergan, Almirall, Alnylam,
- Amgen, Astellas, Astrazeneca, Bayer, Biogen, Biopecs, Bioproject, Biotronik, BMS,
- Boehringer, Celgène, Daiichi-Sankyio, Ethypharm, Forestlab, Genevrier, Genzyme, Gilead,
- 570 Grünenthal, GSK, Idorsia, IMS, Indivior, IQVIA, JNJ, Léo, Lilly, Lundbeck, Menarini, MSD,
- Novartis, Novonordisk, Otsuka, Pfizer, Pierre-Frabre, Recordati, Roche, SANOFI, Servier,
- 572 Takeda, UCB, ViiV and Wellmera.
- 573 PG has no conflict of interest.
- 574 CH recieves research funding from the National Institute of Health Research (NIHR)
- 575 including the Health Technology Assessment (HTA) SATURN trial (Grant ref: NIHR128472)
- 576 comparing methylpheidate with guanfacine for children and young people with ADHD and
- 577 tics. CH was chair of the NICE Guideline (CG155) for psychosis and schizophrenia in
- 578 children and young people; member of the NICE ADHD Guideline Update committee
- 579 (NG87) and is a member of Eunethydis and the Europhean ADHD Guideline Group.
- 580 KK has no conflict of interest.
- 581 HK has no conflict of interest.
- 582 EL has no conflict of interest.
- 583 SM has received speaker's fee, travel support and research support from Shire outside the
- submitted work.
- 585 AN received research funding from the European Commission, the German Ministry of
- Health and the German Federal Joint Committee outside the submitted work.

587 588	PN has been a consultant to and/or speaker for Medice, Servier, and Egis Pharmaceuticals for work that is unrelated to the present paper.
589	ER received speaker's fee and travel support support from Shire outside the submitted work.
590 591	ESB has received in the last 3 years speakers fees from Takeda and Medice and research support from QBTech.
592 593 594 595	AZ served in an advisory or consultancy role for Angelini, EduPharma, Servier. He received conference support or speaker's fee by Angelini and Janssen. He has been involved in clinical trials conducted by Angelini, Janssen, Lundbeck, Otsuka, Roche, Sevier, and Shire. He received royalties from Giunti OS, Oxford University Press.
596 597 598 599 600	ICKW reports research and educational funding outside the submitted work from Amgen, Bristol Myers Squibb, Pfizer, Janssen, Bayer, GSK, Novartis, Takeda, the Hong Kong Research Grants Council, the Hong Kong Health and Medical Research Fund, the Hong Kong Innovation and Technology Commission, the NIHR, the European Commission, and the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council, and has also received expert testimony payment from the Hong Kong Court of Final Appeal
602 603 604 605 606 607 608	DC has been in the past 3 years a consultant to / member of advisory board of / and/or speaker for Takeda/Shire, Medice, Novartis, and Servier. He has received royalties from Oxford University press and Cambridge University press. He is not an employee of any of these companies, and not a stock shareholder of any of these companies. He has received research support during this period from the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council and the Royal Children's Hospital Foundation and funding for the current study from the European Commission
610	Data sharing
611 612 613 614	The anonymised datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request subjected to IRB approval of the requestor's institution and review of investigators of ADDUCE Consortium.

Acknowledgments

This project has received funding from the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme for research, technological development and demonstration under grant agreement no.

324487. The authors wish to thank the children and adolescents who took part in the study, and their families.

620

621

622

623

References

625

- 626 1. American Psychiatric Association A. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders:
- 627 American Psychiatric Association Washington, DC; 2013.
- 628 2. Faraone SV, Banaschewski T, Coghill D, et al. The World Federation of ADHD International
- 629 Consensus Statement: 208 Evidence-based conclusions about the disorder. *Neuroscience &*
- 630 *Biobehavioral Reviews* 2021; **128**: 789-818.
- 631 3. Fayyad J, Sampson NA, Hwang I, et al. The descriptive epidemiology of DSM-IV Adult ADHD in
- the World Health Organization World Mental Health Surveys. Atten Defic Hyperact Disord 2017; 9(1):
- 633 47-65.
- 4. Willcutt EG. The prevalence of DSM-IV attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a meta-
- analytic review. *Neurotherapeutics* 2012; **9**(3): 490-9.
- 5. NICE. Diagnosis and management of ADHD in children, young people and adults. 2009; (72).
- 637 6. Raman SR, Man KKC, Bahmanyar S, et al. Trends in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder
- 638 medication use: a retrospective observational study using population-based databases. *The lancet Psychiatry* 2018; **5**(10): 824-35.
- 7. Faraone SV. The pharmacology of amphetamine and methylphenidate: Relevance to the
- 641 neurobiology of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and other psychiatric comorbidities.
- Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews 2018; **87**: 255-70.
- 8. Arnsten AF, Pliszka SR. Catecholamine influences on prefrontal cortical function: relevance to
- treatment of attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder and related disorders. *Pharmacology,*
- 645 biochemistry, and behavior 2011; **99**(2): 211-6.
- 646 9. Coghill D, Banaschewski T, Cortese S, et al. The management of ADHD in children and
- adolescents: bringing evidence to the clinic: perspective from the European ADHD Guidelines Group
- 648 (EAGG). European child & adolescent psychiatry 2021: 1-25.
- 649 10. World Health Organization. The Selection and Use of Essential Medicines. The 21st WHO
- 650 Model List of Essential Medicines and the 7th WHO Model List of Essential Medicines for Children.
- 651 Section 24: Medicines for mental and behavioural disorders. Methylphenidate addition EML and
- 652 EMLc. . 2019. https://www.who.int/medicines/publications/essentialmedicines/en/.
- 653 11. Cortese S, Adamo N, Del Giovane C, et al. Comparative efficacy and tolerability of
- medications for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in children, adolescents, and adults: a
- systematic review and network meta-analysis. *The lancet Psychiatry* 2018; **5**(9): 727-38.
- 656 12. European Union. Referrals Dokument. 2009.
- 657 https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/referral/questions-answers-review-medicines-
- 658 <u>containing-methylphenidate_en.pdf.</u>
- 659 13. ADDUCE consortium. Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Drugs Use Chronic Effects. 2022.
- http://www.adhd-adduce.org/page/view/2/Home.
- 661 14. Carucci S, Balia C, Gagliano A, et al. Long term methylphenidate exposure and growth in
- 662 children and adolescents with ADHD. A systematic review and meta-analysis. Neuroscience and
- 663 biobehavioral reviews 2021; **120**: 509-25.
- 15. Hennissen L, Bakker MJ, Banaschewski T, et al. Cardiovascular Effects of Stimulant and Non-
- 665 Stimulant Medication for Children and Adolescents with ADHD: A Systematic Review and Meta-
- Analysis of Trials of Methylphenidate, Amphetamines and Atomoxetine. CNS drugs 2017; 31(3): 199-
- 667 215.
- 668 16. Krinzinger H, Hall CL, Groom MJ, et al. Neurological and psychiatric adverse effects of long-
- term methylphenidate treatment in ADHD: A map of the current evidence. *Neuroscience and*
- 670 biobehavioral reviews 2019; **107**: 945-68.
- 671 17. Inglis SK, Carucci S, Garas P, et al. Prospective observational study protocol to investigate
- 672 long-term adverse effects of methylphenidate in children and adolescents with ADHD: the Attention
- 673 Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Drugs Use Chronic Effects (ADDUCE) study. BMJ open 2016; 6(4):
- 674 e010433.

- 675 18. Bussing R, Fernandez M, Harwood M, et al. Parent and teacher SNAP-IV ratings of attention
- deficit hyperactivity disorder symptoms: psychometric properties and normative ratings from a
- school district sample. *Assessment* 2008; **15**(3): 317-28.
- 678 19. Goodman R. Psychometric properties of the strengths and difficulties questionnaire. *Journal*
- 679 of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry 2001; **40**(11): 1337-45.
- 680 20. Ancold A, Stephen CJA. Development of a short questionnaire for use in epidemiological
- studies of depression in children and adolescents. 1995; 6(11): 237-49.
- 682 21. Zammit S, Horwood J, Thompson A, et al. Investigating if psychosis-like symptoms (PLIKS) are
- associated with family history of schizophrenia or paternal age in the ALSPAC birth cohort.
- 684 *Schizophrenia research* 2008; **104**(1-3): 279-86.
- 685 22. Leckman JF, Riddle MA, Hardin MT, et al. The Yale Global Tic Severity Scale: initial testing of a
- 686 clinician-rated scale of tic severity. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent
- 687 *Psychiatry* 1989; **28**(4): 566-73.
- 688 23. Posner K, Brown GK, Stanley B, et al. The Columbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale: initial
- validity and internal consistency findings from three multisite studies with adolescents and adults.
- 690 The American journal of psychiatry 2011; **168**(12): 1266-77.
- 691 24. Molina BS, Pelham WE, Jr. Childhood predictors of adolescent substance use in a longitudinal
- 692 study of children with ADHD. Journal of abnormal psychology 2003; 112(3): 497-507.
- 693 25. Munetz MR, Benjamin S. How to examine patients using the Abnormal Involuntary
- Movement Scale. Hospital & community psychiatry 1988; 39(11): 1172-7.
- 695 26. Webster-Clark M, Stürmer T, Wang T, et al. Using propensity scores to estimate effects of
- treatment initiation decisions: State of the science. Statistics in medicine 2021; 40(7): 1718-35.
- 697 27. Keene ON. The log transformation is special. Statistics in medicine 1995; 14(8): 811-9.
- 698 28. Box GEP CD. An Analysis of Transformations. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B
- 699 (Methodological). Vol. 26, No. 2., pp. 211-252.; 1964.
- 700 29. Faraone SV, Giefer EE. Long-term effects of methylphenidate transdermal delivery system
- treatment of ADHD on growth. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*
- 702 2007; **46**(9): 1138-47.
- 703 30. Poulton AS, Bui Q, Melzer E, Evans R. Stimulant medication effects on growth and bone age
- in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a prospective cohort study. *International*
- 705 *clinical psychopharmacology* 2016; **31**(2): 93-9.
- 706 31. Zhang H, Du M, Zhuang S. Impact of long-term treatment of methylphenidate on height and
- weight of school age children with ADHD. *Neuropediatrics* 2010; **41**(2): 55-9.
- 708 32. Buitelaar J, van de Loo-Neus G, Hennissen L, et al. Long-term methylphenidate exposure and
- 709 24-hours blood pressure and left ventricular mass in adolescents and young adults with attention
- 710 deficit hyperactivity disorder. *currently under review* 2022.
- 711 33. Lee MJ, Yang KC, Shyu YC, et al. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder, its treatment with
- 712 medication and the probability of developing a depressive disorder: A nationwide population-based
- study in Taiwan. *Journal of affective disorders* 2016; **189**: 110-7.
- 714 34. Hechtman L, Abikoff H, Klein RG, et al. Academic achievement and emotional status of
- 715 children with ADHD treated with long-term methylphenidate and multimodal psychosocial
- treatment. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* 2004; **43**(7): 812-9.
- 717 35. Chang Z, D'Onofrio BM, Quinn PD, Lichtenstein P, Larsson H. Medication for Attention-
- 718 Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Risk for Depression: A Nationwide Longitudinal Cohort Study.
- 719 *Biological psychiatry* 2016; **80**(12): 916-22.
- 720 36. Man KK, Coghill D, Chan EW, et al. Methylphenidate and the risk of psychotic disorders and
- hallucinations in children and adolescents in a large health system. *Translational psychiatry* 2016;
- 722 **6**(11): e956.
- 723 37. Hollis C, Chen Q, Chang Z, et al. Methylphenidate and the risk of psychosis in adolescents and
- young adults: a population-based cohort study. The lancet Psychiatry 2019; **6**(8): 651-8.

- 725 38. Cortese S, Panei P, Arcieri R, et al. Safety of Methylphenidate and Atomoxetine in Children
- with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): Data from the Italian National ADHD Registry.
- 727 *CNS drugs* 2015; **29**(10): 865-77.
- 728 39. Paternite CE, Loney J, Salisbury H, Whaley MA. Childhood inattention-overactivity,
- aggression, and stimulant medication history as predictors of young adult outcomes. Journal of child
- 730 and adolescent psychopharmacology 1999; **9**(3): 169-84.
- 731 40. Osland ST, Steeves TD, Pringsheim T. Pharmacological treatment for attention deficit
- 732 hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in children with comorbid tic disorders. The Cochrane database of
- 733 *systematic reviews* 2018; **6**(6): Cd007990.
- 734 41. Chen Q, Sjölander A, Runeson B, D'Onofrio BM, Lichtenstein P, Larsson H. Drug treatment for
- 735 attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and suicidal behaviour: register based study. BMJ (Clinical
- 736 research ed) 2014; **348**: g3769.
- 737 42. Man KKC, Coghill D, Chan EW, et al. Association of Risk of Suicide Attempts With
- 738 Methylphenidate Treatment. JAMA Psychiatry 2017; 74(10): 1048-55.
- 739 43. Liang SH, Yang YH, Kuo TY, et al. Suicide risk reduction in youths with attention-
- 740 deficit/hyperactivity disorder prescribed methylphenidate: A Taiwan nationwide population-based
- 741 cohort study. *Research in developmental disabilities* 2018; **72**: 96-105.
- 742 44. Chang Z, Quinn PD, O'Reilly L, et al. Medication for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder
- and Risk for Suicide Attempts. *Biological psychiatry* 2020; **88**(6): 452-8.
- 744 45. Humphreys KL, Eng T, Lee SS. Stimulant medication and substance use outcomes: a meta-
- 745 analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2013; **70**(7): 740-9.
- 746 46. Chang Z, Lichtenstein P, Halldner L, et al. Stimulant ADHD medication and risk for substance
- abuse. *Journal of child psychology and psychiatry, and allied disciplines* 2014; **55**(8): 878-85.
- 748 47. Schoenfelder EN, Faraone SV, Kollins SH. Stimulant treatment of ADHD and cigarette
- 749 smoking: a meta-analysis. *Pediatrics* 2014; **133**(6): 1070-80.
- 750 48. Man KKC, Lau WCY, Coghill D, et al. Association between methylphenidate treatment and risk
- of seizure: a population-based, self-controlled case-series study. Lancet Child Adolesc Health 2020;
- **4**(6): 435-43.
- 753 49. Jeong HE, Lee H, Lai EC, et al. Association between methylphenidate and risk of myocardial
- 754 infarction: A multinational self-controlled case series study. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf* 2021;
- 755 **30**(10): 1458-67.

- 756 50. Gao L, Man KKC, Chan EW, et al. Treatment with Methylphenidate for Attention Deficit
- 757 Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) and the Risk of All-Cause Poisoning in Children and Adolescents: A
- 758 Self-Controlled Case Series Study. CNS drugs 2021; **35**(7): 769-79.
- 759 51. Man KKC, Gao L, Lau WCY, et al. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, physical abuse and
- methylphenidate treatment in children. *Nature Mental Health* 2023; **1**(1): 66-75.