Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](https://www.elsevier.com/locate/ijengsci)



International Journal of Engineering Science



# Full length article

# On effective surface elastic moduli for microstructured strongly anisotropic coatings

Victor A. Eremeyev<sup>[a](#page-0-0), [b](#page-0-1)</sup>, Giuseppe Rosi<sup>[c](#page-0-2),\*</sup>, Salah Naili<sup>c</sup>

<span id="page-0-2"></span><span id="page-0-1"></span><span id="page-0-0"></span><sup>a</sup> *Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering and Architecture (DICAAR), University of Cagliari, Via Marengo, 2, 09123 Cagliari, Italy* <sup>b</sup> *Gdańsk University of Technology, ul. Gabriela Narutowicza 11/12, 80-233 Gdańsk, Poland* <sup>c</sup> *Univ Paris Est Creteil, Univ Gustave Eiffel, CNRS, UMR 8208, MSME, F-94010 Créteil, France*

# ARTICLE INFO

*Keywords:* Surface elasticity Effective properties Dispersion relation Anti-plane waves Hyperbolic metasurface

# A B S T R A C T

The determination of surface elastic moduli is discussed in the context of a recently proposed strongly anisotropic surface elasticity model. The aim of the model was to describe deformations of solids with thin elastic coatings associated with so-called hyperbolic metasurfaces. These metasurfaces can exhibit a quite unusual behaviour and concurrently a very promising wave propagation behaviour. In the model of strongly anisotropic surface elasticity, strain energy as a function of the first and second deformation gradients has been introduced in addition to the constitutive relations in the bulk. In order to obtain values of surface elastic moduli, we compare dispersion relations for anti-plane surface waves obtained using the two-dimensional (2D) model and three-dimensional (3D) straightforward calculations for microstructured coatings of finite thickness. We show that with derived effective surface moduli, the 2D model can correctly describe the wave propagation.

**Engineering** Science

## **1. Introduction**

Nowadays various models of surface elasticity found wide applications in modelling and design of nanostructured materials, see, *e.g.*, ([Duan, Wang, & Karihaloo,](#page-6-0) [2008;](#page-6-0) [Eremeyev](#page-6-1), [2016;](#page-6-1) [Heyden & Bain,](#page-6-2) [2024;](#page-6-2) [Javili, dell'Isola, & Steinmann](#page-6-3), [2013](#page-6-3); [Javili, McBride, &](#page-6-4) [Steinmann,](#page-6-4) [2013;](#page-6-4) [Wang et al.,](#page-7-0) [2011](#page-7-0)). In the framework of the surface elasticity concept one introduces a surface strain energy which can be treated as a certain extension of the surface tension notion [\(Adamson & Gast](#page-6-5), [1997](#page-6-5)). The most popular models of surface elasticity were introduced by [Gurtin and Murdoch](#page-6-6) ([1975](#page-6-6), [1978\)](#page-6-7) and by [Steigmann and Ogden](#page-7-1) ([1997,](#page-7-1) [1999](#page-7-2)). This additional surface constitutive relation is independent on the constitutive equations in the bulk, in general. As a result, we have additional material parameters which should be somehow determined. For example, in the case of the linearised Gurtin–Murdoch surface elasticity with isotropic properties we have three additional parameters which are the two surface Lamé moduli  $\lambda_s$  and  $\mu_s$  and initial surface tension  $\gamma$ , see [Duan et al.](#page-6-0) ([2008\)](#page-6-0), [Ru](#page-7-3) [\(2010\)](#page-7-3) for discussion on possible models. For linearised Steigmann–Ogden model in addition to aforementioned parameters we have two elastic moduli related to bending stiffness, see, *e.g.*, [Dai and Schiavone](#page-6-8) [\(2023](#page-6-8)), [Han,](#page-6-9) [Mogilevskaya, and Schillinger](#page-6-9) [\(2018](#page-6-9)), [Wang, Yan, et al.](#page-7-4) ([2019\)](#page-7-4), [Zemlyanova and Mogilevskaya](#page-7-5) [\(2018](#page-7-5)). Obviously, further extensions of surface elasticity require even more material parameters.

Let us note that straightforward experimental measurements of surface elastic *moduli* require rather advanced techniques together with proper models such as atom-force microscopy [\(Cuenot, Frétigny, Demoustier-Champagne, & Nysten](#page-6-10), [2004](#page-6-10); [Jing et al.,](#page-6-11) [2006](#page-6-11); [Xu,](#page-7-6) [Jensen, Boltyanskiy, Sarfati, Style et al.,](#page-7-6) [2017\)](#page-7-6) or other techniques ([Cornelius & Thomas](#page-6-12), [2018\)](#page-6-12) which can be applied to specimens at small scales, so such measurements are quite rare. Another source of material parameters is atomistic models and corresponding

<span id="page-0-3"></span>Corresponding author. *E-mail addresses:* [eremeyev.victor@gmail.com](mailto:eremeyev.victor@gmail.com) (V.A. Eremeyev), [giuseppe.rosi@u-pec.fr](mailto:giuseppe.rosi@u-pec.fr) (G. Rosi), [naili@u-pec.fr](mailto:naili@u-pec.fr) (S. Naili).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijengsci.2024.104135>

Received 8 July 2024; Received in revised form 12 August 2024; Accepted 17 August 2024

Available online 30 August 2024

<sup>0020-7225/© 2024</sup> The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license ([http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

simulations, see, *e.g.*, [Miller and Shenoy](#page-7-7) ([2000\)](#page-7-7), [Pourkermani, Azizi, and Pishkenari](#page-7-8) ([2020\)](#page-7-8), [Shenoy](#page-7-9) ([2005\)](#page-7-9), [Wang, Bian, and Wang](#page-7-10) [\(2019\)](#page-7-10). For example, the relations between linear Gurtin–Murdoch model and lattice dynamics can be established if one choose proper scaling ([Eremeyev & Sharma](#page-6-13), [2019;](#page-6-13) [Sharma & Eremeyev,](#page-7-11) [2019](#page-7-11)). It is worth to mention also some other techniques resulting to 2D surface/interface models of thin coatings and interfacial layers [\(Benveniste & Miloh,](#page-6-14) [2001,](#page-6-14) [2007;](#page-6-15) [Brun, Movchan, & Movchan,](#page-6-16) [2010;](#page-6-16) [Gei,](#page-6-17) [2008;](#page-6-17) [Kaur, Khurana, & Tomar](#page-6-18), [2024](#page-6-18); [Mishuris, Movchan, & Movchan](#page-7-12), [2006](#page-7-12), [2010](#page-7-13); [Mishuris, Öchsner, & Kuhn,](#page-7-14) [2006;](#page-7-14) [Movchan & Movchan,](#page-7-15) [1995](#page-7-15); [Nobili & Volpini](#page-7-16), [2021](#page-7-16); [Sonato, Piccolroaz, Miszuris, & Mishuris,](#page-7-17) [2015\)](#page-7-17). Some of these techniques are closely related to the surface elasticity, see the discussion in [Eremeyev, Rosi, and Naili](#page-6-19) [\(2020](#page-6-19)).

Summarising, we underline that the problem of experimental and/or theoretical determination of a surface elastic parameters is quite complex even in the case of infinitesimal deformations of isotropic solids. At the same time, surface moduli may change significantly effective properties of materials ([Duan et al.](#page-6-0), [2008;](#page-6-0) [Eremeyev,](#page-6-1) [2016](#page-6-1); [Han et al.,](#page-6-9) [2018;](#page-6-9) [Wang et al.,](#page-7-0) [2011;](#page-7-0) [Wang &](#page-7-18) [Schiavone,](#page-7-18) [2013;](#page-7-18) [Wang, Yan, et al.](#page-7-4), [2019](#page-7-4); [Zemlyanova & Mogilevskaya,](#page-7-5) [2018](#page-7-5)) and affect stress singularity in vicinity of crack tips, see e.g. the discussion in [Gorbushin, Eremeyev, and Mishuris](#page-6-20) ([2020\)](#page-6-20). Moreover, surface elasticity essentially enriched a picture of surface waves, see [Eremeyev](#page-6-21) [\(2024](#page-6-21)), [Eremeyev, Rosi, and Naili](#page-6-22) [\(2016](#page-6-22)), [Eremeyev et al.](#page-6-19) [\(2020](#page-6-19)), [Jia, Zhang, Zhang, Feng, and Gu](#page-6-23) [\(2018\)](#page-6-23), [Mikhasev, Botogova, and Eremeyev](#page-7-19) ([2021,](#page-7-19) [2022](#page-7-20)), [Mikhasev, Erbaş, and Eremeyev](#page-7-21) [\(2023](#page-7-21)), [Rosi, Placidi, Nguyen, and Naili](#page-7-22) [\(2017\)](#page-7-22), [Steigmann and Ogden](#page-7-23) ([2007\)](#page-7-23) and the references therein. The problem becomes more complex for thin microstructured anisotropic coatings such ones used for manufacturing of metasurfaces of different kind. In fact, such coatings as self-cleaned and super oleo- and hydrophobic surfaces have rather complicated microstructure ([Bhushan, Jung, & Koch,](#page-6-24) [2009;](#page-6-24) [Chen, Taylor, & Yu,](#page-6-25) [2016;](#page-6-25) [Holloway, Kuester, Gordon, O'Hara, Booth et al.](#page-6-26), [2012](#page-6-26)). Considering microstructured thin coatings one can use a boundary homogenisation technique similar to homogenisation in 3D. In other words, one can replace a thin surface/interface layer of finite thickness by 2D constitutive equations of the surface elasticity. Some examples of such a technique can be found in [Eremeyev](#page-6-1) [\(2016\)](#page-6-1), where the Gurtin–Murdoch model was used as the effective medium. Boundary homogenisation techniques have also been shown to be effective in capturing such complex behaviour in other cases, see [Bernoff, Lindsay, and Schmidt](#page-6-27) ([2018\)](#page-6-27), [Grebenkov](#page-6-28) [and Skvortsov](#page-6-28) ([2023\)](#page-6-28), [Plunkett and Lawley](#page-7-24) [\(2024](#page-7-24)).

Motivated by hyperbolic metasurfaces the model of strongly anisotropic surface elasticity was proposed recently in [Eremeyev](#page-6-29) [\(2019\)](#page-6-29). A hyperbolic metasurface can be considered as a coating made of array of ordered parallel to each other ribs (nanobars) attached to a solid surface ([High et al.](#page-6-30), [2015;](#page-6-30) [Ji et al.](#page-6-31), [2014;](#page-6-31) [Li et al.,](#page-7-25) [2018;](#page-7-25) [Schwan & Boutin](#page-7-26), [2013\)](#page-7-26). From the physical point of view the model ([Eremeyev](#page-6-29), [2019\)](#page-6-29) describes finite deformations of an elastic solid body with attached on its surface an elastic membrane reinforced by elastic beams. As a result, the introduced surface strain energy inherits bending stiffness of the beams elastic stiffness of the membrane, so it takes into account stretching and bending. But the latter relates to bending along beams. For small deformations we have four elastic moduli. Analysing the anti-plane surface waves with this model we derived specific dispersion relations which are highly sensitive to values of elastic moduli. Let us note that the model ([Eremeyev,](#page-6-29) [2019](#page-6-29)) was introduced within so-called direct approach. In fact, motivated by observed microstructure of hyperbolic metasurfaces the form of the surface strain energy was postulated as a constitutive relation for 2D elastic continuum.

The aim of this paper is discuss the behaviour of solids with microstructured coatings of finite thickness similar to reinforced elastic membranes and to determine their effective elastic properties. The paper is organised as follows. First, following ([Eremeyev,](#page-6-29) [2019\)](#page-6-29) we briefly recall the basic equations of the strongly anisotropic elasticity in Section [2.](#page-1-0) For simplicity we restrict ourselves by infinitesimal deformations. In Section [3](#page-2-0) we consider anti-plane deformations. In Section [4](#page-3-0) we introduce the corresponding 3D model of a coating of finite thickness and describe the calculation technique. Here we analyse the dispersion relations for both models. Comparing these relations we obtain the effective elastic surface moduli.

### **2. Basic equations of the strongly anisotropic surface elasticity**

<span id="page-1-0"></span>Following [\(Eremeyev](#page-6-29), [2019\)](#page-6-29), let us briefly recall the basic equations of the model, derived using variational modelling based on the least action principle ([Eremeyev,](#page-6-29) [2019\)](#page-6-29). This means that the equations of motion and boundary conditions are obtained from the minimisation of the action functional, *i.e.* the integral of the difference between the kinetic energy and potential energy. As was mentioned in Introduction, the strongly anisotropic surface elasticity was motivated by some metasurfaces. Schematic representation of a solid body with such a surface is given in [Fig.](#page-2-1) [1.](#page-2-1) It consists of a system of almost parallel interacting ribs (fibres). From the mechanical point of view, the solid could be treated as a system of elastic beams connected *via* elastic bonds modelled as elastic springs. Obviously, there is a preferable direction along beams which can be parameterised using a surface unit vector  $\tau$  with  $\tau \cdot n = 0$ , where  $n$  is a normal unit vector to the surface. Such a coating could be treated as an elastic membrane reinforced by elastic fibres. This membrane has no bending stiffness related to the bending in the plane orthogonal to  $\tau$ .

For simplicity we restrict ourselves by infinitesimal deformations of an isotropic in the bulk elastic solid. So the kinematics is described through a displacement vector field given by

$$
\underline{u} = \underline{u}(x, t),\tag{1}
$$

where x is the position vector and  $t$  is time. In the bulk, we have the Hooke law and the second-order tensor of infinitesimal strains defined by

$$
\underline{\underline{\sigma}} = \lambda (\text{Tr} \underline{e}) \underline{I} + 2\mu \underline{e}, \quad \underline{e} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \nabla \underline{u} + (\nabla \underline{u})^T \right), \tag{2}
$$



<span id="page-2-5"></span><span id="page-2-2"></span>**Fig. 1.** Illustration of a microstructured coating (metasurface).

<span id="page-2-1"></span>where  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are the Lamé moduli, Tr is the trace operator,  $\underline{I}$  is the 3D unit second-order tensor,  $\underline{\sigma}$  and  $\underline{e}$  are the second-order tensors of stress and strain, respectively. The 3D nabla-operator  $\nabla$  allows to define the gradient and divergence operators denoted ∇ and ∇⋅ respectively. The equation of motion takes the form

$$
\mu \nabla \cdot \nabla \underline{u} + (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \nabla \cdot \underline{u} = \rho \underline{\ddot{u}},\tag{3}
$$

where  $\rho$  is mass density of the solid and the overdot stands for the derivative with respect to  $t$ .

In addition to constitutive relations in the bulk, we introduce the surface strain energy density  $W<sub>s</sub>$  as follows ([Eremeyev](#page-6-29), [2019](#page-6-29))

$$
W_s = K_1 \operatorname{Tr}(\underline{\epsilon}^2) + \frac{1}{2} K_2 (\operatorname{Tr} \underline{\epsilon})^2 + \frac{1}{2} K_3 (\underline{\tau} \cdot \underline{\epsilon} \cdot \underline{\tau})^2 + \frac{1}{2} K_4 (\underline{\tau} \cdot \underline{\epsilon} \cdot \underline{\tau}) (\operatorname{Tr} \underline{\epsilon})
$$
  
+ 
$$
\frac{1}{2} K_b [\underline{\tau} \times (\underline{\tau} \cdot \nabla_s) (\underline{\tau} \cdot \nabla_s) \underline{u}] \cdot [\underline{\tau} \times (\underline{\tau} \cdot \nabla_s) (\underline{\tau} \cdot \nabla_s) \underline{u}],
$$
 (4)

where  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are the surface Lamé moduli as in the linear Gurtin–Murdoch surface elasticity,  $K_3$  and  $K_3$  relate to fibre reinforcement,  $K_b$  is the higher order elastic modulus related to bending stiffness of fibres, and  $\times$  is the cross product. In addition,  $\underline{\epsilon}$  is the second-order tensor of surface strain given by

$$
\underline{\underline{\epsilon}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \nabla_s \underline{u} \cdot \underline{A} + \underline{A} \cdot (\nabla_s \underline{u})^T \right),
$$
  
where  $A = I - n \otimes n$  is the second-order tensor of perpendicular projection onto the tangent plan to the surface.  $\nabla = A \cdot \nabla$  is the

where  $\underline{A} = \underline{I} - \underline{n} \otimes \underline{n}$  is the second-order tensor of perpendicular projection onto the tangent plan to the surface,  $\nabla_s = \underline{A} \cdot \nabla$  is the surface gradient operator, and *⊗* is the dyadic product. The first line of Eq. [\(4\)](#page-2-2) coincides with two-dimensional constitutive relations of fibre reinforced materials, see [Smith](#page-7-27) ([1994\)](#page-7-27), [Spencer](#page-7-28) [\(1984\)](#page-7-28), whereas the last term describes bending energy contribution.

Eq. ([4](#page-2-2)) results in the following surface stresses and hyperstresses respectively:

$$
\underline{\underline{S}} = \frac{\partial W_s}{\partial \underline{\underline{\epsilon}}} = 2K_1 \underline{\underline{\epsilon}} + \left(K_2 (\text{Tr } \underline{\underline{\epsilon}}) + K_4 \underline{\tau} \cdot \underline{\underline{\epsilon}} \cdot \underline{\tau}\right) \underline{\underline{A}} + \left(K_3 \underline{\tau} \cdot \underline{\underline{\epsilon}} \cdot \underline{\tau} + K_4 (\text{Tr } \underline{\epsilon})\right) \underline{\tau} \otimes \underline{\tau},
$$
\n(5)

$$
\underline{\underline{M}} = \frac{\partial W_s}{\partial \nabla_s \nabla_s \underline{u}} = K_b \underline{\tau} \otimes \underline{\tau} \otimes (\underline{\tau} \times [(\underline{\tau} \otimes \underline{\tau}) : \nabla_s \nabla_s \underline{u}]) \times \underline{\tau}.
$$
\n(6)

The corresponding boundary conditions for a smooth surface, they are given by the formula

<span id="page-2-3"></span>
$$
\underline{\underline{\sigma}} \cdot \underline{n} = \nabla_s \cdot \underline{\underline{S}} - \nabla_s \cdot (\nabla_s \cdot \underline{\underline{M}}) - 2H \underline{n} \cdot (\nabla_s \cdot \underline{\underline{M}}) - m \underline{\ddot{x}}, \tag{7}
$$

where *m* is surface mass density and the scalar  $H = -1/2\nabla_s \cdot \underline{n}$  is the mean curvature of the surface where the surface stresses act. Eq. ([7](#page-2-3)) plays a role of the generalised Laplace–Young equation. These boundary conditions represent the coupling between the bulk substrate and the coating. The right hand of Eq. [\(7\)](#page-2-3) is composed by four terms: the first one includes the contribution from membrane-like behaviour (both in shear and traction), the second and third one include the bending-like behaviour induced mainly by the stiff fibres, while the last one is an inertial term.

Note that ([4](#page-2-2)) is an example of so-called surface strain gradient elasticity with a surface strain energy also dependent of gradient of strain:

<span id="page-2-6"></span><span id="page-2-4"></span>
$$
W_s = W_s(\underline{\epsilon}, \nabla_s \nabla_s \underline{u}). \tag{8}
$$

Even more complex cases were discussed in [Eremeyev, Lebedev, and Cloud](#page-6-32) ([2021](#page-6-32)), [Rodriguez](#page-7-29) ([2024\)](#page-7-29).

# **3. Anti-plane motions**

<span id="page-2-0"></span>The basic equations discussed previously can be significantly simplified in the case of anti-plane deformations. Here, the motions are assumed in the following form [\(Achenbach](#page-6-33), [1973\)](#page-6-33)

$$
\underline{u} = u(x_1, x_3, t) \underline{e}_2,\tag{9}
$$

where  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  are Cartesian coordinates in the orthonormal basis  $(e_1, e_2, e_3)$ . In the following, we consider the half-space  $x_3 \le 0$ , so the external normal <u>n</u> coincides with  $\underline{e}_3$  whereas  $\underline{r} = \underline{e}_2$ .

Hereinafter, for brevity, we use the following notations for spatial derivatives:  $\partial_1 = \partial/\partial x_1$ ,  $\partial_3 = \partial/\partial x_3$ . From Eq. ([9](#page-2-4)), we have that

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
\nabla_{\underline{u}} = (\partial_1 u \underline{e}_1 + \partial_3 u \underline{e}_3) \otimes \underline{e}_2, \quad \nabla_{s} \underline{u} = \partial_1 (u_2 \underline{e}_1 \otimes \underline{e}_2),
$$
\n
$$
\nabla_s \nabla_s \underline{u} = \partial_1^2 u (\underline{e}_1 \otimes \underline{e}_1 \otimes \underline{e}_2),
$$
\n
$$
\underline{\underline{\underline{\sigma}}} = \mu \left[ \partial_1 u (\underline{e}_1 \otimes \underline{e}_2 + \underline{e}_2 \otimes \underline{e}_1) + \partial_3 u (\underline{e}_2 \otimes \underline{e}_3 + \underline{e}_3 \otimes \underline{e}_2) \right],
$$
\n
$$
\underline{\underline{S}} = K_1 \partial_1 u (\underline{e}_1 \otimes \underline{e}_2 + \underline{e}_2 \otimes \underline{e}_1), \quad \underline{\underline{M}} = K_b \partial_1^2 u (\underline{e}_1 \otimes \underline{e}_1 \otimes \underline{e}_2).
$$
\n(10)

Using  $(9)$  $(9)$  $(9)$  and  $(10)$  $(10)$ , the equation of motion  $(3)$  $(3)$  $(3)$  reduces to the wave equation given by

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
\mu \, (\partial_1^2 + \partial_3^2) \, u = \rho \, \ddot{u},\tag{11}
$$

whereas the boundary conditions [\(7\)](#page-2-3) take the form [\(Eremeyev,](#page-6-29) [2019\)](#page-6-29)

$$
\mu \partial_3 u = -m \ddot{u} + K_1 \partial_1^2 u - K_b \partial_1^4 u. \tag{12}
$$

Assuming a steady state condition and looking for solution of ([11\)](#page-3-2) in the form

<span id="page-3-4"></span>
$$
u = U(x_1, x_3) \exp(i\omega t), \tag{13}
$$

where  $\omega$  is a circular frequency, *i* is the imaginary unit, and *U* is an amplitude, we obtain that [Eremeyev](#page-6-29) ([2019\)](#page-6-29)

$$
U = U_0 \exp(x x_3) \exp(ikx_1),\tag{14}
$$

where

$$
x = x(k, \omega) \equiv \sqrt{k^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{c_T^2}}, \quad c_T = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\rho}},
$$

k is a wavenumber,  $c_T$  is the phase velocity of transverse waves in the bulk, and  $U_0$  is a constant. Here, k and  $\omega$  are related to each other through the dispersion relation

<span id="page-3-3"></span>
$$
\mu \times (k, \omega) = m \omega^2 - K_1 k^2 + K_b k^4. \tag{15}
$$

We transform Eq. ([15\)](#page-3-3) into

$$
c^2 = c_s^2 + c_b^2 k^2 + \frac{\mu}{m} \frac{1}{|k|} \sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{c_T^2}},
$$
\n(16)

where  $c_s = \sqrt{K_1/m}$  is the surface shear wave velocity within the Gurtin–Murdoch model ([Eremeyev et al.,](#page-6-22) [2016\)](#page-6-22),  $c_b = \sqrt{K_b/m}$  is a bending phase velocity coefficient (bending phase velocity is  $k c_b$ ) and  $c = \omega / k$  the phase velocity of the surface wave.

#### **4. 3D finite element numerical validation**

<span id="page-3-0"></span>In order to evaluate the dispersion for surface waves in a material with fibre coating, a 3D numerical calculation using the finite element method has been developed. The geometry is illustrated in [Fig.](#page-4-0) [2.](#page-4-0) Given the periodicity of the structure, only a representative unit cell is used in the numerical simulations. Bloch–Floquet periodic boundary conditions have been imposed on the lateral boundaries, free conditions on the upper boundary while the lower boundary is fixed.

The stiffer fibres have a width of  $h_f$ , a thickness of  $H_f$  and are separated by a layer of filling material of with  $h_s$ . This means that the width  $L = h_f + h_s$  of the unit cell is imposed by the periodicity of the fibre arrangement. As the wave propagates towards direction  $e_1$ , the length *a* is related to the maximum achievable wavenumber, defined as  $k_{max} = 2\pi/a$ . The thickness *H* of the substrate is chosen sufficiently large to ensure a proper exponential decay of the solution, which has the form of Eq. [\(14](#page-3-4)), thus matching the fixed boundary condition. The problem is solved using the commercial software Comsol Multiphysics. A wavenumber  $k_i$  with  $i \in [1, N_p]$ is imposed and the eigenfrequencies of the system are calculated, where  $N_p$  is positive integer. Among all the computed modes, anti-plane surface modes are selected by taking into only the eigenvectors in the form of Eq. ([14\)](#page-3-4) and the corresponding frequency is noted  $\omega_i$ . Finally, the phase velocity of the surface wave is computed considering that

$$
c_{FEM}(k_i) = \frac{\omega_i}{k_i}.
$$

In terms of material properties, three different materials are used (see [Table](#page-4-1) [1\)](#page-4-1):

The geometric features of the solid are  $h_f = 50 \mu m$ ,  $h_s = 50 \mu m$ ,  $a = 25 \mu m$ . The phase velocity of shear waves in the substrate is  $c_T$  = 3121.95 m/s. For the considered geometry features and material properties, the surface mass density *m* can me computed as

$$
m = \frac{\rho_1 h_f + \rho_2 h_s}{h_f + h_s} H_f.
$$



**Fig. 2.** Illustration of the 3D model.

# <span id="page-4-0"></span>**Table 1**

Material properties of the considered coated half-space for  $j = 1, 3$ .

<span id="page-4-1"></span>

### <span id="page-4-2"></span>**Table 2**





The other parameters of the surface model have been computed by solving the following minimisation problem:

$$
(c_s, c_b) = \arg\min_{c_s, c_b} f(c_s, c_b) = \arg\min_{c_s, c_b} \sum_{i=1}^{N_p} (c(k_i) - c_{FEM}(k_i))^2,
$$

where arg min is defined as the set of values of  $\{c_s, c_b\}$  for which the minimum of  $f(c_s, c_b)$  is attained.

In order to test the robustness of the model, a parametric study with respect to the thickness  $H_f$  has been performed, and the minimisation procedure leads to the following parameters for the surface model (see [Table](#page-4-2) [2\)](#page-4-2):

In [Fig.](#page-5-0) [3,](#page-5-0) the results for phase velocity are presented. The markers are related to the numerical solution, the solid line corresponds to the solutions computed with the proposed surface model, while the dashed lines correspond to the classic Gurtin–Murdoch model. It can be remarked that the proposed model fits very well the numerical solution for all the considered values of  $H_f$ , and as expected, the model is slightly less accurate when the thickness of the coating increases.

For each value of  $H_f$ , the solutions share the same behaviour. For low values of the wavenumber, phase velocity tends to  $c_T$ , *i.e.* phase velocity in the bulk material of the half-space. As expected, when wavenumber increases, the phase velocity decreases following the solution provided by the Gurtin–Murdoch model. However, instead of converging to the asymptotic value  $c_s$ , it starts increasing and tends to the asymptotic value  $k c_b$  up to the value  $c_T$ , as shown in [Eremeyev](#page-6-29) [\(2019](#page-6-29)). This can be explained by the dispersive behaviour of the architectured coating that becomes dominant as the penetration of the wave inside the material gets smaller. Indeed, for high values of frequency the waves as almost confined within the coating, and the bending behaviour becomes dominant.

### **5. Conclusions**

We have demonstrated that microstructured coatings of finite thickness can be modelled using properly modified surface elasticity, *i.e.* replacing 3D surface layer by a material surface. Let us note that for anti-plane motions there is no difference between



**Fig. 3.** Normalised phase velocity  $c/c_T$  as function of the normalised wavenumber  $k a$ .

<span id="page-5-0"></span>the Gurtin–Murdoch and Steigmann–Ogden models. When comparing to a FEM simulation (see [Fig.](#page-5-0) [3\)](#page-5-0), these models have been shown to capture correctly the behaviour up to a certain value of the normalised wavenumber. When this value increases, the solution phase velocity of surface waves starts increasing, due to the dispersive behaviour of the coating, while the solution given by Gurtin–Murdoch and Steigmann–Ogden models converges to the value of the shear wave velocity in the bulk. In this regime, the model proposed in [Eremeyev](#page-6-29) ([2019\)](#page-6-29), that includes a more general form of constitutive surface strain energy, correctly fits the FEM solution. It is worth to underline that the model ([Eremeyev](#page-6-29), [2019\)](#page-6-29) includes rather simple form of the surface kinetic energy. In fact, there the rotary inertia or microinertia was not taken into account, see the recent discussion about microinertia by [Eremeyev and](#page-6-34) [Elishakoff](#page-6-34) ([2024\)](#page-6-34). Despite of its relative simplicity this 2D model fits quite good the results of exact 3D calculations. To correctly capture wave propagation in a more general context, further studies involving strain gradient surface elasticity with more complex constitutive Eqs. [\(8\)](#page-2-6) could be necessary.

The obtained values of an surface elastic *moduli* can be also implemented for further modelling within finite element method of solids with surface stresses as in [He and Park](#page-6-35) [\(2018](#page-6-35)), [Javili and Steinmann](#page-6-36) ([2010\)](#page-6-36), [Yvonnet, Quang, and He](#page-7-30) ([2008\)](#page-7-30).

### **CRediT authorship contribution statement**

**Victor A. Eremeyev:** Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Validation, Supervision, Resources, Project administration, Methodology, Investigation, Funding acquisition, Formal analysis, Conceptualization. **Giuseppe Rosi:** Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Validation, Software, Methodology, Investigation, Funding acquisition, Formal analysis. **Salah Naili:** Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Project administration, Methodology, Investigation, Funding acquisition, Conceptualization.

### **Declaration of competing interest**

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: Victor Eremeyev reports financial support was provided by Horizon Europe. Giuseppe Rosi reports financial support was provided by University of Cagliari. Victor Eremeyev reports financial support was provided by Université Paris Est Créteil. Victor Eremeyev reports financial support was provided by Ministero Università e Ricerca. If there are other authors, they declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### **Data availability**

Data will be made available on request.

### **Acknowledgements**

V.A.E. acknowledges the support within the project ''Metamaterials design and synthesis with applications to infrastructure engineering'' funded by the MUR Progetti di Ricerca di Rilevante Interesse Nazionale (PRIN) Bando 2022 – grant 20228CPHN5, Italy, and the support of the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the RISE MSCA EffectFact Project agreement No 101008140. He is also thankful to the Université Paris-Est Créteil Val de Marne (France) and International Research Project (IRP) Coss&Vita from CNRS for the support and hospitality during the visiting professorship programme. G.R. acknowledges the support of the University of Cagliari (Italy) through the visiting professorship program.

### **References**

<span id="page-6-33"></span>Achenbach, J. (1973). *[Wave propagation in elastic solids](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb1)*. Amsterdam: North Holland.

- <span id="page-6-5"></span>[Adamson, A. W., & Gast, A. P. \(1997\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb2) *Physical chemistry of surfaces* (6th ed.). New York: Wiley.
- <span id="page-6-14"></span>[Benveniste, Y., & Miloh, T. \(2001\). Imperfect soft and stiff interfaces in two-dimensional elasticity.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb3) *Mechanics of Materials*, *33*(6), 309–323.
- <span id="page-6-15"></span>[Benveniste, Y., & Miloh, T. \(2007\). Soft neutral elastic inhomogeneities with membrane-type interface conditions.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb4) *Journal of Elasticity*, *88*(2), 87–111.
- <span id="page-6-27"></span>Bernoff, A. J., Lindsay, A. E., & Schmidt, D. D. (2018). Boundary homogenization and capture time distributions of semipermeable membranes with periodic patterns of reactive sites. *Multiscale Modeling & Simulation*, *16*(3), 1411–1447. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/17m1162512>.
- <span id="page-6-24"></span>[Bhushan, B., Jung, Y. C., & Koch, K. \(2009\). Micro-, nano- and hierarchical structures for superhydrophobicity, self-cleaning and low adhesion.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb6) *Philosophical [Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb6)*, *367*(1894), 1631–1672.
- <span id="page-6-16"></span>[Brun, M., Movchan, A. B., & Movchan, N. V. \(2010\). Shear polarisation of elastic waves by a structured interface.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb7) *Continuum Mechanics and Thermodynamics*, *22*[\(6–8\), 663–677.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb7)
- <span id="page-6-25"></span>[Chen, H.-T., Taylor, A. J., & Yu, N. \(2016\). A review of metasurfaces: Physics and applications.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb8) *Reports on Progress in Physics*, *79*(7), Article 076401.
- <span id="page-6-12"></span>[Cornelius, T. W., & Thomas, O. \(2018\). Progress of in situ synchrotron X-ray diffraction studies on the mechanical behavior of materials at small scales.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb9) *Progress [in Materials Science](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb9)*, *94*, 384–434.
- <span id="page-6-10"></span>[Cuenot, S., Frétigny, C., Demoustier-Champagne, S., & Nysten, B. \(2004\). Surface tension effect on the mechanical properties of nanomaterials measured by](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb10) [atomic force microscopy.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb10) *Physical Review B*, *69*(16), Article 165410.
- <span id="page-6-8"></span>[Dai, M., & Schiavone, P. \(2023\). Discussion of the linearized version of the Steigmann-Ogden surface model in plane deformation and its application to inclusion](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb11) problems. *[International Journal of Engineering Science](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb11)*, *192*, Article 103931.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>[Duan, H. L., Wang, J., & Karihaloo, B. L. \(2008\). Theory of elasticity at the nanoscale. In](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb12) *Adv. appl. mech.*: *vol. 42*, (pp. 1–68). Elsevier.

- <span id="page-6-1"></span>[Eremeyev, V. A. \(2016\). On effective properties of materials at the nano-and microscales considering surface effects.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb13) *Acta Mechanica*, *227*(1), 29–42.
- <span id="page-6-29"></span>Eremeyev, V. A. (2019). Strongly anisotropic surface elasticity and antiplane surface waves. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London A (Mathematical and Physical Sciences)*, *378*(2162), Article 20190100. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2019.0100.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2019.0100)
- <span id="page-6-21"></span>[Eremeyev, V. A. \(2024\). Surface finite viscoelasticity and surface anti-plane waves.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb15) *International Journal of Engineering Science*, *196*, Article 104029.
- <span id="page-6-34"></span>[Eremeyev, V. A., & Elishakoff, I. \(2024\). On rotary inertia of microstuctured beams and variations thereof.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb16) *Mechanics Research Communications*, *135*, Article [104239.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb16)
- <span id="page-6-32"></span>[Eremeyev, V. A., Lebedev, L. P., & Cloud, M. J. \(2021\). On weak solutions of boundary value problems within the surface elasticity of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb17) th order. *ZAMM-Journal [of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics/Zeitschrift für Angewandte Mathematik und Mechanik](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb17)*, *101*(3), Article e202000378.
- <span id="page-6-22"></span>[Eremeyev, V. A., Rosi, G., & Naili, S. \(2016\). Surface/interfacial anti-plane waves in solids with surface energy.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb18) *Mechanics Research Communications*, *74*, 8–13.
- <span id="page-6-19"></span>[Eremeyev, V. A., Rosi, G., & Naili, S. \(2020\). Transverse surface waves on a cylindrical surface with coating.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb19) *International Journal of Engineering Science*, *147*, [Article 103188.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb19)
- <span id="page-6-13"></span>[Eremeyev, V. A., & Sharma, B. L. \(2019\). Anti-plane surface waves in media with surface structure: Discrete vs. continuum model.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb20) *International Journal of [Engineering Science](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb20)*, *143*, 33–38.
- <span id="page-6-17"></span>[Gei, M. \(2008\). Elastic waves guided by a material interface.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb21) *European Journal of Mechanics A - Solids*, *27*(3), 328–345.
- <span id="page-6-20"></span>[Gorbushin, N., Eremeyev, V. A., & Mishuris, G. \(2020\). On stress singularity near the tip of a crack with surface stresses.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb22) *International Journal of Engineering Science*, *146*[, Article 103183.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb22)
- <span id="page-6-28"></span>Grebenkov, D. S., & Skvortsov, A. T. (2023). Boundary homogenization for target search problems. [http://dx.doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2310.14322,](http://dx.doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2310.14322) arXiv [arXiv:2310.14322.](http://arxiv.org/abs/2310.14322)
- <span id="page-6-6"></span>[Gurtin, M. E., & Murdoch, A. I. \(1975\). A continuum theory of elastic material surfaces.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb24) *Archive of Rationional Mechanics and Analysis*, *57*(4), 291–323.
- <span id="page-6-7"></span>[Gurtin, M. E., & Murdoch, A. I. \(1978\). Surface stress in solids.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb25) *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, *14*(6), 431–440.
- <span id="page-6-9"></span>[Han, Z., Mogilevskaya, S. G., & Schillinger, D. \(2018\). Local fields and overall transverse properties of unidirectional composite materials with multiple nanofibers](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb26) and Steigmann–Ogden interfaces. *[International Journal of Solids and Structures](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb26)*, *147*, 166–182.
- <span id="page-6-35"></span>[He, J., & Park, H. S. \(2018\). A methodology for modeling surface effects on stiff and soft solids.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb27) *Computational Mechanics*, *61*(6), 687–697.
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>[Heyden, S., & Bain, N. \(2024\). From a distance: Shuttleworth revisited.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb28) *Soft Matter*, *20*(28), 5592–5597.
- <span id="page-6-30"></span>[High, A. A., Devlin, R. C., Dibos, A., Polking, M., Wild, D. S., Perczel, J., de Leon, N. P., Lukin, M. D., & Park, H. \(2015\). Visible-frequency hyperbolic metasurface.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb29) *Nature*, *522*[\(7555\), 192.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb29)
- <span id="page-6-26"></span>[Holloway, C. L., Kuester, E. F., Gordon, J. A., O'Hara, J., Booth, J., & Smith, D. R. \(2012\). An overview of the theory and applications of metasurfaces: The](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb30) [two-dimensional equivalents of metamaterials.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb30) *IEEE Antennas and Propagation Magazine*, *54*(2), 10–35.
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>[Javili, A., dell'Isola, F., & Steinmann, P. \(2013\). Geometrically nonlinear higher-gradient elasticity with energetic boundaries.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb31) *Journal of Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, *61*[\(12\), 2381–2401.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb31)
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>[Javili, A., McBride, A., & Steinmann, P. \(2013\). Thermomechanics of solids with lower-dimensional energetics: On the importance of surface, interface, and curve](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb32) [structures at the nanoscale. A unifying review.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb32) *Applied Mechanics Reviews*, *65*(1), Article 010802.
- <span id="page-6-36"></span>[Javili, A., & Steinmann, P. \(2010\). A finite element framework for continua with boundary energies. Part II: The three-dimensional case.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb33) *Computer Methods in [Applied Mechanics and Engineering](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb33)*, *199*(9–12), 755–765.
- <span id="page-6-31"></span>[Ji, D., Song, H., Zeng, X., Hu, H., Liu, K., Zhang, N., & Gan, Q. \(2014\). Broadband absorption engineering of hyperbolic metafilm patterns.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb34) *Scientific Reports*, *4*, [4498.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb34)
- <span id="page-6-23"></span>[Jia, F., Zhang, Z., Zhang, H., Feng, X.-Q., & Gu, B. \(2018\). Shear horizontal wave dispersion in nanolayers with surface effects and determination of surface](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb35) elastic constants. *Thin Solid Films*, *645*[\(Supplement C\), 134–138.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb35)
- <span id="page-6-11"></span>[Jing, G. Y., Duan, H., Sun, X. M., Zhang, Z. S., Xu, J., Li, Y. D., Wang, J. X., & Yu, D. P. \(2006\). Surface effects on elastic properties of silver nanowires: Contact](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb36) [atomic-force microscopy.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb36) *Physical Review B*, *73*(23), Article 235409.
- <span id="page-6-18"></span>[Kaur, S., Khurana, A., & Tomar, S. \(2024\). An approximate secular equation of Rayleigh-like waves in coated elastic half-space containing voids.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb37) *International [Journal of Engineering Science](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb37)*, *196*, Article 104016.
- <span id="page-7-25"></span>[Li, P., Dolado, I., Alfaro-Mozaz, F. J., Casanova, F., Hueso, L. E., Liu, S., Edgar, J. H., Nikitin, A. Y., Vélez, S., & Hillenbrand, R. \(2018\). Infrared hyperbolic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb38) [metasurface based on nanostructured van der Waals materials.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb38) *Science*, *359*(6378), 892–896.
- <span id="page-7-19"></span>[Mikhasev, G. I., Botogova, M. G., & Eremeyev, V. A. \(2021\). On the influence of a surface roughness on propagation of anti-plane short-length localized waves](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb39) in a medium with surface coating. *[International Journal of Engineering Science](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb39)*, *158*, Article 103428.
- <span id="page-7-20"></span>[Mikhasev, G. I., Botogova, M. G., & Eremeyev, V. A. \(2022\). Anti-plane waves in an elastic thin strip with surface energy.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb40) *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society, Series A*, *380*[\(2231\), Article 20210373.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb40)
- <span id="page-7-21"></span>[Mikhasev, G., Erbaş, B., & Eremeyev, V. A. \(2023\). Anti-plane shear waves in an elastic strip rigidly attached to an elastic half-space.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb41) *International Journal of [Engineering Science](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb41)*, *184*, 103809.

<span id="page-7-7"></span>[Miller, R. E., & Shenoy, V. B. \(2000\). Size-dependent elastic properties of nanosized structural elements.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb42) *Nanotechnology*, *11*(3), 139–147.

- <span id="page-7-12"></span>[Mishuris, G. S., Movchan, N. V., & Movchan, A. B. \(2006\). Steady-state motion of a Mode-III crack on imperfect interfaces.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb43) *The Quarterly Journal of Mechanics [& Applied Mathematics](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb43)*, *59*(4), 487–516.
- <span id="page-7-13"></span>[Mishuris, G. S., Movchan, N. V., & Movchan, A. B. \(2010\). Dynamic mode-III interface crack in a bi-material strip.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb44) *International Journal of Fracture*, *166*(1–2), [121–133.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb44)
- <span id="page-7-14"></span>[Mishuris, G., Öchsner, A., & Kuhn, G. \(2006\). FEM-analysis of nonclassical transmission conditions between elastic structures. part 2: Stiff imperfect interface.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb45) *[CMC: Computers, Materials, & Continua](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb45)*, *4*(3), 137–152.
- <span id="page-7-15"></span>Movchan, A. B., & Movchan, N. V. (1995). *[Mathematical modelling of solids with nonregular boundaries](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb46)*. Boca Raton: CRC Press.
- <span id="page-7-16"></span>[Nobili, A., & Volpini, V. \(2021\). Microstructured induced band pattern in Love wave propagation for novel nondestructive testing \(NDT\) procedures.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb47) *International [Journal of Engineering Science](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb47)*, *168*, Article 103545.
- <span id="page-7-24"></span>Plunkett, C. E., & Lawley, S. D. (2024). Boundary homogenization for partially reactive patches. *Multiscale Modeling & Simulation*, *22*(2), 784–810. [http:](http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/23m1573422) [//dx.doi.org/10.1137/23m1573422](http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/23m1573422).
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>[Pourkermani, A. G., Azizi, B., & Pishkenari, H. N. \(2020\). Vibrational analysis of Ag, Cu and Ni nanobeams using a hybrid continuum-atomistic model.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb49) *International [Journal of Mechanical Sciences](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb49)*, *165*, Article 105208.
- <span id="page-7-29"></span>[Rodriguez, C. \(2024\). Elastic solids with strain-gradient elastic boundary surfaces.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb50) *Journal of Elasticity*, 1–29.
- <span id="page-7-22"></span>[Rosi, G., Placidi, L., Nguyen, V.-H., & Naili, S. \(2017\). Wave propagation across a finite heterogeneous interphase modeled as an interface with material properties.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb51) *[Mechanics Research Communications](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb51)*, *84*, 43–48.
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>[Ru, C. Q. \(2010\). Simple geometrical explanation of Gurtin-Murdoch model of surface elasticity with clarification of its related versions.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb52) *Science China Physics, [Mechanics and Astronomy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb52)*, *53*(3), 536–544.
- <span id="page-7-26"></span>[Schwan, L., & Boutin, C. \(2013\). Unconventional wave reflection due to ''resonant surface''.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb53) *Wave Motion*, *50*(4), 852–868.
- <span id="page-7-11"></span>[Sharma, B. L., & Eremeyev, V. A. \(2019\). Wave transmission across surface interfaces in lattice structures.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb54) *International Journal of Engineering Science*, *145*, Article [103173.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb54)
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>[Shenoy, V. B. \(2005\). Atomistic calculations of elastic properties of metallic fcc crystal surfaces.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb55) *Physical Review B*, *71*(9), Article 094104.
- <span id="page-7-27"></span>Smith, G. F. (1994). *[Constitutive equations for anisotropic and isotropic materials](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb56)*. Amsterdam, New York: North-Holland, Elsevier.
- <span id="page-7-17"></span>[Sonato, M., Piccolroaz, A., Miszuris, W., & Mishuris, G. \(2015\). General transmission conditions for thin elasto-plastic pressure-dependent interphase between](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb57) dissimilar materials. *[International Journal of Solids and Structures](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb57)*, *64*, 9–21.
- <span id="page-7-28"></span>[Spencer, A. J. M. \(Ed.\), \(1984\). Continuum theory of the mechanics of fibre-reinforced composites. In](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb58) *CISM courses and lectures*: *vol. 282*, Wien: Springer.
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>[Steigmann, D. J., & Ogden, R. W. \(1997\). Plane deformations of elastic solids with intrinsic boundary elasticity.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb59) *Proceedings of the Royal Society A*, *453*(1959), [853–877.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb59)
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>[Steigmann, D. J., & Ogden, R. W. \(1999\). Elastic surface-substrate interactions.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb60) *Proceedings of the Royal Society A* , *455*(1982), 437–474.
- <span id="page-7-23"></span>[Steigmann, D. J., & Ogden, R. W. \(2007\). Surface waves supported by thin-film/substrate interactions.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb61) *IMA Journal of Applied Mathematics*, *72*(6), 730–747.
- <span id="page-7-10"></span>[Wang, J., Bian, J., & Wang, G. \(2019\). Calculation of surface energy density of rough surface by atomic simulations.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb62) *Applied Surface Science*, *484*, 184–188.
- <span id="page-7-0"></span>[Wang, J., Huang, Z., Duan, H., Yu, S., Feng, X., Wang, G., Zhang, W., & Wang, T. \(2011\). Surface stress effect in mechanics of nanostructured materials.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb63) *Acta [Mechica Solida Sinica](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb63)*, *24*, 52–82.
- <span id="page-7-18"></span>[Wang, X., & Schiavone, P. \(2013\). Surface effects in the deformation of an anisotropic elastic material with nano-sized elliptical hole.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb64) *Mechanics Research [Communications](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb64)*, *52*, 57–61.
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>[Wang, J., Yan, P., Dong, L., & Atluri, S. N. \(2019\). Eshelby tensors and overall properties of nano-composites considering both interface stretching and bending](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb65) effects. *[International Journal of Solids and Structures](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb65)*, *in presss*.
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>[Xu, Q., Jensen, K. E., Boltyanskiy, R., Sarfati, R., Style, R. W., & Dufresne, E. R. \(2017\). Direct measurement of strain-dependent solid surface stress.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb66) *Nature [Communications](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb66)*, *8*(1), 555.
- <span id="page-7-30"></span>[Yvonnet, J., Quang, H. L., & He, Q.-C. \(2008\). An XFEM/level set approach to modelling surface/interface effects and to computing the size-dependent effective](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb67) [properties of nanocomposites.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb67) *Computational Mechanics*, *42*(1), 119–131.
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>[Zemlyanova, A. Y., & Mogilevskaya, S. G. \(2018\). Circular inhomogeneity with Steigmann–Ogden interface: Local fields, neutrality, and Maxwell's type](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb68) approximation formula. *[International Journal of Solids and Structures](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-7225(24)00119-8/sb68)*, *135*, 85–98.