e-ISSN 2385-3042

Annali di Ca' Foscari. Serie orientale Vol. 59 – Giugno 2023

Patrick Olivelle Vacanamālā. A Sub-Commentary on Viśvarūpa's Commentary Bālakrīdā on the Yājñavalkya Dharmaśāstra

Alessandro Giudice Università degli Studi di Cagliari, Italia

Review of Olivelle, P. (2022). Vacanamālā. A Sub-Commentary on Viśvarūpa's Commentary Bālakrīdā on the Yājñavalkya Dharmaśāstra. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass, xxv + 303 pp.

As is well known, it is thanks to Patrick Olivelle, professor emeritus of Sanskrit and Indian Religions at the University of Texas at Austin, and past president of the American Oriental Society, that, in the last thirty years, the academic knowledge of the Dharmaśāstra has greatly been expanded, both from a philological and a cultural point of view. Suffice it to mention his critical editions and translations of the *Dharmasūtras* (Olivelle 2000), *Manusmṛti* (Olivelle 2005), *Viṣṇusmṛti* (Olivelle 2009), and *Yājñavalkyasmṛti* (Olivelle 2019; 2020). Now, Patrick Olivelle's latest work, released in late 2022, is the first edition of the *Vacanamālā*, a sub-commentary on Viśvarūpa's *Bālakrīdā*, that is, in turn, the first commentary (dated to the early nine century CE, cf. Olivelle 2020, 37) on the *Yājñavalkyasmṛti* (most likely dated to the reign of Candragupta II, 375-415 CE, cf. Olivelle 2019, xiv-xv).

In the introduction to his edition (xi-xxv), Olivelle gives a general presentation of the *Vacanamālā*. As said above, the *Vacanamālā* is a sub-commentary that is part of the textual history of the *Yājñavalkyasmrti*. Within the latter, sub-commentaries are not ra-



Submitted 2023-03-30 Published 2023-08-29

Open access © 2023 Giudice | © 4.0



Citation Giudice, A. (1959). Review of Vacanamālā. A Sub-Commentary on Višvarūpa's Commentary Bālakrīdā on the Yājňavalkya Dharmaśāstra, by Olivelle, P. Annali di Ca' Foscari. Serie orientale, 59, 795-798.

re works. Specifically, there are at least three handed-down subcommentaries to the more popular Vijñaveśvara's Mitāksarā (early twelfth century CE), i.e. Viśveśvara's Subodhini (1360-90 CE approx.), Nandapandita's Pramitāksarā (1580-1630 CE approx.), and Bālambhatta's Bālambhattī (1730-1820 CE approx.) (cf. Olivelle 2020, 43-4). However, there are also two handed-down sub-commentaries to the less popular Viśvarūpa's Bālakrīdā. As for the first, Ganapati Śāstrī talked about a sub-commentary whose portion available to him extended over 5.500 aranthas and commented on Viśvarūpa's introduction. This was available to the scholar while he was preparing the critical edition of the Balakrida (cf. Ganapati Śastri 1922-24, I: i). Unfortunately. Olivelle reports he could not obtain a copy of it (cf. xx).

The second sub-commentary is the Vacanamala itself, handed down from a partial manuscript in Malayalam script (ms. no. C-984 of the Oriental Manuscripts Library of the University of Kerala in Trivandrum) and from another that is probably its Devanāgarī transcript (ms. T 555 A & B). Although it is doubtful whether it was intentionally left incomplete or not, the surviving Malayalam manuscript extends only up to the commentary on Yājñavalkyasmrti, I: 24 and the related passages of the Balakrida. Nevertheless, its extension is impressive (more than 240 pages of this edition), considering that only a scant portion of the ācāradhyāya of the Yājñavalkyasmrti is sub-commented on.

Olivelle solves some relevant puzzles regarding the work's author, its sources and predecessors, its date, and its position in the Dharmaśāstra tradition. A picture of the author of the Vacanamālā is thus sketched. The latter, probably a native of Kerala, was an intellectual active both in Kāvya and Dharmaśāstra literature. His Vacanamālā is inserted within a tradition of Kerala scholars who sub-commented on Viśvarūpa's Bālakrīdā, three of which (Vibhāvānā,1 Tika, and Amrtasyandini) are mentioned by himself and were probably known in the author's part of Kerala. The Vacanamālā is the only source for these three lost works, whose fragments are recollected by Olivelle from the text (cf. xx-xxiv). The author was also a good connoisseur of vyākarana and nirvacana and cited Pānini's sūtras, as well as passages from Yāska's Nirukta and Patañjali's Mahābhāsya, to explain grammatical rules and to give (para-)etymologies, as often happens in the commentaries on Smrtis. A distinctive trait of the author is instead the frequent references to lexicographical works (such as Amarakośa), which is a less common character. As for the medieval Dharmaśāstra scholarship, the author extensively refers to three works, i.e. Vijñanesvara's Mitāksarā (twelfth century CE), Devanabhatta's Smrticandrikā (thirteenth century CE), and a certain Varadarāja's Varadarājīya, the

¹ Ganapati Śāstrī identified the Vibhāvanā with the titleless and authorless sub-commentary available to him (cf. Gaņapati Śāstrī 1922-24, I: ii).

identity of which is dubious. If this Varadarāja were the same author of the *Vyavahāranirņaya*, he would be placed in the late fifteenth century CE, and the *Varadarājīya* quoted would be another work of him regarding *ācāra*. The latter work may be used as the *terminus post quem* to place the *Vacanamālā* chronologically. Besides defining the sub-commentary as "a late medieval text" (xi), Olivelle does not attempt to assign a date to the *Vacanamālā*.

Regarding the text itself, Olivelle states that his "is clearly not a critical edition" (xii) since the text is handed down from a single *testimonium*. Single-witness manuscript traditions are a well-known phenomenon of Classical, German, Medieval Latin, and Romance philological traditions (cf. Brunetti 2017, 51-3; cf. Chiesa 2002, 99-100; cf. Luiselli Fadda 1994, 190) and are not rare in Sanskrit and Buddhist ones (cf. Hahn 2014, 333). Olivelle's statement aligns with a group of scholars who support that an edition based on a single witness is not critical (cf., e.g., Pierazzo 2015, 47). Nevertheless, if the expression 'critical edition' were taken in a broader sense (in line with the Lachmannian perspective; cf. Digilio 2019, 93), Olivelle's work would be a critical edition based on a codex unicus, as the scholar emended some clerical errors (cf. xii). The *Vacanamālā* text is given as it is in the Malayālam manuscript with minimal corrections (1-241), and a few notes on it are provided (242-6).

Furthermore, three other sections are generously encapsulated into the volume, i.e. the section of Viśvarūpa's work commented on² by the *Vacanamālā* (247-88), the citation index (289-96), and the bibliography (297-303). No translation of the *Vacanamālā* has instead been provided, but this is usual for the editions of commentaries on *Smṛtis* and legal digests.

To conclude, not only has Patrick Olivelle outstandingly filled an important *desideratum* among many in Dharmaśāstra studies, but he has also shed light on an almost forgotten work, which is nonetheless pivotal to having a broader comprehension of the textual history of and about the *Yājñavalkyasmrti*.

² The text is taken from Gaņapati Śāstrī's edition (cf. Gaņapati Śāstrī 1922-24, I: 1-42).

Bibliography

- Brunetti, G. (2017). "Sul *Tristan* di Béroul e l'arte di pubblicare i testi trasmessi da un solo manoscritto". Di Sabatino, L.; Gatti, L.; Rinoldi, P. (a cura di), "Or vos conterons d'autre matiere". Studi di filologia romanza offerti a Gabriella Ronchi. Roma: Viella, 51-67.
- Chiesa, P. (2002). Elementi di critica testuale. Bologna: Pàtron Editore.
- Digilio, M.R. (2019). "Il *codex unicus*: teorie e prassi editoriali". Canettieri, P. et al. (a cura di), *La Filologia Medievale. Comparatistica, criticità del testo e attualità = Conference Proceedings* (Viterbo, 26-28 September 2018). Roma; Bristol: L'Erma di Bretschneider, 91-109.
- Gaņapati Śāstrī, T. (ed.) (1922-24). The Yājñavalkyasmṛti with the Commentary Bālakrīda of Visvarūpāchārya. 2 vols. New Delhi: Munshiram Manoharlal.
- Hahn, M. (2014). "Various Aspects of Dealing with Buddhist codices unici". Harrison, P.; Hartmann, J.-U. (eds), From Birch Bark to Digital Data: Recent Advances in Buddhist Manuscript Research. Papers Presented at the Conference Indic Buddhist Manuscripts: The State of the Field = Conference Proceedings (Stanford, 15-19 June 2009). Wien: Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften, 333-46.
- Luiselli Fadda, A.M. (1994). *Tradizioni manoscritte e critica del testo nel Medioevo germanico*. Roma-Bari: Laterza.
- Olivelle, P. (ed. and transl.) (2000). Dharmasūtras. The Law Codes of Āpastamba, Gautama, Baudhāyana, and Vasiṣṭha. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass.
- Olivelle, P. (ed. and transl.) (2005). Manu's Code of Law. A Critical Edition and Translation of the Mānava-Dharmaśāstra. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Olivelle, P. (ed. and transl.) (2009). *Viṣṇu's Code of Law. A Critical Edition and Translation of the Vaiṣṇava-Dharmaśāstra*. Cambridge (MA): Harvard University Press. Harvard Oriental Series 73.
- Olivelle, P. (transl.) (2019). *Yājñavalkya. A Treatise on Dharma*. Cambridge (MA): Harvard University Press. Murty Classical Library of India 20.
- Olivelle, P. (ed.) (2020). Yājñavalkya Dharmaśāstra. The Textual History of a Hindu Legal Code. Delhi: Primus Books.
- Pierazzo, E. (2015). *Digital Scholarly Editing. Theories and Practices*. Farnham: Ashgate.