

3



UNICA IRIS Institutional Research Information System

- 4 This is the Author's [accepted] manuscript version of the following
 5 contribution:
- 6 [inserire: Grazia Leonzio, I. David L. Bogle, Pier Ugo Foscolo, Life cycle
- 7 assessment of a carbon capture utilization and storage supply chain in
- 8 Italy and Germany: comparison between carbon dioxide storage and
- 9 utilization systems, Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments,
- 10 55, 2023, pagg. 102743]
- 11 Ovvero
- 12 [inserire: Grazia Leonzio, I. David L. Bogle, Pier Ugo Foscolo, Life cycle
- assessment of a carbon capture utilization and storage supply chain in
- 14 Italy and Germany: comparison between carbon dioxide storage and
- utilization systems, Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments,
- 16 55, 2023, pagg. 102743]
- 17 The publisher's version is available at:
- 18 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seta.2022.102743
- ¹⁹ © <2023>. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-
- 20 ND 4.0 license <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>
- 21
- 22 When citing, please refer to the published version.
- 23

24

This full text was downloaded from UNICA IRIS https://iris.unica.it/

25 Life cycle assessment of a carbon capture utilization and storage supply chain in Italy and Germany:

26 comparison between carbon dioxide storage and utilization systems

- 27 Grazia Leonzio^{*1}, I. David L. Bogle², Pier Ugo Foscolo¹
- 28

¹Department of Industrial and Information Engineering and Economics, University of L'Aquila, L'Aquila, 18
 via G. Gronchi, 67100, Italy;

²Department of Chemical Engineering, Centre for Process Systems Engineering, University College London,
 London, Torrington Place WC1E 6BT, UK

- 33 *Corresponding author: grazia.leonzio@graduate.univaq.it
- 34

35 Abstract

36 The main purpose of this work is to verify that the CCUS supply chains at large scale that were developed in

37 previous studies for Italy and Germany effectively reduce carbon emissions. The methodology of life cycle

- analysis was applied.
- 39 Results showed that the annual global warming potential (GWP) for these supply chains in Italy and Germany
- 40 are respectively 9.62×10^{10} kgCO_{2-eq} and 1.94×10^{11} kgCO_{2-eq} which would help enable these countries to
- 41 achieve the carbon dioxide reduction target fixed by European environmental policies. Overall emissions in

42 Italy and Germany are 249 Mtonne/year and 640 Mtonne/year, respectively.

43 Sensitivity analysis results show that, for the supply chain in Germany, the GWP increases when, for a fixed 44 amount of emissions captured, more carbon dioxide is sent to utilization: storage is then important to achieve 45 the environmental target. Other impact categories decrease, increase or remain constant. On the other hand, 46 for the supply chain in Italy, results showed that a lower environmental impact can be obtained by increasing 47 the carbon utilization rate for methane production via a power to gas system. If this is implemented then this 48 utilization system would a better solution from an environmentally point of view than the storage option with 49 other utilization processes.

- 50
- 51 Keywords: CCUS supply chain, life cycle assessment analysis, carbon dioxide emissions, sensitivity analysis.
- 52
- 53
- 54
- 5.
- 55
- 56

57 Abbreviations

- 58 ADP, abiotic depletion potential
- 59 AIMMS, advanced interactive multidimensional modeling system
- 60 AP, acidification potential
- 61 CED, cumulative energy demand
- 62 CCU, carbon capture and utilization
- 63 CCUS, carbon capture utilization and storage
- 64 CCS, carbon capture and storage
- 65 EP, eutrophication potential
- 66 FAETP, fresh water aquatic ecotoxity potential
- 67 GWI, global warming impact
- 68 GWP, global warming potential, equivalent to GWI
- 69 HTP, human toxicity potential
- 70 IGCC, integrated gasification combined cycle
- 71 LCA, life cycle assessment
- 72 LCI, life cycle inventory
- 73 LCIA, life cycle impact assessment
- 74 MAETP, marine aquatic ecotoxicity potential
- 75 MEA, monoethanolamine
- 76 MDEA, methyl diethanolamine
- 77 NGCC, natural gas combined cycle
- 78 ODP, ozone depletion potential
- 79 PC, pulverized coal
- 80 POCP, photochemical ozone creation potential
- 81 TETP, terrestrial ecotoxicity potential
- 82
- 83
- 84
- 85
- 86
- 87
- 88
- 00
- 89

90 1. Introduction

- 91 Global carbon dioxide concentration in the atmosphere has rapidly increased over the past decades at the rate
- 92 of about 2 ppm/year and exceeded 400 ppm in 2016 (Kahn, 2016). In order to limit the rise of the global
- 93 average temperature to 2 °C by 2050, the 2030 Climate and Energy Policy Framework proposed a reduction
- 94 in carbon dioxide emissions of at least 40%, compared to the 1990 level, by 2030 (General Secretariat of the
- 95 Council, 2014) and by 80-95% by 2050 (Pacala and Socolow, 2004). To achieve these aims, carbon supply
- 96 chains have an important role and are among the research priorities of the Strategic Energy Technologies (SET)
- 97 Plan of the European Union (European Commission, 2015 a,b).
- 98 Carbon capture and storage (CCS) supply chains, carbon capture utilization (CCU) supply chains and carbon 99 capture utilization and storage (CCUS) supply chains have been proposed, as widely reported in the special 100 issue of Zhang et al. (2020). In these technologies, carbon dioxide is captured from flue gases and is transported 101 to a geological or ocean storage site and/or to a utilization site for its valorization with the production of 102 valuable compounds (Mac Dowell et al., 2017). CCUS systems have the advantage of being a vital and 103 potentially effective technology able to decrease emissions (Zhang et al., 2020).
- 104 Carbon supply chains require a significant amount of energy for their operation (especially for carbon dioxide capture and conversion processes) and this causes an additional environmental penalty. It was estimated that 105 the increase in fuel consumption per kWh for plants that capture 90% of carbon dioxide by using the best 106 107 current technologies ranges from 24 to 40% for new supercritical pulverized coal plants, from 11 to 22% for 108 natural gas combined cycle plants, and from 14 to 25% for coal-fired integrated gasification combined cycle 109 systems, compared to similar plants without capture and storage systems (IPCC, 2005). Therefore, it is 110 necessary to know if a specific carbon supply chain is favorable from an overall environmental point of view. 111 For this purpose, a life cycle assessment (LCA) analysis should be developed. LCA develops a series of metrics that consider all inputs and outputs in a process, analyzed over their entire life cycle (von der Assen et al., 112
- 113 2014a).
- 114 LCA studies have been conducted for CCS, CCU and CCUS supply chains in the literature. A number of LCA 115 studies about CCS systems are reported in Table 1. An environmental benefit is not always ensured for this 116 kind of supply chain. Kim et al. (2019) recommends that only 64% of carbon dioxide emitted by a power plant 117 be sequestered and stored, while in Petrescu et al. (2017) a reduction for all environmental impact metrics is 118 not obtained using a CCS supply chain. However, there are cases where the use of this framework does allow a reduction of the Global Warming Potential (GWP) and does result in a simultaneous increase of other impact 119 120 metrics (Corsten et al., 2013; Singh et al., 2011a,b; Cuellar-Franca and Azapagic, 2015b). Other studies were 121 focused on the evaluation of GWP and a significant reduction was predicted by Volkart et al. (2013), Ni et al. (2011), Koorneef et al. (2008) and Koore et al. (2010). In these studies carbon dioxide is mainly captured 122 123 from a power plant. Only a few studies have reported benefits of a CCS framework for all investigated impact 124 metrics (Pehnt and Henkel, 2009).
- 125
- 126

| Work | CO ₂ source | Capture technology | Results - Effects of using a CCS supply chain |
|--|--|--|--|
| Cuellar-Franca and Azapagic (2015b) | Power plant | | Reduction of GWP by 63-82% per unit of generated electricity but with an increase of acidification and human toxicity |
| Volkart et al. (2013) | Fossil power plant and cement plant | | Reduction of GWP (68-92% in fossil power plant and 39-72% in cement plant) |
| Pehnt and Henkel (2009) | Lignite power plant | Post and pre combustion and oxy- fuel technology | Reduction of all impact categories in the pre-combusion technology |
| Singh et al. (2011a) | Natural gas combined cycle (NGCC) | | Reduction of GWP by 64% with an increase of 43% in acidification, 35% in eutrophication and 120-170% in various toxicity impacts |
| Nie et al. (2011) | Power plant | Post-combustion and oxy-fuel technology | Reduction of GWP by 78.8% (post-combustion) 80% (oxy-fuel technology) |
| Koorneef et al. (2008) | Coal power plant | Reduction of GHGs up to 243 g/kWh | |
| Koore et al. (2010) | Power plant | | Reduction of GWP by 80% |
| Singh et al. (2011b) | Power plant | | Reduction of GHGs by 64-78% but with an increase of toxicity |
| Corsten et al. (2013) | Fuel technology | | Reduction of GWP but with an increase of eutrophication and acidification |
| Petrescu et al. (2017) | Coal power plant | MDEA, aqueous ammonia and calcium looping | No reduction for all impact categories |
| Kim et al. (2019) | Power plant | MEA absorption | Sequestration of only 64% of the emitted CO ₂ |

127 Table 1 Literature studies about LCA of CCS supply chains

128 129

130 LCA studies for CCU supply chains are shown in Table 2. Also for this kind of framework, an environmental 131 benefit is not always ensured. In Passell et al. (2013), carbon dioxide is used to produce diesel from microalgae, but a GWP higher than the conventional petroleum based route is predicted. In Han and Lee (2013), carbon 132 dioxide is utilized to produce polymers and bio-butanol, and a significant reduction of the environmental 133 134 burden is ensured only by decreasing the gas-MEA capture. However, compared to the conventional 135 production route, environmental advantages of using a CCU supply chain are reported in some studies where carbon dioxide is captured to produce dimethylcarbonate (Aresta and Galatola, 1999), polyols (Assen and 136 137 Bardow, 2014a) and for mineral carbonation (Khoo et al., 2021).

138

139 Table 2 Literature studies about LCA of CCU supply chains

| Work | CO ₂ source | Capture technology | Utilization route | Results – Effects of using a CCU supply chain |
|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------|------------------------------|---|
| Passell et al. (2013) | Electricity generation plant | | Diesel from microalgae | Higher value of GWP (2.9 kgCO _{2eq} /1 MJ of combusted fuel) compared to the petroleum diesel (0.12 kgCO _{2eq} /1 MJ of combusted fuel) |
| Aresta and Galatola (1999) | Ammonia production plant | MEA absorption | Dimethyl carbonate | Reduction of GWP by 4.3 times compared to the conventional route from phosgene (31 vs 132 kgCO _{2eq} /kg dimethylcarbonate) |
| Khoo et al. (2021) | Flue gas from a waste-to- energy plant | | Mineralization | Reduction of GWP by 115.78 kgCO _{2eq} per tonne of CO ₂ input |
| Han and Lee (2013) | Gas fired and coal fired power plants | MEA absorption | Polymers and bio- butanol | A significant reduction in the environmental impact is obtained by reducing the gas-MEA capture facilities |
| Assen and Bardow (2014b) | Lignite power plant | | Polyols for polyurethane | Reduction of GHG by 11-19% and saving of fossil resource by 13-16% compared to the conventional route |

142 LCA studies on CCUS supply chains are reported in Table 3. The utilization route is mainly arising from carbon dioxide oil recovery technology (CO₂-EOR). Studies show a GHG emission reduction of up to 80% 143 (Hertwich et al., 2008). The attractiveness of the CCUS scheme was reported by Cooney et al. (2015). They 144 145 showed that when the crude recovery ratio is increased emissions are reduced. Hussain et al. (2013) proposed 146 different solutions for carbon dioxide source and capture and that this kind of CCUS scheme could result in negative emissions (Hornafius and Hornafius, 2015). When compared to conventional oil production, a CCUS 147 148 framework based on CO₂-EOR can ensure up to 71% of emission reduction (Thorne et al., 2020; Azzolina et 149 al., 2017; Abotalib et al., 2016).

- 150 In addition to the oil recovery process, other utilization options were considered for the environmental analysis
- of CCUS supply chains. In Yue and You (2015), carbon dioxide was stored and used to produce algae for
- biofuel production obtaining a reduction in emissions of up to 80%. Fernandez-Dacosta et al. (2018) studied
- the production of dimethyl ether and polyol obtaining lower values of GWP and fossil resource depletion.
- 154
- 155

156 Table 3 Literature studies about LCA of CCUS supply chains

| Work | CO ₂ source | Capture technology | Utilization route | Results – Effect of using a CCUS supply chain |
|------------------------------------|--|------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| Hertwich et al. (2008) | Power plant | MEA absorption | Oil recovery | Reduction of GHGs by 80% |
| Cooney et al. (2015) | Natural dome and power plant | | Oil recovery | Reduction of emissions only for natural CO ₂ when the crude recovery ratio is increased |
| Hussain et al. (2013) | Coal integrated gasification combined cycle (IGCC), switchgrass IGCC, livestock manure biogas natural gas combined cycle (NGCC), natural gas NGCC | | Oil recovery | Coal and biomass IGCC CO ₂ -EOR, as well as natural gas and biogas NGCC CO ₂ -EOR, may be attractive alternatives for reducing GHG emissions |
| Hornafius and Hornafius (2015) | Corn ethanol fermentation | | Oil recovery | Negative emissions are obtained |
| Azzolina et al. (2017) | Coal power plant | | Oil recovery | Reduction of emissions compared to the conventional method of extraction |
| Jiang et al. (2017) | IGCC and pulverized coal (PC) power plant | | Oil recovery | CO ₂ emissions are 114.69-121.50 Mtonne CO _{2-eq} (for IGCC), 222.95-236.19 Mt CO _{2-eq} (for PC) |
| Abotalib et al. (2016) | Ethanol plant, coal-fired and natural gas fired power plant | | Oil recovery | Reduction of carbon intensity compared to conventional crude recovery (up to -1.6 tonneCO _{2eq} /bbl for CO ₂ from ethanol plant) |
| Thorne et al. (2020) | Power plant | Oxy-fuel technology | Oil recovery | Reduction by 71% of emissions compared to the conventional production of oil |
| Liu et al. (2020) | | | Oil recovery | Emissions of 2532.63 kg of CO ₂ , 74.18 kg of SO ₂ and 37.38 kg of NOx per metric tonne of crude oil |
| Yue and You (2015) | Power plant | | Algae for biofuel production | Reduction by 80% of CO ₂ emissions when 187 Mgal of renewable diesel are produced Reduction of GWP and fossil depletion compared to |
| Fernandez-Dacosta et al. (2018) | Steam methane reforming unit | | Dimethyl ether and polyol | the conventional production way (0.239 kgCO _{2eq} /FU and 0.131 kg _{oileq} /FU vs 0.294 kgCO _{2eq} /FU and 0.14 kg _{oileq} /FU) |

157

This literature survey shows the importance of conducting an environmental analysis of each carbon supply chain to verify the effective reduction of emission notwithstanding any additional energy consumption. It is important to contrast the LCA with the economic analysis.

162 Other carbon-dioxide-based products have not been taken into consideration for the LCA of carbon supply 163 chains and a comparison between carbon dioxide storage and new utilization options has not been analyzed so

164 far in the literature for CCUS. Previous studies did not consider the application of LCA to CCUS supply chains

at large scale (e.g. taking into account a supply chain developed for an entire Nation).

- This study will contribute to fill these gaps. In particular, in previous work we analysed the CCUS supply 166 167 chain for Germany (Leonzio et al., 2019) producing different carbon-dioxide-based products such as methanol, 168 urea, concrete, wheat, polyurethane, calcium carbonate, lignin, and for Italy (Leonzio and Zondervan, 2020) 169 producing methane. These were all at national scale considering the whole national territory. The aim of this research is to verify that each one of the systems optimized before is effectively able to reduce carbon dioxide 170 171 emissions overall according to their respective national environmental targets. A considerable amount of energy is required for their operation: for the CCUS supply chain of Germany the energy consumption was of 172 173 55.37 GJ/ton CO₂ captured (Leonzio et al., 2019), while for the CCUS supply chain of Italy the energy 174 consumption was of 28.8 GJ/ton CO₂ captured (Leonzio and Zondervan, 2020).
- 175 A sensitivity analysis has been developed for both supply chains to evaluate the influence of aspects of storage
- and utilization on the environmental results. A variable amount of the captured carbon dioxide can be sent to
- the utilization section to produce different compounds instead of being stored. This analysis can help the choice
- 178 between carbon dioxide utilization or storage in order to create a more environmentally beneficial system.

The paper is divided into two parts: in the first part, the LCA of the CCUS supply chains in Italy and Germany
is developed, while in the second part the environmental impact is evaluated through sensitivity analysis by
increasing the utilization rate of carbon dioxide.

182 2. Materials and methods

LCA is a quantitative methodology used to evaluate the environmental impact of systems according to the standards ISO 14044 and ISO 14040 (ISO 14040, 2009; ISO 14044, 2006). Four important phases characterize this analysis, as shown in Figure 1: goal and scope, life cycle inventory (LCI) phase, life cycle impact assessment (LCIA) phase and interpretation phase (von der Assen et al., 2014a; ISO 14040, 2009; ISO 14044, 2006). To develop the LCA, GaBi software with the Ecoinvent database has been used (Education license, version 6) (Thinkstep, 2019).



Figure 1 Stages of a life cycle assessment analysis according to the ISO standards (ISO 14040, 2009; ISO 14044, 2006)

192

193 **2.1 Goal and scope**

194 The goal of this study was to evaluate the environmental performances of the CCUS supply chains at national scale producing various products in Germany and methane in Italy (Leonzio et al., 2019; Leonzio and 195 196 Zondervan, 2020). It was necessary to demonstrate that the suggested CCUS supply chains achieve the target 197 set by European environmental policies especially for carbon dioxide emissions as defined in Gracceva et al. 198 (2017) for Italy and in Ochoa Bique et al. (2018) for Germany. A sensitivity analysis was carried out to verify 199 the influence of utilization and storage sections on the environmental impact. For a more complete analysis, 200 different impact categories were considered: acidification potential (AP), eutrophication potential (EP), ozone 201 depletion potential (ODP), abiotic depletion potential (ADP) fossil and elements, fresh water aquatic ecotoxicity potential (FAETP), human toxicity potential (HTP), marine aquatic ecotoxicity potential 202 (MAETP), photochemical ozone creation potential (POCP), terrestrial ecotoxicity potential (TETP). 203 204 Conditions ensuring higher sustainability have been identified.

205 2.1.1 Functional unit

The CCUS supply chains considered here have multi functionalities (von der Assen et al., 2014a). In order to define the functional unit, a system expansion methodology was applied. This allows the joint evaluation of all functions (where a function in LCA is expressing the recycling of carbon dioxide in different valuable products and/or the co-production of multiple valuable products): the functional unit was expanded to contain all functions (e.g. a sum of the single functions is considered according to the principle of the LCA for multifunctional problems) (Fernandez-Dacosta et al., 2018).

With these considerations, for the CCUS supply chain in Germany (Leonzio et al.,2019) the functional unit isthe following, as defined in Table 4.

| Cement (Mtonne) | 76.7 |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Electricity (kWh) | 1.22×10^{11} |
| Iron and steel (Mtonne) | 13.6 |
| Concrete curing (Mtonne) | 4.79 |
| Wheat (Mtonne) | 19.4 |
| Lignin (Mtonne) | 0.378 |
| Polyurethane (Mtonne) | 11 |
| Calcium carbonate (Mtonne) | 120 |
| Urea (Mtonne) | 1.34 |
| Methanol (Mtonne) | 0.846 |
| Concrete by red mud (Mtonne) | 19.2 |
| Stored CO ₂ (Mtonne) | 20.3 |

Table 4 Definition of the functional unit for the CCUS supply chain of Germany

216

- For the CCUS supply chain in Italy (Leonzio and Zondervan, 2020) the functional unit is given in Table 5.
- Table 5 Definition of the functional unit for the CCUS supply chain of Italy

| Iron and steel (Mtonne) | 66.92 |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Electricity (kWh) | 2.25×10^{10} |
| Methane (Mtonne) | 16.1 |
| Stored CO ₂ (Mtonne) | 32.8 |
| | |

219

As Table 4 and 5 show, a definition of functional unit in absolute terms was considered for this work.

221 2.1.2 System boundaries

- Cradle-to-gate analyses were performed for the supply chains (the use and disposal phases of carbon-dioxidebased products were not considered in the LCA). Carbon dioxide was considered as a feedstock (economic flow) and not only as an emission (von der Assen et al., 2014a). System boundaries describing which processes of the supply chain are included in the assessment for the CCUS framework in Germany are shown in Figure 2. The CCUS supply chain of Germany in this environmental analysis is that described in the work of Leonzio et al. (2019). The location of carbon dioxide source and utilization sites was fixed.
- According to the economic optimization the selected carbon dioxide sources for the whole national territory were: Dresden, Wiesbaden, Berlin, Munich, Potsdam, Magdeburg, Saarbrucken. These are representative of different kind of industries producing flue gas.
- Upstream of the system boundaries three different inputs are present: power plants (Wiesbaden, Berlin,
 Potsdam), cements plants (Dresden, Saarbrucken) and steel and iron plants (Munich, Magdeburg).
 Downstream of the storage and utilization processes, where the captured carbon dioxide is used or stored, nine
 output streams are present, namely different products of carbon dioxide utilization routes (methanol, urea,
 concrete curing, concrete by red mud, wheat, polyurethane, calcium carbonate, lignin) and the stored carbon
 dioxide.

The storage site is located in Altmark, the concrete curing sites in Ennigerloh and Hannover, the wheat cultivation sites in Munich and Hannover, the lignin utilization sites in Cologne and Münchsmünster, the polyurethane production sites in Schwarzheide and Leverkusen, the calcium carbonate production sites in Salzgitter and Bremen, the urea production sites in Kassel and Hagen, the methanol production sites in Leuna and Wesseling, and the concrete production sites by red mud in Rackwitz and Hamburg (the amount of each

carbon dioxide based product and the amount of stored carbon dioxide is that provided in the functional unit).

243 The utilization routes were chosen according to the potential for different utilization options of carbon dioxide

in Germany, as suggested in the literature (Patricio et al., 2017). Carbon dioxide capture and compression and

245 carbon dioxide transportation were considered to be within the up- and downstream processes.



Figure 2 System boundaries for the CCUS supply chain in Germany - red line: system boundaries; green line: downstream processes (production of CO₂-based compounds and CO₂ storage); blue line: upstream processes

Figure 3 shows the system boundaries for the CCUS supply chain in Italy. The CCUS supply chain of Italy is that described in the work of Leonzio and Zondervan (2020) where carbon dioxide sources and the utilization site are fixed as a result of the economic optimization. Throughout the whole national territory, the selected carbon dioxide sources for the optimal supply chain are the following: Puglia, Lombardy, Emilia Romagna and Piedmont, with different types of industry producing flue gas.

Inside the system boundaries, flue gas sources are present upstream: a power plant (Emilia Romagna) and iron
and steel plants (Puglia, Lombardy, Piedmont). Downstream of the utilization process and storage section,
methane and stored carbon dioxide are considered. The utilization site is located in Verbania while the storage

site is offshore in the Adriatic sea saline aquifer.

The potential for the application of power to gas plants in Italy was reported by Colbertaldo et al. (2018) and Guandalini et al. (2017). Moreover, the production of methane is specifically selected for the Italian regions because the potential of methanol production is very low there (Patricio et al., 2017). On the other hand, Italy would have the opportunity to satisfy its national methane demand by hydrogenation of CO_2 . In addition, an existing network for CH_4 distribution is present in Italy and a power-to-gas system is achievable on a large scale with a high technical readiness level. In this case carbon dioxide capture, compression and transportation are included between the upstream and downstream processes.



266

Figure 3 System boundaries for the CCUS supply chain in Italy - red line: system boundaries; green line:
 downstream processes (production of methane and CO₂ storage); blue line: upstream processes

269

For both supply chains the utilization options chosen were the most economically appealing carbon-dioxide–
based products for the respective countries. Only methane is taken into account in the framework for Italy,

- while methanol, urea, concrete curing, concrete by red mud, wheat, polyurethane, calcium carbonate, ligninare proposed for the German supply chain.
- In both supply chains system boundaries included the construction of plants related to carbon dioxide sources,
 the extraction or production of raw materials and their transportation calculated using the database in the GaBi
- software (Thinkstep, 2019). The production and transport of raw materials for the infrastructure needed for
- 277 carbon dioxide pipelines were also considered (Koornneef et al., 2008). Infrastructure needs were considered
- 278 only for carbon dioxide capture with MEA absorption due to the scarce availability of data for different capture
- technologies (Giordano et al., 2018). For the utilization processes infrastructure data was not available.
- 280 However, the production of raw materials was considered inside the system boundary. Natural infrastructures
- to store CO₂ are available in both cases examined here (a saline aquifer and a depleted natural gas reservoir).
- 282 Only power needed for the storage process is taken into account (Wildbolz, 2007).

283 2.2 Life cycle inventory phase

In the LCI phase, input and output data for all processes of the CCUS supply chains were provided. The results for the large-scale supply chains obtained by the optimization were used (Leonzio et al., 2019; Leonzio and Zondervan, 2020). Based on this process data, an inventory list for the complete life cycle was calculated. All elementary flows entering the process (technosphere) from nature (environment) in the form of resources and those leaving the process in the form of resources, deposited goods, emissions to air, fresh water, sea water,

agricultural and industrial soil were taken into account, as shown in Figure 4.



Resources, deposited goods, emissions to air, emissions to fresh water, emissions to sea water, emissions to agricultural and industrial soil

290

291 Figure 4 Elementary flows entering and leaving the process

292

For the CCUS supply chain of Germany (Leonzio et al., 2019), an inventory analysis was performed for production from carbon dioxide of methanol, calcium carbonate, polyurethane, urea, wheat, concrete curing, concrete by red mud and lignin treatment with carbon dioxide. Tables 6 and 7 show respectively the inventory analysis for methanol production by carbon dioxide hydrogenation and for hydrogen production by water electrolysis, using the work of Biernacki et al. (2018), Michailos et al. (2018), Kajaste et al. (2018), and Matzen and Demirel (2016). The recycle of unconverted gases to the methanol reactor after separation of water and methanol was also considered with an efficiency of 80%. In summary, 1.7 tonne of carbon dioxide are consumed per ton of produced methanol (Patricio et al., 2017). Catalyst (aluminum, copper and zinc oxide) is required (as an input) but it is not consumed.

- 303 Table 6 Inventory analysis for methanol synthesis via carbon dioxide hydrogenation in the CCUS supply chain
- of Germany (Biernacki et al., 2018; Michailos et al., 2018; Kajaste et al., 2018; Matzen and Demirel, 2016;
 Patricio et al., 2017)

| Input of the process | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------|----------------|--|--|--|
| H ₂ | 0.23 | tonne | | | |
| CO ₂ | 1.70 | tonne | | | |
| Aluminum oxide | 0.01 | tonne | | | |
| Copper oxide | | tonne | | | |
| Zinc oxide | 0.04 | tonne | | | |
| Water | 5.45 | tonne | | | |
| Energy | 0.13 | MWh | | | |
| Output of the process | | | | | |
| Wastewater | 0.68 | m ³ | | | |
| CO ₂ | 0.34 | tonne | | | |
| Methanol | 1 | tonne | | | |

- Table 7 Inventory analysis for the electrolyzer in the methanol production process in the CCUS supply chain
- of Germany (Biernacki et al., 2018; Michailos et al., 2018; Kajaste et al., 2018; Matzen and Demirel, 2016;
 Patricio et al., 2017)

| Input of the process | | | | | |
|----------------------|---------|----------------|--|--|--|
| Water | 2.22 | tonne | | | |
| Traditional energy | 141.96 | kWh | | | |
| Renewable energy | 6.11 | kWh | | | |
| Output of the p | process | | | | |
| Oxygen | 1.97 | tonne | | | |
| H_2 | 0.23 | tonne | | | |
| Waste water | 0.12 | m ³ | | | |
| VOC | 5.23 | g | | | |
| CO | 47.67 | g | | | |
| NOx | 41.31 | g | | | |
| PM_{10} | 15.16 | g | | | |
| PM _{2.5} | 7.50 | g | | | |
| SOx | 276.67 | g | | | |
| CH_4 | 47.06 | g | | | |
| CO_2 | 28.22 | kg | | | |
| SF6 | 0.92 | mg | | | |
| C_2F_6 | 0.10 | g | | | |
| Black carbon | 0.25 | g | | | |
| POC | 0.48 | g | | | |

Table 8 shows the inventory analysis for calcium carbonate production from carbon dioxide based on Mattila et al. (2014) and Zappa (2014) and considering that the ratio between each tonne of used carbon dioxide and a tonne of steel slag is 0.42. An inventory analysis for lignin treatment with carbon dioxide is presented in Table 9 based on Bernier and Lavigne (2013) and considering that 0.22 tonne of carbon dioxide per ton of lignin are utilized (Patricio et al., 2017).

Table 8 Inventory analysis for the calcium carbonate production process from carbon dioxide in the CCUS
supply chain of Germany (Mattila et al., 2014; Zappa, 2014)

| Input of the process | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------|-------|--|--|
| Steel slag | 2.60 | tonne | | |
| CO_2 | 1.1 | onne | | |
| NH ₄ Cl solvent | 0.03 | tonne | | |
| Electricity | 107.40 | kWh | | |
| Water | 2.10 | tonne | | |
| Steam | 37500 | MJ | | |
| Output of the process | | | | |
| Calcium carbonate | tonne | | | |
| Waste water. steel slag | 2.01 | tonne | | |

Table 9 Inventory analysis for the lignin treatment process with carbon dioxide in the CCUS supply chain of

Germany (Bernier and Lavigne; 2013; Patricio et al., 2017)

| Input of the process | | | | |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Natural gas | 0.46 tonne | | | |
| CO_2 | 0.22 tonne | | | |
| H_2SO_4 | 0.17 tonne | | | |
| NaOH | 0.08 tonne | | | |
| CaCO ₃ | 0.17 tonne | | | |
| Water | 3.56 tonne | | | |
| Electricity | 7.33 kWh | | | |
| Output of t | he process | | | |
| SO_2 | 6.75×10 ⁻⁹ tonne | | | |
| NO _x | 3.38×10 ⁻⁷ tonne | | | |
| СО | 3.82×10 ⁻⁷ tonne | | | |
| Lignin | 1 tonne | | | |

Polyurethane is produced from polyols and isocyanate. The first reactant is obtained from carbon dioxide, while the latter one is obtained from carbon monoxide produced by methane steam reforming. All inputs and outputs for these processes are shown in Table 10 based on von der Assen et al. (2015). For polyurethane production from carbon dioxide, the ratio between used carbon dioxide and polyurethane is 0.3 tonne/tonne (Patricio et al., 2017). More information about the polyurethane production route that is taken into account here is available in the Supplementary Material.

Table 10 Inventory analysis for the polyurethane production from carbon dioxide in the CCUS supply chain
of Germany (Von der Assen et al., 2015; Patricio et al., 2017)

| Polyurathana production | (flowib | le foam) | | | |
|-------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Input of the p | rocose | | | | |
| mput of the process | | | | | |
| Polyois | 0./13 | kg | | | |
| Electricity | 1.5 | MJ | | | |
| | 0.285 | kg | | | |
| Output of the p | process | | | | |
| Flexible foam | 1 | kg | | | |
| GW | 0.051 | kgCO _{2-eq} | | | |
| Isocianate prod | uction | | | | |
| Input of the p | rocess | | | | |
| Toluene | 0.15 | kg | | | |
| Electricity | 3.77 | MJ | | | |
| CO | 0.09 | kg | | | |
| Nitirc acid | 0.21 | kg | | | |
| Output of the process | | | | | |
| TDI | 0.285 | kg | | | |
| Steam reforming | | | | | |
| Input of the process | | | | | |
| CH ₄ | 0.066 | kg | | | |
| Electricity | 0.353 | MJ | | | |
| Heat | 0.747 | MJ | | | |
| Output of the p | process | | | | |
| H_2 | 0.020 | kg | | | |
| CO | 0.092 | kg | | | |
| Polyols produ | ction | | | | |
| Input of the process | | | | | |
| Starter | Starter 0.019 kg | | | | |
| Propylen oxide | 0.395 | kg | | | |
| CO_2 0.20 | | kg | | | |
| Output of process | | | | | |
| Polyols 0.713 kg | | | | | |
| | | 8 | | | |

| 360 | Table 11 Inventory analysis for urea production from carbon dioxide in the CCUS supply chain of Germany |
|-----|---|
| 361 | (Antonetti et al., 2017; Patricio et al., 2017) |

| Input of the process | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------|-------|--|--|--|
| NH ₃ | | 0.57 | tonne | | | |
| CO_2 | | 0.74 | tonne | | | |
| Energy | | 0.05 | MWh | | | |
| Outpu | Output of the process | | | | | |
| NH ₃ emissions | | 0.004 | tonne | | | |
| Wastewater | | 0.48 | tonne | | | |
| | NH_3 | 0.03 | tonne | | | |
| | CO_2 | 0.02 | tonne | | | |
| | Urea | 0.005 | tonne | | | |
| | water | 0.43 | tonne | | | |
| Urea | | 1 | tonne | | | |

An inventory analysis for urea production from carbon dioxide is shown in Table 11 based on Antonetti et al. (2017). Here 0.74 tonne of carbon dioxide are used to produce 1 tonne of urea (Patricio et al., 2017).

Inventory analyses for concrete produced by red mud and concrete curing are shown respectively in Tables 12 and 13 using data reported by Nikbin et al. (2018) and Gursel and Horvath (2012). The GWP and cumulative energy demand (CED) are respectively 330.74 kgCO_{2-eq} and 2848.5 MJ for concrete production by red mud (1 tonne) (Nikbin et al., 2018). The GWP and CED are respectively 292 kgCO_{2-eq} and 1374.68 MJ for concrete curing (1 tonne) (Nikbin et al., 2018; www.carboncure.com). In concrete curing, 0.03 tonne of carbon dioxide are required for 1 tonne of concrete (Patricio et al., 2017), while in concrete by red mud production the ratio between one tonne of carbon dioxide and one tonne of red mud is 0.17.

372

Table 12 Inventory analysis for concrete production by red mud and carbon dioxide in the CCUS supply

chain of Germany (Nikbin et al., 2018; Gursel and Horvath, 2012; Patricio et al., 2017)

| Input of the process | | | | | | |
|----------------------|--------------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Cement | 0.222 | tonne | | | | |
| Red mud | 0.074 | tonne | | | | |
| Coarse Agg | 0.129 | tonne | | | | |
| Fine Agg. | 0.106 | tonne | | | | |
| Leca | 0.197 | tonne | | | | |
| Limestone | 0.118 | tonne | | | | |
| Water | 0.150 | tonne | | | | |
| Superplasticizer | 0.004 | tonne | | | | |
| CO ₂ | 0.013 | tonne | | | | |
| Output of | f the proces | SS | | | | |
| Concrete | 1 | tonne | | | | |
| CO | 0.04619 | tonne | | | | |
| Lead | 0.000012 | tonne | | | | |
| NO _X | 0.00075 | tonne | | | | |
| PM_{10} | 0.00002 | tonne | | | | |
| SO_2 | 0.00076 | tonne | | | | |
| VOC | 0.00057 | tonne | | | | |

388

Table 13 Inventory analysis for concrete curing in the CCUS supply chain of Germany (Nikbin et al., 2018;
Gursel and Horvath, 2012; Patricio et al., 2017)

| Input of the process | | | | | | |
|----------------------|------------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Cement | 0.285 | tonne | | | | |
| Water | 0.145 | tonne | | | | |
| Fine aggregates | 0.118 | tonne | | | | |
| Coarse aggregates | 0.145 | tonne | | | | |
| Leca | 0.190 | tonne | | | | |
| Limestone | 0.114 | tonne | | | | |
| Superplasticize | 0.003 | tonne | | | | |
| CO_2 | 0.030 | tonne | | | | |
| Output of | the proces | s | | | | |
| СО | 0.056 | tonne | | | | |
| Lead | 0.00001 | tonne | | | | |
| Nox | 0.001 | tonne | | | | |
| PM_{10} | 0.00003 | tonne | | | | |
| SO_2 | 0.001 | tonne | | | | |
| VOC | 0.001 | tonne | | | | |
| Concrete | 1.000 | tonne | | | | |

391

392 CO₂ can also be utilized at large scale in agricultural processes. The environmental burden and inventory
393 analysis for wheat growing enhanced by carbon dioxide is shown in Table 14 based on Biswas et al. (2010,
394 2008). According to tests of free air concentration enrichment (FACE) of carbon dioxide performed around

the world, this utilization route is not as effective as preliminary laboratory experiments showed. CO_2 concentration cannot be increased much above its value in the atmosphere (Erda et al. 2005) because the absorption rate of carbon by photosynthesis increases at the expenses of Nitrogen (proteins) and additional nutrients changing the quality of biomass grown. For this reason, the contribution of CO_2 , in addition to that already available in the atmosphere, can be only marginal and was evaluated as 500 mg per kg of wheat produced (Leonzio et al., 2019).

401

402 Table 14 Inventory analysis for wheat production in the CCUS supply chain of Germany (Biswas et al.,

403 2010; 2008; Leonzio et al., 2019)

| Input of the process | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| CO_2 | 0.5 | kg | | | | |
| Output of the process | | | | | | |
| Wheat | 1 | tonne | | | | |
| GW | 275 | kgCO _{2-eq} | | | | |

404

In the Italian CCUS supply chain (Leonzio and Zondervan, 2020) methane is produced from carbon dioxide
with a power to gas process (by means of hydrogen produced by water electrolysis exploiting renewable energy
sources). Table 15 shows the inventory analysis for the methanation process (using the Sabatier reaction)
assuming complete CO₂ conversion to methane (Reiter et al., 2015; Sternberg and Bardow, 2016).

Table 15 Inventory analysis for the methanation process (Sabatier reaction) in the CCUS supply chain of Italy
(Reiter et al., 2015; Sternberg and Bardow, 2016)

| Input of the process | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------|-----|--|--|--|--|
| CO_2 | 2.75 | kg | | | | |
| H_2 | 0.5 | kg | | | | |
| Electricity | 0.33 | kWh | | | | |
| Output of the process | | | | | | |
| CH ₄ | 1 | kg | | | | |
| Waste heat | 8.26 | MJ | | | | |
| H ₂ O | 2.29 | kg | | | | |

411

412

In both CCUS supply chains considered previously (Leonzio et al., 2019; Leonzio and Zondervan, 2020) carbon dioxide was also stored: it was assumed that electrical energy is used to inject carbon dioxide and the required energy is $2.86 \cdot 10^{-2}$ kWh/kgCO₂ (Wildbolz, 2007). Regarding carbon dioxide transportation, infrastructures and energy, data were used from Koornneef et al. (2008) and Wildbolz (2007), respectively. It was assumed that carbon dioxide recompression is necessary for a distance exceeding 400 km and that the associated energy consumption is 0.011 kWh/(tonne km) (Wildbolz, 2007). No leakage emissions were considered due to their negligible value (Bouman et al., 2015).

- For the inventory analysis of carbon dioxide capture with piperazine absorption, the energy requirement was estimated: von der Assen et al. (2015) suggested a value of 0.80 GJ/tonneCO₂, while 0.86 GJ/tonneCO₂ are necessary for the absorption of carbon dioxide with MEA. Infrastructure and emissions data for this last technology were proposed by Giordano et al. (2018).
- 424 The LCI results (using GaBi software) for the German CCUS supply chain (Leonzio et al., 2019) are classified
- 425 as input (resources) and output (resources, deposited goods, emissions to air, fresh water, sea water,
- 426 agricultural soil and industrial soil). In the output the greatest contribution to elementary flows is made by the
- 427 emissions to fresh water (63.4%) followed by the emissions to air (35.8%). Other terms are negligible. These
- 428 elementary flows can be expressed also in kgCO_{2-eq}. In the input 5.24×10^{11} kgCO_{2-eq} are present. In the output,
- 429 7.08×10^{11} kgCO_{2-eq} of emissions to air are produced. Steam production in the calcium carbonate process and
- 430 carbon dioxide source in Munich provides the highest contribution to the emissions to air.
- For each carbon dioxide source, carbon dioxide (as a feedstock sent to storage or utilization) is co-produced
 with the main product of the industry emitting flue gas. To allocate the environmental impact between the
 main product and the co-product, the price allocation method was adopted. The prices for carbon dioxide,
 electricity, cement and steel are respectively 80 €/tonne, 0.15 €/kWh, 80 €/tonne and 589 €/tonne (Focus
 Economics, 2019; Boyer and Ponssard, 2013; Europe, 2019; OECD, 2013).
- The LCI results were also obtained using the GaBi software for the Italian CCUS supply chain (Leonzio and Zondervan, 2020). The greatest impact was due to the emissions to fresh water and to air that contributed respectively 75.4% and 20.9% to the total output flow. The deposited goods contributed 2.97% to the total flow, while other contributions were negligible. Inputs and outputs were expressed also as kgCO_{2-eq}: input resource was 2.83×10^8 kgCO_{2-eq} while emission to air in the output was 9.67×10^{10} kgCO_{2-eq}. The highest
- 441 contribution was due to the carbon dioxide sources in Lombardy and Puglia (iron and steel plants).
- 442 Also in this case a price allocation criterion was applied to carbon dioxide sources. The price taken into account
- for CO₂, electricity and steel are respectively 80 €/tonne, 0.15 €/kWh and 589 €/tonne (Portdata, 2019; Focus
- 444 Economics, 2019; OECD, 2013).
- 445

446 **3. Results**

447 The results from the LCA are discussed in this section for both CCUS supply chains. The magnitude of the 448 environmental burden was evaluated through two different steps: classification and characterization. In these 449 steps the LCI results were combined and organized into the impact categories (classification step) and then 450 into the impact indicators at the midpoint level of the cause-effect chain that analyzes the environmental effect 451 due to defined causes (characterization step) using the CML 2001 methodology (Guinee et al., 2002) 452 implemented in GaBi (Thinkstep, 2019) (LCIA phase). In the last phase of this environmental analysis, the interpretation of previous results in terms of significant issues and sensitivity analysis was developed 453 454 (interpretation phase).

455 3.1 Life cycle impact assessment phase for the German CCUS supply chain

The environmental impact category that was considered is the Global Warming Potential (GWP), sometimes also referred to as the GWI or Global Warming Impact (Heijungs, 2014), because the first aim of this analysis was to determine the ability to meet the targets set by the national environmental policies with respect to carbon dioxide emissions. Results for the other impact categories such as AP, EP, ODP, ADP fossil and elements, FAETP, HTP, MAETP, POCP, and TETP are shown in the Supplementary Materials (see Table S1).

- Results showed that the value for GWP for the German supply chain was 1.94×10^{11} kgCO_{2-eq}: the CCUS supply chain is able to achieve the target set by the German environmental policy for 2020 as their Government's environmental regulations. The German Federal Ministry for the Environment (2017) stated that in Germany carbon dioxide emissions should be lower than 751 MtonneCO₂ by 2020. On the other hand, for the CCUS of Germany described in Leonzio et al. (2019), total carbon dioxide emissions were 640 MtonneCO₂ which also includes emissions from sources not included in the optimized chain.
- The greatest carbon dioxide emissions in the CCUS supply chain come from the carbon dioxide source in Munich (steel and iron plant) with $7.85 \times 10^{10} \text{ kgCO}_{2\text{-eq}}$, followed by steam production in the precipitated calcium carbonate process, with $1.95 \times 10^{10} \text{ kgCO}_{2\text{-eq}}$, in Potsdam (power plant) with $1.65 \times 10^{10} \text{ kgCO}_{2\text{-eq}}$, from the process for the production of propylene oxide in polyurethane production with $1.53 \times 10^{10} \text{ kgCO}_{2\text{-eq}}$, and by the process to produce concrete by red mud with $6.42 \times 10^9 \text{ kgCO}_{2\text{-eq}}$.

472 **3.2** Life cycle impact assessment phase for the Italian CCUS supply chain

473 For the Italian CCUS supply chain (Leonzio and Zondervan, 2020) the goal of the analysis was again to ensure 474 that the supply chain reduces carbon dioxide emissions to a value lower than that established by the national 475 environmental policy, in this case equal to 275 MtonneCO₂ (Gracceva et al., 2017). For this reason, only the 476 GWP impact category is considered. Results showed that for this CCUS supply chain the value of GWP was 9.62×10^{10} kgCO_{2-eq}. When considering also the additional carbon dioxide sources not included in the optimized 477 supply chain the total carbon dioxide emissions were 249 MtonneCO₂. A value lower than the target was 478 479 obtained showing that the proposed approach is able to effectively reduce carbon dioxide emissions in Italy. 480 Inside the supply chain, the processes with the greatest contribution to carbon dioxide emissions are the iron and steel plant in Puglia $(6.32 \times 10^{10} \text{ kgCO}_{2-\text{eq}})$ and the iron and steel plant in Lombardy $(1.09 \times 10^{10} \text{ kgCO}_{2-\text{eq}})$. 481 For a complete analysis, other impact categories such as AP, EP, ODP, ADP fossil and elements, FAETP, 482 483 MAETP, POCP, TEP are reported in the Supplementary Materials (see Table S2).

484 4. Discussion

485 **4.1 Interpretation phase for the German CCUS supply chain**

The interpretation phase involves a sensitivity analysis around the base case to explore the extent to which the results are significant and the way that changes may affect them. The results in section 3.1 show that the significant processes that contribute most to the overall result were: the precipitated calcium carbonate process, due to the high environmental impact of steam production, carbon dioxide sources (in particular Munich,
Potsdam), propylene oxide formation in the polyurethane production section and concrete production by red
mud.

492 A sensitivity analysis was carried out to evaluate the relative influence of the storage and utilization sections 493 within the supply chain. For carbon dioxide captured from Magdeburg the amount that is sent to the storage 494 section is used at different percentages for the production of different species (concrete by red mud or curing, 495 wheat, lignin upgrading, urea, methanol, polyurethane and calcium carbonate). For three different case studies 496 respectively 25%, 50% and 75% of the carbon dioxide originally stored in the base case was maintained in the 497 storage section while the remaining carbon dioxide captured was sent to utilization in order to increase the 498 production of the single product.

Results giving the negative or positive change are shown in Table 16 (the absolute values corresponding to the arrows of Table 16 are shown in Tables S3-S10 of the Supplementary Materials). For a complete picture of the environmental impact, all impact categories were considered (Supplementary Material). While the GWP is expected to be increased by increasing the utilization rate of carbon dioxide, other impacts could be increased, constant or decreased (Cuellar-Franca and Azapagic, 2015).

504

Table 16 Sensitivity analysis: trends resulting for different impact categories when increasing the carbon dioxide utilization rate in each utilization section of the supply chain for Germany; arrows indicate variation in direction and intensity for each impact category with reference to the base case (1/4) low variation (<5%), constant value, //4 medium variation (<50%), //4 high variation (>50%))

| | GWP | AP | EP | ODP | ADP elements | ADP fossil | FAETP | HTP | MAETP | POCP | TETP |
|----------------------|-----|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------|-------------------------|-----|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Methanol | 1 | \Leftrightarrow | \Leftrightarrow | \Leftrightarrow | | ₽ | | • | $ \Longleftrightarrow $ | \Leftrightarrow | ₽ |
| Concrete curing | | | | $ \Longleftrightarrow $ | $ \Longleftrightarrow $ | • | • | | \Leftrightarrow | 1 | 1 |
| Urea | | | | | | | • | | \Leftrightarrow | | \Leftrightarrow |
| Wheat | 1 | \Leftrightarrow | \Leftrightarrow | \Leftrightarrow | \Leftrightarrow | ₽ | ₽ | • | $ \Longleftrightarrow $ | \Leftrightarrow | ₽ |
| Lignin treatment | | | $ \Longleftrightarrow $ | | | | $ \Longleftrightarrow $ | | | | \Leftrightarrow |
| Polyure thane | | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | |
| Calcium carbonate | | 1 | $ \Longleftrightarrow $ | \Leftrightarrow | | • | \Leftrightarrow | | | | 1 |
| Concrete by red mud | | 1 | | \Leftrightarrow | $ \Longleftrightarrow $ | • | $ \Longleftrightarrow $ | 1 | $ \Longleftrightarrow $ | | 1 |

509

510

511 The sensitivity analysis suggests that the storage site is important for the German CCUS supply chain in order 512 to reduce the environmental impact in terms of GWP. In all cases the GWP was raised by increasing the

amount of carbon dioxide sent to the utilization section while keeping constant the amount of captured carbon

514 dioxide. Few impact categories were reduced and only for some carbon-dioxide-based products.

Comparing different case studies, a lower overall environmental impact was obtained when additional 515 methanol was produced. The highest GWP value was obtained with wheat production. The higher 516 517 environmental impact in terms of GWP at a higher utilization rate of carbon dioxide was due to a higher 518 environmental impact for the utilization processes compared to that of the storage system. This result was in 519 agreement with the work of Cuellar-Franca and Azapagic (2015) where a comparison between CCS and CCU 520 was presented: on average the GWP for CCS is significantly lower than that for the CCU option. For example, 521 for biodiesel production the GWP is four times higher than that for CCS, while the carbon mineralization and 522 the EOR have a GWP that is 2.9 and 1.8 times higher than that for CCS, respectively. Even if the utilization 523 solution produces a better economic return its overall environmental impact in terms of GWP compared to 524 storage is higher.

This demonstrates that the storage section is important and should be designed at the optimal operating conditions. Also, storage is important because the demand for chemicals and other products does not have the capacity to sink enough carbon dioxide emissions to achieve the carbon reduction targets (Cuellar-Franca and Azapagic, 2015). It was estimated that the annual production of urea and methanol requires only 0.5% of the current 34.5 Gtonne/year of the anthropogenic global carbon dioxide emissions (ISPRA, 2013). The same conclusion about the importance of carbon storage was reported by Aldaco et al. (2019) comparing a CCU with a CCS system.

532 For the other impact categories considered here in the LCA and sensitivity analyses in addition to GWP, a 533 comparison with the literature cannot be performed because a complete LCA, i.e. including the additional 534 impact categories, was not yet developed in previous studies for CCUS supply chains. Cuellar-Franca and 535 Azapagic (2015) suggested that a wider range of LCA impacts be considered in future rather than focusing only on the GWP and to examine the various utilization options of carbon dioxide as has been done for the 536 supply chains here. However, as Table 16 shows, and as discussed above, some impact categories are 537 increasing while others are decreasing or remain constant when raising the utilization rate of carbon dioxide 538 to obtain different products. 539

540 Although LCA results for new utilization processes will undoubtedly evolve, LCA at this stage will help to 541 provide suggestions for future studies aiming at higher energy efficiencies and other environmental 542 advantages. With this in mind, an additional LCA study was carried out incorporating a higher efficiency of 543 methanol synthesis in terms of global carbon dioxide conversion. This should reduce carbon dioxide emissions 544 at the outlet of the chemical reactor (Leonzio and Foscolo, 2020; Leonzio et al., 2019). As defined before, the 545 methanol reactor includes the recycle of unconverted gases after the separation of methanol and water to 546 improve carbon dioxide conversion. Efficiencies higher than that corresponding to 80% recycle (used above 547 in the base case) were considered here in order to study the effect of changing the carbon dioxide to methanol 548 conversion rate on the various impact categories of the LCA for different utilization and storage rates of CO₂. 549 Results of this sensitivity analysis are shown qualitatively in Table 17 (the exact values are reported in Tables 550 S11 and S12).

Table 17 Results of sensitivity analysis when increasing carbon dioxide utilization rate at different rates of carbon dioxide conversion to methanol; arrows indicate variation of each impact category with reference to the base case (\bigcirc constant value; $^//$ low variation (< 5%) upwards/downwards).

| CO ₂ conversion | GWP | AP | EP | ODP steady state | ADP elements | ADP fossil | FAETP | HTP | MAETP | POCP | ТЕТР |
|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------|------------|-------|-----|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| 100% | | \Leftrightarrow | \overleftrightarrow | \Leftrightarrow | | ₽ | | | $ \Longleftrightarrow $ | $ \Longleftrightarrow $ | |
| 90% | \Leftrightarrow | \Leftrightarrow | \Leftrightarrow | \Leftrightarrow | | | | • | \Leftrightarrow | \Leftrightarrow | \Leftrightarrow |

555

554

556 When increasing the utilization ratio of carbon dioxide, the GWP remains constant for a fixed amount of 557 captured carbon dioxide and for a defined carbon dioxide conversion. On the other hand, for a different 558 conversion rate the analysis resulting from increasing the utilization rate of carbon dioxide to methanol 559 produces a different value of GWP with respect to the base case.

560 When global carbon dioxide conversion of methanol synthesis is fixed at 90% ADP elements and FAETP

increased while ADP fossil and HTP decreased. When global carbon dioxide conversion is approaching 100%

562 TETP also decreased, suggesting a lower environmental impact.

These results show that improving the efficiency of the methanol process allows a higher amount of carbon dioxide to be sent to the utilization section and the amount sent to the storage section to be reduced without increasing the value of GWP. However, it is difficult to operate a methanol process based on carbon dioxide hydrogenation with these high efficiencies. This result indicates that research towards increased methanol

567 conversion rates would produce environmental and energetic advantages for CCUS.

568 4.2 Interpretation phase for the Italian CCUS supply chain

569 Previous results suggested that hotspots (processes with a higher environmental impact) were linked to CO₂
570 sources, especially in Puglia and Lombardy where iron and steel plants are present.

As for the German supply chain, a sensitivity analysis was undertaken keeping constant the amount of captured 571 572 carbon dioxide while increasing the amount of emissions utilized for methane production and reducing those sent to storage. 25%, 50%, 75% of base case values for carbon dioxide sent to storage section were used in 573 574 this analysis. The remainder was used for methane production. The amount of methane produced in the three sensitivity cases were 25 Mtonne/year, 22 Mtonne/year and 19 Mtonne/year. In the base case, 16.1 575 576 Mtonne/year of methane were produced. Results showed that when an increasing amount of carbon dioxide is sent to the utilization section the GWP was reduced. For the Italian supply chain utilization is preferred over 577 storage because a lower GWP can be obtained, as shown in Figure 5, and for this reason the GWP associated 578 579 with carbon dioxide storage by injection in the saline aquifer is higher than that of methane production. This may be explained by the noticeable utilization of hydrogen together with CO2 in the synthesis of methane (at 580 581 a molar ratio of 4:1).



Figure 5 GWP as a function of different percentages of carbon dioxide sent to storage, with respect to the basecase when additional methane is produced in the Italian CCUS supply chain

582

586 The trend for the other impact categories obtained when increasing the utilization rate of carbon dioxide (still 587 keeping constant the amount captured) is shown in Table 18 (the exact values are reported in Table S13). 588 Overall, a lower environmental impact was obtained because all impact categories were reduced except for EP 589 which remained constant. In this case storage was an unfavorable option for reducing the environmental 590 impact. These results suggest that power to gas technology is a cleaner and more environmentally friendly process than the storage option with other utilization systems which was the conclusion for the German case. 591 592 No carbon dioxide emissions were present at the outlet of the chemical reactor. Carbon dioxide conversion 593 and methane selectivity can reach values close to 100% especially under stoichiometric conditions (Stangeland 594 et al., 2015).

Table 18 Results of the sensitivity analysis regarding different impact categories when higher fractions of CO_2 flow rate are utilized for methane production; arrows indicate variations with respect to the base case (constant value, // low variation (<5%), // medium variation (<50%))

| _ | GWP | AP | EP | ODP steady state | ADP elements | ADP fossil | FAETP | MAETP | POCP | TETP |
|---|-----|----|-------------------------|------------------|--------------|------------|-------|-------|------|------|
| _ | • | • | $ \Longleftrightarrow $ | | - | • | - | • | • | ➡ |

- 598
- 599

600 4.3 Comparison and further discussion about the CCUS supply chains

The sensitivity analysis in section 4.1 showed that storage is preferred over utilization. For the Italian CCUS supply chain, utilization is preferred over storage to reduce the environmental impact (as discussed in section 4.2). These results suggest that the best carbon dioxide utilization system is the power to gas system. Sternberg and Bardow (2016) suggested that for power-to-gas the global warming impact is about 0.222 kg CO_{2-eq}/FU_{SNG} while the fossil depletion impact is $0.072 \text{ kg Oil}_{eq}/\text{FU}_{\text{SNG}}$ in 2020. Our results confirm that this technology is the most effective and mature process. It avoids an increase in the environmental impact for CO₂ utilization. While power to gas systems are expected to have an important role in the energy transition there are only few studies reporting LCA for this technology (Gotz et al., 2016; Meylana et al., 2017; Sternberg and Bardow, 2015).

610

611 **5.** Conclusions

In this study a Life Cycle Analysis was carried out for large scale CCUS supply chains developed in previous
studies for Germany and Italy (Leonzio et al., 2019; Leonzio and Zondervan 2020). This study particularly
incorporated the utilization of CO2 through its chemical conversion to a range of useful products.

The LCA results for Germany showed that it was possible to reduce German carbon dioxide emissions through storage and utilization to 640 Mtonne. This is a value lower than the target set by the European environmental policy for Germany. A sensitivity analysis showed that storage is more effective in reducing the value of GWP than additional utilization of carbon dioxide to produce useful products. Other impact categories remained constant or in some cases worsened.

620 The LCA results for Italy showed that total carbon emissions for Italy could be reduced to 249 Mtonne, a value below that required by the national environmental policies. A sensitivity analysis showed that the value of 621 622 GWP was reduced if additional carbon dioxide was used to produce methane instead of being stored, keeping 623 constant the overall quantity of CO₂ captured while other indicators of impact categories decreased or remained 624 constant. This result suggests that, for the Italian CCUS supply chain, storage is less important to reduce the 625 value of GWP and that a power to gas system has more beneficial results in this case. The power to gas system 626 is predicted to be the most beneficial process to avoid an increase in the environmental impact. It is also a 627 more mature technology.

This work shows how using LCA and sensitivity analysis helps find systems that increase the utilization rate of carbon dioxide while also reducting, or at least keeping constant, the GWP. The indicators for other impact categories are reduced only when the power to gas process is used for carbon dioxide utilization. Additional studies are recommended in order to develop more sustainable processes for power to gas to obtain a reduction of GWP even at high methane production rates.

Further developments are needed to improve the overall environmental burden of new carbon dioxide utilization routes in order to make them environmentally preferable to storage at higher utilization rates. Further improvement of conversion efficiencies would allow a wider choice from among the various carbon dioxide utilization options. This would contribute further to the reduction of emissions over the case when only methane production is the preferred route. This also agrees with the circular economy principles based on the recovery of a waste, in the case carbon dioxide, to produce different valuable products. In addition increasing carbon dioxide utilization options could reduce the overall cost by increasing revenues. More studies

| 640 641 | are needed to develop more environmentally friendly utilization routes. A trade-off between carbon dioxide storage and utilization is currently required and this needs thorough exploration in each case |
|------------|---|
| | |
| 642 | In a future study, it would be also interesting to analyze the same supply chains with different carbon dioxide |
| 643 | sources, for example with carbon dioxide captured from ambient air. |
| 644 | |
| 645 | Declarations |
| 646 | Not applicable |
| 647 | |
| 648 | Acknowledgment |
| 649 | Grazia Leonzio would like to thank the University of L'Aquila for the PhD grant and the additional Erasmus |
| 650 | grant to spend a six months research period at the University College London, UK. |
| 651 | |
| 652 | |
| 653 | |
| 654 | |
| 655 | |
| 656 | |
| 657 | |
| 658 | |
| 659 | |
| 660 | |
| 661 | |
| 662 | |
| 663 | |
| 664 | |
| 665 | |
| 666 | |

668 **References**

- Abotalib, M., Zhao, F., Clarens, A., 2016. Deployment of a Geographical Information System Life Cycle
 Assessment Integrated Framework for Exploring the Opportunities and Challenges of Enhanced Oil Recovery
 Using Industrial CO2 Supply in the United States, Sustainable Chem. Eng. 4:4743–4751.
- Antonetti, E., Iaquaniello, G., Salladini, A., Spadaccini, L., Perathoner, S., Centi, G., 2017. Waste-toChemicals for a Circular Economy: The Case of Urea Production (Waste-to-Urea), ChemSusChem 10:912 –
 920.
- Azzolina, N.A., Hamling, J.A., Peck, W.D., Gorecki, C.D., Nakles, D.V., Melzer, L.S., 2017. A life cycle
 analysis of incremental oil produced via CO2 EOR, Energy Procedia 114:6588 6596.
- Aresta, M., Galatola, M., 1999. Life cycle analysis applied to the assessment of the environmental impact of
 alternative synthetic processes. The dimethylcarbonate case: part 1, Jour of Clean. Prod. 7(3):181–193.
- Bernier, E., Lavigne, C., 2013. Life cycle assessment of kraft lignin for polymer applications, Int Jour of Life
 Cyc Assess, 18:520–528.
- Biernacki, P., Rother, T., Paul, W., Werner, P., Steinigeweg, S., 2018. Environmental impact of the excess
 electricity conversion into methanol, Jour of Clean. Prod. 191:87-98.
- Biswas, W.K., Graham, J., Kelly, K., John, M.B., 2010. Global warming contributions from wheat, sheep meat
 and wool production in Victoria, Australia e a life cycle assessment, Jour of Clean. Prod. 18:1386-1392.
- Biswas, W.K., Barton, L., Carter, D., 2008. Global warming potential of wheat production inWestern
 Australia: a life cycle assessment, Water Environ. J. 22:206–216.
- Bouman, E.A., Ramirez, A., Hertwich, E.G., 2015. Multiregional environmental comparison of fossil fuel
 power generation—Assessment of the contribution of fugitive emissions from conventional and
 unconventional fossil resources, Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control, 33:1-9.
- Boyer, M., Ponssard, J.P., 2013. Economic analysis of the European cement industry. 2013. Available at:
 https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00915646
- Brentner, L., Eckelman, M., Zimmerman, J., 2011. Combinatorial life cycle assessment to inform process
 design of industrial production of algae biodiesel. Environ. Sci. Technol. 45:7060–7067.
- Colbertaldo, P., Guandalini, G., Campanari, S., 2018. Modelling the integrated power and transport energy
 system: The role of power-to-gas and hydrogen in long-term scenarios for Italy, Energy. 154(1):592-601
- 696 Corsten, M., Ramirez, A., Shen, L., Koornneef, J., Faaij, A. 2013. Environmental impact assessment of CCS
 697 chains e lessons learned and limitations from LCA literature. Int J Greenh Gas Control 13:59-71.
- Cooney, G., Littlefield, J., Marriott, J., Skone, T. 2015. Evaluating the climate benefits of CO2-enhanced oil
 recovery using life cycle analysis. Environ. Sci. Technol. 49:7491.
- Cuéllar-Franca, R., Dimitriou, I., García-Gutierrez, P., Elder, R.H., Allen, R.W.K., Azapagic, A., 2015a.
 Carbon capture and utilisation: application of life cycle thinking to process design. Comp. Aid. Chem. Eng.
 37:1457–1462.
- Cuellar-Franca, R.M., Azapagic, A., 2015b. Carbon capture, storage and utilisation technologies: a critical
 analysis and comparison of their life cycle environmental impacts. J. CO2 Util. 9:82–102.

- Erda, L., Wei, X., Hui, J., Yinlong, X., Yue, L., Liping, B., Liyong, X. 2005. Climate change impacts on crop
 yield and quality with CO2 fertilization in China, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B, 360, 2149–2154
- 707Europe,2019.Availableat:https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-708explained/index.php/Electricity_price_statistics(Accessed 14 March 2019).
- 709 European Commission, 2015a. Energy Union Package—A Framework Strategy for a Resilient Energy Union
- vith a Forward-Looking Climate Change Policy, Brussels. Available at: https://www.eea.europa.eu/policy-
- 711 documents/com-2015-80-final (accessed 4.5.2019)
- Final Function Functior Functior Functior Functior Functior Functior Functior Functi
- the European Energy System Transformation. Eurostat, 2016. ECU/EUR Exchane Rates Versus National
- 714 Currencies Code: Tec00033, Available
- http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/tgm/table.do?tab=table&init=1&language=en&pcode=tec00033&plugin=1
 (accessed 4.8.16).
- 717 Fernández-Dacostaa, C., van der Speka, M., Hung, C.R., Oregionni, G.D., Skagestad, R., Parihard, P., Gokakd,
- 718 D.T., Strømmanb, A.H., Ramireza, A., 2017. Prospective techno-economic and environmental assessment of
- carbon capture at a refinery and CO2 utilisation in polyol synthesis, J. CO₂ Util. 21:405–422
- Fernández-Dacosta, C., Stojcheva, V., Ramirez, A., 2018. Closing carbon cycles: Evaluating the performance
 of multi-product CO2 utilisation and storage configurations in a refinery, J. CO2 Util, 23:128-142.
- Focus Economics, 2019. Available at: <u>https://www.focus-economics.com/commodities/base-metals/steel-</u>
 <u>europe</u> (Accessed 15.4.2019).
- 724 General Secretariat of the Council, 2014. Conclusions on 2030 climate and energy policy framework. Brussels.
- Available at : <u>https://www.consilium.europa.eu/uedocs/cms_data/docs/pressdata/en/ec/145356.pdf</u> (Accessed
- **726** 4.5.2019)
- Giordano, L., Roizard, D., Favre, E., 2018. Life cycle assessment of post-combustion CO2 capture: A
 comparison between membrane separation and chemical absorption processes, Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control
 68:146–163.
- Götz, M., Lefebvre, J., Mörs, F., McDaniel Koch, A., Graf, F., Bajohr, S., Kolb, R., 2016. Renewable Powerto-gas: A technological and economic review, Renew. Energy 85:1371–1390,
- Gracceva, F., De Luca, E., Fidanza, A., Del Nero, P., Giuffrida, L.G., Felici, B., Pona, C., Zini, A., 2017.
 Analisi trimestrale del sistema energetico italiano. Report 1/2017. ISSN 2531-4750
- Gursel, A.P., Horvath, A., 2012. Green Concrete LCA Tool. Univ. California, Berkeley, CA. Available at:
 <u>https://greenconcrete.berkeley.edu/</u> (Accessed on 14.5.2019)
- Guandalini, G., Robinius, M., Grube, T., Campanari, S., Stolten, D., 2017. Long-term power-to-gas potential
 from wind and solar power: A Country analysis for Italy, Int. J. of Hydrog. Energy. 42(19):13389-13406
- Guinee, J.B., Gorree, M., Heijungs, R., Huppes, G., Kleijn, R., Sleeswijk, A.W., Haes, H., Au, D., Bruijn,
 J.A.D., Duin, R.V., Hujbregts, M.A.J., 2002. Handbook on Life Cycle Assessment: Operational Guide to the
 ISO Standards. Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht.
- Han, J.H., Lee, I.B., 2013. A Comprehensive Infrastructure Assessment Model for Carbon Capture and Storage
 Responding to Climate Change under Uncertainty, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 52:3805–3815.
- Hertwich, E.G., Aaberg, M., Singh, B., Strømman, A.H., 2008. Life-cycle assessment of carbon dioxide
 capture for enhanced oil recovery. Chin. J. Chem. Eng. 16(3):343–353.

at:

- Heijungs, R. 2014. Ten easy lessons for good communication of LCA. Int Jour of Life Cyc Assess 19:473–
 476
- Hussain, D., Dzombak, D.A., Jaramillo, P., Lowry, G.V. 2013. Comparative lifecycle inventory (LCI) of
 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions of enhanced oil recovery (EOR) methods using different CO2 sources. Int.
 J. Greenhouse Gas Control. 16:129–144.
- Hornafius, K.Y., Hornafius, J.S., 2015. Carbon negative oil: A pathway for CO2 emission reduction goals. Int.
 J. Greenhouse Gas Control 37: 492–503.
- IPCC, 2005, IPCC special report on Carbon dioxide Capture and Storage. Prepared by Working Group III of
 the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Metz, B., O. Davidson, H.C. de Coninck, M. Loos and L.A.
 Meyer (eds.)], Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 442 pp.
- ISO 14040. 2009, Environmental management Life cycle assessment Principles and framework, European
 Committee for Standardisation, Brussels, 2009.
- 157 ISO 14044. 2006, Environmental management Life cycle assessment Requirements and guidelines,
- European Committee for Standardisation, Brussels, 2006ISPRA. PBL and JRC, Trends in Global CO2
- 759 Emissions: 2013 Report, PBL Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency and European Commission
- Joint Research Centre Institute for Environment and Sustainability, The Hague/Ispra (2013)
- Jiang, Y., Lei, Y., Yang, Y., Wang, F., 2018. Life Cycle CO2 Emission Estimation of CCS-EOR System Using
- 762 Different CO2 Sources, Pol. J. Environ. Stud. 27(6):2573-2583
- Kahn, B., 2016. Available at: <u>http://www.climatecentral.org/news/world-passes-400-ppmthreshold-permanently-20738</u>. (accessed on 2.5.2019)
- Kajaste, R., Hurme, M., and Oinas, P., 2018. Methanol-Managing greenhouse gas emissions in the production
 chain by optimizing the resource base, AIMS Energy, 6(6):1074–1102.
- Khoo, Z.Y., Ho, EHZ, Li, Y, Yeo, Z, Low, JSC, Bu, J, Chia, LSO, 2021. Life cycle assessment of a CO2
- 768 mineralisation technology for carbon capture and utilisation in Singapore, Journal of CO2 Utilization 44,769 101378
- 770 Kim, Y., Lim, S.R., Jung, K.A., Park, J.M. 2019. Process-based life cycle CO2 assessment of an ammonia-
- based carbon capture and storage system, Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry 76, 223–232
- Koornneef, J., van Keulen, T., Faaij, A., Turkenburg, W., 2008. Life cycle assessment of a pulverized coal
- power plant with post-combustion capture, transport and storage of CO2. Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control. 2:448-467.
- Korre, A., Nie, Z., Durucan, S., 2010. Life cycle modelling of fossil fuel power generation with postcombustion CO2 capture. Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control. 4:289–300.
- Leonzio, G., Foscolo, P.U. 2020. Analysis of a 2-D model of a packed bed reactor for methanol production by
 means of CO2 hydrogenation, International Journal of Hydrogen Energy. 45, 18, 10648-10663
- Leonzio, G., Zondervan, E., Foscolo, P. U. 2019. Methanol production by CO2 hydrogenation: Analysis and
 simulation of reactor performance. International journal of hydrogen energy, 44(16), 7915-7933
- Leonzio, G., Foscolo, P.U., Zondervan, E., 2019 Sustainable utilization and storage of carbon dioxide: analysis
 and design of an innovative supply chain, Comput. Chem. Eng., 135(5):106569.

- Leonzio, G., Zondervan, E., 2020 Analysis and optimization of carbon supply chain integrated by power to
 gas process in Italy, Jour of Clean. Prod. 269:122172.
- Liu, Y., Gea, J., Liu, C., He, R. 2020. Evaluating the energy consumption and air emissions of CO2-enhanced
 oil recovery in China: A partial life cycle assessment of extralow permeability reservoirs, International Journal
 of Greenhouse Gas Control 92, 102850
- Mattila, H.P., Hudd, H., Zevenhoven, R., 2014. Cradle-to-gate life cycle assessment of precipitated calcium
 carbonate production from steel converter slag, Jour of Clean. Prod. 84:611-618.
- Dowell, M., Fennell, N., Shah, P.S., Maitland NGC, (2017) The role of CO2 capture and utilization in
- 791 mitigating climate change. Nat. Clim. Chang. 7:243–249.
- Matzen, M., Demirel, Y., 2016a. Methanol and dimethyl ether from renewable hydrogen and carbon dioxide:
 Alternative fuels production and life-cycle assessment, Jour of Clean. Prod. 139, 1068-1077.
- Meylana, F.D., Pigueta, F.P., Erkman, S., 2017. Power-to-gas through CO2 methanation: Assessment of the
 carbon balance regarding EU directives, J. Energy Storage 11, 16–24
- Michailos, S., Sanderson, P., Zaragoza, A.V., McCord, S., Armstrong, K., Styring, P., 2018. Methanol Worked
 Examples for the TEA and LCA Guidelines for CO2 Utilization, Available at :
 https://deepblue.lib.umich.edu/handle/2027.42/145723 (accessed on 5.5.2019)
- 799 Modahl, I.S., Nyland, C.A., Raadal, H.L., Karstad, O., Torp, T.A., Hagemann, R., LCA as an ecodesign tool
- 800 for production of electricity, including carbon capture and storage-A study of a gas power plant case with
- 801 post-combustion CO2 capture at Tjeldbergodden. Proceedings of the Conference: Joint actions on climate
- 802 change, Aalborg, Den, June 2009
- Nikbin, I.M., Aliaghazadeh, M., Charkhta, S., Fathollahpour, A., 2018. Environmental impacts and mechanical
 properties of lightweight concrete containing bauxite residue (red mud), J. Clean. Prod. 172:2683-2694.
- 805 OECD, 2013. Effective Carbon Prices, Available at: <u>http://www.oecd.org/env/tools-evaluation/carbon-</u> 806 prices.htm (Accessed 13 April 2019).
- Ochoa Bique, A., Nguyen, T.B.H., Leonzio, G., Galanopoulos, C., Zondervan, E., 2018. Integration of carbon
 dioxide and hydrogen supply chains, Comput. Aided Chem. Eng., 43:1413-1418.
- Pacala, S., Socolow, R., 2004. Stabilization wedges: solving the climate problem for the next 50 years with
 current technologies. Science 305(5686):968–972.
- Parra, D., Zhang, X., Bauer, C., Patel, M.K., 2017. An integrated techno-economic and life cycle
 environmental assessment of power-to-gas systems, Appl. Energy 193:440–454.
- Passell, H., Dhaliwal, H., Reno, M., Wu, B., Amotz, A.B., Ivry, E., Gay, M., Czartoski, T., Laurin, L., Ayer,
- N., 2013 Algae biodiesel life cycle assessment using current commercial data, J. Environ. Manage. 129:103–
 111.
- Patricio, J., Angelis-Dimakis, A., Castillo-Castillo, A., Kalmykova, Y., Rosado, L., 2017. Region prioritization
 for the development of carbon capture and utilization technologies, J. CO2 Util. 17:50–59.
- Pehnt, A., Henkel, J., 2009. Life cycle assessment of carbon dioxide capture and storage from lignite power
 plants. Int J Greenh Gas Control 3:49-66.

- Petrescu, L., Bonalumi, D., Valenti, G., Cormos, A.M., Cormos, C.C., 2017. Life Cycle Assessment for
 supercritical pulverized coal power plants with post-combustion carbon capture and storage, Jour of Clean.
 Prod. 157:10-21.
- Portdata, 2019 Available at
 <u>https://www.pordata.pt/en/Europe/Electricity+prices+for+households+and+industrial+users+(Euro+ECU)-</u>
 1477-211472 (Accessed 15.3.2019)
- Reiter, G., Lindorfer, J., 2015. Global warming potential of hydrogen and methane production from renewable
 electricity via power-to-gas technology, Int Jour of Life Cyc Assess, 20:477–489
- Rhodes, J., Clarens, A., Eranki, P., Long, J. 2015. Electricity from natural gas with CO2 capture for enhanced
 oil recovery; California Council on Science and Technology, ISBN 978-1-930117-98-3.
- Singh, B., Strømman, A.H., Hertwich, E., 2011a. Life cycle assessment of natural gas combined cycle power
 plant with post-combustion carbon capture, transport and storage, Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control 5(3):457–
 466.
- Singh, B., Strømman, A.H., Hertwich, E.G., 2011b. Comparative life cycle environmental assessment of CCS
 technologies. Int J Greenhouse Gas Control 5(4):911–921.
- Stangeland, K., Kalai, D., Li, H., Yu, Z., 2017. CO₂ methanation: the effect of catalysts and reaction
 conditions, Energy Procedia 105:2022 2027.
- Štefanica, J., Smutná, J., Kočí, V., Machač, P., Pilař, L. 2016. Environmental gains and impacts of a CCS
 technology case study of post-combustion CO2 separation by ammonia absorption, Energy Procedia
 86:215–218
- Sternberg, A., Bardow, A., 2016. Life Cycle Assessment of Power-to-Gas: Syngas vs Methane, ACS
 Sustainable Chem. Eng. 4:4156–4165.
- Sternberg, A., and Bardow, A. 2015. Power-to-What? Environmental assessment of energy storage systems,
 Energy Environ. Sci., 8:389–400.
- 844 Thinkstep,2019. Available at <u>https://www.thinkstep.com/</u>. (accessed on 4.5.2019)
- 845 Thorne, R.J., Sundsetha, K., Bouman, E., Czarnowska, L., Mathisend, A., Skagestadd, R., Stanekc, W.,
- 846 Pacynaa, J.M., Pacyna, E.G. 2020, Technical and environmental viability of a European CO2 EOR system,
- 847 International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control 92, 102857
- von der Assen, N., and Bardow, A., 2014b. Life cycle assessment of polyols for polyurethane production using
 CO2 as feedstock: insights from an industrial case study, Green Chem., 16, 3272–3280.
- von der Assen, N., Voll P., Peters, M., Bardow, A. 2014a. Life cycle assessment of CO2 capture and utilization:
 a tutorial review, Chem. Soc. Rev., 43:7982—7994.
- von der Assen, N., Sternberg, A., Katelhon, A., Bardow, 2015. Environmental potential of carbon dioxide
 utilization in the polyurethane supply chain, Faraday Discuss., 183:291–307.
- von der Aben, V.N., 2015. From Life-Cycle Assessment towards Life-Cycle Design of Carbon Dioxide
- 855 Capture and Utilization, Available at: https://publications.rwth-aachen.de/record/570980/files/570980.pdf
- 856 (Accessed on 4.5.2019)Volkart, K.,., Bauer, C., Boulet, C., 2013. Life cycle assessment of carbon capture and
- storage in power generation and industry in Europe, Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control 16:91–106.
- Wildbolz, C., 2007. Life Cycle Assessment of Selected Technologies for CO2 Transport and Sequestration,
 Diploma Thesis, Available at: https://www.doka.ch/CCSDiplomaWildbolz07.pdf (accessed on 14.5.2019)

- Yue, D., You, F., 2015. Integration of geological sequestration and microalgae biofixation supply chains for
 better greenhouse gas emission abatement, Chem. Eng. Trans., 45:487-492.
- 862 Zappa, W., 2014. Pilot-scale Experimental Work on the Production of Precipitated Calcium Carbonate (PCC)
- from Steel Slag for CO2 Fixation, Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
 Master of Science in Technology Department of Energy Technology School of Engineering, Aalto University
- 865 Espoo,
- Zhang, X., Bauer, C., Mutel, C.L., Volkart, K., 2017. Life Cycle Assessment of Power-to-Gas: Approaches,
 system variations and their environmental implications, Appl. Energy 190:326–338.
- Zhang, Z., Wang, T., Blunt, M. J., Anthony, E. J., Park, A-H. A., Hughes, R. W., Webley, P. A., & Yan, J.
 2020. Advances in carbon capture, utilization and storage. Applied Energy, 278, 115627

- . _

| 893 | Supplementary Materials |
|------------|--|
| 894 | |
| 895 | Life cycle assessment of a carbon capture utilization and storage supply chain in Italy and Germany: |
| 896 | comparison between carbon dioxide storage and utilization systems |
| 897 | Grazia Leonzio ^{*1} , I. David L. Bogle ² , Pier Ugo Foscolo ¹ |
| 898 | |
| 899 900 | ¹ Department of Industrial and Information Engineering and Economics, University of L'Aquila, L'Aquila, 18 via G. Gronchi, 67100, Italy; |
| 901 902 | ² Department of Chemical Engineering, Centre for Process Systems Engineering, University College London, London, Torrington Place WC1E 6BT, UK |
| 903 | *Corresponding author: grazia.leonzio@graduate.univaq.it |
| 904 | |
| 905 | |
| 906 | 1. Life cycle inventory phase |
| 907 | |
| 908 | Table S1 |

909 Results of LCIA analysis for the CCUS supply chain of Germany

| - | AP | 1.57×10^{9} | kgSO _{2eq} |
|-----|------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| | EP | 3.04×10^{10} | kgPhosphate _{eq} |
| | ODP steady state | 3.76×10^{3} | kgR11 _{eq} |
| | ADP elements | 4.25×10^{5} | kgSb _{eq} |
| | ADP fossil | 3.44×10^{12} | MJ |
| | FAETP | 7.43×10^{10} | kgDCB _{eq} |
| | HTP | 5.27×10^{10} | kgDCB _{eq} |
| | MAETP | 4.54×10^{14} | kgDCB _{eq} |
| | POCP | 1.84×10^{8} | kgethene _{eq} |
| _ | TETP | 1.49×10^{9} | kgDCB _{eq} |
| 910 | | | |
| 911 | | | |
| 912 | | | |
| 913 | | | |
| 914 | | | |
| 915 | | | |
| 916 | | | |
| 917 | | | |
| 918 | | | |

919 **Table S2**

| AP | 4.67×10^{8} | kgSO _{2eq} |
|------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| EP | 1.15×10^{11} | kgPhosphate _{eq} |
| ODP steady state | 368 | kgR11 _{eq} |
| ADP elements | 2.27×10^{4} | kgSb _{eq} |
| ADP fossil | 1.96×10^{12} | MJ |
| FAETP | 9.27×10^{10} | kgDCB _{eq} |
| MAETP | 1.02×10^{13} | kgDCB _{eq} |
| POCP | 7.88×10^{7} | kgethene _{eq} |
| TETP | 4.42×10^{7} | kgDCB _{eq} |
| | | |

920 Results for different impact categories, considered in the LCIA analysis for the CCUS supply chain in Italy

921

922

923 2. Results of sensitivity analysis

924 2.1 CCUS of Germany

925 Assuming that the fraction of carbon dioxide sent to the utilization section is used to produce methanol, and 926 the captured carbon dioxide is stored at a rate of only 25%, 50%, 75% of the base case, the calculated methanol 927 production is 9.8 Mton/year, 6.8 Mton/year and 3.8 Mton/year, respectively. Keeping constant the amount of 928 captured carbon dioxide, and reducing the amount of carbon dioxide sent to storage (i.e. producing more 929 methanol), the AP, EP, ODP, MAETP, POCP remain constant. On the other hand, the GWP, ADP elements 930 and FAETP fossil increase compared to the base case. However, the GWP increases only slightly compared to base case. The opposite trend is observed for the ADP fossil, HTP and TETP. Overall, the variation of these 931 932 impact categories is not significant compared to the base case.

In the following analysis, carbon dioxide that is not stored is utilized for concrete curing: when the stored amount of carbon dioxide is only 25%, 50%, 75% of the base case, CO₂-cured concrete is 513 Mton/year, 343 Mton/year and 174 Mton/year, respectively. Results show that for the ODP, ADP elements and MAETP no variations are present. The GWP, AP, EP, HTP, POCP, TETP are increased, then a higher environmental impact is present, especially for the POCP. The highest value that is achieved for the GWP is 3.46·10¹¹ kgCO₂. eq, when carbon dioxide stored is only 25% of the base case. Reductions are present for the FAETP and ADP fossil. Overall, producing a higher amount of CO₂-cured concrete increases the environmental impact.

In the following analysis, carbon dioxide that is not stored is used to produce urea. When stored carbon dioxide
is only 25%, 50%, 75% of the base case, urea production is of 21.9 Mton/year, 15.5 Mton/year and 8.2
Mton/year, respectively. Results show that the TETP and MAETP have a constant trend, while the GWP, AP,
EP, ADP fossil, HTP and POCP increase. The highest value for GWP is 2.28^{10¹¹} kgCO_{2-eq}. On the other hand,

- 944 only the FAETP is reduced increasing carbon dioxide sent to the utilization section. Overall, like in the
- 945 previous case, the increase of urea production is not favorable to the reduction of the environmental impact.

946 Carbon dioxide that is not stored is sent to the utilization section to produce wheat. The total CO₂-assisted production of wheat, when carbon dioxide stored is only 25%, 50% and 75% of that sent to the storage section 947 in the base case, is respectively 3.05.10¹⁰ ton/year, 2.03.10¹⁰ ton/year and 1.02.10¹⁰ ton/year. With an 948 increasing amount of carbon dioxide utilized for wheat production, only the GWP increases and a higher value 949 compared to the base case is obtained ($8.55 \cdot 10^{12}$ kgCO_{2-eq} compared to $1.94 \cdot 10^{11}$ kgCO_{2-eq} of the base case). 950 This suggests a higher environmental impact, even if a reduction of ADP fossil, FAETP, HTP and TETP is 951 952 predicted. The other impact categories like POCP, MAETP, ADP elements, ODP, EP and AP present a 953 constant trend.

Carbon dioxide not stored is sent to the utilization for lignin treatment: when only 25%, 50% and 75% of carbon dioxide sent to the storage section in the base case is stored, the respective amount of lignin that is upgraded is 69.7 Mton/year, 46.6 Mton/year and 23.5 Mton/year. Overall, increasing the lignin that is treated determines a higher environmental impact. In fact, the GWP, AP, ODP, ADP elements, ADP fossil, HTP, MAETP and POCP increase. However, as in the methanol case, no significant variations are obtained. For example, the highest value of GWP is 2.06[.]10¹¹ kgCO_{2-eq}. On the other hand, the TETP and FAETP show no variation.

When increasing the amount of carbon dioxide sent to the utilization for polyurethane production, it is evident 961 962 that the environmental impact increases. The highest variation compared to the base case is present for the 963 ADP elements, while for the other impact categories no significant variations compared to the base case are obtained. The highest GWP is 2.88^{10¹¹} kgCO_{2-eq}. When only 25%, 50% and 75% of carbon dioxide sent to 964 965 storage section in the base case is stored, the amount of polyurethane that is produced is respectively 62 966 Mton/year, 45 Mton/year and 28 Mton/year. Polyurethane is obtained in a conventional way by polyols and isocyanate, however it should be stressed here that we are not considering the traditional route for polyols 967 968 production. These are obtained from carbon dioxide: CO₂ reacts with epoxides to produce polycarbonate 969 polyols via a catalytic reaction (Orgilés-Calpena et al., 2016). The mechanical properties of resulting 970 polyurethane are comparable with those obtained through a traditional way (Orgilés-Calpena et al., 2016).

In the following sensitivity analysis, the amount of carbon dioxide that is not stored is sent to the utilization for the production of calcium carbonate. When only 25%, 50%, 75% of carbon dioxide sent to the storage section in the base case is stored, the amount of calcium carbonate that is produced is respectively 135 Mton/year, 131 Mton/year and 126 Mton/year. A constant trend is present for the EP, ODP and FAETP. Increasing the utilization option, a reduction is obtained only for the ADP fossil, while an increment is obtained for other impact categories. The highest value for GWP is 1.96·10¹¹ kgCO_{2-eq}. However, no significant variations compared to base case are present.

In the last sensitivity analysis, carbon dioxide not stored is sent to utilization for the production of concrete by red mud. When only 25%, 50% and 75% of carbon dioxide sent to the storage in the base case is actually stored, the amount of concrete produced is respectively 1.16 billion ton/year, 783 Mton/year and 401 Mton/year. A constant trend is present for the ODP, ADP elements, FAETP and MAETP. Generally, increasing

- the amount of carbon dioxide sent to the utilization section, the GWP, AP, EP, HTP, POCP and TETP increase,
- while only the ADP fossil decreases. The highest value of GWP is $5.79 \cdot 10^{11}$ kgCO_{2-eq}, calculated when only 25% of carbon dioxide is stored in storage section compared to the base case. However, no substantial
- 25% of earbon doxide is stored in storage section compared to the base case. However, no substant
- 985 variations are predicted compared to base case for these impact categories.
- 986 The following Tables S3 S10 summarize the results obtained with the sensitivity analysis for the CCUS of
- 987 Germany, with reference to different scenarios (see also the methodology applied in Xiang et al. (2015)
- 988 Table S3 Results of sensitivity analysis considering methanol production for the CCUS of Germany

| | Base case (A) | 25% CO ₂ stored compared A | 50% CO ₂ stored compared A | 75% CO ₂ stored compared A |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| GWP (kgCO _{2eq}) | 1.94×10^{11} | 1.98×10^{11} | 1.97×10^{11} | 1.95×10^{11} |
| AP (kgSO _{2eq}) | 1.57×10^{9} | 1.57×10^{9} | 1.57×10^{9} | 1.57×10^{9} |
| EP (kgPhoshateeq) | 3.04×10^{10} | 3.04×10^{10} | 3.04×10^{10} | 3.04×10^{10} |
| ODP (kgR11 _{eq}) | 3.76×10^{3} | 3.76×10^{3} | 3.76×10^{3} | 3.76×10^{3} |
| ADP elements (kgSb _{eq}) | 4.25×10^{5} | 4.28×10^{5} | 4.27×10^{5} | 4.26×10^{5} |
| ADP fossil (MJ) | 3.44×10^{12} | 3.39×10^{12} | 3.40×10^{12} | 3.42×10^{12} |
| FAETP (kgDCB _{eq}) | 7.43×10^{10} | 7.48×10^{10} | 7.46×10^{10} | 7.44×10^{10} |
| HTP inf (kgDCB _{eq}) | 5.27×10^{10} | 5.25×10^{10} | 5.26×10^{10} | 5.26×10^{10} |
| MAETP (kgDCB _{eq}) | 4.54×10^{14} | 4.54×10^{14} | 4.54×10^{14} | 4.54×10^{14} |
| POCP (kgethene _{eq}) | 1.84×10^{8} | 1.84×10^{8} | 1.84×10^{8} | 1.84×10^{8} |
| TETP (kgDCB _{eq}) | 1.49×10^{9} | 1.48×10^{9} | 1.49×10^{9} | 1.49×10^{9} |

990 Table S4 Results of sensitivity analysis considering concrete curing for the CCUS of Germany

| | Base case (A) | 25% CO ₂ stored compared A | 50% CO ₂ stored compared A | 75% CO ₂ stored compared A |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| GWP (kgCO _{2eq}) | 1.94×10^{11} | 3.46×10 ¹¹ | 2.95×10^{11} | 2.45×10^{11} |
| AP (kgSO _{2eq}) | 1.57×10^{9} | 2.43×10^{9} | 2.15×10^{9} | 1.86×10^{9} |
| EP (kgPhoshateeq) | 3.04×10^{10} | 3.05×10^{10} | 3.05×10^{10} | 3.04×10^{10} |
| ODP (kgR11 _{eq}) | 3.76×10^{3} | 3.76×10^{3} | 3.76×10^{3} | 3.76×10^{3} |
| ADP elements (kgSbeq) | 4.25×10^{5} | 4.25×10^{5} | 4.25×10^{5} | 4.25×10^{5} |
| ADP fossil (MJ) | 3.44×10^{12} | 3.38×10^{12} | 3.40×10^{12} | 3.42×10^{12} |
| FAETP (kgDCBeq) | 7.43×10^{10} | 7.42×10^{10} | 7.42×10^{10} | 7.42×10^{10} |
| HTP inf (kgDCB _{eq}) | 5.27×10^{10} | 5.56×10^{10} | 5.46×10^{10} | 5.37×10^{10} |
| MAETP (kgDCB _{eq}) | 4.54×10^{14} | 4.54×10^{14} | 4.54×10^{14} | 4.54×10^{14} |
| POCP (kgethene eq) | 1.84×10^{8} | 1.12×10^{9} | 8.11×10^{8} | 4.98×10^{8} |
| TETP (kgDCB _{eq}) | 1.49×10^{9} | 1.57×10^{9} | 1.54×10^{9} | 1.52×10^{9} |

991

992

| | Base case (A) | 25% CO ₂ stored | 50% CO ₂ stored | 75% CO ₂ stored |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | compared A | compared A | compared A |
| GWP (kgCO _{2eq}) | 1.94×10^{11} | 2.28×10^{11} | 2.16×10^{11} | 2.05×10^{11} |
| AP (kgSO _{2eq}) | 1.57×10^{9} | 1.72×10^{9} | 1.67×10^{9} | 1.62×10^{9} |
| EP (kgPhoshate _{eq}) | 3.04×10^{10} | 3.07×10^{10} | 3.06×10^{10} | 3.05×10^{10} |
| ODP (kgR11 _{eq}) | 3.76×10^{3} | 3.77×10^{3} | 3.76×10^{3} | 3.76×10^{3} |
| ADP elements (kgSb _{eq}) | 4.25×10^{5} | 4.28×10^{5} | 4.27×10^{5} | 4.26×10^{5} |
| ADP fossil (MJ) | 3.44×10^{12} | 3.80×10^{12} | 3.68×10^{12} | 3.56×10^{12} |
| FAETP (kgDCBeq) | 7.43×10^{10} | 7.42×10^{10} | 7.42×10^{10} | 7.42×10^{10} |
| HTP inf (kgDCB _{eq}) | 5.27×10^{10} | 5.28×10^{10} | 5.28×10^{10} | 5.27×10^{10} |
| MAETP (kgDCB _{eq}) | 4.54×10^{14} | 4.54×10^{14} | 4.54×10^{14} | 4.54×10^{14} |
| POCP (kgethene eq) | 1.84×10^{8} | 1.86×10^{8} | 1.85×10^{8} | 1.85×10^{8} |
| TETP (kgDCB _{eq}) | 1.49×10^{9} | 1.49×10^{9} | 1.49×10^{9} | 1.49×10^{9} |

Table S5 Results of sensitivity analysis considering urea production for the CCUS of Germany

| 992 | Table S6 Results of sensitivity | analysis considering | wheat production for the | CCUS of Germany |
|-----|---------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| 331 | Table So Results of sensitivity | analysis considering | wheat production for the | CCUS OF OETHIAITY |

| | Base case (A) | 25% CO ₂ stored compared A | 50% CO ₂ stored compared A | 75% CO ₂ stored compared A |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| GWP (kgCO _{2eq}) | 1.94×10^{11} | 8.55×10^{12} | 5.77×10^{12} | 2.98×10^{12} |
| AP (kgSO _{2eq}) | 1.57×10^{9} | 1.57×10^{9} | 1.57×10^{9} | 1.57×10^{9} |
| EP (kgPhoshate _{eq}) | 3.04×10^{10} | 3.04×10^{10} | 3.04×10^{10} | 3.04×10^{10} |
| ODP (kgR11eq) | 3.76×10^{3} | 3.76×10^{3} | 3.76×10^{3} | 3.76×10^{3} |
| ADP elements (kgSb _{eq}) | 4.25×10^{5} | 4.25×10^{5} | 4.25×10^{5} | 4.25×10^{5} |
| ADP fossil (MJ) | 3.44×10^{12} | 3.38×10^{12} | 3.40×10^{12} | 3.42×10^{12} |
| FAETP (kgDCB _{eq}) | 7.43×10^{10} | 7.42×10^{10} | 7.42×10^{10} | 7.42×10^{10} |
| HTP inf (kgDCB _{eq}) | 5.27×10^{10} | 5.25×10^{10} | 5.26×10^{10} | 5.26×10^{10} |
| MAETP (kgDCB _{eq}) | 4.54×10^{14} | 4.54×10^{14} | 4.54×10^{14} | 4.54×10^{14} |
| POCP (kgethene eq) | 1.84×10^{8} | 1.84×10^{8} | 1.84×10^{8} | 1.84×10^{8} |
| TETP (kgDCB _{eq}) | 1.49×10^{9} | 1.48×10^{9} | 1.49×10^{9} | 1.49×10^{9} |

| | Base case (A) | 25% CO ₂ stored compared A | 50% CO ₂ stored compared A | 75% CO ₂ stored compared A |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| GWP (kgCO _{2eq}) | 1.94×10^{11} | 2.06×10^{11} | 2.02×10^{11} | 1.98×10^{11} |
| AP (kgSO _{2eq}) | 1.57×10^{9} | 1.64×10^{9} | 1.62×10^{9} | 1.60×10^{9} |
| EP (kgPhoshate _{eq}) | 3.04×10^{10} | 3.04×10^{10} | 3.04×10^{10} | 3.04×10^{10} |
| ODP (kgR11 _{eq}) | 3.76×10^{3} | 3.77×10^{3} | 3.77×10^{3} | 3.76×10^{3} |
| ADP elements (kgSb _{eq}) | 4.25×10^{5} | 5.18×10^{5} | 4.87×10^{5} | 4.56×10^{5} |
| ADP fossil (MJ) | 3.44×10^{12} | 4.96×10^{12} | 4.45×10^{12} | 3.95×10^{12} |
| FAETP (kgDCBeq) | 7.43×10^{10} | 7.43×10^{10} | 7.43×10^{10} | 7.43×10^{10} |
| HTP inf (kgDCB _{eq}) | 5.27×10^{10} | 5.30×10^{10} | 5.29×10^{10} | 5.28×10^{10} |
| MAETP (kgDCBeq) | 4.54×10^{14} | 4.55×10^{14} | 4.54×10^{14} | 4.54×10^{14} |
| POCP (kgethene eq) | 1.84×10^{8} | 1.88×10^{8} | 1.86×10^{8} | 1.85×10^{8} |
| TETP (kgDCB _{eq}) | 1.49×10^{9} | 1.49×10^{9} | 1.49×10^{9} | 1.49×10^{9} |

1005 Table S7 Results of sensitivity analysis considering lignin production for the CCUS of Germany

| 1008 | Table S8 Results of sensitivity | y analysis considering | polyurethane p | production for the CC | US of Germany |
|------|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| | | | , r - , | | |

| | Base case (A) | 25% CO ₂ stored compared A | 50% CO ₂ stored compared A | 75% CO ₂ stored compared A |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| GWP (kgCO _{2eq}) | 1.94×10^{11} | 2.88×10^{11} | 2.56×10^{11} | 2.20×10 ¹¹ |
| AP (kgSO _{2eq}) | 1.57×10^{9} | 1.78×10^{9} | 1.71×10^{9} | 1.64×10^{9} |
| EP (kgPhoshate _{eq}) | 3.04×10^{10} | 3.05×10^{10} | 3.05×10^{10} | 3.04×10^{10} |
| ODP (kgR11 _{eq}) | 3.76×10^{3} | 3.96×10^{3} | 3.89×10^{3} | 3.82×10^{3} |
| ADP elements (kgSb _{eq}) | 4.25×10^{5} | 1.50×10^{6} | 1.14×10^{6} | 7.81×10^{5} |
| ADP fossil (MJ) | 3.44×10^{12} | 5.52×10^{12} | 4.83×10^{12} | 4.13×10^{12} |
| FAETP (kgDCB _{eq}) | 7.43×10^{10} | 7.49×10^{10} | 7.46×10^{10} | 7.44×10^{10} |
| HTP inf (kgDCB _{eq}) | 5.27×10^{10} | 8.12×10^{10} | 7.17×10^{10} | 6.22×10^{10} |
| MAETP (kgDCB _{eq}) | 4.54×10^{14} | 4.60×10^{14} | 4.58×10^{14} | 4.56×10^{14} |
| POCP (kgethene eq) | 1.84×10^{8} | 2.07×10^{8} | 1.99×10^{8} | 1.91×10^{8} |
| TETP (kgDCB _{eq}) | 1.49×10^{9} | 1.57×10^{9} | 1.54×10^{9} | 1.52×10^{9} |

| | Base case (A) | 25% CO ₂ stored compared A | 50% CO ₂ stored compared A | 75% CO ₂ stored compared A |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| GWP (kgCO _{2eq}) | 1.94×10^{11} | 1.96×10^{11} | 1.96×10^{11} | 1.95×10^{11} |
| AP (kgSO _{2eq}) | 1.57×10^{9} | 1.67×10^{9} | 1.63×10^{9} | 1.60×10^{9} |
| EP (kgPhoshate _{eq}) | 3.04×10^{10} | 3.04×10^{10} | 3.04×10^{10} | 3.04×10^{10} |
| ODP (kgR11 _{eq}) | 3.76×10^{3} | 3.76×10^{3} | 3.76×10^{3} | 3.76×10^{3} |
| ADP elements (kgSb _{eq}) | 4.25×10^{5} | 4.30×10^{5} | 4.28×10^{5} | 4.27×10^{5} |
| ADP fossil (MJ) | 3.44×10^{12} | 3.40×10^{12} | 3.41×10^{12} | 3.43×10^{12} |
| FAETP (kgDCBeq) | 7.43×10^{10} | 7.43×10^{10} | 7.43×10^{10} | 7.43×10^{10} |
| HTP inf (kgDCB _{eq}) | 5.27×10^{10} | 5.65×10^{10} | 5.52×10^{10} | 5.40×10^{10} |
| MAETP (kgDCB _{eq}) | 4.54×10^{14} | 5.03×10^{14} | 4.87×10^{14} | 4.71×10^{14} |
| POCP (kgethene eq) | 1.84×10^{8} | 1.90×10^{8} | 1.88×10^{8} | 1.86×10^{8} |
| TETP (kgDCB _{eq}) | 1.49×10^{9} | 1.63×10^{9} | 1.59×10^{9} | 1.54×10^{9} |

1016 Table S9 Results of sensitivity analysis considering calcium carbonate production for the CCUS of Germany

1019 Table S10 Results of sensitivity analysis considering concrete production from red mud for the CCUS of

1020 Germany

| | Base case (A) | 25% CO ₂ stored compared A | 50% CO ₂ stored compared A | 75% CO ₂ stored compared A |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| GWP (kgCO _{2eq}) | 1.94×10^{11} | 5.79×10 ¹¹ | 4.51×10^{11} | 3.22×10^{11} |
| AP (kgSO _{2eq}) | 1.57×10^{9} | 3.05×10^{9} | 2.56×10^{9} | 2.06×10^{9} |
| EP (kgPhoshateeq) | 3.04×10^{10} | 3.05×10^{10} | 3.05×10^{10} | 3.05×10^{10} |
| ODP (kgR11 _{eq}) | 3.76×10^{3} | 3.76×10^{3} | 3.76×10^{3} | 3.76×10^{3} |
| ADP elements (kgSbeq) | 4.25×10^{5} | 4.25×10^{5} | 4.25×10^{5} | 4.25×10^{5} |
| ADP fossil (MJ) | 3.44×10^{12} | 3.38×10^{12} | 3.40×10^{12} | 3.42×10^{12} |
| FAETP (kgDCBeq) | 7.43×10^{10} | 7.43×10^{10} | 7.43×10^{10} | 7.43×10^{10} |
| HTP inf (kgDCB _{eq}) | 5.27×10^{10} | 5.91×10^{10} | 5.69×10^{10} | 5.48×10^{10} |
| MAETP (kgDCB _{eq}) | 4.54×10^{14} | 4.54×10^{14} | 4.54×10^{14} | 4.54×10^{14} |
| POCP (kgethene eq) | 1.84×10^{8} | 1.86×10^{9} | 1.30×10^{9} | 7.41×10^{8} |
| TETP (kgDCB _{eq}) | 1.49×10^{9} | 1.67×10^{9} | 1.61×10^{9} | 1.55×10^{9} |

| | Base case (A) | 25% CO ₂ stored compared A | 50% CO ₂ stored compared A | 75% CO ₂ stored compared A |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| GWP (kgCO _{2eq}) | 1.94×10^{11} | 1.94×10^{11} | 1.94×10^{11} | 1.94×10^{11} |
| AP (kgSO _{2eq}) | 1.57×10^{9} | 1.57×10^{9} | 1.57×10^{9} | 1.57×10^{9} |
| EP (kgPhoshate _{eq}) | 3.04×10^{10} | 3.04×10^{10} | 3.04×10^{10} | 3.04×10^{10} |
| ODP (kgR11 _{eq}) | 3.76×10^{3} | 3.76×10^{3} | 3.76×10^{3} | 3.76×10^{3} |
| ADP elements (kgSb _{eq}) | 4.25×10^{5} | 4.28×10^{5} | 4.27×10^{5} | 4.26×10^{5} |
| ADP fossil (MJ) | 3.44×10^{12} | 3.39×10 ¹² | 3.40×10^{12} | 3.42×10^{12} |
| FAETP (kgDCBeq) | 7.43×10^{10} | 7.48×10^{10} | 7.46×10^{10} | 7.44×10^{10} |
| HTP inf (kgDCB _{eq}) | 5.27×10^{10} | 5.25×10^{10} | 5.26×10^{10} | 5.26×10^{10} |
| MAETP (kgDCB _{eq}) | 4.54×10^{14} | 4.54×10^{14} | 4.54×10^{14} | 4.54×10^{14} |
| POCP (kgethene eq) | 1.84×10^{8} | 1.84×10^{8} | 1.84×10^{8} | 1.84×10^{8} |
| TETP (kgDCB _{eq}) | 1.49×10^{9} | 1.48×10^{9} | 1.49×10^{9} | 1.49×10^{9} |

1027 Table S11 Results of sensitivity analysis for methanol production with an efficiency of 90%

| 1030 | Table S12 | Results of s | ensitivity a | analysis fo | r methanol | production | with an | efficiency | of 100% |
|------|-----------|---------------|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|----------|
| ±000 | 14010 012 | itebaite of a | <i>c</i> iiisitti <i>i</i> ity t | anary 515 10 | i incentanoi | production | Wittin tun | errereney | 01 100/0 |

| | Base case (A) | 25% CO ₂ stored compared A | 50% CO ₂ stored compared A | 75% CO ₂ stored compared A |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| GWP (kgCO _{2eq}) | 1.94×10^{11} | 1.94×10^{11} | 1.94×10^{11} | 1.94×10^{11} |
| AP (kgSO _{2eq}) | 1.57×10^{9} | 1.57×10^{9} | 1.57×10^{9} | 1.57×10^{9} |
| EP (kgPhoshate _{eq}) | 3.04×10^{10} | 3.04×10^{10} | 3.04×10^{10} | 3.04×10^{10} |
| ODP (kgR11 _{eq}) | 3.76×10^{10} | 3.76×10^{3} | 3.76×10^{3} | 3.76×10^{3} |
| ADP elements (kgSb _{eq}) | 4.25×10^{5} | 4.28×10^{5} | 4.27×10^{5} | 4.26×10^{5} |
| ADP fossil (MJ) | 3.44×10^{12} | 3.39×10 ¹² | 3.40×10^{12} | 3.42×10^{12} |
| FAETP (kgDCB _{eq}) | 7.43×10^{10} | 7.48×10^{10} | 7.46×10^{10} | 7.44×10^{10} |
| HTP inf (kgDCB _{eq}) | 5.27×10^{10} | 5.25×10^{10} | 5.26×10^{10} | 5.26×10^{10} |
| MAETP (kgDCB _{eq}) | 4.54×10^{14} | 4.54×10^{14} | 4.54×10^{14} | 4.54×10^{14} |
| POCP (kgethene eq) | 1.84×10^{8} | 1.84×10^{8} | 1.84×10^{8} | 1.84×10^{8} |
| TETP (kgDCB _{eq}) | 1.49×10^{9} | 1.48×10^{9} | 1.49×10^{9} | 1.49×10^{9} |

1039 **2.2 CCUS of Italy**

| | Base case (A) | 25% CO ₂ stored compared A | 50% CO ₂ stored compared A | 75% CO ₂ stored compared A |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| GWP (kgCO _{2eq}) | 9.62×10^{10} | 9.24×10^{10} | 9.39×10 ¹⁰ | 9.48×10^{10} |
| AP (kgSO _{2eq}) | 4.67×10^{8} | 4.62×10^{8} | 4.64×10^{8} | 4.65×10^{8} |
| EP (kgPhoshate _{eq}) | 1.15×10^{11} | 1.15×10^{11} | 1.15×10^{11} | 1.15×10^{11} |
| ODP (kgR11 _{eq}) | 3.68×10^{2} | 3.41×10^{2} | 3.51×10^{2} | 3.59×10^{2} |
| ADP elements (kgSbeq) | 2.27×10^{4} | 2.23×10^{4} | 2.24×10^{4} | 2.26×10^{4} |
| ADP fossil (MJ) | 1.96×10^{12} | 1.91×10^{12} | 1.93×10^{12} | 1.94×10^{12} |
| FAETP (kgDCB _{eq}) | 9.27×10^{10} | 9.17×10^{10} | 9.21×10^{10} | 9.22×10^{10} |
| MAETP (kgDCB _{eq}) | 1.02×10^{13} | 9.64×10^{13} | 9.84×10^{13} | 1.00×10^{13} |
| POCP (kgethene eq) | 7.88×10^{7} | 7.78×10^{7} | 7.82×10^{7} | 7.84×10^{7} |
| TETP (kgDCB _{eq}) | 4.42×10^{7} | 4.08×10^{7} | 4.19×10^{7} | 4.30×10^{7} |

1040 Table S13 Results of sensitivity analysis for the CCUS of Italy

1041

1042

3. Description of processes for CO₂ utilization

1044 <u>3.1 Concrete curing</u>

In the concrete curing process, carbon dioxide is injected into the curing vessels at room temperature and here diffuses into the fresh concrete under low pressure to produce calcium carbonate (CaCO₃). In this reaction, carbon dioxide reacts with cement components or hydration products such as 3CaO·SiO₂, 2CaO·SiO₂, Ca(OH)₂, xCaO·SiO₂·yH₂O gel etc (Thomas Concrete, 2018; Xuang et al., 2018). After a few hours, the so obtained concrete has a higher compressive strength, better abrasion resistance, lower drying shrinkage and costs due to a reduction of cement content than in conventional concrete (Shi-Cong et al., 2014).

1051 <u>3.2 Wheat production</u>

1052 Carbon dioxide influences the photosynthesis, improving it because of its higher concentration in the 1053 surrounding atmosphere. However, an excess of carbon dioxide alters carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) metabolism, 1054 changing the chemical composition of agricultural plants (Hogy et al., 2009). This could determine higher 1055 yield but lower quality. the results of free air concentration enrichment (FACE) tests are sometimes 1056 contradicting the laboratory experiments about the quality (regarding the nitrogen and protein content) of the 1057 agricultural products (Nuttall et al. 2017; Verrillo et al., 2017). Generally, it is recommended to keep carbon dioxide concentration level just above that in the atmosphere in the growing environment where wheat is 1058 1059 cultivated on large scale (Watson et al., 2018, Erda et al. doi:10.1098/rstb.2005.1743).

1060

1062 <u>3.3 Lignin treatment</u>

Lignin is obtained through the extraction of black liquor, from the pulp mill industry. In this condition, it is characterized by a pH between 13-14. However, to be used as raw material, a pH of about 8 should be achieved treating lignin with carbon dioxide (Patricio et al., 2017). The treated lignin can be used as an additive for concrete mixtures (Yufang et al., 2016), catalysts (Atul et al., 2013), polyethylene (Samal et al., 2014), propylene (Gregorová et al., 2005) and other chemicals.

1068 <u>3.4 Polyurethane production</u>

Polyurethane is obtained in a conventional way by polyol and isocyanate through a catalytic reaction (von der Assen et al., 2015). These two reagents are petroleum derived products. However, an alternative to this conventional route is taken into account producing polyol from carbon dioxide. CO₂ reacts with epoxides to produce polycarbonate polyols via a catalytic reaction (Orgilés-Calpena et al., 2016). The mechanical properties of polyurethane are comparable with those obtained through a traditional way (Orgilés-Calpena et al., 2016).

1075 <u>3.5 Calcium carbonate production via mineral carbonation</u>

1076 Calcium carbonate is naturally produced and it is known as ground calcium carbonate (GCC). However, it can 1077 be industrially produced via precipitation and it is known as precipitated calcium carbonate (PCC). In this 1078 second route, steel slags are used as raw material that reacts with carbon dioxide to produce calcium carbonate 1079 (Lee et al., 2016). In fact, steel slags are mainly composed by CaO and MgO in addition to heavy metals as 1080 Mn, V, Zn, Cu, Ni, Cd, Pb, Sb, Mo, and Cr (Yadav and Mehra, 2017). An advantage of this process is that it 1081 can be controlled to have the desired quality, purity and size of crystals (Eloneva et al., 2008).

1082 <u>3.6 Urea production</u>

Urea is obtained from the reaction of ammonia and carbon dioxide. Ammonia is produced by the reaction of hydrogen and nitrogen, where the first one is obtained by syngas obtained from natural gas reforming. In particular, two different steps are involved: at first ammonium carbamate is obtained in the liquid state while in the second step urea is formed by dehydrogenation of ammonium carbamate. Different process schemes are proposed by Koohestanian et al. (2018) and Edrisi et al. (2013, 2014a, 2014b, 2016).

1088 <u>3.7 Methanol production</u>

A traditional way to produce methanol is the indirect way, via syngas hydrogenation, where syngas is obtained by the steam reforming of natural gas (Olah et al., 2005). A more environmentally friendly way is according to the catalytic direct hydrogenation of carbon dioxide using CuO/ZnO/Al₂O₃ as catalyst (Leonzio, 2018). Hydrogen can be obtained from the electrolysis of water exploiting renewable energies (solar or wind energies), from biomass pyrolysis, coke oven gas, reforming of biomass-derived products or partial oxidation of light oil residues (Leonzio, 2018). The hydrogenation of carbon dioxide is kinetically and thermodynamically limited then the recycle of unconverted gases after the separation of methanol and water,
the utilization of membrane permeable to water are solutions that can be considered to improve conversions
and yields (Leonzio et al., 2019).

1098 <u>3.8 Concrete by red mud production</u>

1099 Red mud, known also as "bauxite residue", is obtained by bauxite treatment in the alumina production. It is 1100 characterized by an high value of pH (between 10.5-12.5) due to the presence of Al₂O₃, Fe₂O₃, SiO₂, TiO₂, 1101 CaO, Na₂O, then it is disposed in landfills (Patricio et al., 2017). A way to reduce the pH and use it as a raw 1102 material consists on treating red mud with carbon dioxide. Generally, the treated read mud can be used as 1103 additive for building materials, as adsorbent for the removal of heavy metals, for the preparation of catalysts, 1104 ceramics, pigments, polymers and paints, for the recovery of iron, aluminum, titanium (Sutar et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2009). It is found that corrosion resistance, compressive strength, elasticity modulus, splitting tensile 1105 1106 strength can be improved if concrete is composed by about 20% wt of red mud (Ribeiro et al., 2012; Liu and 1107 Poon, 2016).

1108 <u>3.9 Methane production</u>

- Methane can be produced by hydrogenation of carbon dioxide via power to gas system (Leonzio, 2017). In this case hydrogen is obtained via water electrolysis using fluctuant renewable energies. The hydrogenation reaction is called Sabatier reaction: it is exothermic and is carried out in a rage of temperature between 200 °C and 500 °C and at relatively high pressure (10-30 bar) (Stangeland et al., 2015). A Nickel based catalyst is used for this reaction, even if Ru, Rh and Co on various oxide supports (TiO₂, SiO₂, MgO, and Al₂O₃) can also be used (Brooks et al., 2007; Kopyscinski et al., 2010). Adiabatic fixed bed methanation reactors, isothermal
- 1115 fluidized bed reactors are used for this reaction (Di Felice and Micheli, 2015). The biological methanation can
- 1116 be also considered for power to gas systems (Ma et al., 2018).
- 1117

1118 References

- Atul, M.V., Ekhe, J.D., 2013. Pyrolysis of purified kraft lignin in the presence of AlCl3 and ZnCl2, J. Environ.
 Chem. Eng. 1 (4):844–849
- Brooks, K.P., Hu, J., Zhu, H., Kee, R.J., 2007. Methanation of carbon dioxide by hydrogen reduction using the
 Sabatier process in microchannel reactors, Chemical Engineering Science 62:1161 1170.
- Di Felice, L., Micheli, F., 2015. From Biomass to SNG, Process Intensification for Sustainable Energy
 Conversion, First Edition. Edited by Fausto Gallucci and Martin van Sint Annaland. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- Edrisi, A., Mansoori, Z., Dabir, B., 2016. Urea synthesis using chemical looping process Technoeconomicevaluation of a novel plant configuration for a green production, International Journal of Greenhouse
 Gas Control 44 42–51.
- Edrisi, A., 2013. Investigation of the chemical-looping systems for hydrogenproduction with inherent
 CO2separation and feasibility study of using this system in urea production process, Chemical Engineering.
 AmirkabirUniversity of Technology (Tehran Polytechnic).

- Edrisi, A., Mansoori, Z., Dabir, B., 2014a. Using three chemical looping reactors inammonia production
 process a novel plant configuration for a greenproduction. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 39, 8271–8282.
- Edrisi, A., Mansoori, Z., Dabir, B., Shahnazari, A., 2014b. Hydrogen, nitrogen andcarbon dioxide production
 through chemical looping using iron-based oxygencarrier A Green plant for H2and N2production. Int. J.
 Hydrogen Energy.
- Eloneva, S., Teir, S., Salminen, J., Fogelholm, C.J., Zevenhoven, R., 2008. Steel Converter Slag as a Raw
 Material for Precipitation of Pure Calcium Carbonate, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 47, 7104–7111
- Erda, L., Wei, X., Hui, J., Yinlong, X., Yue, L., Liping, B., Liyong, X. 2005. Climate change impacts on crop
 yield and quality with CO2 fertilization in China, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B, 360, 2149–2154
- Gregorová, A., Cibulková, Z., Košíková, B., Šimon, P. 2005. Stabilization effect of lignin in polypropylene
 and recycled polypropylene, Polym. Degrad. Stab. 89 553–558
- Hogy, P., Wieser, H., Kohler, P., Schwadorf, K., Breuer, J., Franzaring, J., Muntifering R., Fangmeier, A.
 2009. Effects of elevated CO2 on grain yield and quality of wheat: results from a 3-year free-air CO2
 enrichment experiment, Plant Biology ISSN 1435-8603.
- Koohestanian, E., Sadeghi, J., Mohebbi-Kalhori, D., Shahraki, F., Samimi, A., 2018. A novel process for CO2
 capture from the flue gases to produce urea and ammonia, Energy 144 279-285
- Kopyscinski, J., Schildhauer, T.J., Biollaz, S.M.A., 2010. Production of synthetic natural gas (SNG) from coal
 and dry biomass –A technology review from 1950 to 2009, Fuel 89, 1763–1783.
- Lee, S., Kim, J.W., Chae, S., Bang, J.H., Lee, S.W. 2016. CO2 sequestration technology through mineral
 carbonation: An extraction and carbonation of blast slag, Journal of CO2 Utilization 16 336–345
- Leonzio, G., 2018. State of art and perspectives about the production of methanol, dimethyl ether and syngas
 by carbon dioxide hydrogenation. Journal of CO₂ Utilization 27 326–354
- Leonzio, G., E. Zondervan, P.U. Foscolo, 2019. Methanol production by CO2 hydrogenation: Analysis and
 simulation of reactor performance, International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 44, 16, 7915-7933
- Leonzio, G., 2017. Design and feasibility analysis of Power-to-gas plant in Germany. Journal of CleanerProduction. 162, 609-623.
- Liu, W.C., Yang, J.K., Xiao, B., 2009. Application of Bayer red mud for iron recovery and building material
 production from alumosilicate residues. J. Hazard. Mater. 161, 474-478.
- Liu, R.X., Poon, C.S., 2016. Utilization of red mud derived from bauxite in self-compacting concrete, Journalof Cleaner Production 112 384-391
- Long, S.P., Ainsworth E.A., Leakey A.D.B., Nosberger J., Ort D.R. 2006. Food for thought: lower-thanexpected crop yield stimulation with rising CO2 concentrations. Science, 312, 1918–1921.
- Ma, J., Li, Q., Kühn, M., Nakaten, N., 2018. Power-to-gas based subsurface energy storage: A review,
 Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 97, 478–496.
- Nuttall, J.G., O'Leary, G.J., Panozzo, J.F., Walkea, C.K., Barlow, K.M., Fitzgerald, G.J. 2017 Models of grain
 quality in wheat—Areview. Field Crops Research 202, 136–145.
- 1167 Olah, G.A. 2005. Beyond oil and gas: the methanol economy, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 44 2636–2639
- 1168 Orgilés-Calpena, E., Arán-Aís, F., Torró-Palau, A., Montiel-Parreño, E., Orgilés-Barceló, C. 2016. Synthesis
- 1169 of polyurethanes from CO2-based polyols: A challenge for sustainable adhesives, International Journal of
- 1170 Adhesion&Adhesives 67 63–68

- Patricio, J., Angelis-Dimakis, A., Castillo-Castillo, A., Kalmykova, Y., Rosado, L. 2017. Region prioritization
 for the development of carbon capture and utilization technologies, Journal of CO2 Utilization 17 50–59.
- Ribeiro, D.V., Labrincha, J.A., Morelli, M.R., 2012. Effect of the addition of red mud on the corrosionparameters of reinforced concrete. Cem. Concr. Res. 42, 124e133.
- 1175 Sangram, K., Samal, E. G. Fernandes, Andrea Corti, Emo Chiellini, 2014. Bio-based Polyethylene–Lignin
- 1176 Composites Containing a Pro-oxidant/Pro-degradant Additive: Preparation and Characterization. J Polym 1177 Environ, 22:58–68
- Shi-Cong, K., Bao-jian, Z., Chi-Sun, P., 2014. Use of a CO2 curing step to improve the properties of concrete
 prepared with recycled aggregates, Cement & Concrete Composites 45, 22–28.
- Stangeland, K., Kalai, D., Li, H., Yu, Z., 2017. CO₂ methanation: the effect of catalysts and reaction
 conditions, Energy Procedia 105, 2022 2027.
- Sutar, H., Mishra, S.C., Sahoo, S.K., Chakraverty, A.P., Maharana, H.S. 2014. Progress of Red Mud
 Utilization: An Overview, American Chemical Science Journal 4(3) 255-279
- 1184 Thomas Concrete, 2018 available: <u>http://thomasconcrete.com/images/pdf/Sustainability/Thomas-</u>
 1185 <u>Concrete CarbonCure 2018.pdf</u>
- Verrillo, F., Badeck, F.W., Terzi, V., Rizza, F., Bernardo, L., Di Maro, A., Fares, C., Zaldei, A., Miglietta, F.,
 Moschella, A., Bracale, M., and Vannini, C., 2017. Elevated field atmospheric CO2 concentrations affect the
 characteristics of winter wheat (cv. Bologna) grains. Crop & Pasture Science, 68, 713–725.
- Xiang, D., Yang, S., Li, X., Qian, Y., 2015. Life cycle assessment of energy consumption and GHG emissions
 of olefins production from alternative resources in China, Energy Conversion and Management, 90, 12-20
- Xuan, D., Zhan, B., Sun Poon, C., 2018. A maturity approach to estimate compressive strength development
 of CO2-cured concrete blocks. Cement and Concrete Composites 85 (2018) 153-160.
- Watson, A., Ghosh, S., Williams, M.J. *et al.* Speed breeding is a powerful tool to accelerate crop research and
 breeding. *Nature Plants* 4, 23–29 (2018).
- Yadav, S., Mehra, A. 2017. Experimental study of dissolution of minerals and CO2 sequestration in steel slag,
 Waste Management 64, 348–357
- Yufang, T., Yongde, Z., Tao, H., Qiang, Z., Yongzhen, P. 2016. Preparation of lignin sulfonate-based
 mesoporous materials for adsorbing malachite green from aqueous solution, J. Environ. Chem. Eng. 4 (3)
 2900–2910.
- 1200
- 1201
- 1202
- 1203
- 1204
- 1205
- 1206
- 1207