

## **Inter-individual Variability in Psychological Outcomes of Supervised Exercise in Adults with Type 2 Diabetes**

### ***Variabilidad interindividual en los efectos psicológicos del ejercicio supervisado en adultos con diabetes tipo 2***

Marco Guicciardi, Romina Lecis,  
Davide Massidda

Department of Pedagogy, Psychology, Philosophy,  
University of Cagliari, Italy

Lucina Corgiolu, Adelina Porru,  
Matteo Pusceddu, Francesca Spanu

Group Physical Activity Project, Centre for  
Diabetology, San Giovanni University Hospital,  
Cagliari, Italy

#### **Abstract**

Exercise is a key component in the management of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM), however despite the strong evidence of its protective effects, a majority of the population with this diagnosis remains inactive and those who start an exercise program are not willing to train themselves over the long-term. Self-efficacy and perceived stress are related to barriers to exercise in T2DM, therefore the aim of this longitudinal study is to investigate variations across time and individual differences in both variables as effects of a supervised exercise training (6 months) in a small sample of persons diagnosed with T2DM.

Results show a general decline in the mean values of self-efficacy and perceived stress at 6 months and a high individual variability in both variables. These results support the need to develop customized programs of exercise in T2DM that take into account different phases of the exercise process and individual variability.

*Key words:* exercise, self-efficacy, perceived stress, diabetes, variability

#### **Resumen**

El ejercicio es un componente clave en la prevención y el tratamiento de Diabetes Mellitus Tipo 2 (DMT2); sin embargo, a pesar de la fuerte evidencia de sus efectos protectores, la mayoría de las personas con este diagnóstico permanece inactiva y aquellos que comienzan un programa de ejercicio no están dispuestos a entrenar a largo plazo. La autoeficacia y la percepción de estrés se relacionan con las barreras para realizar ejercicio en pacientes T2DM; por lo tanto, el objetivo de este estudio longitudinal consiste en investigar las variaciones a través del tiempo y las diferencias individuales en ambas variables, como efectos de un entrenamiento de ejercicio supervisado (6 meses), en una muestra pequeña de pacientes diagnosticados con TD2M. Los resultados muestran una disminución general de los valores promedio de la autoeficacia y del estrés percibido a los 6 meses y una alta variabilidad individual en ambas variables. Estos resultados apoyan la necesidad de desarrollar programas personalizados de ejercicio en pacientes T2DM con el objetivo de considerar las diferentes fases del proceso de ejercicio y de la variabilidad individual.

*Palabras claves:* ejercicio, autoeficacia, estrés percibido, diabetes, variabilidad

---

Marco Guicciardi, Romina Lecis, Davide Massidda: Department of Pedagogy, Psychology, Philosophy, University of Cagliari, Italy;

Lucina Corgiolu, Adelina Porru, Matteo Pusceddu, Francesca Spanu: Group Physical Activity Project, Centre for Diabetology, San Giovanni University Hospital, Cagliari, Italy.

Corresponding Author: Marco Guicciardi, Department of Pedagogy, Psychology, Philosophy, University of Cagliari, Via Is Mirrionis, 1, 09123 Cagliari, Italy. Phone +39 (070) 6757518, fax +39 (070) 274778. E-mail: marco.guicciardi@unica.it



Exercise is a key component in the management of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM). Previous studies have provided supportive evidence for the use of prescribed exercise programs in the treatment of Type 2 Diabetes (Balducci *et al.*, 2014; Church *et al.*, 2010; Maiorana, O'Driscoll, Goodman, Taylorb and Green 2002; Dunstan *et al.*, 2002; Sigal *et al.*, 2007; Taylor, Fletcher and Tiarks, 2009). The American Diabetes Association (ADA), American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM) and American Heart Association (AHA) have published position statements recommending the use of exercise as a relevant intervention for Type 2 Diabetes (Colberg, *et al.* 2010; Marwick *et al.*, 2009).

According to guidelines, exercise supervised by qualified professionals shows the greatest effect on glycaemic control. As American College of Sport Medicine and the American Diabetes Association guidelines (Colberg *et al.*, 2010) stated:

Initial instruction and periodic supervision by a qualified exercise trainer is recommended for most persons with Type 2 Diabetes, particularly if they undertake resistance exercise training, to ensure optimal benefits to blood glucose control, blood pressure, lipids, and cardiovascular risk and to minimize injuries. (p. 156).

Balducci, Leonetti, Di Mario and Fallucca (2004) demonstrated that even low to moderate-intensity resistance training, combined with moderate aerobic exercise (three times a week) significantly improves metabolic and lipidic profiles, adiposity and blood pressure after a year. Moreover, the combination of resistance and aerobic training offers a synergistic and incremental effect on glycaemic control in people with Type 2 Diabetes (Zanuso, Jimenez, Pugliese, Corigliano and Balducci, 2010).

Psychological effects of exercise are well documented in people with Type 2 Diabetes. The aerobic training seems to have positive effect on anxiety, resistance training reduces symptoms of depression and mixed training seems to affect the quality of life (van der Heijden, van Dooren, Pop and Pouwer, 2013). However, despite the strong evidence of protective effects of exercise in T2DM, the majority of this population remains inactive and those who start an exercise program are not willing to train themselves in the long-term (Armstrong, 2015).

Therefore, current research is focusing on identifying the barriers to exercise programs in T2DM, as examples: "not having time", "health problems", "difficulty in controlling diabetes while exercising", "don't like to exercise", "no place to exercise", "exercise is boring", "lack of family support", and "not viewing exercise as important" (Erickson, 2013).

Interest is growing regarding self-efficacy, considered as beliefs about one's ability to successfully perform a specific behaviour such as physical activity (Bandura 1986). The influence of self-efficacy is considered to be strongest during the initial stages of an exercise program when the behaviour is novel; however, when behaviour becomes more habitual, its role diminishes. Also it is likely that the role of self-efficacy is fundamental when the organized exercise terminates and the individual is faced with the challenge of continuing to exercise regularly (McAuley, Jerome, Marquez, Elavsky and Blissmer, 2003; McAuley, Courneya, Rudolph and Lox, 1994; Oman and King, 1998).

Cross-sectional studies that have investigated the role of self-efficacy in people with Type 2 Diabetes, have found a strong positive relationship between self-efficacy and exercise (Dutton *et al.*, 2009; Guicciardi *et al.*, 2014; Kirk, MacMillan and Webster, 2010). However, few longitudinal studies

have investigated the role of self-efficacy as predictor or moderator of exercise behaviour in T2DM (Plotnikoff, Brez and Hotz, 2000; Plotnikoff, Lippke, Courneya, Birkett and Sigal, 2008; Plotnikoff, Lippke, Johnson and Courneya, 2010), and to the best of our knowledge none examined the concurrent effect of exercise programs on self-efficacy.

Typically, the measures of efficacy employed reflect perceptions of capabilities for a single bout of activity rather than measures designed to predict exercise behaviour over prolonged periods of time or in the face of barriers to exercise participation. Indeed, it is quite possible that these latter types of self-efficacy will decline before the end of the program because participants have to re-evaluate their capabilities considering program termination (McAuley *et al.*, 2003).

Several studies conducted with older adult participants in exercise intervention programs have not always shown improvements in self-efficacy (Hughes *et al.*, 2004; McAuley *et al.*, 2003; Moore *et al.*, 2006). Particularly, McAuley *et al.* (2011) showed that exercise self-efficacy had a fluctuating trend. In the first three weeks of the randomized controlled trial, self-efficacy decreased due to the scarce experience of exercise, downsizing the effect of the initial overestimation. Then, because of a recalibration, its trend reverted and, at the end of the program, decreased again due to older adults' incapability of coping every-day with the challenge of continuing to practice without the support of an organized, structured, group-based activity. These results have important implications to boost intervention on self-efficacy in exercise programs targeted for inactive people or adults with chronic diseases.

In attempting to understand why exercise is so difficult to undertake and maintain, seldom have researchers considered the role of psychological stress although it is related to negative health behaviours (Lutz, Stults-Kolehmainen and Bartholomew, 2010).

There is no universal agreement on the definition of stress. McEwen (2007) simply stated: "Stress is a word used to describe experiences that are challenging emotionally and physiologically" (p. 874). Lazarus and Folkman (1984) provided a transactional cognitive definition of stress related to appraisal, which indicates that individuals only perceive stress when a challenge or event is both threatening and of such a nature that the individual is unable to cope with it. In this viewpoint, objective demands and subjective appraisals may differentially affect health behaviours (Stults-Kolehmainen and Sinha, 2014).

The recent literature largely confirms the relationship between stress and exercise, showing that exercise contrasts the negative effects of psychological stress (Stults-Kolehmainen and Sinha, 2014). Psychological stress is a ubiquitous condition in chronic diseases and exercise can be particularly relevant to buffer stress in vulnerable populations and individuals (Laugero, Falcon and Tucker, 2011). Moreover, psychological stress must be considered as a barrier to adopt and maintain exercise in T2DM patients, because its role is often overlooked (Holmes, Ekkekakis and Eisenmann, 2010).

Finally, it is often assumed that individuals in health-related interventions are drawn from a single population and have similar trajectories of change across these interventions. This assumption is the basis of a general linear model. However, a more realistic assumption may be that different sub-groups exist within intervention studies and that such groups display different trajectories of growth across time (McAuley *et al.*, 2011).

While both the observed variables: self-efficacy and perceived stress are related to barriers to exercise, it can be hypothesized that they assume different growth trajectories, across individuals' ethnic and cultural groups, and populations. In a sample of older adults, McAuley et al. (2011) have identified two classes of individual patterns of self-efficacy by means of "mixed" models. The most frequent pattern shows an initial decline (baseline and 3 months), a later maintenance or increase (6 months) and a final decline (12 months); the less frequent pattern concerns individuals who had moderate exercise self-efficacy at baseline and did not experience a recalibration of their self-efficacy. Instead, these individuals experienced an increase in efficacy at both 3 weeks (baseline) and 6 months. Then, efficacy levels returned to slightly above baseline at 12 months. These results highlight the need for additional strategies to enhance efficacy beliefs at the end of the intervention (McAuley et al., 2011). To date, and to the authors' knowledge, the issues of different individual responses to exercise in T2DM patients have never been addressed in the literature.

Based on these premises, the aim of this longitudinal study is to investigate variations in self-efficacy and perceived stress as effects of supervised exercise training (6 months) in a small sample of the T2DM population at two levels: variation across time and variation between subjects. Particularly, it is hypothesized that: a) barriers at baseline are overestimated, therefore the measure of self-efficacy decreases at the end of the program (6 month); b) at the end of the program (6 month) perceived stress decreases. Finally, the focus is on estimating individual differences in both variables.

## Method

### Study Design

One-group pre-post design was carried out in which all participants were involved in supervised exercise training. All participants agreed to sign a written informed consent.

### Participants

A small sample of 23 participants with T2DM, recruited from the Centre for Diabetology, San Giovanni University Hospital, Cagliari (Italy), was enrolled in supervised exercise training (6 months). In order to participate in the exercise program, individuals had to have diagnosis of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus for at least 2 years. Participants were screened for underlying ischaemic heart disease by performing a maximal exercise tolerance test on a motorized treadmill according to a standard Bruce Protocol (Bruce, 1971). The sample consisted of 12 males and 11 females, with a mean age of  $60.22 \pm 8.99$  years and mean diabetes duration of  $6.04 \pm 5.67$  years. Mean body mass index (BMI) at baseline was  $30.79 \pm 4.84$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> and at 6 months was  $29.58 \pm 4.88$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>.

Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus was defined according to criteria established by the American Diabetes Association (2014) as a progressive insulin secretory defect on the background of insulin resistance. Diabetes Mellitus was controlled by the participants by diet ( $n = 5$ ), oral hypoglycaemic agents ( $n = 11$ ), insulin ( $n = 6$ ), or a combination of oral hypoglycaemic agents and insulin ( $n = 1$ ).

### Measures

*Demographic Characteristics.* A brief questionnaire assessed basic demographic information, including gender, age, education, and marital status.

*Self-efficacy* was measured with the Exercise Self-Efficacy Scale (Marcus, Selby, Niaura and Rossi, 1992), which assesses an individual's confidence to participate in physical activity under five circumstances (e.g., "I am in a bad mood," "It is raining or snowing"). A continuum 0-100 was used, where 0 indicates being not at all confident and 100 being very confident. Cronbach's alpha reliability coefficient at baseline for the overall scale in the present study was 0.80. The original English version had been translated into Italian previously and administered to a sample of three hundred eight persons with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (Guicciardi *et al.*, 2014).

*Stress* was measured with the Perceived Stress Scale (PSS) (Cohen, Kamarck and Mermelstein, 1983), which is a 14-item self-reporting instrument used to provide a global measure of perceived stress in daily life. Responses range from "never" to "very often" on a 5-point scale. The PSS has adequate reliability and correlates well with life-events stress measures and social anxiety. Cronbach's alpha reliability coefficient at baseline for the overall scale in the present study was 0.84. The original English version was translated into Italian prior to its administration to the sample, by Guicciardi and colleagues.

### **Procedures**

Participants were screened for underlying ischaemic heart disease by performing a maximal exercise tolerance test on a motorized treadmill according to a standard Bruce Protocol (Bruce, 1971). All participants performed a 2 km UKK Walking Test. Seven days later, participants attended for visit two, and completed validated questionnaires. Participants were randomly divided into six sequential groups that participated in a supervised exercise program of aerobic activity and strength training once a week for 6 months. The training program was divided in two parts: the first (45 minutes) for instruction in "Fitwalking", the second (30 minutes) focused on improving strength and muscular endurance (stretching, balance exercises, etc.). At 6 months, all outcome measures carried out at baseline were repeated using the same procedures.

### **Data analysis**

The effects of exercise training on psychological variables (self-efficacy and perceived stress) were analysed using mixed-effects models fitted by the package lme4 (Bates, Maechler, Bolker and Walker, 2015) for the R software (R Core Team, 2015). Two separate analyses for self-efficacy and perceived stress were conducted.

For each dependent variable, we considered the subjects as random factors and the time as fixed factors (two levels). To test the differences between the end and the beginning of the program, we compared the model including the fixed factor "time", against the "null" model, considering the intercept as single fixed parameter. The comparison was performed by using the likelihood ratio test (chi-squared statistics) and the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC; Akaike, 1974). If the model including the fixed factor presents a smaller AIC than the null model, the "time" factor can be considered as a good predictor of the dependent variable. We expressed the difference in AIC between the two models as  $\Delta AIC$ . The individual differences were quantified using the Intraclass Correlation Coefficient (ICC (1)), which provides an estimate of the effect of individual variability on the total variability.

## Results

Table 1 details the descriptive statistics for the self-efficacy and perceived stress measures at each time point (baseline and at 6 months) for the total sample. Males and females did not differ on the self-efficacy and perceived stress measures.

Table 1

*Descriptive statistics for Self-efficacy and Perceived Stress measures for the total sample*

Measure	Baseline		6 months	
	Mean value	Standard deviation	Mean value	Standard deviation
Self-efficacy	290.00	119.01	275.65	114.96
Perceived Stress	18.04	7.23	15.65	5.93

Parameters estimated for the models, which include the “time” predictor for each psychological variable, are reported in table 2.

Table 2

*Results of analyses conducted through mixed-effects models on self-efficacy and perceived stress*

	Parameter	Estimate	Standard error	<i>t</i> value
Self-efficacy	Intercept	290.00	23.86	12.15
	Slope	-14.35	27.56	-0.52
Perceived stress	Intercept	18.04	1.35	13.41
	Slope	-2.18	1.15	-1.89

Note. *p*-value cannot be calculated (Bates, 2006).

**Self-efficacy:** the results show that 35% of total variance can be explained by individual differences (ICC (1) = 0.35). The difference between the end and the beginning of the program was not noticeable: by adding the “time” factor ( $\beta = -14.35$ ,  $t = -0.52$ ) the null model does not improve ( $\chi^2(1) = 0.27$ ,  $p = 0.60$ ,  $\Delta AIC = 1.73$ ), (Fig. 1)




Figure 1. Plots of self-efficacy at baseline and at 6 months.

**Perceived stress:** the intra-class correlation coefficient shows that 61% of total variance can be explained by individual differences ( $ICC(1) = 0.61$ ). According to the likelihood ratio test, the model which includes the “time” factor ( $\beta = -2.18, t = -1.89$ ) is not different from the null model ( $\chi^2(1) = 3.31, p = 0.07$ ); however, the AIC is in favour of the model which includes the predictor, but with weak evidence ( $\Delta AIC = -1.31$ ), (Fig. 2).




Figure 2. Plots of perceived stress at baseline and at 6 months.

## Discussion

To our knowledge this is the first study that investigates over time and between subject variations of self-efficacy and perceived stress, as effects of supervised exercise training (6 months) in adults with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus.

Our first hypothesis that measures of self-efficacy and perceived stress decrease at the end of the program (6 month) has not been supported. However, a general decline in the mean values of both variables, despite the absence of statistical significance, partially supports the previous literature (Hughes *et al.*, 2004; McAuley *et al.*, 2003; Moore *et al.*, 2006). The reduction in the mean value of self-efficacy supports the findings reported by McAuley *et al.* (2011) that explain this decline with the need of older adults to recalibrate their self-efficacy upon being exposed to the exercise experience. Also, it highlights how participants re-evaluate their capabilities considering program termination. It is therefore important to examine how efficacy expectations change over the course of exercise interventions as well as to identify which factors are important sources for enhancing efficacy at the end of the program.

The reduction in mean value of perceived stress after participation in an exercise program supports the literature that shows that exercise contrasts the negative effects of psychological stress (Stults-Kolehmainen and Sinha, 2014).

These results confirm the importance of including stress management techniques at the beginning of an exercise program in order to reduce the possible impact of psychological stress as a barrier to the adoption and maintenance of exercise behaviour, in people with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus.

Our exploratory analysis, which aims at determining the estimation of individual differences in self-efficacy and perceived stress in adults with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus participating in exercise training, has produced some intriguing findings.

Our results show that 35% and 61% of total variance can be explained by individual differences respectively in self-efficacy and perceived stress. Therefore, the absence of statistical significance in the variation across time in both variables considered might be explained by the high individual variability. These results on individual variability are key to the development of customized exercise programs in T2DM.

Further analysis should be conducted to determine whether specific sub-groups of T2DM patients could be considered in relation to self-efficacy and perceived stress and the extent to which these groups demonstrate differential trajectories.

Despite our hypotheses not having been statistically confirmed, we believe that this longitudinal study is interesting for several aspects. The use of contemporary statistical methods to determine change across time and individual differences in T2DM adults had not been previously taken into account. We chose a mixed effects model because it can account for these two forms of variability; moreover, the accuracy of these models improves as the individual contributes more data, supporting our goal of developing evidence-based programs to promote exercise in T2DM.

Thus, it will be important for researchers designing future exercise intervention trials to make informed decisions on which measures are appropriate and which may be subject to recalibration, and to



enact strategies in the early and later stages of the program to ensure realistic estimations of these important determinants of exercise behaviour.

We acknowledge that the study has some limitations. First, the small sample size limits the generalizability of the study findings. An additional limitation concerns our assessments of self-efficacy, perceived stress and exercise frequency, which were restricted to physical activity within the exercise program. Future studies should measure daily activity or compare less traditional approaches (i.e., lifestyle, non-structured programs) to an exercise intervention. Another limitation includes the possible discordance between self-reported and physiological responses to stress, such as cortisol and insulin levels. Future studies should add non-invasive technological tools for measurement of physiological responses to stress.

Additionally, we acknowledge that the small sample size of our study would have required repeated measurements intermediate between the baseline and 6 months. Also, this particular study design did not incorporate a non-treatment control condition; therefore it would be prudent to assess self-efficacy and perceived stress in non-interventional groups in future trials to ensure accuracy of baseline measures.

In summary, we believe that the findings from this longitudinal study shed new light on how self-efficacy and perceived stress operate in adults with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Implementing the strategies of self-efficacy boosting and stress management in the different phases of exercise programs may help improve adherence to exercise in T2DM.

### **Acknowledgements**

This research was partially supported by a local grant of the Regione Autonoma della Sardegna (Law 7/07), Master and Back Program—code: PRR-MAB-A2011-18956,

Foundation *Banco di Sardegna*.

### **References**

- Akaike, H. (1974). A new look at the statistical model identification. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 19 (6), 716-723.
- American Diabetes Association (2014). Standards of medical care in diabetes. *Diabetes Care*, 37 (1), S14–S80.
- Armstrong, M. (2015). *Facilitating access and adherence to exercise in people with Type 2 Diabetes* (Doctoral dissertation). Retrieved from <http://hdl.handle.net/11023/2066>
- Balducci, S., Leonetti, F., Di Mario, U., and Fallucca, F. (2004). Is a longterm aerobic plus resistance training program feasible for and effective on metabolic profiles in type 2 diabetic patients? *Diabetes Care*, 27, 841–842.
- Balducci, S., Sacchetti, M., Haxhi, J., Orlando, G., D'Errico, V., Fallucca, S., Menini, S. and Pugliese, G. (2014). Physical exercise as therapy for type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes/Metabolism Research and Reviews*, 30 (Suppl. 1), 13–23.
- Bandura, A. (1986). *Social foundations of thought and action: A Social Cognitive Theory*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

M. GUICCIARDI, R. LECIS, D. MASSIDDA, L. CORGIOLU, A. PORRU, M. PUSCEDDU & F. SPANU

- Bates, D. (2006). [R] lmer, p-values and all that. [Electronic mailing list message]. Retrieved from <https://stat.ethz.ch/pipermail/r-help/2006-May/094782.html>
- Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B., and Walker, S. (2015). lme4: linear mixed-effects models using Eigen and S4 [Computer software]. Retrieved from <http://cran.r-project.org/package=lme4> (R package version 1.1-9).
- Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B., and Walker, S. (in press). lme4: linear mixed-effects models using Eigen and S4. *Journal of Statistical Software*. Retrieved from <http://arxiv.org/abs/1406.5823>
- Bruce, R. A. (1971). Exercise testing of patients with coronary heart disease. Principles and normal standards for evaluation. *Annals of clinical research*, 3, 323-332.
- Church, T. S., Blair, S. N., Cocreham, S., Johannsen, N., Johnson, W., Kramer, K., et al. (2010). Effects of aerobic and resistance training on hemoglobin A1c levels in patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*, 304, 2253-2262.
- Cohen, S., Kamarck, T. and Mermelstein, R. (1983). A global measure of perceived stress. *Journal of health and social behavior*, 24, 385-396.
- Colberg, S. R., Albright, A. L., Blissmer, B. J., Braun, B., Chasan-Taber, L., Fernhall, B., et al. (2010). Exercise and type 2 diabetes: American College of Sports Medicine and the American Diabetes Association: joint position statement. *Diabetes Care*, 33 (12), e147-167.
- Dunstan, D. W., Daly, R. M., Owen, N., Jolley, D., De Courten, M., Shaw, J. and Zimmet, P. (2002). High-intensity resistance training improves glycemic control in older patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*, 25, 1729-1736.
- Dutton, G. R., Tan, F., Provost, B. C., Sorenson, J. L., Allen, B. and Smith, D. (2009). Relationship between self-efficacy and physical activity among patients with type 2 diabetes. *Journal of behavioral medicine*, 32, 270-277.
- Erickson, D. (2013). Barriers to physical activity in people with type 2 diabetes enrolled in a worksite Diabetes disease management program. *The Diabetes Educator*, 39, 626-634.
- Guicciardi, M., Lecis, R., Anziani, C., Corgiolu, L., Porru, A., Pusceddu, M. and Spanu, F. (2014). Type 2 diabetes mellitus, physical activity, exercise self-efficacy, and body satisfaction. An application of the transtheoretical model in older adults. *Health Psychology and Behavioral Medicine: An Open Access Journal*, 2, 748-758.
- Holmes, M. E., Ekkekakis, P., and Eisenmann, J. C. (2010). The physical activity, stress and metabolic syndrome triangle: a guide to unfamiliar territory for the obesity researcher. *Obesity reviews: an official journal of the International Association for the Study of Obesity*, 11 (7), 492-507.
- Hughes, S. L., Seymour, R. B., Campbell, R., Pollak, N., Huber, G. and Sharma, L. (2004). Impact of the fit and strong intervention on older adults with osteoarthritis. *Gerontologist*, 44, 217-228.
- Kirk, A., MacMillan, F. and Webster, N. (2010). Application of the transtheoretical model to physical activity in older adults with Type 2 diabetes and/or cardiovascular disease. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 11, 320-324.
- Laugero, K. D., Falcon, L. M. and Tucker, K. L. (2011). Relationship between perceived stress and dietary and activity patterns in older adults participating in the Boston Puerto Rican Health Study. *Appetite*, 56, 194-204.

- Lazarus, R. S., and Folkman, S. (1984). *Stress, appraisal and coping*. New York: Springer.
- Lutz, R. S., Stults-Kolehmainen, M. A. and Bartholomew, J. B. (2010). Exercise caution when stressed: stages of change and the stress–exercise participation relationship. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 11 (6), 560–567.
- Maiorana, A., O’Driscoll, G., Goodman, C., Taylorb, R., and Green, D. (2002). Combined aerobic and resistance exercise improves glycemic control and fitness in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes research and clinical practice*, 56, 115–123.
- Marcus, B. H., Selby, V. C., Niaura, R. S. and Rossi, J. S. (1992). Self-efficacy and the stages of exercise behaviour change. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*, 63, 60–66.
- Marwick, T. H., Hordern, M. D., Miller, T., Chyun, D. A., Bertoni, A. G., Blumenthal, R. S., *et al.* (2009). Exercise training for type 2 diabetes mellitus: impact on cardiovascular risk: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*, 119 (25), 3244–3262.
- McAuley, E., Courneya, K. S., Rudolph, D. L. and Lox, C. L. (1994). Enhancing exercise adherence in middle-aged males and females. *Preventive Medicine*, 23, 498–506.
- McAuley, E., Jerome, G. J., Marquez, D. X., Elavsky S. and Blissmer, B. (2003). Exercise self-efficacy in older adults: social, affective, and behavioral influences. *Annals of behavioral medicine: a publication of the Society of Behavioral Medicine*, 25, 1–7.
- McAuley, E., Mailey, E. L., Mullen, S. P., Szabo, A. N., Wójcicki, T. R., White, S. M., Gothe, N., Olson, E. A. and Kramer, A.F. (2011). Growth trajectories of exercise self-efficacy in older adults: influence of measures and initial status. *Health Psychology*, 30 (1), 75–83.
- McEwen, B. S. (2007). Physiology and neurobiology of stress and adaptation: central role of the brain. *Physiological reviews*, 87 (3), 873–904.
- Moore, S. M., Charvat, J. M., Gordon, N. H., Pashkow, F., Ribisl, P., Roberts, B. L. and Rocco, M. (2006). Effects of a CHANGE intervention to increase exercise maintenance following cardiac events. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, 31, 53–62.
- Oman, R. F., and King, A. C. (1998). Predicting the adoption and maintenance of exercise participation using self-efficacy and previous exercise participation rates. *American Journal of Health Promotion*, 12, 154–161.
- Plotnikoff, R. C., Brez, S. and Hotz, S. B. (2000). Exercise behavior in a community sample with diabetes: Understanding the determinants of exercise behavioral change. *The Diabetes Educator*, 26, 450–459.
- Plotnikoff, R. C., Lippke, S., Courneya, K., Birkett, N., and Sigal, R. (2008). Physical activity and social-cognitive theory: A test in a population sample of adults with type 1 or type 2 diabetes. *Applied Psychology: An International Review*, 57 (4), 628–643.
- Plotnikoff, R. C., Lippke, S., Johnson, S. T. and Courneya, K. S. (2010). Physical activity and stages of change: A longitudinal test in types 1 and 2 diabetes samples. *Annals of behavioral medicine: a publication of the Society of Behavioral Medicine. Med*, 40, 138–149.
- R Core Team (2015). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Retrieved from <http://www.R-project.org/>

M. GUICCIARDI, R. LECIS, D. MASSIDDA, L. CORGIOLU, A. PORRU, M. PUSCEDDU, F. SPANU

---

- Sigal, R. J., Kenny, G. P., Boulé, N. G., Wells, G. A., Prud'homme, D., Fortier, M., Reid, R. D., Tulloch, H., Coyle, D., Phillips, P., Jennings, A. and Jaffey, J. (2007). Effects of aerobic training, resistance training, or both on glycemic control in type 2 diabetes: a randomized trial. *Annals of internal medicine*, 147, 357–369.
- Stults-Kolehmainen, M. A., and Sinha, R. (2014). The effects of stress on physical activity and exercise. *Sports medicine*, 44 (1), 81–121.
- Taylor, J. D., Fletcher, J. P. and Tiarks, J. (2009). Impact of physical therapist– directed exercise counseling combined with fitness center– based exercise training on muscular strength and exercise capacity in people with type 2 diabetes: a randomized clinical trial. *Physical therapy*, 89, 884 – 892.
- van der Heijden, M. M .P., van Dooren, F. E. P., Pop V. J. M. and Pouwer, F. (2013). Effects of exercise training on quality of life, symptoms of depression, symptoms of anxiety, and emotional well-being in type 2 diabetes mellitus. A systematic review. *Diabetologia*, 56, 1210-1225.
- van de Vijver, F. J. R., and Hambleton, R. K. (1996). Translating tests: Some practical guidelines. *European Psychologist*, 1, 89-99.
- Zanuso, S., Jimenez, A., Pugliese, G., Corigliano, G. and Balducci, S. (2010). Exercise for the management of type 2 diabetes: a review of the evidence. *Acta diabetologica*, 47, 15–22.

Received 30 March 2015  
Revised 20 September 2015  
Accepted 07 December 2015

**About the authors:**

**Marco Guicciardi**, Associate Professor of Psychometrics, University of Cagliari. Head of Research Unit of the Inter-University Centre for Mind Sports Team (MIST). He is interested in the construction and validation of measurement instruments in the field of motor and sport activities. Former President of the Order of Psychologists of Sardinia and Coordinator of the national working group “University” of CNOP. Chair of the NAC Italy for EuroPsy; he is a member of EFPA Task Force on sport psychology.

**Romina Lecis**, Research assistant in the Department of Pedagogy, Psychology, Philosophy (Laurea degree cum laude from the University of Cagliari) in 2005. She is Specialist in Health Psychology (70/70 cum laude) and Psychotherapist as of 2011. Her current research interests are mainly focused on the promotion of health in people with chronic diseases, as Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and obesity.

**Davide Massidda**, graduated in 2008 in psychology and in 2012 achieved his Ph.D. in experimental psychology. His has specialized in psychometrics and data analysis. His main research interests involve the experimental research on cognitive psychology and the development of psychometric tools for epidemiology in mental health.

**Lucina Corgiolu**, Dietician in the Diabetology Unit at University Hospital of Cagliari. Laurea degree in Dietetics from the University of Cagliari (110/110). Her current research interests are mainly focused on the promotion of health in people with chronic disease, such as Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and obesity.

**Adelina Porru**, Psychologist (Degree cum laude in Psychology in 2001 from the University of Cagliari), works as Diabetologist Nurse in Diabetology Unit at the University Hospital of Cagliari. She is participating in several research projects: at present in “Cereal 14/20 Project” and earlier in several projects realized in collaboration with the University of Cagliari. Her current research interests are mainly focused on the promotion of health in people, with chronic disease, such as Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and obesity (particularly in physical activity and alimentation) and in education of people with Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus (especially in treatment with insulin pumps and Sensor Augmented Pump therapy).

**Matteo Pusceddu**, Ph.D., Department of Medical Sciences, Sport Physiology Laboratory, University of Cagliari, Italy, collaborates with the Group Physical Activity Project, Centre for Diabetology, San Giovanni University Hospital, Cagliari.

**Francesca Spanu**, Senior Physician (Degree in Medicine cum laude in 1987 from the University of Cagliari) works in Diabetology Unit at the University Hospital of Cagliari. She is a Specialist in Nutrition Sciences – Dietology and Dietotherapy (70/70 cum laude in 1991), Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy (Magna cum laude in 2001) and Psychotherapist since 2001. She takes part in several research projects: at present in “Cereal 14/20 Project” and earlier in several projects realized in collaboration with the University of Cagliari. Her current research interests are mainly focused on the promotion of health in individuals with chronic disease, such as Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and obesity (particularly regarding physical activity and alimentation) and in education of people with Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus (especially in insulin pump treatment and Sensor Augmented Pump therapy).

First Published: December, xxx, 2015