Carmela Gargiulo Corrado Zoppi Editors

# Planning, Nature and Ecosystem Services





Federico II Open Access University Press





Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II Scuola Politecnica e delle Scienze di Base

Smart City, Urban Planning for a Sustainable Future

5



Carmela Gargiulo Corrado Zoppi *Editors* 

# Planning, Nature and Ecosystem Services

INPUT aCAdemy 2019 Conference proceedings

Federico II Open Access University Press



La cooperazione al cuore del Mediterraneo

*Planning, nature and ecosystem services* / editors Carmela Gargiulo, Corrado Zoppi - Napoli: FedOAPress. 2019 - (Smart City, Urban Planning for a Sustainable Future. 5).

Web link: http://www.tema.unina.it/index.php/tema/Monographs

ISBN: 978-88-6887-054-6 DOI: 10.6093/978-88-6887-054-6

*Editor* Rocco Papa, University of Naples Federico II, Italy

#### Editorial Advisory Board

Mir Ali, University of Illinois, USA - Luca Bertolini, Universiteit van Amsterdam, Paesi Bassi - Luuk Boelens, Ghent University, Belgium - Dino Borri, Politecnico di Bari, Italia - Enrique Calderon, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spagna - Roberto Camagni, Politecnico di Milano, Italia - Derrick De Kerckhove, University of Toronto, Canada - Mark Deakin, Edinburgh Napier University, Scotland - Aharon Kellerman, University of Haifa, Israel - Nicos Komninos, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Grecia - David Matthew Levinson, University of Sydney, Australia - Paolo Malanima, Magna Græcia University of Catanzaro, Italy - Agostino Nuzzolo, Università degli Studi di Roma Tor Vergata, Italia - Rocco Papa, Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Italia - Serge Salat, Urban Morphology and Complex Systems Institute, France - Mattheos Santamouris, National Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece - Ali Soltani, Shiraz University, Iran

## Selection and double blind review under responsibility of INPUT aCAdemy 2019 Conference Committee

© 2019 FedOAPress - Federico II Open Access University Press Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II Centro di Ateneo per le Biblioteche "Roberto Pettorino" Piazza Bellini 59-60 - 80138 Napoli, Italy http://www.fedoapress.unina.it

Published in Italy Gli E-Book di FedOAPress sono pubblicati con licenza Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International

Cover and graphic project: TeMALab



INPUT a CAdemy 2019

This book collects the papers presented at INPUT aCAdemy 2019, a special edition of the INPUT Conference hosted by the Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, and Architecture (DICAAR) of the University of Cagliari.

INPUT aCAdemy Conference will focus on contemporary planning issues with particular attention to ecosystem services, green and blue infrastructure and governance and management of Natura 2000 sites and coastal marine areas.

INPUT aCAdemy 2019 is organized within the GIREPAM Project (Integrated Management of Ecological Networks through Parks and Marine Areas), co-funded by the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) in relation to the 2014-2020 Interreg Italy – France (Maritime) Programme.

INPUT aCAdemy 2019 is supported by Società Italiana degli Urbanisti (SIU, the Italian Society of Spatial Planners), Istituto Nazionale di Urbanistica (INU, the Italian National Institute of Urban Planning), UrbIng Ricerca Scientifica (the Association of Spatial Planning Scholars of the Italian Schools of Engineering) and Ordine degli Ingegneri di Cagliari (OIC, Professional Association of Engineers of Cagliari).

#### SCIENTIFIC COMMITEE

Dino Borri - Politecnico di Bari Marta Bottero - Politecnico di Torino Domenico Camarda - Politecnico di Bari Arnaldo Cecchini - Università degli Studi di Sassari Donatella Cialdea - Università del Molise Giovanni Colombo - ISMB Istituto Superiore Mario Boella Valerio Cutini - Università di Pisa Andrea De Montis - Università degli Studi di Sassari Romano Fistola - Università degli Studi del Sannio Carmela Gargiulo - Università di Napoli "Federico II" Davide Geneletti - University of Trento Roberto Gerundo - Università degli Studi di Salerno Paolo La Greca - University of Catania Daniele La Rosa - University of Catania Giuseppe Las Casas - University of Basilicata Antonio Leone - Tuscia University Sara Levi Sacerdotti - SITI Giampiero Lombardini - Università degli Studi di Genova Stefania Mauro - SITI Giulio Mondini - Politecnico di Torino Beniamino Murgante - University of Basilicata Silvie Occelli - IRES Piemonte Rocco Papa - Università di Napoli "Federico II" Raffaele Pelorosso - Tuscia University Alessandro Plaisant - Università degli Studi di Sassari Bernardino Romano - Università degli Studi dell'Aquila Francesco Scorza - University of Basilicata Maurizio Tira - University of Brescia Angioletta Voghera - Politecnico di Torino

#### LOCAL COMMITEE

Ginevra Balletto - Università di Cagliari Ivan Blecic - Università di Cagliari Michele Campagna - Università di Cagliari Ignazio Cannas - Università di Cagliari Anna Maria Colavitti - Università di Cagliari Sebastiano Curreli - Università di Cagliari Maddalena Floris - Università di Cagliari Chiara Garau - Università di Cagliari Federico Isola Università di Cagliari Sabrina Lai – Regione Autonoma della Sardegna Francesca Leccis - Università di Cagliari Federica Leone - Università di Cagliari Anania Mereu - Università di Cagliari Marianna Agostina Mossa – Regione Sardegna Salvatore Pinna - Università di Cagliari Cheti Pira - Università di Cagliari Daniela Ruggeri - Università di Cagliari Laura Santona – Regione Sardegna Corrado Zoppi - Università di Cagliari

This book is the most recent scientific contribution of the "Smart City, Urban Planning for a Sustainable Future" Book Series, dedicated to the collection of research e-books, published by FedOAPress - Federico II Open Access University Press. The volume contains the scientific contributions presented at the INPUT aCAdemy 2019 Conference. In detail, this publication, including 92 papers grouped in 11 sessions, for a total of 1056 pages, has been edited by some members of the Editorial Staff of "TeMA Journal", here listed in alphabetical order:

- Rosaria Battarra;
- Gerardo Carpentieri;
- Federica Gaglione;
- Carmen Guida;
- Rosa Morosini;
- Floriana Zucaro.

The most heartfelt thanks go to these young and more experienced colleagues for the hard work done in these months. A final word of thanks goes to Professor Roberto Delle Donne, Director of the CAB - Center for Libraries "Roberto Pettorino" of the University of Naples Federico II, for his active availability and the constant support also shown in this last publication.

#### Rocco Papa

Editor of the Smart City, Urban Planning for a Sustainable Future" Book Series Published by FedOAPress - Federico II Open Access University Press

# **Table of contents**

Introduction Corrado Zoppi	15
Sessione 1 - Ecosystem services and spatial planning	
The Danube Riverside Development in the Iron Gates Gorge, Serbia, between Socio-economic needs and Protected Ecosystem Branislav Antonić, Aleksandra Djukić, Milica Cvetanović	17
From a species-centred to an ecosystem-based management approach, a case study of the saltmarshes of Hyères (Provence, France) <i>Patrick Astruch, Charles-François, Boudouresque, Thomas Changeux et al.</i>	29
Spatial evolutions between identity values and settlements changes. Territorial analyses oriented to the landscape regeneration <i>Donatella Cialdea</i>	39
Analyzing senior tourism. The role of ecosystem services to improve sustainable tourism destinations <i>Romano Fistola, Rosa Anna La Rocca</i>	52
Carbon sequestration and land-taking processes. A study concerninig Sardinia Maddalena Floris, Corrado Zoppi	66
The impact of urbanization processes in landscape fragmentation. A comparison between coastal zones of Sardinia and Liguria <i>Giampiero Lombardini, Andrea De Montis, Vittorio Serra</i>	80
Areas of considerable public interest, territorial common goods and ecosystem services: an application case for the city of Cagliari <i>Marzia Morittu, Alessandro Plaisant</i>	86
A bottom up initiatives for biodiversity: ecologic representation for the inner areas of Sardinia <i>Giuseppe Roccasalva</i>	98
The soil matter between eco-systemic performance and spatial planning in metropolitan areas Saverio Santangelo, Paolo De Pascali, Annamaria Bagaini, Clara Musacchio, Francesca Perrone	111
Knowledge-building models for environmental planning: the case study of Bari Stefania Santoro, Domenico Camarda, Pasquale Balena	120
From Ecosystems to Ecosystem Services. A spatial methodology applied to a case study in Sardinia <i>Matilde Schirru, Simona Canu, Laura Santona , Sabrina Lai, Andrea Motroni</i>	130

# Session: 2 - Integrated management of marine protected areas and Natura 2000 sites

Organize the management of protected areas according to an optimal framework. Experimental case <i>Aicha Bouredji</i>	142
A methodological approach to build a planning environmental assessment framework in the context of marine protected areas <i>Ignazio Cannas, Daniela Ruggeri</i>	152
An experimental methodology for the management of marine protected areas Maddalena Floris, Federica Isola, Cheti Pira	165
Marine Forests (Fucales, Ochrophyta) in a low impacted Mediterranean coastal area: current knowledge and future perspectives. A phycological review in Sinis Peninsula and the Gulf of Oristano (Sardinia Island, Italy) Daniele Grech, Luca Fallati, Simone Farina, David Cabana, Ivan Guala	176
Assessing the potential Marine Natura 2000 sites to produce ecosystem-wide effects in rocky reefs: a case study from Sardinia Island (Italy) <i>Paolo Guidetti; Pierantonio Addis; Fabrizio Atzori et al.</i>	185
Bottlenecks in fully implementing the Natura 2000 network in Italy. An analyisis of processes leading to the designation of Special Areas of Conservation <i>Sabrina Lai</i>	201
Urban pressure scenario on the protected areas systems. The case study of Teatina adriatic coast Alessandro Marucci, Lorena Fiorini, Carmen Ulisse	212
Posidonia banquettes on the Mediterranean beaches: To what extent do local administrators' and users' perceptions correspond? <i>Paolo Mossone, Ivan Guala, Simone Simeone</i>	225
The ecosystem services cascade perspective in practice: a framework for cost- benefits analysis in Marine Protected Areas. The study case of Portofino Marine Protected Areas <i>Chiara Paoli, Paolo Povero, Giorgio Fanciulli et al.</i>	235
The contribution of the assessment of policy consistency and coherence to the definition of the legistative provisions of marine protected areas. The examples of the regulations of "Tavolara-Punta Coda Cavallo" and "Isola dell'Asinara" <i>Salvatore Pinna, Francesca Leccis</i>	251
Passive acoustics to monitor flagship species near boat traffic in the Unesco world heritage natural reserve of Scandola <i>Marion Poupard, Maxence Ferrari, Jan Schlüter et al.</i>	260
Use of ecological indices to assess the health status of Posidonia oceanica meadows in the Eastern Liguria. Influence of ecological status on natural capital <i>Ilaria Rigo, Monica Montefalcone, Carla Morri et al.</i>	271
Coastal governance and planning agreements for integrated management of marine protected areas in UE coasting project Saverio Santangelo, Paolo De Pascali, Maria Teresa Cutri et al.	281

Innovative management tools to survey boat traffic and anchoring activities within a Marine Protected Area <i>Thomas Schohn, Patrick Astruch, Elodie Rouanet et al.</i>	292
SHADES. Sustainable and holistic approaches to development in European seabords <i>Francesco Vita, Fortunato Cozzupoli</i>	302
Session 3 - Rural development and conservation of nature and natural resources	
New local projects for disadvantged inner areas. From traditional model to bio- regional planning <i>Anna Maria Colavitti, Alessio Floris, Francesco Pes et al.</i>	312
Inclusion of migrants for rural regeneration through cultural and natural heritage valorization <i>Elisa Conticelli, Claudia de Luca, Aitziber Egusquiza et al.</i>	323
Environmental and social sustainability of the bioenergy supply chain Sebastiano Curreli	333
Proposals on the Agricultural Land Use in According to the Features of the landscape: The case study of Sardinia (Italy) Pasquale Mistretta, Giulia Desogus, Chiara Garau	345
Common land(scape): morphologies of a multifunctional rural landscape in the Isalle Valley, Sardinia <i>Roberto Sanna</i>	356
SheepToShip LIFE: Integration of environmental strategies with rural development policies. Looking for an eco-sustainable sheep supply chain <i>Enrico Vagnoni, Alberto Atzori, Giovanni Molle et al.</i>	366

# Session 4 - Geodesign, planning and urban regeneration

The territorial planning of European funds as a tool for the enhancement and sustainable development of natural areas: the experience of the Strategic Relevance Areas of the ERDF OP 2014-2020 <i>Stefania Aru, Sandro Sanna</i>	375
The International Geodesign Collaboration: the Cagliari case study Michele Campagna, Chiara Cocco, Elisabetta Anna Di Cesare	385
A geodesign collaboration for the mission valley project, San Diego, USA Chiara Cocco, Bruce Appleyard, Piotr Jankowski	399
University and urban development: The role of services in the definition of integrated intervention policies <i>Mauro Francini, Sara Gaudio, Annunziata Palermo, Maria Francesca Viapiana</i>	410

Session 5 - Green and blue infrastructure	
Geodesign fast-workshops evidences. On field applications of collaborative design approach for strategic planning and urban renovation <i>Francesco Scorza</i>	443
Recycled aggregates. Mechanical properties and environmental sustainability <i>Luisa Pani, Lorena Francesconi, James Rombi et al.</i>	431
Urban environment. An analysis of the Italian metropolitan cities <i>Giuseppe Mazzeo</i>	419

Green infrastructure as a tool of urban regeneration, for an equitable and sustainable planning. An application case at l'Eixample, Barcelona <i>Clara Alvau Morales, Tanja Congiu, Alessandro Plaisant</i>	453
The value of water: ecosystem services trade-offs and synergies of urban lakes in Romania <i>Denisa Lavinia Badiu, Cristian Ioan IojĂ, Alina Constantina Hossu et al.</i>	465
A blue infrastructure: from hydraulic protection to landscape design. The case study of the village of Ballao in the Flumendosa river valley <i>Giovanni Marco Chiri, Pino Frau, Elisabetta Sanna et al.</i>	476
Municipal masterplans and green infrastructure. An assessment related to the Metropolitan Area of Cagliari, Italy <i>Sabrina Lai, Federica Leone, Corrado Zoppi</i>	488
The Ombrone river contract: A regional design practice for empowering river communities and envisioning basin futures <i>Carlo Pisano, Valeria Lingua</i>	502
Green infrastructures in the masterplan of Rome. Strategic components for an integrated urban strategy Laura Ricci, Carmela Mariano, Irene Poli	513

# Session 6 - Smart city planning

Smart City Governance for Child-friendly Cities: Impacts of Green and Blue Infrastructures on Children's Independent Activities <i>Alfonso Annunziata, Chiara Garau</i>	524
Resilience, smartness and sustainability. Towards a new paradigm? Sabrina Auci, Luigi Mundula	539
Energy autonomy in symbiosis with aesthetics of forms in architecture <i>Pietro Currò</i>	549
Sharing governance and new technologies in smart city planning Paolo De Pascali, Saverio Santangelo, Annamaria Bagaini et al.	563

Smart Mapping Tools for the Balanced Planning of Open Public Spaces in the Tourist Town of Golubac, Serbia Aleksandra Djukić, Branislav Antonić, Jugoslav Joković, Nikola Dinkić	573
Towards a model for urban planning control of the settlement efficiency Isidoro Fasolino, Francesca Coppola, Michele Grimaldi	587
Somerville: Innovation City <i>Luna Kappler</i>	595
Urban regeneration for smart communities. <i>Caterina Pietra, Elisabetta Maria Venco</i>	605
Energy autonomy as a structural assumption for systemic development and circular economy <i>Manlio Venditelli</i>	619
Session 7 - Water resources, ecosystem services and nature- based solutions in spatial planning	
Landscape and species integration for a nature-based planning of a Mediterranean functional urban area <i>Erika Bazzato, Michela Marignani</i>	630
Tourism and natural disasters: integrating risk prevention methods into the Plan for tourism <i>Selena Candia, Francesca Pirlone</i>	640
Integrated management of water resources. An operative tool to simplify, direct and measure the interventions <i>Vittoria Cugusi, Alessandro Plaisant</i>	649
Application of NbS to the city plan of Segrate Municipality: spatial implications <i>Roberto De Lotto</i>	660
Nature-Based Solutions impact assessment: a methodological framework to assess quality, functions and uses in urban areas <i>Claudia De Luca, Simona Tondelli</i>	671
The recognition of the Aspromonte National Park ecosystem networks in the urban structure project of Metropolitan City of Reggio Calabria Concetta Fallanca, Natalina Carrà, Antonio Taccone	679
Shaping the urban environment for breathable cities. <i>Michela Garau, Maria Grazia Badas, Giorgio Querzoli, Simone Ferrari,</i> <i>Alessandro Seoni, Luca Salvadori</i>	692
Defense, adaptation and relocation: three strategies for urban planning of coastal areas at risk of flooding <i>Carmela Mariano, Marsia Marino</i>	704
Thermal Urban Natural Environment Development Francesca Moraci, Celestina Fazia, Maurizio Francesco Errigo	714

A network approach for studying multilayer planning of urban green areas: a case study from the town of Sassary (Sardegna, Italy) <i>Maria Elena Palumbo, Sonia Palumbo, Salvatore Manca, Emmanuele Farris</i>	723
Urban areas morphometric parameters and their sensitivity on the computation method <i>Luca Salvadori, Maria Grazia Badas, Michela Garau, Giorgio Querzoli, Simone</i> <i>Ferrari</i>	734

# Session 8 - Conservation and valorisation of architectural and cultural heritage

Preservation and valorisation of small historic centers at risk Maria Angela Bedini, Fabio Bronzini, Giovanni Marinelli	744
Material and immaterial cultural heritage: identification, documentation, promotion and valorization. The courtyards and hallways of merit in the Murattiano district of Bari Antonia Valeria Dilauro, Remo Pavone, Francesco Severino	757
Planning of historic centers in Sardinia Region: conservation versus valorization of architectural and cultural heritage <i>Federica Isola, Federica Leone, Cheti Pira</i>	767
Approach towards the "self-sustainability" of ancient villages Francesca Pirlone, Ilenia Spadaro	776
Fostering architecture efficiency through urban quality. A project for via Milano site in Brescia <i>Michela Tiboni, Francesco Botticini</i>	787

# Session 9 - Accessibility, mobility and spatial planning

The role of community enterprises in spatial planning for low density territories <i>Cristian Cannaos, Giuseppe Onni</i>	800
Measuring multimodal accessibility at urban services for the elderly. An application at primary health services in the city of Naples <i>Gerardo Carpentieri, Carmen Guida, Housmand Masoumi</i>	810
Urban accessibility for connective and inclusive living environments. An operational model at support of urban planning and design practice <i>Tanja Congiu, Elisa Occhini, Alessandro Plaisant</i>	826
Improving accessibility to urban services for over 65: a GIS-supported method Carmela Gargiulo, Floriana Zucaro, Federica Gaglione, Luigi Faga	839
Cycle networks in Natura 2000 sites: the environmental assessment of the Regional Cycling Plan of Sardinia, Italy <i>Italo Meloni, Elisabetta Anna Di Cesare, Cristian Saba</i>	851

Improving regional accessibility through planning a comprehensive cycle network: the case of Sardinia (Italy) Italo Meloni, Cristian Saba, Beatrice Scappini et al.	859
Vehicle routing problem and car-pooling to solve home-to-work transport problem	869
Antonio Pratelli, Massimiliano Petri	

# Session 10 - Tourism and sustainability in the Sulcis area

Wave, walk and bike tourism. The case of Sulcis (Sardinia -Italy) Ginevra Balletto, Alessandra Milesi, Luigi Mundula, Giuseppe Borruso	881
Smart Community and landscape in progress. The case of the Santa Barbara walk (Sulcis, Sardinia) <i>Ginevra Balletto, Alessandra Milesi, Stefano Naitza et al.</i>	893
A Blockchain approach for the sustainability in tourism management in the Sulcis area	904
Gavina Baralla, Andrea Pinna, Roberto Tonelli et al.	
People and heritage in low urbanised settings: An ongoing study of accessibility to the Sulcis area (Italy) Nađa Beretić, Tanja Congiu, Alessandro Plaisant	920
Place branding as a tool to improve heritage-led development strategies for a sustainable tourism in the Sulcis-Iglesiente region Anna Maria Colavitti, Alessia Usai	928
Walkability as a tool for place-based regeneration: the case study of Iglesiente region in Sardinia (Italy) <i>Chiara Garau, Gianluca Melis</i>	943
The use of recycled aggregates in the implementation of Municipal Masterplans and Coastal Land-Use Plans. A study concerning Sulcis (Sardinia, Italy) <i>Federica Leone, Anania Mereu</i>	955
Relationships between conservation measures related to Natura 2000 sites and coastal land use plans: a study concerning Sulcis (Sardinia, Italy) <i>Federica Leone, Corrado Zoppi</i>	971
A Smart Planning tools for the valorisation of the Carbonia's building heritage via an energy retrofitting based approach <i>Stefano Pili, Francesca Poggi, Eusebio Loria, Caterina Frau</i>	983

# Special session 1 - Ecological networks and landscape planning

Resilient ecological networks. A comparative approach	995
Andrea De Montis, Amedeo Ganciu, Maurizio Mulas et al.	

A complex index of landscape fragmentation: an application to Italian regional planning	1007
Andrea De Montis, Amedeo Ganciu, Vittorio Serra	
Measuring landscape fragmentation in Natura 2000 sites. A quantitative and comparative approach Antonio Ledda, Andrea De Montis, Vittorio Serra	1017
Regional ecological networks: theoretical and practical issues Giuseppe Modica, Salvatore Praticò, Luigi Laudari et al.	1028
Comparative ecological network analysis. Target and vector species and other naturalistic issues <i>Maurizio Mulas, Matteo Cabras, Andrea De Montis</i>	1038
Measuring connectivity in Natura 2000 sites. An application in Sardinia Vittorio Serra, Andrea De Montis, Antonio Ledda	1049

# INTRODUCTION

France–Maritime 2014–2020, Axis 2.

This e-book contains the Proceedings of the INPUT aCAdemy 2019 Conference held at the University of Cagliari on 24-26 June 2019, titled "Planning, nature and ecosystem services." Input aCAdemy follows the tenth INPUT Conference, held in September 2018 at Tuscia University, in Viterbo and, in some way, it breaks the biennial tradition of the INPUT Conferences. The reason for the frequency increase of the INPUT Conferences is that the Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering and Architecture of the University of Cagliari is involved in a project funded by the Programme INTERREG Marittimo–Italia

In the context of the project, entitled "GIREPAM–Integrated Management of Ecological Networks through Parks and Marine Areas", the Department and the Office for Nature Protection and forest policies of the Regional Autonomous Administration of Sardinia are studying and defining an experimental methodology to integrate conservation measures concerning Natura 2000 Sites into marine protected areas regulations. The methodology is implemented to build the new regulations of two marine protected areas of Sardinia, namely the Island of Asinara and of the Island of Tavolara and Cape Coda Cavallo.

Since GIREPAM allocates a considerable amount of funds to the organization of an international conference on protection of nature and natural resources, ecosystem services and their relationship with spatial planning processes and practices, green infrastructure, and integrated management of protected areas and Natura 2000 Sites, and these funds must be spent by December 2019, the research group at the Department proposed to the INPUT Community, during the 2018 Viterbo Conference, a 2019 INPUT Conference focussing on these themes. The INPUT Community responded enthusiastically and, that being so, the research group has made every effort to make the event come true.

The Conference develops through plenary sessions and parallel tracks. The scope of the plenary sessions is to propose distinguished points of view concerning research and implied planning ideas and policies on important and significant issues which feature the ongoing scientific and technical debate on nature and natural resources.

The questions proposed and discussed in the Conference are three central topics which are characterized by several studies available in contemporary literature, and by vibrant debates as well, both from the theoretical and technical points of view. These questions are presented and discussed in the three plenary sessions which are the starting points of the three days of the Conference. Each plenary session is organized as follows: first, a speaker, a distinguished scholar, proposes the findings of his theoretical and/or applied research work and derived implications for spatial policy; secondly, a discussant, a distinguished scholar as well, critically analyzes the positions expressed in the first place and identifies open or unresolved questions and outstanding issues; thirdly, the public enters the discussion, through questions, observations, critical positions. Finally, the speaker replies to the discussant's and to the public's statements.

The first plenary session is on "Valuing ecosystem services in money: A necessary evil for protecting biodiversity?"; the speaker is Erik Gomez-Baggethun (Faculty of Landscape and Society, Norwegian University of Life Sciences); the discussant is Andrea Arcidiacono (Department of Architecture and Urban Studies, Polytechnic University of Milan).

The second plenary session concerns "Managing urban ecosystems for goods and services"; the speaker is Kevin Gaston (Environment and Sustainability Institute, University of Exeter); the discussant is Bernardino Romano (Department of Civil, Building-Architecture and Environmental Engineering, University of L'Aquila).

The third plenary session is related to "Mapping and modeling ecosystem services: A cascade ES modeling approach applied to the Flemish Natura 2000 Network"; the speaker is Jan Staes (Department of Biology, University of Antwerp); the discussant is Beniamino Murgante (School of Engineering, University of Basilicata at Potenza).

The topics presented in the plenary sessions are the background of the discussions which characterize the parallel tracks. These tracks are featured by studies which consider protection of nature and natural resources, ecosystem services and their relationship with spatial planning processes and practices, as regards the following topics:

- 1. Ecosystem services and spatial planning;
- 2. Integrated management of marine protected areas and Natura 2000 sites;
- 3. Rural development and conservation of nature and natural resources;
- 4. Geodesign, planning and urban regeneration;
- 5. Green and blue infrastructure;
- 6. Smart city planning;
- 7. Water resources planning, ecosystem services and nature-based solutions in spatial planning;
- 8. Conservation and valorisation of architectural and cultural heritage;
- 9. Accessibility, mobility and spatial planning;
- 10. Tourism and sustainability in the Sulcis area;
- 11. Ecological networks and landscape planning.

The closing plenary session of the Conference proposes a roundtable discussion on "Planning Nature 2000 Network and protected areas: The integration of conservation measures into regulations." The roundtable will involve panelists from several institutions who participate in the GIREPAM Project.

Carmela Gargiulo is full professor of Urban Planning Techniques at the University of Naples Federico II. Since 1987 she has been involved in studies on the management of urban and territorial transformations. Since 2004, she has been Member of the Researcher Doctorate in Hydraulic, Transport and Territorial Systems Engineering of the University of Naples "Federico II". She is Member of the Committee of the Civil, Architectural and Environmental Engineering Department of the University of Naples "Federico II". She is Member of the Committee of the Civil, Architectural and Environmental Engineering Department of the University of Naples "Federico II". Her research interests focus on the processes of urban requalification, on relationships between urban transformations and mobility, and on the estate exploitation produced by urban transformations. On these subjects she has co-ordinated research teams within National Project such as Progetto Finalizzato Edilizia - Sottoprogetto "Processie e procedure" (Targeted Project on Building – Subproject "Processes and procedures), from 1992 to 1994; Progetto Strategico Aree Metropolitane e Ambiente, (Strategic Project Metropolitan Areas and Environment) from 1994 to 1995; PRIN project on the "Impacts of mobility policies on urban transformability, environment and property market" from 2011 to 2013. Principal investigator of the Project Smart Energy Master for the energy management of territory financed by PON 04A2\_00120 R&C Axis II, from 2012 to 2015. Scientific Responsible Unit Dicea Project by Fondazione Cariplo "MOBILAGE. Mobility and aging: daily life and welfare supportive networks at the neighborhood level" 2018-2020. Scientific Responsible Unit TeeMALab Dicea ERASMUS+ Key Action2: Project "Development of a Master Programme in the Management of Industrial Entrepreneurship for Transition Countries" (MIETC), partners: University of Santiago de Compostela (leading organization), University of Ljubljana, Academy of Science of Turkmenistan, Karaganda Economic University of

Corrado Zoppi, Civil engineer, is Doctor of Philosophy in Economics (Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, United States, 1997), Doctor of Research in Territorial Planning (University of Reggio Calabria, 1992), and Master of Science in Economic Policy and Planning (Northeastern University, 1990). Since October I 2015 he is Professor (Full Professor, Scientific Disciplinary Sector ICAR/20 Urban and Regional Technique and Planning)) at the Department of Civil, Environmental Engineering and Architecture. In the past, he taught at the Faculty of Engineering of the University of Cagliari, and at the Faculties of Architecture of the Universities of Rome "La Sapienza" and Sassari-Alghero. He is presently the Official Professor of the Module of Strategic Planning of the Integrated Course of Strategic Environmental Planning and of the Course of Regional and Urban Planning at the Faculty of Engineering of the University of Cagliari, and the Coordinator of the Undergraduate and Magisterial Degree Programs at the Faculty of Engineering and Architecture of the University of Cagliari. He was the Coordinator of the Panel for the Assessment and Evaluation of Public Investments of the Sardinian Regional Administration in the period 2007-2013. He was the Coordinator of the Graduate Committee of Environmental and Territorial Engineering of the University of Cagliari in the period 2012-2015. He is the President of the Faculty Committee of Engineering and Architecture of the University of Cagliari.

ISBN:978-88-6887-054-6 DOI:10.6093/978-88-6887-054-6



## CARBON SEQUESTRATION AND LAND-TAKING PROCESSES

A STUDY CONCERNING SARDINIA (ITALY)

#### MADDALENA FLORIS, CORRADO ZOPPI

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering and Architecture University of Cagliari, Italy e-mail: maddalena.floris@unica.it; zoppi@unica.it URL: www.unica.it

#### How to cite item in APA format:

Floris, M. & Zoppi, C. (2019). Carbon sequestration and land-taking processes. A study concerning Sardina (Italy). In C. Gargiulo & C. Zoppi (Eds.), *Planning, nature and ecosystem services* (pp. 66-79). Naples: FedOAPress. ISBN: 978-88-6887-054-6, doi: 10.6093/978-88-6887-054.6

#### ABSTRACT

According to the European Commission's "Roadmap to a Resource Efficient Europe," the annual land take in the countries of the European Union should amount at most to zero by 2050. This entails that planning practices should focus on ecological objectives, which should be prioritized over other current issues, such as land values' and uses' regulations, spatial market processes and real estate. Land take and related urban development not only implies decline in the availability of land able to sequestrate carbon, but also an increase in emissions. That being so, innovative ecological policies are necessary in order to mitigate or eliminate land-taking processes. This study analyzes the interdependence between land take and carbon capture and storage, identified as an ecosystem service, and proposes an interpretive approach, which is implemented into the Sardinian regional context, that is, a spatial context concerning one of the two insular regions of Italy. CORINE Land Cover nomenclature is used to identify the land cover characteristics. The European Environment Agency makes data available as regards the time series of land cover types. Carbon capture and storage is defined through the NDVI (normalized difference vegetation index) concerning semi-natural and natural zones. By means of the NDVI, an approximation of carbon sequestration distribution and a spatial relation between carbon capture and storage capacity and land-taking processes are detected. The outcomes imply relevant consequences with reference to the implementation of planning measures concerning mitigation of land take and preservation and improvement of carbon capture and storage.

# 1 INTRODUCTION

This study analyzes the interdependence between land take and carbon capture and storage. The research goal is to assess the evidence of a relationship between carbon sequestration by the soil and land-taking processes, and to estimate the quantitative profile of this relationship. The assessment is implemented as regards a spatial context concerning Sardinia, one of the two insular regions of Italy. The results are relevant in terms of further research developments. Carbon capture and storage is a phenomenon, based on photosynthesis, which characterizes peat swamps, forests and grasslands, and other similar ecological systems and consists in carbon dioxide removal from the air by its sequestration by soil and plants (Lal, 2008). The interaction involving air composition and soil has a strong influence on climate regulation (Jobbagy & Jackson, 2000) and is strictly correlated to changes in land cover. Moreover, land condition and green areas play an important role in regulating the carbon cycle since they provide carbon capture and storage as an ecosystem service (European Commission, 2012; Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, 2005). The EEA (European Environment Agency, 2013a) provides the following definition of land take: "Change of the amount of agriculture, forest and other semi-natural and natural land taken by urban and other artificial land development." This is a relevant reference for the ongoing discussion on spatial planning since, according to the European Commission's "Roadmap to a Resource Efficient Europe" (Communication COM(2011) 571 of 20 September 2011), the annual land take in the countries of the European Union should amount at most to zero by 2050. Furthermore, a medium-term goal is established by 2020 with reference to the 2014-2020 cohesion policy, which states that direct and indirect impacts of this policy on land cover have to be carefully monitored and assessed. The structure of this study consists of three sections. The next section discusses the methodology concerning the definition of the taxonomies of normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) and carbon sequestration related to the Sardinian regional context. The results of a multiple linear regression used to assess the relation between carbon storage and capture and land-taking processes are described in the third section. In the conclusions, a discussion related to the outcomes is proposed as regards implications and suggestions concerning planning measures and further research developments.

# 2 METHODOLOGY

The relation between carbon capture and storage and land-taking processes is studied on the basis of spatial units represented by the 377 municipal administrations of Sardinia, which are the lowest layer of the regional public administration framework. A linear regression is estimated according to specification shown in Tab. 1. Carbon sequestration is the dependent variable, whereas the explanatory variables are the level of land take and the land take change occurred between 1990 and 2018, the most recent data concerning the number of residents and the area of the land administered by a municipality. The last two variables are used as control variables to check for: i. the presence of a concentration factor, namely, the lower the number of residents the highest the capacity of capturing and storing carbon dioxide (Sklenicka et al., 2013; Zoppi & Lai, 2015); and, ii. the effect on carbon capture and storage capacity caused by the size of the municipal area, which, ceteris paribus, can possibly positively influence carbon sequestration.

VARIABLE	DEFINITION; SOURCE	UNIT	MEAN	ST. DEVIATION
C_SEQ	Carbon capture and storage capacity; estimated through the NDVI, see Subsection 2.1	Stored carbon dioxide per ha of municipal land, Mg/ha	89.40	22.00
L_TAKE	Size of uptaken land; Copernicus Database	Percentage ratio of land uptaken per km <sup>2</sup> of municipal land, km <sup>2</sup> /km <sup>2</sup> , %	3.41	5.29
ΔL_TAKE	Change in uptaken land; Copernicus Database	Percentage ratio of the 2018-1990 change in land uptaken per km <sup>2</sup> of municipal land, %	0.99	1.29
RESIDS	Resident people in 2016; the <i>Comuni Italiani</i> (Italian municipal administrations)	Number of resident people	4,385.01	12,199.99
ML_AREA	Area of the land administered by a municipality; the Region Sardinia's Geoportal	km <sup>2</sup>	63.92	61.76

Tab. 1 Statistics of the variables included in regression model C\_SEQ = β0 + β1 L\_TAKE + β2 ΔL\_TAKE + β3 RESIDS + β4 ML\_AREA

The next subsection presents the methodological approach implemented to define the spatial taxonomy of carbon sequestration, whereas a discussion proposed in a previous study (Zoppi & Lai, 2014, Section "What is land take?") is assumed as reference for characterizing the spatial distribution of land take. As per Zoppi and Lai (2014), the spatial taxonomy of land take implemented in this study is based on the land cover classification of the COoRdination de l'INformation sur l'Environnement (CORINE) Land Cover vector map

(CLC) of the European Environment Agency (EEA) of the EU (European Environment Agency, 2013a). In the CLC classification, non-artificial surfaces are classified into four classes (at Level 1): i. agricultural areas; ii. forests and semi-natural areas; iii. wetlands; and, iv. Waterbodies. The land-taking process is identified in this study as the change of status of areas from non-artificial classes to the artificial land-cover class. Sardinia has experienced an increase in artificial land from 2.26% in 1990 (54,443 hectares) to 3.14% in 2018 (75,718 hectares).

# 2.1 CARBON CAPTURE AND STORAGE

A number of studies propose combinations of carbon dioxide- and remote sensing-related data to represent spatial taxonomies of carbon pools (Lee et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2019; Rao et al., 2013; Raciti et al., 2014). NDVI indexes biomes' levels of greenness, on the basis of their reflectance spectrum. Late in the 1970s, it was discovered that the quantity of radiation active in photosynthetic terms absorbed by the plants is positively correlated to net photosynthesis. The remote sensors of satellites provide quantitative information concerning the absorbed radiation active in photosynthetic terms. The NDVI is computed through the following formula:

$$NDVI = \frac{(NR - RD)}{(NR + RD)},$$
(1)

where NR is the near-infrared reflectance and RD is the red reflectance. The spatial distribution of the NDVI taxonomy reveals values included in the interval -0.60 - 0.96, as shown in Tab. 2.

Based on the NDVI distribution, a spatial taxonomy is mapped which associates groups of types of land cover, featured by similar characteristics, to NDVI intervals. This is implemented on the basis of the authors' expertise and of on site survey.

Carbon capture and storage capacity associated to land cover types as regards the pools of carbon is determined on the basis of the spatial data provided by a project funded by the Autonomous Region of Sardinia<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The spatial database is based on the surveys implemented in the Project "Charter of the land units and land use capability of Sardinia – First part (2011-2013)." The Project was funded by the Department of Local public administrations, Finance and Spatial planning of the Autonomous Region of Sardinia (ARS). The surveys were implemented by the following public bodies: (i) AGRIS (the Agency of the ARS for theoretical and experimental research concerning agriculture, agri-industrial production and forestry) for the Muravera-Castiadas area (South-eastern Sardinia); (ii) LAORE (the Agency of the ARS for the implementation of the regional projects concerning agriculture and rural development), and the University of Sassari, for the Arzana and Nurra areas (Central and Northwestern Sardinia); and, (iii) the University of Cagliari for the Pula-Capoterra area (Southern Sardinia).

The InVEST<sup>2</sup> model uses the carbon pools provided by the Project quoted above to estimate the carbon capture and storage capacity for each land cover type (Nelson et al., 2008). Three NDVI-related intervals are determined in this study with reference to the Sardinian region, which are characterized by soil features and by the estimated mean carbon capture and storage capacity defined through InVEST (Tab. 2).

NDVI INTERVAL CLASSIFICATION

CARBON SEQUESTRATION CAPACITY (Mg/ha)

-0.5981 - 0.2659	Soils with no vegetation, bare rocks,	0
	built areas, water bodies	
0.2659 - 0.4890	Soils with sparse vegetation, grass or	104.50
	medium-density vegetation	
0.4890 - 0.9597	Soils with dense vegetation; forests	117.39

Tab. 2 Stored carbon and land uses

# 3 FINDINGS

The findings concerning the implementation of the proposed methodology are proposed in the following paragraphs. The first two subsections describe the spatial distributions of carbon capture and storage and land-taking processes, whereas the last shows the results of the multiple regression model defined by the variables reported in Tab. 2.

## 3.1 SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF CARBON CAPTURE AND STORAGE

The spatial taxonomy of carbon sequestration belongs to the interval 4.30-115 Mg/ha. The carbon sequestration capacity of about 37% of the municipalities is below 90 Mg/ha. The towns of South Sardinia reveal values comparatively lower than the others. Municipalities located around the SE-NW line which connects Cagliari to Oristano (from South to Central Sardinia) are particularly characterized by a low sequestration capacity. About 4% of the towns, mostly located in the central and northern areas of the Island, show values belonging to the interval 109-116 Mg/ha.

The Metropolitan City of Cagliari, whose administration extends over seventeen municipalities, shows a relevant decrease in carbon capture and storage capacity caused by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> InVEST (Integrated Valuation of Ecosystem Services and Tradeoffs) is a free of cost software product, licensed under the BSD open source licence. InVEST is developed by the Natural Capital Project (NCP), whose partners are: the Woods Institute for the Environment and Department of Biology of Stanford University; the Institute on the Environment of Minnesota University; the Nature Conservancy; and, the World Wildlife Fund (WWF). http://data.naturalcapitalproject.org/nightlybuild/investusers- guide/html/index.html.

intensive land artificialization generated by heavy urban expansion, which is as high as 10,700 Mg. This outcome implies that the density of carbon sequestration capacity decreases as urban transformation increases (Sun et al., 2019).

Even higher is the loss in carbon sequestration which is shown by the coastal towns, whose a share of about 35.5% reveals an increase in land take in the interval 0.96-10.80 km2 as a consequence of pressures generated by tourist enterprises, which put at risk coastal and marine environments and their provision of ecosystem services (Lai, Zoppi, 2010). A share of around 38% of the coastal municipalities shows a carbon sequestration capacity ranging between 4.3 and 70.6 Mg/ha.

Fig. 1 reports the spatial distribution of carbon capture and storage in the Sardinian Island.



Fig. 1. Taxonomy of carbon sequestration

## 3.2 SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF LAND TAKE

The regional land which changed its status from non-artificial to artificial amounts to about 215 km2 in the period 1990-2018. Its spatial distribution is not homogeneous, and it shows the highest values in the most populous cities, such as the Metropolitan City of Cagliari (5.6

km2) and the urban areas of Olbia (9.4 km2), Sassari (10.8 km2) and their surroundings, and in the costal tourist settlements.

Nevertheless, more than 33% of the municipal administrations reveal an increase in land take less than 0.09 km2, whereas less than 18% reveal an increase in land take more than 0.98 km2. The towns which are included in the Cagliari metropolitan administration, Olbia, Sassari and a small number of costal settlements belong to this set.

The highly populated and urbanized consolidated tissues of Sassari and Cagliari reveal values of land take which amount to 5% and 2.5%. The two contexts are examples of two different types of urban expansion, namely land sharing and land sparing (Soga et al., 2014).

Cagliari shows a density of 1,801 residents/km2, and, that being so, a compact tissue3 and a relevant concentration of green spaces within it, which makes the Sardinia's capital city a land sparing urban context, while Sassari, which shows a density of 234 residents/km2, characterized by less concentrated green spaces within the compact tissue, can be identified as a land sharing urban context (Lin & Fuller, 2013). The density of green areas within the compact urban fabrics is positively correlated to protection of biodiversity and supply of ecosystem services and, as a consequence, planning and decision-making processes should focus on land sparing-based policies (Soga et al., 2014), whose Cagliari is an important point of reference. Tab. 3 reports the comparison of the cities of Cagliari and Sassari in terms of their land-sparing and land-sharing attitudes.

CITY	GREEN SPACES (km <sup>2</sup> )	COMPACT TISSUE (km <sup>2</sup> )	GREEN AREAS IN THE COMPACT URBAN TISSUE (km <sup>2</sup> /km <sup>2</sup> ; percentage of green spaces within the compact urban tissue to the area of the compact tissue)
Sassari	0.5	15.7	2.8%
Cagliari	2.8	54.7	4.9%

Tab. 3 Analysis of green spaces within the compact urban tissue

## 3.3 RESULTS OF THE REGRESSION MODEL

The outcomes of the regression model are consistent with expectations on signs and significant in terms of p-values (always lower than 2%) as regards the estimates of the explanatory variables' coefficients (Tab. 4).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Compact urban fabric is identified within a municipal area by the "artificial surfaces" of the CORINE Land cover (European Environment Agency, 2013b).

Employeetem and the Coefficient Chandened deviation to the tistic manual

Explanatory variable	Coencient	Standard deviation	t-statistic	p-value
L_TAKE	-0.716	0.300	-2.395	0.0180
ΔL_TAKE	-4.370	1.126	-3.879	0.0002
RESIDS	-0.0003	0.0001	-2.559	0.0110
ML_AREA	0.092	0.019	4.910	0.0001

Dependent variable: C\_SEQ - Adjusted R-squared: 0.289

Tab. 4 Results of the regression model

The estimate of the land-take coefficient entails that, everything else being equal, an increase of 1% in land take implies a decrease of about 700 kg/ha in carbon capture and storage. This also implies that the total land-taking process related to Cagliari4 in 2018 reveals that about 40% of the municipal land is artificialized, which determines a loss of about three million Mg in carbon capture and storage.

Furthermore, the estimates of the regression model reveals that between 1990 and 2018 the change in land take (variable  $\Delta$ L\_TAKE) causes a negative impact on carbon capture and storage, in addition to variable L\_TAKE, which represents the level of land take. As a consequence, the results show that carbon capacity decreases not only in correlation with an increase in the land take level, but also in connection with an increase in the change rate of land take. Taking into consideration Cagliari, an increase of 6.5% in the level of land take5 is correlated to an additional decline of around 235,000 Mg in carbon capture and storage.

The estimated coefficients of the control variables RESIDS and ML\_AREA are significant and present negative and positive signs respectively, as was expected.

The concentration effect of RESIDS is a decrease of 5.6 kg/ha in carbon capture and storage related to an increase of 20 residents. This entails that, ceteris paribus, Sassari (127,533 residents) reveals an additional capacity of 399,000 Mg compared to Cagliari (154,083), as a consequence of less residents.

Lastly, carbon capacity is positively correlated to the size of the municipality (ML\_AREA), because the estimate of the correspondent coefficient is positive, and, as a consequence,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Cagliari is the capital city of Sardinia and the most populous municipal area. Data drawn from Copernicus, see Tab. 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Data drawn from Copernicus, see Tab. 1.

the impact on carbon capture and storage of an additional 1-km2 of municipal area is connected to an increase of around 90 kg/ha in carbon sequestration.

# 4 CONCLUDING REMARKS<sup>6</sup>

The outcomes of the regression model show an important and significant correlation, at the municipal level, between carbon sequestration and land take, and indicate that NDVI is a very effective proxy for carbon sequestration capacity, since it identifies the size of carbon captured and stored, and provides a way of measuring this capacity as a phenomenon independent from land take, and, in so doing, it makes it possible to estimate the regression model in stochastic terms. Moreover, since the coefficients of the variables representing the factors that were tentatively assumed as determinants of carbon sequestration are significant and the goodness of fit of the model is relatively high (adjusted R-squared is about 30%, see Tab. 4), it can be concluded that our research perspective is effective in explaining, in quantitative terms, the relationship between carbon sequestration and land take.

Our study puts in evidence a number of important implications concerning the relationship between carbon sequestration capacity and land-taking processes. First, our estimates highlight a robust negative influence of land take (level) and land-taking dynamics, that is, increase in land take through time, on carbon sequestration capacity, which is a finding consistent with Stakura et al.'s (2015) outcomes related to expansion of urban areas (sprawl). This implies that, everything else being equal, the presence, size and dynamics of land take are correlated to a decrease in carbon sequestration capacity.

Second, the reduction in capacity as a consequence of land-taking process is significant in quantitative terms. From this standpoint, our results imply that the presence and size of protected areas, which limit urban expansion and, in so doing, land-taking processes (Hazeu et al., 2009; Martínez-Fernández et al., 2015), are important factors to conserve and possibly enhance carbon sequestration capacity.

This entails that land saving and, as a consequence, conservation of carbon sequestration capacity spreads over the whole municipal land area in correlation with the presence and size of protected areas. An important type of protected areas are the Sites of the Natura 2000 Network (SN2Ns), established under the provisions of the Habitats (no. 92/43/EEC) and Birds (no. 2009/147/EC) Directives. According to the Habitats Directive, an Appropriate

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> This Section partially reproduces a discussion proposed in a previous study (Lai, Zoppi, 2017, Section "5. Discussion and Conclusions").

assessment procedure7 must be applied not only in case of plans and projects concerning land parcels located within SN2Ns, but also in case of plans and projects related to areas outside the SN2Ns' boundaries, if such plans and projects may possibly damage habitats and species within the SN2Ns.

A third important policy implication, related to the positive impact of Natura 2000-related policies on the conservation of the non-artificial status of land, is that, because the impact of Natura 2000-based environmental protection on land take is not related to other conservative planning rules, there is no need for severely restrictive planning codes, if SN2Ns are properly established. Indeed, the establishment of SN2Ns does not imply that there are land uses or developments which are forbidden in general terms. However, the mere presence of a SN2N entails that developers, public administrations, planners, and practitioners, have to show that their projects or planning proposals will not damage or generate loss of habitats and/or species, which, according to the outcomes of our analysis, significantly reduces land-taking processes.

Finally, an important implication of this study is the following. Municipal masterplans should state, as regards new development proposals, that such proposals should describe their impacts on existing land uses and demonstrate that artificialization processes are minimal, if any, as for the Appropriate assessment procedure, in case of plans and projects that may possibly generate negative impacts on habitats and species of the SN2Ns. The four points highlighted above entail important implications for planning policies, both at the local (municipal) and regional levels. A first consequence is that policies aiming at reducing land take and at preserving carbon sequestration capacity, should imply the establishment of new protected areas, or the enlargement of existing ones. Both policies need effective and continuous cooperation involving the local and regional administrations, since the complex and long-lasting time period concerning the establishment of new or enlarged protected areas needs a substantial integration of planning visions on behalf of the local and regional authorities. Cooperation is necessary since the identification of conservation objectives and the subsequent establishment of conservation measures entail that the local authorities propose these measures, possibly in the context of a management plan, and the regional administration approves them and, in some cases, brings them to the attention of national

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Paragraph 3, art. 6, of the Habitats Directive establishes that "Any plan or project not directly connected with or necessary to the management of the site but likely to have a significant effect thereon, either individually or in combination with other plans or projects, shall be subject to appropriate assessment of its implications for the site in view of the site's conservation objectives," and that "the competent national authorities shall agree to the plan or project only after having ascertained that it will not adversely affect the integrity of the site concerned and, if appropriate, after having obtained the opinion of the general public."

administrations. Cooperation and integration of the local and regional planning processes would imply an important enhancement in the quality of Sardinian public planning, which has been characterized by a lack of coordination in recent years (Zoppi & Lai, 2010). A second significant implication is that in public planning processes, especially at the municipal level, experts in nature conservation should systematically participate and cooperate with spatial planners and developers in the process of definition and approval of local plans, in order to support the identification of sites to be proposed for the establishment of protected areas and, in so doing, to define policies aimed at limiting land take and at preserving carbon sequestration capacity. At present, this expertise is not considered as a necessary component of local planning teams (Leone & Zoppi, 2016). Thirdly, attention should be paid to the possibility of proposing new protected areas in the strategic environmental assessment processes of local plans. These processes entail the inclusion of objectives related to the protection of environmental resources and to the sustainability paradigm into the definition of spatial plans, which implies the possibility of the integration of such goals into the plans, even though they were not considered in the first place (Zoppi & Lai, 2014). Moreover, since the presence and size of protected areas are effective against land take and in support of preservation of carbon sequestration capacity, conservation measures consistent with those adopted for the protected areas could be extended over areas located outside the boundaries of protected areas. From this perspective, complete and detailed maps concerning the spatial distribution of natural resources are needed. A fifth point is related to the necessity of a comprehensive coordination of conservation measures between plans of cities and towns whose municipal areas are adjacent to each other. From this point of view, a fundamental role should be played by the planning office of the regional administration, which coordinates local plans under the provisions of the Sardinian rules concerning the approval of regional and local plans. Finally, as widely recognized in the literature, conservation measures may prevent the implementation of traditional land uses related to urbanization, agriculture and pastures, and, by doing so, they may possibly generate conflicts between local communities and municipal authorities (Kovács et al., 2015; Leone & Zoppi, 2016). The issues of information, participation and consensus-building should not be undervalued in the definition and implementation of local plans that entail conservation measures and policies against land take and in support of carbon sequestration capacity, and inclusive participatory processes should be carefully designed in detail long before plans are discussed and approved. Our methodology and results are based on a regression model that assesses the relation between carbon capture and storage capacity, defined on the basis of the NDVI spatial taxonomy, and land-taking processes. The model considers the municipalities of Sardinia as spatial units. From this perspective, it has to be

intensive land artificialization generated by heavy urban expansion, which is as high as 10,700 Mg. This outcome implies that the density of carbon sequestration capacity decreases as urban transformation increases (Sun et al., 2019).

Even higher is the loss in carbon sequestration which is shown by the coastal towns, whose a share of about 35.5% reveals an increase in land take in the interval 0.96-10.80 km2 as a consequence of pressures generated by tourist enterprises, which put at risk coastal and marine environments and their provision of ecosystem services (Lai, Zoppi, 2010). A share of around 38% of the coastal municipalities shows a carbon sequestration capacity ranging between 4.3 and 70.6 Mg/ha.

Fig. 1 reports the spatial distribution of carbon capture and storage in the Sardinian Island.



Fig. 1. Taxonomy of carbon sequestration

## 3.2 SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF LAND TAKE

The regional land which changed its status from non-artificial to artificial amounts to about 215 km2 in the period 1990-2018. Its spatial distribution is not homogeneous, and it shows the highest values in the most populous cities, such as the Metropolitan City of Cagliari (5.6

km2) and the urban areas of Olbia (9.4 km2), Sassari (10.8 km2) and their surroundings, and in the costal tourist settlements.

Nevertheless, more than 33% of the municipal administrations reveal an increase in land take less than 0.09 km2, whereas less than 18% reveal an increase in land take more than 0.98 km2. The towns which are included in the Cagliari metropolitan administration, Olbia, Sassari and a small number of costal settlements belong to this set.

The highly populated and urbanized consolidated tissues of Sassari and Cagliari reveal values of land take which amount to 5% and 2.5%. The two contexts are examples of two different types of urban expansion, namely land sharing and land sparing (Soga et al., 2014).

Cagliari shows a density of 1,801 residents/km2, and, that being so, a compact tissue3 and a relevant concentration of green spaces within it, which makes the Sardinia's capital city a land sparing urban context, while Sassari, which shows a density of 234 residents/km2, characterized by less concentrated green spaces within the compact tissue, can be identified as a land sharing urban context (Lin & Fuller, 2013). The density of green areas within the compact urban fabrics is positively correlated to protection of biodiversity and supply of ecosystem services and, as a consequence, planning and decision-making processes should focus on land sparing-based policies (Soga et al., 2014), whose Cagliari is an important point of reference. Tab. 3 reports the comparison of the cities of Cagliari and Sassari in terms of their land-sparing and land-sharing attitudes.

CITY	GREEN SPACES (km <sup>2</sup> )	COMPACT TISSUE (km <sup>2</sup> )	GREEN AREAS IN THE COMPACT URBAN TISSUE (km <sup>2</sup> /km <sup>2</sup> ; percentage of green spaces within the compact urban tissue to the area of the compact tissue)
Sassari	0.5	15.7	2.8%
Cagliari	2.8	54.7	4.9%

Tab. 3 Analysis of green spaces within the compact urban tissue

## 3.3 RESULTS OF THE REGRESSION MODEL

The outcomes of the regression model are consistent with expectations on signs and significant in terms of p-values (always lower than 2%) as regards the estimates of the explanatory variables' coefficients (Tab. 4).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Compact urban fabric is identified within a municipal area by the "artificial surfaces" of the CORINE Land cover (European Environment Agency, 2013b).

Employeetem and the Coefficient Chandened deviation to the tistic manual

Explanatory variable	Coencient	Standard deviation	t-statistic	p-value
L_TAKE	-0.716	0.300	-2.395	0.0180
ΔL_TAKE	-4.370	1.126	-3.879	0.0002
RESIDS	-0.0003	0.0001	-2.559	0.0110
ML_AREA	0.092	0.019	4.910	0.0001

Dependent variable: C\_SEQ - Adjusted R-squared: 0.289

Tab. 4 Results of the regression model

The estimate of the land-take coefficient entails that, everything else being equal, an increase of 1% in land take implies a decrease of about 700 kg/ha in carbon capture and storage. This also implies that the total land-taking process related to Cagliari4 in 2018 reveals that about 40% of the municipal land is artificialized, which determines a loss of about three million Mg in carbon capture and storage.

Furthermore, the estimates of the regression model reveals that between 1990 and 2018 the change in land take (variable  $\Delta$ L\_TAKE) causes a negative impact on carbon capture and storage, in addition to variable L\_TAKE, which represents the level of land take. As a consequence, the results show that carbon capacity decreases not only in correlation with an increase in the land take level, but also in connection with an increase in the change rate of land take. Taking into consideration Cagliari, an increase of 6.5% in the level of land take5 is correlated to an additional decline of around 235,000 Mg in carbon capture and storage.

The estimated coefficients of the control variables RESIDS and ML\_AREA are significant and present negative and positive signs respectively, as was expected.

The concentration effect of RESIDS is a decrease of 5.6 kg/ha in carbon capture and storage related to an increase of 20 residents. This entails that, ceteris paribus, Sassari (127,533 residents) reveals an additional capacity of 399,000 Mg compared to Cagliari (154,083), as a consequence of less residents.

Lastly, carbon capacity is positively correlated to the size of the municipality (ML\_AREA), because the estimate of the correspondent coefficient is positive, and, as a consequence,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Cagliari is the capital city of Sardinia and the most populous municipal area. Data drawn from Copernicus, see Tab. 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Data drawn from Copernicus, see Tab. 1.

the impact on carbon capture and storage of an additional 1-km2 of municipal area is connected to an increase of around 90 kg/ha in carbon sequestration.

# 4 CONCLUDING REMARKS<sup>6</sup>

The outcomes of the regression model show an important and significant correlation, at the municipal level, between carbon sequestration and land take, and indicate that NDVI is a very effective proxy for carbon sequestration capacity, since it identifies the size of carbon captured and stored, and provides a way of measuring this capacity as a phenomenon independent from land take, and, in so doing, it makes it possible to estimate the regression model in stochastic terms. Moreover, since the coefficients of the variables representing the factors that were tentatively assumed as determinants of carbon sequestration are significant and the goodness of fit of the model is relatively high (adjusted R-squared is about 30%, see Tab. 4), it can be concluded that our research perspective is effective in explaining, in quantitative terms, the relationship between carbon sequestration and land take.

Our study puts in evidence a number of important implications concerning the relationship between carbon sequestration capacity and land-taking processes. First, our estimates highlight a robust negative influence of land take (level) and land-taking dynamics, that is, increase in land take through time, on carbon sequestration capacity, which is a finding consistent with Stakura et al.'s (2015) outcomes related to expansion of urban areas (sprawl). This implies that, everything else being equal, the presence, size and dynamics of land take are correlated to a decrease in carbon sequestration capacity.

Second, the reduction in capacity as a consequence of land-taking process is significant in quantitative terms. From this standpoint, our results imply that the presence and size of protected areas, which limit urban expansion and, in so doing, land-taking processes (Hazeu et al., 2009; Martínez-Fernández et al., 2015), are important factors to conserve and possibly enhance carbon sequestration capacity.

This entails that land saving and, as a consequence, conservation of carbon sequestration capacity spreads over the whole municipal land area in correlation with the presence and size of protected areas. An important type of protected areas are the Sites of the Natura 2000 Network (SN2Ns), established under the provisions of the Habitats (no. 92/43/EEC) and Birds (no. 2009/147/EC) Directives. According to the Habitats Directive, an Appropriate

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> This Section partially reproduces a discussion proposed in a previous study (Lai, Zoppi, 2017, Section "5. Discussion and Conclusions").

assessment procedure7 must be applied not only in case of plans and projects concerning land parcels located within SN2Ns, but also in case of plans and projects related to areas outside the SN2Ns' boundaries, if such plans and projects may possibly damage habitats and species within the SN2Ns.

A third important policy implication, related to the positive impact of Natura 2000-related policies on the conservation of the non-artificial status of land, is that, because the impact of Natura 2000-based environmental protection on land take is not related to other conservative planning rules, there is no need for severely restrictive planning codes, if SN2Ns are properly established. Indeed, the establishment of SN2Ns does not imply that there are land uses or developments which are forbidden in general terms. However, the mere presence of a SN2N entails that developers, public administrations, planners, and practitioners, have to show that their projects or planning proposals will not damage or generate loss of habitats and/or species, which, according to the outcomes of our analysis, significantly reduces land-taking processes.

Finally, an important implication of this study is the following. Municipal masterplans should state, as regards new development proposals, that such proposals should describe their impacts on existing land uses and demonstrate that artificialization processes are minimal, if any, as for the Appropriate assessment procedure, in case of plans and projects that may possibly generate negative impacts on habitats and species of the SN2Ns. The four points highlighted above entail important implications for planning policies, both at the local (municipal) and regional levels. A first consequence is that policies aiming at reducing land take and at preserving carbon sequestration capacity, should imply the establishment of new protected areas, or the enlargement of existing ones. Both policies need effective and continuous cooperation involving the local and regional administrations, since the complex and long-lasting time period concerning the establishment of new or enlarged protected areas needs a substantial integration of planning visions on behalf of the local and regional authorities. Cooperation is necessary since the identification of conservation objectives and the subsequent establishment of conservation measures entail that the local authorities propose these measures, possibly in the context of a management plan, and the regional administration approves them and, in some cases, brings them to the attention of national

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Paragraph 3, art. 6, of the Habitats Directive establishes that "Any plan or project not directly connected with or necessary to the management of the site but likely to have a significant effect thereon, either individually or in combination with other plans or projects, shall be subject to appropriate assessment of its implications for the site in view of the site's conservation objectives," and that "the competent national authorities shall agree to the plan or project only after having ascertained that it will not adversely affect the integrity of the site concerned and, if appropriate, after having obtained the opinion of the general public."

administrations. Cooperation and integration of the local and regional planning processes would imply an important enhancement in the quality of Sardinian public planning, which has been characterized by a lack of coordination in recent years (Zoppi & Lai, 2010). A second significant implication is that in public planning processes, especially at the municipal level, experts in nature conservation should systematically participate and cooperate with spatial planners and developers in the process of definition and approval of local plans, in order to support the identification of sites to be proposed for the establishment of protected areas and, in so doing, to define policies aimed at limiting land take and at preserving carbon sequestration capacity. At present, this expertise is not considered as a necessary component of local planning teams (Leone & Zoppi, 2016). Thirdly, attention should be paid to the possibility of proposing new protected areas in the strategic environmental assessment processes of local plans. These processes entail the inclusion of objectives related to the protection of environmental resources and to the sustainability paradigm into the definition of spatial plans, which implies the possibility of the integration of such goals into the plans, even though they were not considered in the first place (Zoppi & Lai, 2014). Moreover, since the presence and size of protected areas are effective against land take and in support of preservation of carbon sequestration capacity, conservation measures consistent with those adopted for the protected areas could be extended over areas located outside the boundaries of protected areas. From this perspective, complete and detailed maps concerning the spatial distribution of natural resources are needed. A fifth point is related to the necessity of a comprehensive coordination of conservation measures between plans of cities and towns whose municipal areas are adjacent to each other. From this point of view, a fundamental role should be played by the planning office of the regional administration, which coordinates local plans under the provisions of the Sardinian rules concerning the approval of regional and local plans. Finally, as widely recognized in the literature, conservation measures may prevent the implementation of traditional land uses related to urbanization, agriculture and pastures, and, by doing so, they may possibly generate conflicts between local communities and municipal authorities (Kovács et al., 2015; Leone & Zoppi, 2016). The issues of information, participation and consensus-building should not be undervalued in the definition and implementation of local plans that entail conservation measures and policies against land take and in support of carbon sequestration capacity, and inclusive participatory processes should be carefully designed in detail long before plans are discussed and approved. Our methodology and results are based on a regression model that assesses the relation between carbon capture and storage capacity, defined on the basis of the NDVI spatial taxonomy, and land-taking processes. The model considers the municipalities of Sardinia as spatial units. From this perspective, it has to be

put in evidence that it would be interesting to detect what would happen if spatial units, different from the Sardinian municipalities and related to more detailed spatial taxonomies, were considered, especially with reference to the most relevant conurbations, such as Cagliari and Sassari. The comparative assessment of the relationship between carbon sequestration and land take related to different areas identified within the fabric of the main Sardinian conurbations would help to improve the goodness of fit of the estimated model, its explanatory power, and the quality of its implications in terms of the definition and implementation of policies to preserve and enhance carbon sequestration capacity and to limit or prevent land-taking processes.

# NOTES

Maddalena Floris gratefully acknowledges Sardinia Regional Government for the financial support of her PhD scholarship. (P.O.R. Sardegna F.S.E. Operational Program of the Autonomous Region of Sardinia, European Social Fund 2014-2020 - Axis III Education and training, Thematic goal 10, Priority of investment 10ii.).

Maddalena Floris and Corrado Zoppi have made substantial contributions to the study's conception, background and design remarks (Section 1), and to discussion and concluding remarks (Section 4). Subsections 2.1, 3.1 and 3.2 are by Maddalena Floris. The introductory paragraph of Section 2, and Subsection 3.3 are by Corrado Zoppi.

## REFERENCES

European Commission (2012). *Guidelines on Best Practice to Limit, Mitigate or Compensate Soil Sealing*. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union. ISBN: 9789279262104.

European Environment Agency (2013a). Land take. http://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/indicators/land-take-2/.

European Environment Agency (2013b). CORINE Land Cover. http://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/COR0-landcover.

Hazeu, G.W., Mücher, C.A., Swetnam, R., Gerard, F., Luque, S., Pino, J, & Halada, L. (2009). Historic land cover changes at Natura 2000 sites and their associated landspaces across Europe. In: D. Maktav (Ed), *Remote Sensing for a Changing Europe - Proceedings of the 28<sup>th</sup> Symposium of the European Association of Remote Sensing Laboratories, Istanbul, Turkey, 2-5 June 2008* (pp. 226-231). Amsterdam, The Netherlands: IOS Press. ISBN: 9781586039868.

Jobbagy, E.G., Jackson, R.B. (2000). The vertical distribution of soil organic carbon and its relation to climate and vegetation. *Ecological Applications, 10*, 423-436. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1890/1051-0761(2000)010[0423:TVDOSO]2.0.CO;2

Kovács, E., Kelemen, K., Kalóczkai, A., Margóczi, K., Pataki, G., Gébert, J., Málovics, G., Balázs, B., Roboz, A., Krasznai Kovács, E. & Mihók B. 2015. Understanding the links between ecosystem service trade-offs and conflicts in protected areas. *Ecosystem Services, 12*, 117–127. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoser.2014.09.012

Lai, S. & Zoppi, C. (2017). The influence of Natura 2000 Sites on land-taking processes at the regional level: An empirical analysis concerning Sardinia (Italy) *Sustainability, 9 (259)*, 26 pp. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/su9020259

Lal, R. (2008). Carbon sequestration. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B, 363*, 815–830. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2007.2185

Lee, J.H., Ko, Y., McPherson, E.G. (2016). The feasibility of remotely sensed data to estimate urban tree dimensions and biomass. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening, 16*, 208-220. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2016.02.010

Leone, F. & Zoppi, C. (2016). Conservation measures and loss of ecosystem services: A study concerning the Sardinian Natura 2000 Network. *Sustainability, 8 (1061)*, 15 pp. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/su8101061

Lin, B.B. & Fuller, R.A. (2013). FORUM: Sharing or sparing? How should we grow the world's cities? *Journal of Applied Ecology, 50*, 1161–1168. https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12118

MacCallum, S.H. (2003). The enterprise of community: Market, competition, land and environment. *Journal of Libertarian Studies, 17*, 1-15.

Martínez-Fernández, J.M., Ruiz-Benito, P. & Zavala, M.A. (2015). Recent land cover changes in Spain across biogeographical regions and protection levels: Implications for conservation policies. *Land Use Policy*, *44*, 62–75. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2014.11.021

Millennium Ecosystem Assessment (2003). Ecosystems and Human Well-being: A Framework for Assessment. Washington, DC: Island Press. ISBN: 1559634030.

Nelson, E., Polasky, S., Lewis, D.J., Plantinga, A.J., Lonsdorf, E., White, D., Bael, D. & Lawler, J.J. (2008). Efficiency of incentives to jointly increase carbon sequestration and species conservation on a landscape. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 105*, 9471-9476. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0706178105

Raciti, S.M., Hutyra, L.R. & Newell, J.D. (2014). Mapping carbon storage in urban trees with multi-source remote sensing data: Relationships between biomass, land use, and demographics in Boston neighbor-hoods. *Science of the Total Environment, 500-501*, 72-83. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.08.070

Rao, P., Hutyra, L.R., Raciti, S.M. & Finzi, A.C. (2013). Field and remotely sensed measures of soil and vegetation carbon and nitrogen across an urbanization gradient in the Boston metropolitan area. *Urban Ecosystems, 16*, 593-616. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11252-013-0291-6

Sklenicka, P., Molnarova, K., Pixova, K.C. & Salek, M.E. (2013). Factors affecting farmlands in the Czech Republic. *Land Use Policy*, *30*, 130-136. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2012.03.005

Soga, M., Yamaura Y., Koike, S. &. Gaston, K.J. (2014). Land sharing vs. land sparing: does the compact city reconcile urban development and biodiversity conservation? *Journal of Applied Ecology*, *51*, 1378–1386. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12280

Stachura, J., Chuman, T. & Sefrna, L. (2015). Development of soil consumption driven by urbanization and pattern of built-up areas in Prague periphery since the 19<sup>th</sup> century. *Soil and Water Resources, 10*, 252-261. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.17221/204/2014-SWR

Sun, Y., Xie, S. & Zhao, S. (2019). Valuing urban green spaces in mitigating climate change: A city-wide estimate of aboveground carbon stored in urban green spaces of China's Capital. *Global Change Biology, 00,* 1-16. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14566

Zoppi, C. & Lai, S. (2010). Assessment of the Regional Landscape Plan of Sardinia (Italy): A participatory-action-research case study type. *Land Use Policy, 27*, 690–705. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2009.09.004

Zoppi, C. & Lai, S. (2014). Land-taking processes: An interpretive study concerning an Italian region. Land Use Policy, 36, 369–380. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2013.09.011

Zoppi, C. & Lai, S. (2015). Determinants of land take at the regional scale: A study concerning Sardinia (Italy). *Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 55*, 1–10. doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2015.06.002

### AUTHOR'S PROFILE

**Maddalena Floris** graduated in Architecture at the University of Cagliari, Italy (2013). She is presently a graduate student in the doctoral program in Civil Engineering and Architecture at the University of Cagliari. Her research areas are sustainable urban and regional planning, and environmental policy-making. Her research studies focus on the relationships between ecosystem services and spatial planning, and, in particular, on the issue of carbon sequestration enhancement.

**Corrado Zoppi**, Civil engineer, is Doctor of Philosophy in Economics (USA, 1997), Doctor of Research in Territorial Planning (Italy, 1992), and MSc in Economic Policy and Planning (USA, 1990). He is a Professor at the University of Cagliari (Sector ICAR/20 – Spatial planning). He is presently teaching at the Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering and Architecture of the University of Cagliari in the Undergraduate and Graduate Programs in Environmental and Territorial Engineering and in Sustainable Tourism Management and Monitoring (Regional and Urban Planning, Strategic Planning and Environmental planning).