1 2

Air pollution, lung function and COPD: results from the population-based UK Biobank study

3

Dany Doiron^{1,2,3}, Kees de Hoogh^{2,3}, Nicole Probst-Hensch^{2,3}, Isabel Fortier¹, Yutong
 Cai^{4,5}, Sara De Matteis⁶, Anna L Hansell^{4,7}

- 6
- ⁷ ¹Research Institute of the McGill University Health Centre, Montreal, Quebec, Canada
- 8 ²Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, Basel, Switzerland
- 9 ³University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland
- ⁴Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Imperial
- 11 College London, London, UK
- ⁵Department of Analytical, Environmental and Forensic Sciences, School of Population
- 13 Health and Environmental Sciences, King's College London, London, UK
- ⁶National Heart & Lung Institute, Imperial College London, London, UK
- ¹⁵ ⁷Centre for Environmental Health and Sustainability, University of Leicester, Leicester,
- 16 UK
- 17
- 18 **Correspondence**: Dany Doiron, Research Institute of the McGill University Health
- 19 Centre, 2155 rue Guy, office 458, Montreal, Canada, H3H 2R9. Telephone: 1-514-934-
- 20 1934 (ex. 71688). Email: dany.doiron@swisstph.ch
- 21
- 22
- 23 Take home message: In one of the largest analyses to date, ambient air pollution
- 24 exposure was associated with lower lung function and increased Chronic Obstructive
- 25 Pulmonary Disease (COPD) prevalence, with stronger associations seen in those with
- lower incomes.
- 27

28 Abstract (250 words)

Ambient air pollution increases the risk of respiratory mortality but evidence for impacts on lung function and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is less well established. The aim was to evaluate whether ambient air pollution is associated with lung function and COPD, and explore potential vulnerability factors.

33 We used UK Biobank data on 303,887 individuals aged 40-69 years, with complete 34 covariate data and valid lung function measures. Cross-sectional analyses examined 35 associations of Land Use Regression-based estimates of particulate matter (PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀ 36 and PM_{coarse}) and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) concentrations with forced expiratory volume in 37 1 second (FEV₁), forced vital capacity (FVC), the FEV₁/FVC ratio, and COPD (FEV₁/FVC < lower limit of normal). Effect modification was investigated for sex, age, obesity, 38 39 smoking status, household income, asthma status, and occupations previously linked to 40 COPD.

41 Higher exposures to each pollutant were significantly associated with lower lung function. 42 A 5 µg/m³ increase in PM_{2.5} concentration was associated with lower FEV₁ (-83.13 mL 43 [95%CI: -92.50, -73.75]) and FVC (-62.62 mL [95%CI: -73.91, -51.32]). COPD prevalence 44 was associated with higher concentrations of PM_{2.5} (OR 1.52 [95%CI: 1.42, 1.62], per 5 45 µg/m³), PM₁₀ (OR 1.08 [95%CI: 1.00, 1.16], per 5 µg/m³), and NO₂ (OR 1.12 [95%CI: 1.10, 1.14], per 10 µg/m³), but not with PM_{coarse}. Stronger lung function associations were 46 47 seen for males, individuals from lower income households, and 'at-risk' occupations, and 48 higher COPD associations for obese, lower income, and non-asthmatic participants. 49 Ambient air pollution was associated with lower lung function and increased COPD

50 prevalence in this large study.

51 Introduction

52 Ambient air pollution increases the risk of respiratory mortality but evidence for impacts 53 on lung function and obstructive lung disease is less well established. Recent studies and 54 reviews have reported suggestive evidence linking outdoor air pollution and lung function 55 and COPD[1-4]. Recently, the European Study of Cohorts for Air Pollution Effects 56 (ESCAPE) project showed that higher ambient NO₂ and PM₁₀ exposure as well as higher 57 traffic load on roads near residences were associated with impaired lung function in 58 adults, using a meta-analysis across five European cohorts[5]. A separate meta-analysis 59 of four of these same cohorts found positive but non-significant associations between 60 chronic exposure to ambient air pollution and COPD[6]. Other large studies have not 61 shown consistent evidence of long-term air pollution exposure on adult-onset COPD[7, 62 8]. Inconclusive findings have been in part attributable to lack of statistical power to detect small effects. Sample size limitations have also curtailed exploration of associations 63 64 among population subgroups.

The objectives of this cross-sectional study were to examine whether air pollution was associated with lung function and COPD using a very large UK study. Secondly, potential vulnerability factors of the relationships between air pollution and lung function and COPD were explored.

69

70 Methods

71 Study Participants

We used baseline questionnaire, anthropometric measures and spirometry data from the
UK Biobank collected in 2006-2010. UK Biobank is a national cohort study of half a million

participants aged 40-69 years, largely in urban areas of England, Wales and Scotland recruited from the United Kingdom's National Health Services register[9]. Full study sampling methods are described elsewhere[9, 10]. A research protocol for our study obtained all necessary approvals from the UK Biobank's review committees.

78

79 Lung Function and COPD

80 Trained healthcare technicians and nurses in UK Biobank assessment centers performed 81 pre-bronchodilation lung function tests using the Vitalograph Pneumotrac 6800 82 spirometer. Contra-indications were: chest infection in the last month, history of detached 83 retina, heart attack or surgery to eyes, chest or abdomen in last 3 months, history of a 84 collapsed lung, pregnancy (1st or 3rd trimester), or currently on medication for 85 tuberculosis. Two blows were recorded for each participant and a third blow was 86 administered if the differences between both forced vital capacity (FVC) and forced 87 expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁) of the first two blows were more than 5%. Acceptability of 88 spirometry data was assessed by quality appraisal of a sample of maneuvers, as 89 previously described[11]. The highest values for both FVC and FEV₁ from acceptable 90 blows were used in analyses. Participants reporting having smoked or used an inhaler 91 within an hour prior to spirometry test were excluded.

To adjust for normative ageing effects as well as variations according to sex, height and ethnicity, we defined COPD outcomes using the Global Lung Function Initiative (GLI) 2012 reference values for lower limit of normal (LLN)[12], which were computed using the GLI R macro[13]. Individuals with a FEV₁/FVC ratio below the LLN were classified as having COPD[14].

97

98 Air pollution estimates

99 Land use regression (LUR)-based estimates of nitrogen dioxide (NO_2), particulate matter 100 with aerodynamic diameter <10 μ m (PM₁₀), fine particles with diameter <2.5 μ m (PM_{2.5}), 101 and coarse particles with diameter between 2.5 µm and 10 µm (PM_{coarse}) for 2010 were 102 generated as part of the European Study of Cohorts and Air Pollution Effects 103 (ESCAPE)[15, 16] and linked to geocoded residential addresses of UK Biobank 104 participants. Predictor variables used in final pollutant-specific LUR models and model 105 R²s are presented in Table S1. Leave-one-out cross-validation, where each site is left out 106 sequentially whilst the included variables of the models are left unchanged, showed good 107 model performance for PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀ and NO₂ (cross-validation R^2 =77%, 88%, and 87%, 108 respectively) and a moderate performance for PM_{coarse} (cross-validation R^2 =57%). 109 Evaluation of ESCAPE LUR estimates was conducted by comparing model predictions 110 to the UK's Automatic Urban and Rural Network (AURN) monitoring data[17]. The LUR 111 NO₂ model predicted measured concentrations reasonably well (R^2 =0.67), while the LUR 112 PM₁₀ model predicted concentrations moderately well in central and southern areas of the UK (R^2 =0.53), but R² values were lower than 0.5 in northern England or Scotland so 113 114 these areas were dropped from PM analyses[18].

- 115
- 116 **Confounder and Effect Modifier Variables**

Socio-demographic and behavioral confounders and potential effect modifiers were identified *a priori* through literature search. Age was derived from birth date and date of baseline assessment. Body mass index (BMI) was constructed from measured height and 120 weight. The UK Biobank five-level before-tax household income variable was 121 dichotomized to "less than" or "equal to or above" £ 31,000 category, being closest to the UK median gross household income in 2009/10 (£ 27,789)[19]. Educational attainment 122 123 was defined as "lower vocational qualification or less" vs "higher vocational qualification 124 or more". The smoking status variable classified participants as never, previous or current 125 tobacco smokers. Passive smoking exposure was defined as exposure >= 1 hour/week 126 to other people's tobacco smoke at home. Asthma status was based on a self-reported 127 doctor diagnosis of asthma. Lastly, participants reporting current employment for one of 128 14 jobs associated with an increased risk (prevalence ratio \geq 1.30) for COPD identified 129 by De Matteis et al.[11] (Table S2) were classified as having an "at-risk" occupation.

130

131 Statistical Analyses

132 We performed descriptive analyses followed by cross-sectional linear regression 133 analyses for lung function and logistic regression analyses for COPD. The associations 134 between baseline FEV₁, FVC and FEV₁/FVC and annual average air pollutant 135 concentrations at place of residence were adjusted for age, age-squared, sex, height, 136 BMI (kg/m²), household income, education level, smoking status and passive smoking 137 exposure. Associations for COPD (FEV₁/FVC < LLN) at baseline were adjusted for age, 138 sex, BMI, household income, education level, smoking status and passive smoking 139 exposure. In order to allow direct comparison with previous ESCAPE studies on air 140 pollution and lung function impairment and COPD[5, 6, 20], all associations were reported 141 per 5 μ g/m³ increase of PM_{2.5} and PM_{coarse}, and per 10 μ g/m³ increase of PM₁₀ and NO₂. 142 To allow interguartile range (IQR) comparison of pollutant effects in the UK Biobank

143 population, results were also reported per IQR increase of air pollutant. Sensitivity 144 analyses were conducted by restricting analyses to individuals living at the same address 145 for at least 10 years, to minimize exposure misclassification. We also investigated 146 whether pro-inflammatory characteristics modified the relationship between PM_{2.5} and 147 NO₂ air pollution and lung function and COPD. Stratified analyses were conducted for sex 148 (male vs. female), age (<65 vs. ≥65 years), obesity (non-obese vs. obese), smoking 149 status (never vs. current or past smoker), household income (<£ 31,000 vs. £ 31,000 and 150 above), asthma status (never vs. ever diagnosed), and occupational status ("at-risk" vs. 151 not "at-risk" occupation, see Table S2). Lastly, we calculated attributable fraction of COPD 152 prevalence due to PM_{2.5} exposure above World Health Organization (WHO) air quality guideline levels (>10 µg/m³), current/past smoking, and passive smoking exposure at 153 154 home.

All statistical analyses were limited to participants with complete exposure and model covariate data and were performed in the R Statistical Software, version 3.4.4[21].

157

158 **Exclusions and missing data**

Study population, exclusions, and missing data are outlined in Figure 1. Of the 502,655 UK Biobank participants, 36 had withdrawn from the cohort prior to beginning analyses. 48,818 participants had not completed spirometry tests, and an additional 67,823 participants were excluded due to invalid spirometry measures (n=59,850) or having smoked or used an inhaler within an hour of lung function test (n=7,973), resulting in 385,978 participants with valid FEV₁ and FVC measures. A total of 82,091 participants had missing data for at least one covariate in fully adjusted models, leaving 303,887

participants with complete covariate data and valid lung function measures. The COPD
outcome variable was available for 303,183 participants, as 704 individuals had no data
for the ethnicity variable used to calculate the LLN threshold. After excluding participants
with missing air pollution metrics, our final samples for lung function analyses were
299,537 (NO₂ population) and 278,228 (PM population). COPD analyses included
298,848 and 277,567 participants for NO₂ and PM populations, respectively.

172

173 **Results**

174 Characteristics for participants with complete data in fully adjusted lung function models 175 and for excluded participants due to incomplete data are presented in Table 1. The mean 176 age of participants with complete data was 56 years and about 53% of participants were 177 females. The majority of participants were overweight (43%) or obese (24%), had higher 178 education qualifications (48%), and came from households earning over £ 31,000 179 annually (55%). Three out of five participants were lifetime non-smokers, only 3% were 180 current smokers, and 5% reported exposure to tobacco smoke at home. Approximately 181 11% of study subjects had been diagnosed with asthma and 2% were currently employed 182 in an occupation associated with an increased COPD risk. Lastly, LLN-defined COPD 183 prevalence was 7% in our final sample. Significant differences between individuals with 184 complete data and those with incomplete data (n = 203,082) were found for all variables, 185 except asthma status. Notably, the incomplete data subset had a considerably lower 186 percentage of individuals with higher educational gualifications (38% vs. 48%) and from 187 higher income households (42% vs. 55%), and higher proportions of current smokers 188 (22% vs. 3%) and individuals in occupations "at-risk" for COPD (4% vs. 2%).

Table 2 shows the distribution of residential ambient air pollution concentrations. Mean annual estimates of PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, PM_{coarse} and NO₂ were 9.94 μ g/m³ (standard deviation [SD]: 1.04), 16.18 μ g/m³ (SD: 1.90), 6.41 μ g/m³ (SD: 0.90), and 26.31 μ g/m³ (SD: 7.49), respectively. NO₂ concentrations were highly correlated with PM_{2.5} (r=0.87), but less so with other PM metrics. PM₁₀ and PM_{coarse} were also highly correlated (r=0.81).

195

196 Higher exposure to all pollutants showed significant associations with lower lung function 197 (Table 3). In adjusted models, a 5 μ g/m³ increase in PM_{2.5} exposure was associated with 198 lower FEV1 (-83.13 mL [95% confidence interval [CI]: -92.50, -73.75]), FVC (-62.62 mL 199 [95%CI -73.91, -51.32]), and FEV1/FVC ratio (-9.68 [95%CI: -10.81, -8.56]). For each 10 200 µg/m³ increase in NO₂, lower FEV₁ (-33.85 mL [95%CI: -36.34, -31.36]), FVC (-33.47 mL 201 [95%CI: -36.47, -30.46]) and FEV₁/FVC ratio (-2.27 [95%CI: -2.57, -1.96]) were also 202 observed. Results also showed negative associations between PM₁₀ and PM_{coarse} 203 concentrations and lung function, with stronger effects on FVC than FEV1. The FEV1/FVC 204 ratio showed no association with ambient PM₁₀ exposure and a small positive association 205 with PM_{coarse} (1.34 [95%CI: 0.04, 2.63], per 10 µg/m³). In the main analyses for COPD 206 prevalence, a significant association was observed for PM_{2.5} (OR 1.52 [95%CI: 1.42, 207 1.62], per 5 µg/m³), PM₁₀ (1.08 [95%CI: 1.00, 1.16], per 10 µg/m³), and NO₂ (1.12 [95%CI: 1.10, 1.14], per 10 µg/m³), but not for PM_{coarse} (Table 4). Associations per IQR increase 208 209 in exposure are presented in Tables S3 (for lung function) and S4 (for COPD). When 210 compared to associations with smoking status, lower levels of FEV₁ observed per 5 μ g/m³ 211 increase in PM2.5 represented 65% and 29% of FEV1 loss associated with being a former

212 and current smoker, respectively (Table S5). Further, the odds of COPD per 5 µg/m3 213 increment of PM_{2.5} was equivalent to over half the odds of COPD associated with passive 214 smoking exposure at home (Table S5). Sensitivity analyses restricted to those who had 215 lived in the same place for the last 10 years did not substantially change lung function or 216 COPD associations (Tables S6 and S7). Finally, attributable fraction of COPD prevalence 217 for residential ambient PM_{2.5} exposure above WHO guidelines was almost half (5.6%) 218 that of current/past tobacco smoking (12.1%) in the cohort and over four times that of 219 passive smoking exposure at home (1.2%).

220

221 Results of PM_{2.5} and NO₂ subgroup analyses for lung function and COPD are shown in 222 Tables 5 and 6, respectively. FEV_1 stratified analyses showed stronger $PM_{2.5}$ and NO_2 223 associations among males, participants from lower income households, and individuals 224 with "at-risk" occupations. The same effect modification patterns were observed for FVC 225 stratified analyses, with never smokers also showing significantly lower FVC per PM_{2.5} 226 and NO₂ increase. Individuals from lower income households had approximately twice as 227 low FEV₁ and FVC levels compared to higher income participants and individuals with 228 "at-risk for COPD" occupations showed 3-fold lower FEV₁ and FVC levels compared to 229 individuals not in these occupations, per unit increase in PM_{2.5} or NO₂ (Table 5). Age, 230 obesity, smoking status, and household income but not "at-risk" occupations modified the 231 relationship between the FEV₁/FVC ratio and PM_{2.5} and NO₂, with stronger adverse 232 associations for older, obese, current/past smokers, and lower income individuals. In 233 COPD subgroup analyses (Table 6), PM_{2.5} and NO₂ associations were stronger among 234 obese, lower income and non-asthmatic participants. Again, household income especially

influenced the exposure-outcome relationship, with over three times stronger
associations between COPD and each pollutant among lower- compared to higherincome individuals.

238

239 Discussion

Ambient concentrations of particulate matter and NO₂ air pollution were associated with lower lung function and increased COPD prevalence in this very large UK cohort. Given the size of the study, we were able to investigate interactions, finding evidence for effect modification, with larger impacts of air pollution on (i) lung function in males, individuals from lower income households, and individuals with 'at-risk' occupations, and (ii) COPD in obese, lower income and non-asthmatic participants.

246

247 Lung function is a good indicator of respiratory morbidity and mortality, especially among 248 COPD patients[22]. Given an average FEV₁ loss of 32 to 46 mL/year after age 30 249 years[12], the associations per 5 µg/m³ exposure of PM_{2.5} found in our study are 250 approximately equivalent to an additional two years of normal loss of lung function in 251 healthy individuals if results in this cross-sectional study are confirmed in future 252 longitudinal follow-up. We found significant reductions on lung function, even at a 253 relatively low levels of ambient PM_{2.5}, thereby echoing the need for more actions to be 254 taken to control air pollution[23].

255

Comparison with Studies Using the Same Air Pollution Estimates

The current study replicated cross-sectional analyses in the European Study of Cohorts 257 258 and Air Pollution Effects (ESCAPE), the previous largest European study to date, using 259 a single cohort with >10-fold higher numbers and the same models to estimate air 260 pollutant exposures[15, 16] and similar covariate adjustment. Findings from two ESCAPE 261 meta-analyses[5, 6] and from a Dutch study using ESCAPE air pollution estimates[20] 262 are presented in Figure 2. Our large sample size resulted in much smaller confidence 263 intervals, with more statistically significant results and stronger evidence of an adverse 264 effect of air pollution (Figure 2). We found stronger (more negative) effects on lung 265 function than in the studies by Adam et al.[5] or de Jong et al.[20] for each of the four air 266 pollutants studied (PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, PM_{coarse}, NO₂). For COPD, our confidence intervals were 267 much tighter than but overlapped with those in the study conducted by Schikowski et 268 al.[6], but unlike that study, we found significant associations with both PM_{2.5} and NO₂.

269

270 The mean and range of estimated annual NO₂ concentrations in our study were similar 271 to those of studies included in the original ESCAPE meta-analyses[5, 6], whereas mean 272 PM concentrations were generally lower in our study, with the exception of the British 273 National Survey of Health and Development, which were comparable (Tables S8 and S9). 274 The range of air pollutant concentrations used in de Jong et al. (2016) was smaller than 275 in our own study (Table S10). Using similar air pollution models to those used in past 276 ESCAPE studies means that differences in lung function and COPD associations are less 277 likely to be due to differences in exposure estimates [24]. However, given that the original 278 ESCAPE meta-analyses by Adam et al.[5] and Schikowski et al.[6] back-extrapolated air 279 pollution estimates to date of lung function measurement by up to two decades for some

participating cohorts, the larger effect size seen in our study may in part relate to reduced
 air pollution exposure misclassification. Finally, the same spirometers and spirometry
 protocols were applied in UK Biobank, whereas this was not the case across original
 ESCAPE studies. This may have also contributed to more precise estimates in our study.

- 284
- 285

286 **Comparison with Studies Using Other Air Pollution Estimates**

287 Our results are consistent with the small number of studies investigating PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, and 288 NO_2 in relation to lung function, but few studies have investigated PM_{coarse} . In a study of 289 UK residents, Forbes et al. reported comparable results for FEV₁, showing a 92 ml and 290 22 ml decrease per 10 µg/m³ increase in PM₁₀ and NO₂, respectively[25]. A study of 9,651 291 healthy never-smokers in the Swiss Study of Air Pollution and Lung Disease in Adults 292 (SAPALDIA) also found negative effects of both NO₂ and PM₁₀ exposure on FEV₁ and 293 FVC[26]. An analysis of Framingham Heart Study participants by Rice et al. found 294 significant negative associations of residential PM_{2.5} exposure with both FEV₁ and FVC 295 levels, and a faster decline in lung function levels[3].

296

Our findings of associations between PM_{2.5} and airflow obstruction and COPD are consistent with a recent study of 285,000 Taiwan residents showing significant associations between ambient PM_{2.5} and reduced FEV₁/FVC ratio, and risk of COPD[4]; also with findings from the German Study on the Influence of Air pollution on Lung, Inflammation and Aging (SALIA) cohort in relation to NO₂ and FEV₁/FVC ratio, and spirometrically defined COPD[27]. A separate analysis of SALIA participants also showed

a decline in COPD with reduced NO₂ concentrations[28]. However, in contrast to our findings, no associations of NO₂ or PM_{2.5} exposure with FEV₁/FVC were reported by Forbes *et al.*[25] or Rice *et al.*[3].

306

307 Effect Modifiers of Air Pollution

We observed considerably stronger associations for lung function and COPD among individuals from lower income households. The greater vulnerability of lower-income individuals to the respiratory health effects of air pollution exposure is in line with previous studies[18, 29, 30], and is likely due to numerous factors, including more childhood respiratory infections, poorer housing conditions and indoor air quality, poor nutrition and occupational exposures[31].

314

Our study found occupational status in a job judged at risk of COPD to be an important effect modifier of associations between air pollution exposure and lung function, but not its associations with COPD. The latter may be due to the 'healthy worker' effect whereby those with COPD are less likely to be employed in an 'at risk' job – we did not have information on past occupation. Few studies are available for comparison, but the Harvard Six Cities Study found higher relative risks of death per unit of PM_{2.5} among individuals reporting workplace exposure to dust or fumes[32].

322

We observed stronger PM_{2.5} and NO₂ associations with FEV₁ and FVC among males and stronger associations between PM_{2.5} exposure and COPD for females. Equivocal evidence has been found regarding effect modification of sex in associations between air

pollution exposure and lung function and COPD in adults[33]. In studies reporting stronger effects in men, work-related exposures leading to greater predisposition to airway disease, and more time spent outdoors potentially resulting in higher exposures for a given concentration have been suggested as potential sources of differential effects[34, 35]. Hypotheses for a larger impact of air pollution on lung health among women include more time spent at home leading to better accuracy of residential air pollution exposure assignment, as well as biological factors such as greater airway reactivity[36, 37].

333

We also found significant effect modification by obesity, with higher air pollution associations with COPD risk and reduced lung function for obese individuals, which is consistent with other studies using ESCAPE air pollution estimates[5, 20]. Mechanistic studies have shown greater than additive effects of excess body fat and air pollutant exposure on systemic inflammation and oxidative stress[38, 39], suggesting an enhanced response to inflammatory stimuli[39], resulting in airway damage and inflammation in obese individuals.

341

342 Stronger negative effects of air pollution on respiratory disease among never smokers 343 have been previously reported[6, 8, 40], which our analyses also found. As smoking might 344 already reduce pulmonary function through inflammatory pathways, any additional impact 345 of air pollution on respiratory abnormalities could be smaller or harder to detect in this 346 subgroup.

347

We also found that asthma status modified the associations between PM_{2.5} and NO₂ and COPD prevalence, with significantly stronger associations in non-asthmatics. This may be related to treatment in asthmatics, modifying adverse impacts of air pollution or alternatively, avoidance in that asthmatics aware of impact of air pollution on symptoms may choose to live in less polluted areas.

353

354 Strengths and Limitations

The large sample size of our study provided good statistical power to assess effects of air pollution, even in relatively small subgroups such as individuals in occupations with increased COPD risk. An additional strength of our study was the use of a single wellrespected cohort with a rigorously defined protocol.

359

A potential major limitation of the study is the large number of participants with missing data for covariates included in our final regression models. This did not appear to be missing at random (therefore difficult to address using imputation) but gave us a wealthier and healthier cohort. This does not invalidate findings, but may affect generalizability. Given our findings of interactions with lower socio-economic status individuals, we might expect this would underestimate associations of air pollution and lung function and COPD in a general population.

367

368 Another limitation is that while COPD should be classified using post-bronchodilator 369 spirometry tests, only pre-bronchodilator measures were available, similar to the

370 ESCAPE five cohort analysis[5]. The extent that air pollution affects FEV₁ and FEV₁/FVC
 371 could potentially have been mitigated if assessed post-bronchodilator.

372

373 Common to most other ambient air pollution studies, we used place of residence to 374 estimate air pollution exposure, which will result in exposure misclassification. Further, 375 annual air pollution estimates at recruitment address were modelled to a single year 376 (2010), which may differ by up to four years from when lung function was measured. We 377 made a reasonable assumption that the spatial contrast in air pollution exposures will 378 have been relatively stable in the UK over these years[41], but cannot exclude the 379 possibility of exposure misclassification. Finally, the cross-sectional relationship between 380 air pollution and lung function and COPD demonstrated in our study show associations 381 but are prone to the influence of confounders and do not allow us to examine temporal 382 patterns between air pollution exposure and respiratory outcomes. Longitudinal analyses 383 of future follow-up data in large cohorts such as UK Biobank are needed to strengthen 384 inferences regarding causal relationships between air pollution and respiratory disease, 385 particularly among vulnerable subpopulations.

386

In conclusion, this is one of the largest analyses to date to examine associations between ambient air pollution and lung function and COPD. Air pollutant concentrations were clearly associated with lower lung function and increased COPD prevalence with higher impacts in males, individuals from lower income households, those in occupations with adverse respiratory exposures and those who were obese.

392

393 Acknowledgments

- This research has been conducted using the UK Biobank Resource under Application Number '9946'. Y.C is supported by a MRC Early-Career Research Fellowship awarded through the MRC-PHE Centre for Environment and Health (grant number MR/M501669/1).
- 398

399 Author contributions

- 400 DD, KdH & AH proposed the study, all authors contributed to development of the study
- 401 design, DD conducted the statistical analyses and wrote the first draft of the paper, all
- 402 authors commented on results and contributed to the manuscript.
- 403

404 Competing Financial Interest Declaration

- 405 The authors declare they have no actual or potential competing financial interests.
- 406

407 References

4081.Götschi T, Heinrich J, Sunyer J, Künzli N. Long-Term Effects of Ambient Air Pollution on Lung409Function: A Review. *Epidemiology* 2008: 19(5): 690-701.

Schikowski T, Mills IC, Anderson HR, Cohen A, Hansell A, Kauffmann F, Krämer U, Marcon A,
Perez L, Sunyer J, Probst-Hensch N, Künzli N. Ambient air pollution: a cause of COPD? *European Respiratory Journal* 2014: 43(1): 250-263.

Rice MB, Ljungman PL, Wilker EH, Dorans KS, Gold DR, Schwartz J, Koutrakis P, Washko GR,
O'Connor GT, Mittleman MA. Long-Term Exposure to Traffic Emissions and Fine Particulate Matter and
Lung Function Decline in the Framingham Heart Study. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 2015: 191(6): 656-664.

4. Guo C, Zhang Z, Lau AKH, Lin CQ, Chuang YC, Chan J, Jiang WK, Tam T, Yeoh E-K, Chan T-C, Chang
L-Y, Lao XQ. Effect of long-term exposure to fine particulate matter on lung function decline and risk of
chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in Taiwan: a longitudinal, cohort study. *The Lancet Planetary Health* 2018: 2(3): e114-e125.

4215.Adam M, Schikowski T, Carsin AE, Cai Y, Jacquemin B, Sanchez M, Vierkötter A, Marcon A, Keidel422D, Sugiri D, Al Kanani Z, Nadif R, Siroux V, Hardy R, Kuh D, Rochat T, Bridevaux P-O, Eeftens M, Tsai M-Y,423Villani S, Phuleria HC, Birk M, Cyrys J, Cirach M, de Nazelle A, Nieuwenhuijsen MJ, Forsberg B, de Hoogh

K, Declerq C, Bono R, Piccioni P, Quass U, Heinrich J, Jarvis D, Pin I, Beelen R, Hoek G, Brunekreef B,
 Schindler C, Sunyer J, Krämer U, Kauffmann F, Hansell AL, Künzli N, Probst-Hensch N. Adult lung function

425 Schilder C, Surger J, Kraner O, Kaumann P, Hansen AL, Kunzi N, Probst-Hensen N. Adult lung function
 426 and long-term air pollution exposure. ESCAPE: a multicentre cohort study and meta-analysis. *European* 427 *Respiratory Journal* 2015: 45(1): 38-50.

428 6. Schikowski T, Adam M, Marcon A, Cai Y, Vierkötter A, Carsin AE, Jacquemin B, Al Kanani Z,

429 Beelen R, Birk M, Bridevaux P-O, Brunekeef B, Burney P, Cirach M, Cyrys J, de Hoogh K, de Marco R, de

430 Nazelle A, Declercq C, Forsberg B, Hardy R, Heinrich J, Hoek G, Jarvis D, Keidel D, Kuh D, Kuhlbusch T,

431 Migliore E, Mosler G, Nieuwenhuijsen MJ, Phuleria H, Rochat T, Schindler C, Villani S, Tsai M-Y, Zemp E,

432 Hansell A, Kauffmann F, Sunyer J, Probst-Hensch N, Krämer U, Künzli N. Association of ambient air

pollution with the prevalence and incidence of COPD. *European Respiratory Journal* 2014: 44(3): 614-626.

Atkinson RW, Carey IM, Kent AJ, van Staa TP, Anderson HR, Cook DG. Long-term exposure to
 outdoor air pollution and the incidence of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in a national English
 cohort. Occupational and Environmental Medicine 2014.

438 8. Fisher JA, Puett RC, Hart JE, Camargo CA, Varraso R, Yanosky JD, Laden F. Particulate matter
439 exposures and adult-onset asthma and COPD in the Nurses' Health Study. *European Respiratory Journal*440 2016: 48(3): 921-924.

441 9. UK Biobank. Protocol for a large-scale prospective epidemiological resource. 2007 [cited;
442 Available from: http://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/2011/11/UK-Biobank-Protocol.pdf

443 10. Allen N, Sudlow C, Downey P, Peakman T, Danesh J, Elliott P, Gallacher J, Green J, Matthews P,

444 Pell J, Sprosen T, Collins R. UK Biobank: Current status and what it means for epidemiology. *Health Policy* 445 *and Technology* 2012: 1(3): 123-126.

446 11. De Matteis S, Jarvis D, Hutchings S, Darnton A, Fishwick D, Sadhra S, Rushton L, Cullinan P.
447 Occupations associated with COPD risk in the large population-based UK Biobank cohort study.

448 *Occupational and Environmental Medicine* 2016: 73(6): 378-384.

449 12. Quanjer PH, Stanojevic S, Cole TJ, Baur X, Hall GL, Culver BH, Enright PL, Hankinson JL, Ip MSM,

450 Zheng J, Stocks J. Multi-ethnic reference values for spirometry for the 3–95-yr age range: the global lung

451 function 2012 equations. *European Respiratory Journal* 2012: 40(6): 1324-1343.

452 13. European Respiratory Society. Spirometry Equation Tools - R Macro. e-learning ressources 2017

453 [cited 2018 15 January]; Available from: <u>http://www.ers-education.org/guidelines/global-lung-function-</u>

454 <u>initiative/spirometry-tools/r-macro.aspx</u>

455 14. Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease. Global strategy for the diagnosis,

456 management, and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; 2018.

457 15. Beelen R, Hoek G, Vienneau D, Eeftens M, Dimakopoulou K, Pedeli X, Tsai M-Y, Künzli N,

458 Schikowski T, Marcon A, Eriksen KT, Raaschou-Nielsen O, Stephanou E, Patelarou E, Lanki T, Yli-Tuomi T,

459 Declercq C, Falq G, Stempfelet M, Birk M, Cyrys J, von Klot S, Nádor G, Varró MJ, Dėdelė A,

460 Gražulevičienė R, Mölter A, Lindley S, Madsen C, Cesaroni G, Ranzi A, Badaloni C, Hoffmann B,

461 Nonnemacher M, Krämer U, Kuhlbusch T, Cirach M, de Nazelle A, Nieuwenhuijsen M, Bellander T, Korek

462 M, Olsson D, Strömgren M, Dons E, Jerrett M, Fischer P, Wang M, Brunekreef B, de Hoogh K.

463 Development of NO2 and NOx land use regression models for estimating air pollution exposure in 36 464 study areas in Europe – The ESCAPE project. *Atmospheric Environment* 2013: 72(0): 10-23.

465 16. Eeftens M, Beelen R, de Hoogh K, Bellander T, Cesaroni G, Cirach M, Declercq C, Dedele A, Dons

- 466 E, de Nazelle A, Dimakopoulou K, Eriksen K, Falq G, Fischer P, Galassi C, Gražulevičienė R, Heinrich J,
- 467 Hoffmann B, Jerrett M, Keidel D, Korek M, Lanki T, Lindley S, Madsen C, Mölter A, Nádor G,
- 468 Nieuwenhuijsen M, Nonnemacher M, Pedeli X, Raaschou-Nielsen O, Patelarou E, Quass U, Ranzi A,
- 469 Schindler C, Stempfelet M, Stephanou E, Sugiri D, Tsai M-Y, Yli-Tuomi T, Varró MJ, Vienneau D, Klot Sv,
- 470 Wolf K, Brunekreef B, Hoek G. Development of Land Use Regression Models for PM2.5, PM2.5

471 Absorbance, PM10 and PMcoarse in 20 European Study Areas; Results of the ESCAPE Project.

472 Environmental Science & Technology 2012: 46(20): 11195-11205.

473 17. Gulliver J, de Hoogh K. Environmental exposure assessment: modelling air pollution
474 concentrations. *In:* Detels R, Gulliford M, Karim Q, Tan C, eds. Oxford textbook of global health. Oxford
475 University Press, Oxford, 2015.

18. Doiron D, de Hoogh K, Probst-Hensch N, Mbatchou S, Eeftens M, Cai Y, Schindler C, Fortier I,

477 Hodgson S, Gaye A, Stolk R, Hansell A. Residential Air Pollution and Associations with Wheeze and

478 Shortness of Breath in Adults: A Combined Analysis of Cross-Sectional Data from Two Large European
 479 Cohorts. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2017: 125(9): 097025.

480
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481
481

de Jong K, Vonk JM, Zijlema WL, Stolk RP, van der Plaat DA, Hoek G, Brunekreef B, Postma DS,
Boezen HM. Air pollution exposure is associated with restrictive ventilatory patterns. *European Respiratory Journal* 2016: 48(4): 1221-1224.

485 21. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. *In:* R Foundation for 486 Statistical Computing, ed., Vienna, Austria., 2018.

Young RP, Hopkins R, Eaton TE. Forced expiratory volume in one second: not just a lung function
test but a marker of premature death from all causes. *European Respiratory Journal* 2007: 30(4): 616622.

49023. The Lancet Respiratory Medicine. New strategy for clean air in the UK—is it enough? The Lancet491Respiratory Medicine 2019: 7(3): 187.

- 492 24. de Hoogh K, Korek M, Vienneau D, Keuken M, Kukkonen J, Nieuwenhuijsen MJ, Badaloni C,
- 493 Beelen R, Bolignano A, Cesaroni G, Pradas MC, Cyrys J, Douros J, Eeftens M, Forastiere F, Forsberg B,

494 Fuks K, Gehring U, Gryparis A, Gulliver J, Hansell AL, Hoffmann B, Johansson C, Jonkers S, Kangas L,

495 Katsouyanni K, Künzli N, Lanki T, Memmesheimer M, Moussiopoulos N, Modig L, Pershagen G, Probst-

496 Hensch N, Schindler C, Schikowski T, Sugiri D, Teixidó O, Tsai M-Y, Yli-Tuomi T, Brunekreef B, Hoek G,

497 Bellander T. Comparing land use regression and dispersion modelling to assess residential exposure to

498 ambient air pollution for epidemiological studies. *Environment International* 2014: 73: 382-392.

Forbes LJL, Kapetanakis V, Rudnicka AR, Cook DG, Bush T, Stedman JR, Whincup PH, Strachan
DP, Anderson HR. Chronic exposure to outdoor air pollution and lung function in adults. *Thorax* 2009:
64(8): 657-663.

50226.Ackermann-Liebrich U, Leuenberger P, Schwartz J, Schindler C, Monn C, Bolognini G, Bongard JP,503Brandli O, Domenighetti G, Elsasser S, Grize L, Karrer W, Keller R, Keller-Wossidlo H, Kunzli N, Martin

504 BW, Medici TC, Perruchoud AP, Schoni MH, Tschopp JM, Villiger B, Wuthrich B, Zellweger JP, Zemp E.

505 Lung function and long term exposure to air pollutants in Switzerland. Study on Air Pollution and Lung 506 Diseases in Adults (SAPALDIA) Team. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1997: 155(1): 122-129.

- 507 27. Schikowski T, Sugiri D, Ranft U, Gehring U, Heinrich J, Wichmann H-E, Krämer U. Long-term air 508 pollution exposure and living close to busy roads are associated with COPD in women. *Respiratory* 509 *Research* 2005: 6(1): 152.
- 510 28. Schikowski T, Ranft U, Sugiri D, Vierkötter A, Brüning T, Harth V, Krämer U. Decline in air
- 511 pollution and change in prevalence in respiratory symptoms and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease 512 in elderly women. *Respiratory Research* 2010: 11(1): 113.

513 29. Wheeler BW, Ben-Shlomo Y. Environmental equity, air quality, socioeconomic status, and 514 respiratory health: a linkage analysis of routine data from the Health Survey for England. *Journal of* 515 *epidemiology and community health* 2005: 59(11): 948-954.

516 30. Forastiere F, Stafoggia M, Tasco C, Picciotto S, Agabiti N, Cesaroni G, Perucci CA. Socioeconomic 517 status, particulate air pollution, and daily mortality: differential exposure or differential susceptibility.

518 American journal of industrial medicine 2007: 50(3): 208-216.

- 51931.Hegewald MJ, Crapo RO. Socioeconomic Status and Lung Function. Chest 2007: 132(5): 1608-5201614.
- S21 32. Krewski D, Burnett RT, Goldberg M, Hoover K, Siemiatycki J, Abrahamowicz M, Villeneuve PJ,
 White W. Reanalysis of the Harvard Six Cities Study, Part II: Sensitivity Analysis. *Inhalation Toxicology*2005: 17(7-8): 343-353.
- 524 33. Clougherty JE. A growing role for gender analysis in air pollution epidemiology. *Environmental* 525 *health perspectives* 2010: 118(2): 167-176.
- 526 34. Abbey DE, Burchette RJ, Knutsen SF, McDonnell WF, Lebowitz MD, Enright PL. Long-term
- Particulate and Other Air Pollutants and Lung Function in Nonsmokers. *American Journal of Respiratory* and Critical Care Medicine 1998: 158(1): 289-298.
- 529 35. Galizia A, Kinney PL. Long-term residence in areas of high ozone: associations with respiratory 530 health in a nationwide sample of nonsmoking young adults [dsee comments]. *Environmental health* 531 *perspectives* 1999: 107(8): 675-679.
- 532 36. Kan H, Heiss G, Rose KM, Whitsel E, Lurmann F, London SJ. Traffic exposure and lung function in 533 adults: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities study. *Thorax* 2007: 62(10): 873-879.

Jedrychowski W, Krzyzanowski M. Ventilatory lung function and chronic chest symptoms among
 the inhabitants of urban areas with various levels of acid aerosols: prospective study in Cracow.
 Environmental Health Perspectives 1989: 79: 101-107.

- 537 38. Dubowsky SD, Suh H, Schwartz J, Coull BA, Gold DR. Diabetes, Obesity, and Hypertension May
 538 Enhance Associations between Air Pollution and Markers of Systemic Inflammation. *Environmental*539 *Health Perspectives* 2006: 114(7): 992-998.
- 540 39. Zeka A, Sullivan JR, Vokonas PS, Sparrow D, Schwartz J. Inflammatory markers and particulate air
 541 pollution: characterizing the pathway to disease. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 2006: 35(5):
 542 1347-1354.
- 543 40. Cai Y, Schikowski T, Adam M, Buschka A, Carsin A-E, Jacquemin B, Marcon A, Sanchez M,
- 544 Vierkötter A, Al-Kanaani Z, Beelen R, Birk M, Brunekreef B, Cirach M, Clavel-Chapelon F, Declercq C, de
- 545 Hoogh K, de Nazelle A, Ducret-Stich RE, Valeria Ferretti V, Forsberg B, Gerbase MW, Hardy R, Heinrich J,
- 546 Hoek G, Jarvis D, Keidel D, Kuh D, Nieuwenhuijsen MJ, Ragettli MS, Ranzi A, Rochat T, Schindler C, Sugiri

- 547 D, Temam S, Tsai M-Y, Varraso R, Kauffmann F, Krämer U, Sunyer J, Künzli N, Probst-Hensch N, Hansell
- 548 AL. Cross-sectional associations between air pollution and chronic bronchitis: an ESCAPE meta-analysis 549 across five cohorts. *Thorax* 2014: 69(11): 1005-1014.
- 550 41. Department for Environment FRA. Emissions of air pollutants in the UK, 1970 to 2017; 2019 15 551 February, 2019.
- 552
- 553
- 554

Table 1: Population characteristics

Characteristic	Lung function (FEV₁ and FVC) and NO₂ population n=299 537 *	Lung function (FEV ₁ and FVC) and PM population n=278 228 [†]	Excluded from analyses due to incomplete data (for lung function and NO ₂ population) n=203 082	Difference p-Value
Sex. %				
Male	47.1 (n=140.977)	47.2 (n=131 257)	43.4 (n= 88 187)	< 001
Female	52.9 (n=158.560)	52.8 (n=146.971)	56.6 (n = 114.895)	
Age mean (SD)	56 (8 05)	56 (8 05)	57 3 (8 1)	~ 001
	30 (0.03)	30 (0:03)	57.5 (0.1)	2.001
	92.2(n-240.067)	92.1 (n-221.221)	77.6(n-157.526)	< 001
	16.8 (n - 50.470)	16.0 (n - 47.007)	22.4 (n - 45.556)	<.001
200 years	10.0(1=30470)	10.9(11=47007)	22.4 (1=45 550)	
Divil, mean (SD)	27.4 (4.00)	27.4 (4.69)	27.0 (4.97)	<.001
			NA= 3 105	
BMI, %				
Normal (<25 kg/m2)	33.3 (n=99 832)	33.3 (n=92 673)	32.7 (n=65 350)	
Overweight (25 to 29.9 kg/m2)	43.2 (n=129 271)	43.1 (n=120 024)	41.4 (n=82 872)	<.001
Obese (≥30 kg/m2)	23.5 (n=70 434)	23.6 (n=65 531)	25.9 (n=51 755)	
			NA= 3 105	
Education level, %				
A, O or CSEs level	51.3 (n=153 657)	51.9 (n=144 504)	61.7 (n= 119 076)	< 001
College, university, NVQ or Other	48.7 (n=145 880)	48.1 (n=133 724)	38.3 (n=73 864)	<.001
professional qualifications			NA= 10 142	
Household income, %				
Less than £ 31,000	44.2 (n=132 527)	44.6 (n=124 097)	57.9 (n=72 891)	004
£ 31,000 and above	55.8 (n=167 010)	55.4 (n=154 131)	42.1 (n=52 993)	<.001
			NA= 77 198	
Smoking status, %				
Never smoker	59 () (n=176 817)	58 8 (n=163 686)	48 4 (n-96 773)	
Former smoker	38.1(n-114.249)	38.3(n-106.658)	29.4 (n-58.842)	~ 001
Current smoker	2.8 (n-8.471)	2.8 (n-7.884)	23.4 (n=30.042) 22.2 (n=44.515)	<.001
Current Shoker	2.0 (1=0 47 1)	2.0 (11=7 004)	NA = 2.052	
Passive emoking expective at			NA= 2 952	
home	0.4.7 (n 202.521)	04.9 (n. 262.670)	$02 \in (n, 142, 028)$	
nome	94.7 (11 = 203.521)	94.6 (11=263 679)	93.6 (1=142 028)	<.001
None	5.3 (n= 16 016)	5.2 (n=14 549)	6.4 (n=9 781)	
Any			NA= 51 273	
Astnma, %	00.4 (007.400)			
Never had asthma	89.4 (n=267 490)	89.3 (n=248 232)	89.3 (n=179 670)	0.53
Ever had asthma	10.6 (n=31 818)	10.7 (n=29 779)	10.7 (n=21 498)	
	NA= 229	NA= 217	NA= 1 914	
Occupational status, %				
Non "at-risk" occupation	98.2 (n=206 506)	98.2 (n=192 826)	96.4 (n=112 765)	~ 001
"At-risk" occupation	1.8 (n=3 724)	1.8 (n=3 552)	3.6 (n=4 165)	<.001
	NA= 89 307	NA= 81 850	NA= 86 152	
FEV ₁ , mean (SD)	2.9 (0.78)	2.9 (0.78)	2.6 (0.77)	- 001
			NA=116 641	<.001
FVC, mean (SD)	3.8 (1.00)	3.8 (1.00)	3.5 (0.98)	. 001
	· · /	. ,	NA=116 641	<.001
FEV ₁ /FVC, mean (SD)	0.8 (0.07)	0.8 (0.07)	0.8 (0.08)	~~··
/ /	()	()	NA=116 641	<.001
COPD. %				
No.	92 7 (n=276 948)	92 6 (n=257 089)	87 9 (n=74 762)	
Yes	7.3 (n=21.900)	7 4 (n=20 478)	12.1 (n=10.267)	<.001
100	NA- 689	NA- 661	NA-118 053	
	11/ - 000			

Definition of abbreviations: FEV_1 = forced expiratory volume in 1 second, FVC = forced vital capacity, NO_2 = nitrogen dioxide, PM = particulate matter, SD = standard deviation, BMI = body mass index, COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

* Descriptive statistics shown are for participants with complete data for FEV₁ and FVC, age, sex, BMI, education level, household income, smoking status, passive smoking exposure at home, and residential NO₂ exposure.

 † Descriptive statistics shown are for participants with complete data for FEV₁ and FVC, age, sex, BMI, education level, household income, smoking status, passive smoking exposure at home, and residential PM exposure.

Rollutant N		Mean (SD),	Minimum,	Maximum,	IQR,	Pearson correlation coeffici			ients
Foliulani		µg/m³	µg/m³	µg/m³	µg/m³	PM _{2.5}	PM ₁₀	PM _{coarse}	NO ₂
PM _{2.5}	278 228	9.94 (1.04)	8.17	19.89	1.27	1	0.53	0.21	0.87
PM10	278 228	16.18 (1.90)	11.78	31.39	1.77		1	0.81	0.50
PM _{coarse}	278 228	6.41 (0.90)	5.57	12.82	0.77			1	0.19
NO ₂	299 537	26.31 (7.49)	12.93	108.49	9.70				1

Table	2.	Pollutant	descriptive	statistics a	and d	orrelation	matrix *
Ianc	∠ .	i unutarit	uescriptive	statistics a	anu u		παιπλ

 $\frac{1}{Definition of abbreviations: SD = standard deviation, IQR = interquartile range, PM_{2.5} = fine particulate matter with diameter <2.5 µm, PM_{10} = particulate matter with diameter <10 µm, PM_{coarse} = coarse particulate matter with diameter between 2.5 µm and 10 µm, NO₂ = nitrogen dioxide$

* Descriptive statics shown are for participants with complete data for FEV₁ and FVC, age, sex, BMI, education level, household income, smoking status, passive smoking exposure at home

Exposure	FEV₁ (mL)		FVC (mL)	FEV₁/FVC	
	Ν	Beta [95% CI]	Beta [95% CI]	Beta [95% CI]	
PM _{2.5} (per 5 μg/m ³)	278 228	-83.13 [-92.50, -73.75]	-62.62 [-73.91, -51.32]	-9.68 [-10.81, -8.56]	
PM ₁₀ (per 10 μg/m³)	278 228	-94.41 [-104.59, -84.22]	-122.95 [-135.22, -110.68]	-0.34 [-1.56, 0.89]	
PM _{coarse} (per 5 µg/m³)	278 228	-68.61 [-79.37, -57.85]	-96.69 [-109.65, -83.73]	1.34 [0.04, 2.63]	
NO ₂ (per 10 µg/m³)	299 537	-33.85 [-36.34, -31.36]	-33.47 [-36.47, -30.46]	-2.27 [-2.57, -1.96]	

Table 3: Associations of lung function and ambient air pollution exposure*

Definition of abbreviations: FEV₁ = forced expiratory volume in 1 second, FVC = forced vital capacity, CI = confidence interval, $PM_{2.5}$ = fine particulate matter with diameter <2.5 µm, PM_{10} = particulate matter with diameter <10 µm, PM_{coarse} = coarse particulate matter with diameter between 2.5 µm and 10 µm, NO_2 = nitrogen dioxide

* Adjusted for age (continuous), age-squared, sex, height, BMI (Kg/m²), household income (less than £ 31,000 / £ 31,000 and above), education level (lower vocational or less / higher vocational or more), smoking status (never / former / current), and passive smoking exposure at home (none / any)

Expective	No. occos / non occost	
Table 4: Associations o	f COPD and ambient air	pollution exposure*

Exposure No. cases / non-cases*		OR [95% CI]
PM _{2.5} (per 5 μg/m ³)	20 478 / 257 089	1.52 [1.42, 1.62]
PM ₁₀ (per 10 µg/m ³)	20 478 / 257 089	1.08 [1.00, 1.16]
PM _{coarse} (per 5 µg/m ³)	20 478 / 257 089	0.99 [0.91, 1.07]
NO ₂ (per 10 μg/m ³)	21 900 / 276 948	1.12 [1.10, 1.14]

 $\frac{1.12 [1.10, 1.14]}{Definition of abbreviations: OR = odds ratio, CI = confidence interval, PM_{2.5} = fine particulate matter with diameter <2.5 \mum, PM_{10} = particulate matter with diameter <10 \mum, PM_{coarse} = coarse particulate matter with diameter between 2.5 µm and 10 µm, NO₂ = nitrogen dioxide$

* Adjusted for age (continuous), sex, BMI (Kg/m²), household income (less than £ 31,000 / £ 31,000 and above), education level (lower vocational or less / higher vocational or more), smoking status (never / former / current), passive smoking exposure at home (none / any)

	1/FVC
PM _{2.5} (per 5 μg/m ³) NO ₂ (per 10 μg/m ³) PM _{2.5} (per 5 μg/m ³) NO ₂ (per 10 μg/m ³) PM _{2.5} (per 5 μg/m ³)	NO ₂ (per 10 μg/m ³)
Beta Inter. Beta Inter. Beta Inter. Beta Inter. Beta Inter.	Beta Inter.
[95% CI] p- [95% CI] p- [95% CI] p-value [95% CI] p- [95% CI] p-	[95% CI] p-
Group value value value value	value
Sex <.001 <.001 <.001 .928	.883
Mole -102.32 -41.22 -78.48 -40.85 -9.52	-2.27
[-118.16, -86.48] [-45.44, -37.00] [-97.42, -59.54] [-45.89, -35.81] [-11.25, -7.80]	[-2.73, -1.80]
Femple -68.14 -28.01 -50.47 -27.66 -9.79	-2.25
[-78.68, -57.59] [-30.82, -25.21] [-63.28, -37.67] [-31.06, -24.26] [-11.26, -8.32]	[-2.65, -1.86]
Age .574 .113 .187 .014 <.001	<.001
-83.63 -32.55 -65.06 -34.86 -8.92	-2.04
<65 years [-93.85, -73.40] [-37.27, -31.83] [-77.40, -52.71] [-38.15, -31.58] [-10.11, -7.73]	[-2.36, -1.72]
-85.32 -31.64 -54.05 -27.41 -13.73	-3.50
≥65 years [-108.62, -62.01] [-37.82, -25.47] [-81.85, -26.25] [-34.78, -20.05] [-16.91, -	[-4.35, -2.65]
10.54]	
Obesity .068 .003 .460 .082 .005	.005
New share -78.68 -31.69 -58.93 -31.65 -9.07	-2.07
[-89.31, -68.04] [-34.51, -28.87] [-71.78, -46.08] [-35.05, -28.24] [-10.36, -7.79]	[-2.41, -1.73]
-95.53 -40.36 -76.78 -40.78 -10.99	-2.61
Obese [-115.24, -75.82] [-45.68, -35.04] [-100.30, -53.27] [-47.12, -34.45] [-13.32, -8.67]	[-3.24, -1.98]
Smoking status 388 < 001 < 001 < 001 < 001	< 001
-84 49 -38 11 -76 56 -41 89 -6 91	-1 61
Never smoker [-96 74 -72 25] [-41.37 -34 85] [-91.51 - 61.60] [-45 87 - 37 91] [-8.35 -5.47]	[-2.00, -1.23]
	-3.31
Current or past [-101.61, -72.55] [-34.28, -26.56] [-66.87, -32.46] [-28.99, -19.85] [-15.59, -	[-3.792.83]
smoker [12.00]	
Household income <.001 <.001 <.001 <.001 <.001	<.001
-78.85 -35.76 -95.83 -46.92 -13.70	-3.46
Less than £ [-93.56, -64.13] [-39.79, -31.73] [-112.86, -78.79] [-51.58, -42.25] [-15.49, -	[-3.96, -2.97]
31,000 11.91]	
£31,000 and -39.12 -21.81 -31.69 -22.15 -6.38	-1.41
above [-52.30, -25.94] [-25.24, -18.37] [-46.76, -16.62] [-26.08, -18.23] [-7.82, -4.95]	[-1.78, -1.03]
Asthma status .002 .033 .094 .319 .013	.113
Never had -84.84 -33.93 -63.17 -33.21 -9.78	-2.27
asthma [-94.61, -75.08] [-36.53, -31.33] [-75.10, -51.24] [-36.38, -30.04] [-10.92, -8.64]	[-2.57, -1.96]
Ever had -70.01 -33.13 -54.57 -34.12 -9.25	-2.43
asthma [-99.76, -40.26] [-41.08, -25.17] [-89.32, -19.81] [-43.40, -24.85] [-13.30, -5.21]	[-3.51, -1.34]
Occupational status .001 <.001 .002 <.001 .431	.594
Non "at-risk" -71.88 -30.88 -57.95 -32.20 -6.94	-1.57
occupation [-83.25, -60.51] [-33.87, -27.89] [-71.67, -44.24] [-35.81, -28.59] [-8.25, -5.62]	[-1.92, -1.22]
"At-risk" -183.85 -77.71 -192.19 -91.28 -9.18	-1.81
occupation [-271.13, -96.56] [-296.36, -88.01] [-19.57, 1.20]	[-4.71, 1.09]

Table 5: Lung function subgroup analyses for PM_{2.5} and NO₂*

[-101.86, -	[-120.11, -
53.57]	62.46]

Definition of abbreviations: FEV_1 = forced expiratory volume in 1 second, FVC = forced vital capacity, CI = confidence interval, $PM_{2.5}$ = fine particulate matter with diameter <2.5 µm, PM_{10} = particulate matter with diameter <10 µm, PM_{coarse} = coarse particulate matter with diameter between 2.5 µm and 10 µm, NO_2 = nitrogen dioxide

* Adjusted for age (continuous), age-squared, sex, height, BMI (Kg/m²), household income (less than £ 31,000 / £ 31,000 and above), education level (lower vocational or less / higher vocational or more), smoking status (never / former / current), passive smoking exposure at home (none / any)

	PM _{2.5} (per 5 μg/m³)			NO₂ (per 10 μg/m³)			
Group	No. cases / non- cases	OR [95% CI]	Interaction p- value	No. cases / non- cases	OR [95% CI]	Interaction p- value	
Sex			.024			.101	
Male	10 615 / 120 233	1.40 [1.27, 1.54]		11 279 / 129 269	1.10 [1.07, 1.13]		
Female	9 863 / 136 856	1.64 [1.49, 1.81]		10 621 / 147 679	1.13 [1.10, 1.16]		
Age			.128			.260	
<65 years	16 685 / 214 004	1.49 [1.38, 1.60]		17 854 / 230 661	1.11 [1.09, 1.13]		
≥65 years	3 793 / 43 085	1.64 [1.40, 1.92]		4 046 / 46 287	1.13 [1.09, 1.18]		
Obesity			.002			.002	
Non-obese	16 508 / 195 722	1.44 [1.34, 1.56]		17 646 / 210 967	1.10 [1.08, 1.12]		
Obese	3 970 / 61 367	1.80 [1.55, 2.09]		4 254 / 65 981	1.17 [1.12, 1.22]		
Smoking status			.009			.181	
Never smoker	10 574 / 152 753	1.39 [1.26, 1.53]		11 319 / 165 120	1.10 [1.07, 1.13]		
Current or past smoker	9 904 / 104 336	1.69 [1.53, 1.85]		10 581 / 111 828	1.14 [1.11, 1.17]		
Household income			<.001			<.001	
Less than £ 31,000	10 090 / 113 656	1.85 [1.69, 2.04]		10 700 / 121 462	1.19 [1.15, 1.22]		
£ 31,000 and above	10 388 / 143 433	1.25 [1.14, 1.38]		11 200 / 155 486	1.06 [1.03, 1.09]		
Asthma status			<.001			<.001	
Never had asthma	14 484 / 233 176	1.66 [1.53, 1.79]		15 510 / 251 382	1.14 [1.12, 1.17]		
Ever had asthma	5 967 / 23 729	1.24 [1.08, 1.42]		6 362 / 25 371	1.06 [1.02, 1.10]		
Occupational status			.742			.725	
Non "at-risk" occupation	13 512 / 178 876	1.37 [1.26, 1.49]		14 354 / 191 700	1.09 [1.06, 1.11]		
"At-risk" occupation	381 / 3 161	1.46 [0.89, 2.39]		399 / 3 314	1.11 [0.97, 1.27]		

Table 6: COPD subgroup analyses for PM_{2.5} and NO₂*

Definition of abbreviations: OR = odds ratio, $PM_{2.5} = fine particulate matter with diameter <2.5 \mu m$, $PM_{10} = particulate matter with diameter <10 \mu m$, $PM_{coarse} = coarse particulate matter with diameter between 2.5 \mu m and 10 \mu m$, $NO_2 = nitrogen dioxide$

* Adjusted for age (continuous), sex, BMI (Kg/m²), household income (less than £ 31,000 / £ 31,000 and above), education level (lower vocational or less / higher vocational or more), smoking status (never / former / or current), passive smoking exposure at home (none / any)

Figure 1: Study population, exclusions and missing data

Definition of abbreviations: FEV₁ = forced expiratory volume in 1 second, FVC = forced vital capacity, COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, NO₂ = nitrogen dioxide, PM = particulate matter

Figure 2: Air pollution exposure, FEV₁ (a), FVC (b), and COPD (c): comparison with other studies using ESCAPE air pollution estimates*

Definition of abbreviations: FEV₁ = forced expiratory volume in 1 second, FVC = forced vital capacity, COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, $PM_{2.5}$ = fine particulate matter with diameter <2.5 µm, PM_{10} = particulate matter with diameter <10 µm, PM_{coarse} = coarse particulate matter with diameter between 2.5 µm and 10 µm, NO_2 = nitrogen dioxide

Adam *et al.* [3]: European Community Respiratory Health Survey (ECRHS), French Epidemiological study on Genetics and Environment of Asthma (EGEA), National Survey of Health and Development (NSHD), Study on the influence of Air pollution on Lung function, Inflammation and Aging (SALIA) and Swiss cohort Study on Air Pollution and Lung and Heart Diseases in Adults (SAPALDIA)

De Jong et al. [18]: Lifelines Cohort Study

Schikowski *et al.* [4]: European Community Respiratory Health Survey (ECRHS), National Survey of Health and Development (NSHD), Study on the influence of Air pollution on Lung function, Inflammation and Aging (SALIA) and Swiss cohort Study on Air Pollution and Lung and Heart Diseases in Adults (SAPALDIA)

^{*} Cohorts included in each study:

Supplementary Material

Air pollution, lung function and COPD: results from the population-based UK Biobank study

Authors: Dany Doiron^{1,2,3}, Kees de Hoogh^{2,3}, Nicole Probst-Hensch^{2,3}, Isabel Fortier¹, Yutong Cai^{4,5}, Sara De Matteis⁶, Anna L Hansell^{4,7}

¹Research Institute of the McGill University Health Centre, Montreal, Quebec, Canada ²Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, Basel, Switzerland ³University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland

⁴Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London, UK

⁵Department of Analytical, Environmental and Forensic Sciences, School of Population Health and Environmental Sciences, King's College London, London, UK

⁶National Heart & Lung Institute, Imperial College London, London, UK

⁷Centre for Environmental Health and Sustainability, University of Leicester, Leicester, UK

Pollutant	Predictor variables in final model [†]	Final LUR model	R ² of model	R ² cross validation
PM _{2.5}	 Product of inverse distance to the nearest road and nearest major road and traffic intensity on this road (INTMAJORINVDIST)[‡] Road length of all roads in a 500-meter buffer (ROADLENGTH_500) 	$7.19 + 1.38 \times 10^{-3} \times$ INTMAJORINVDIST + 2.65 × 10 ⁻⁴ × ROADLENGTH_500	82%	77%
PM ₁₀	 Inverse distance to the nearest road of the central road network (DISTINVMAJORC1)[§] 	11.40 + 76.99*DISTINVMAJOR		
	 Heavy-duty traffic intensity on nearest major road (HEAVYTRAFMAJOR) 	C1 + 1.35E- 3*HEAVYTRAFMAJOR + 1.30E-5*HLDRES_300	90%	88%
	 Sum of high density and low-density residential land in a 300-meter buffer (HLDRES_300) 			
PM _{coarse}	 Inverse distance and inverse squared distance to the nearest major road in local road network (DISTINVMAJOR1)[‡] Heavy-duty traffic intensity on nearest major road (HEAVYTRAFMAJOR)[‡] 	5.36 + 33.08*DISTINVMAJOR1 + 7.98 × 10 ⁻⁴ × HEAVYTRAFMAJOR	68%	57%
NO ₂	 Total traffic load of major roads in a 50-meter buffer (sum of (traffic intensity * length of all segments)) (TRAFMAJORLOAD_50)[‡] Road length of all roads in a 500-meter buffer (ROADLENGTH_500) 	8.51 + 7.30E- 6*TRAFMAJORLOAD_5 0 + 1.10E- 3*ROADLENGTH_500 + 2.00E- 7*HLDRES_5000	89%	87%
Definition of a	Sum of high density and low-density residential land in a 5000-meter buffer (HLDRES_5000) braviations: DM	2.5 um DM - porticulato m	ottor with diama	otor <10 um

Table S1: ESCAPE project Southeast England area (London/Oxford) LUR models*

 PM_{coarse} = coarse particulate matter with diameter between 2.5 µm and 10 µm, NO₂ = nitrogen dioxide * Information extracted from Eeftens *et al.* [1] and Beelen *et al.* [2]; validation data shown in this table is not specific to the study

area included in the present analysis [†]Units used: road length in meters, traffic load and intensity in veh. day⁻¹ m, number of inhabitants in numbers, surface area in m²

[‡] Major roads for local road network are road with traffic intensity > 5,000 mvh/24h [§] Major roads for central road network are classes 0, 1, and 2 (+ classes 3 and 4 based on local knowledge and decision)

Table S2: List of 14 occupations showing a moderate increased risk of COPD (prevalence ratio ≥ 1.30) *

SOC code, version 2000	Occupation
8217	Seafarers; barge, lighter and boat operatives
8122	Coal mine operatives
9132	Industrial cleaning process occupations
5313	Roofers, roof tilers and slaters
9134	Packers, bottlers, canners, fillers
5112	Horticultural trades
8111	Food, drink and tobacco process operatives
9233	Cleaners, domestics
5322	Floorers and wall tilers
8114	Chemical and related process operatives
9211	Postal workers, mail sorters, messengers, couriers
9121	Labourers in building and woodworking trades
9244	School mid-day assistants
9223	Kitchen and catering assistants
Definition of the medicity of the	Other dend One method at Other Street

Definition of abbreviations: SOC = Standard Occupational Classification

* Information extracted from: De Matteis et al. [3]

Table S3: Associations of I	ung function and	d ambient air pol	lution exposure, p	er
interquartile range (IQR)*	-			
	FEV ₁ (mL)	FVC (mL)	FEV ₁ /FVC	

Exposure		FEV₁ (mL)	FVC (mL)	FEV₁/FVC
Exposure	Ν	Beta [95% CI]	Beta [95% CI]	Beta [95% CI]
PM _{2.5}	070 000	-21.11	-15.90	-2.46
(per IQR = 1.27 µg/m ³)	210 220	[-23.50, -18.73]	[-18.77, -13.03]	[-2.75, -2.17]
PM ₁₀	070 000	-16.71	-21.76	-0.06
$(per IQR = 1.77 \mu g/m^3)$	278 228	[-18.51, -14.91]	[-23.93, -19.59]	[-0.28, 0.16]
PM _{coarse}	070 000	-10.57	-14.89	0.21
$(per IQR = 0.77 \mu g/m^3)$	278 228	[-12.22, -8.91]	[-16.89, -12.89]	[0.01, 0.40]
NO ₂	000 507	-32.83	-32.46	-2.20
(per IQR = 9.70 µg/m ³)	299 537	[-35.25, -30.41]	[-35.38, -29.55]	[-2.49, -1.91]

Definition of abbreviations: FEV_1 = forced expiratory volume in 1 second, FVC = forced vital capacity, CI = confidence interval, $PM_{2.5}$ = fine particulate matter with diameter <2.5 µm, PM_{10} = particulate matter with diameter <10 µm, PM_{coarse} = coarse particulate matter with diameter between 2.5 µm and 10 µm, NO_2 = nitrogen dioxide

* Adjusted for age (continuous), age-squared, sex, height, BMI (Kg/m²), household income (less than £ 31,000 / £ 31,000 and above), education level (lower vocational or less / higher vocational or more), smoking status (never / former / current), and passive smoking exposure at home (none / any)

Table S4: Associations of COPD and ambient air pollution exposure, per interquartile range (IQR)*

Exposure	No. cases / non-cases⁺	OR [95% CI]
PM _{2.5} (per IQR = 1.27 μg/m ³)	20 478 / 257 089	1.11 [1.09, 1.13]
PM ₁₀ (per IQR = 1.77 μg/m ³)	20 478 / 257 089	1.01 [1.00, 1.03]
PM _{coarse} (per IQR = 0.77 µg/m³)	20 478 / 257 089	1.00 [0.99, 1.01]
NO_2 (per IQR = 9.70 µg/m ³)	21 900 / 276 948	1.11 [1.09, 1.13]

Definition of abbreviations: OR = odds ratio, CI = confidence interval, $PM_{2.5}$ = fine particulate matter with diameter <2.5 µm, PM_{10} = particulate matter with diameter <10 µm, PM_{coarse} = coarse particulate matter with diameter between 2.5 µm and 10 µm, NO_2 = nitrogen dioxide

* Adjusted for age (continuous), sex, BMI (Kg/m²), household income (less than £ 31,000 / £ 31,000 and above), education level (lower vocational or less / higher vocational or more), smoking status (never / former / current), passive smoking exposure at home (none / any)

Table S5: Associations of COPD and lung function with PM_{2.5}, NO₂, smoking status and passive smoking exposure*

Exposure	FEV₁ (mL)	FVC (mL)	FEV₁/FVC	COPD
Exposure	Beta [95% CI]	Beta [95% CI]	Beta [95% CI]	OR [95% CI]
DM (par E ug/m ³)	-83.13	-62.62	-9.68	1.52
PM _{2.5} (per 5 µg/m°)	[-92.50, -73.75]	[-73.91, -51.32]	[-10.81, -8.56]	[1.42, 1.62]
NO $(nor 10 \mu g/m^3)$	-33.85	-33.47	-2.27	1.12
NO_2 (per 10 µg/m ³)	[-36.34, -31.36]	[-36.47, -30.46]	[-2.57, -1.96]	[1.10, 1.14]
	-127.13	38.05	-47.17	4.40
Former smoker	[-147.54, -106.73]	[13.46, 62.64]	[-49.62, -44.72]	[3.79, 5.11]
	-283.98	-63.84	-70.15	9.95
Current smoker	[-343.04, -224.93]	[-135.01, 7.33]	[-77.24, -63.06]	[6.80, 14.47]
Passive smoking	-294.95	-270.68	-24.97	2.81
exposure at home	[-338.68, -251.23]	[-323.37, -217.99]	[-30.22, -19.72]	[2.09, 1.62]

Definition of abbreviations: FEV₁ = forced expiratory volume in 1 second, FVC = forced vital capacity, CI = confidence interval, $PM_{2.5}$ = fine particulate matter with diameter <2.5 µm, PM_{10} = particulate matter with diameter <10 µm, PM_{coarse} = coarse particulate matter with diameter between 2.5 µm and 10 µm, NO_2 = nitrogen dioxide

* For FEV₁, FVC and FEV₁/FVC ratio: Adjusted for age (continuous), age-squared, sex, height, BMI (Kg/m²), household income (less than £ 31,000 / £ 31,000 and above), education level (lower vocational or less / higher vocational or more), smoking status (never / former / current), and passive smoking exposure at home (none / any). Former smoker, current smoker and passive smoking exposure associations are adjusted for PM_{2.5} exposure.

For COPD: Adjusted for age (continuous), sex, BMI (Kg/m²), household income (less than £ 31,000 / £ 31,000 and above), education level (lower vocational or less / higher vocational or more), smoking status (never / former / current), and passive smoking

exposure at home (none / any). Former smoker, current smoker and passive smoking exposure associations are adjusted for PM_{2.5} exposure.

Table S6: Lung function sensitivity analyses	s restricted to individuals having lived at the
same address for 10 years or more*	

Exposure	N	FEV₁ (mL)	FVC (mL)	FEV1/FVC
Exposure		Beta [95% CI]	Beta [95% CI]	Beta [95% CI]
PM _{2.5} (per 5 µg/m ³)	100.057	-75.20	-49.15	-10.86
	188 257	[-86.76, -63.64]	[-63.09, -35.21]	[-12.27, -9.44]
PM ₁₀ (per 10 µg/m ³)	400.057	-88.69	-112.60	-1.24
	188 257	[-101.1576.23]	[-127.6297.58]	[-2.76, 0.28]
PM _{coarea} (per 5 µg/m ³)		-63.13	-84.88	0.27
course (F = F 5)	188 257	[-76.1550.11]	[-100.58, -69,19]	[-1.32, 1.86]
NO ₂ (per 10 $\mu g/m^3$)		-31.75	-29.89	-2.58
	203 041	[-34.83, -28.67]	[-33.60, -26.17]	[-2.96, -2.21]

Definition of abbreviations: FEV_1 = forced expiratory volume in 1 second, FVC = forced vital capacity, CI = confidence interval, $PM_{2.5}$ = fine particulate matter with diameter <2.5 µm, PM_{10} = particulate matter with diameter <10 µm, PM_{coarse} = coarse particulate matter with diameter between 2.5 µm and 10 µm, NO_2 = nitrogen dioxide

*Adjusted for age (continuous), age-squared, sex, height, BMI (Kg/m²), household income (less than £ 31,000 / £ 31,000 and above), education level (lower vocational or less / higher vocational or more), smoking status (never, former, or current), passive smoking exposure at home (none / any)

Table S7: COP	'D sensitivity analyses	restricted to	individuals	having lived	at the same
address for 10	years or more*				

<u> </u>		
Exposure	No. cases / non-cases	OR [95% CI]
PM _{2.5} (per 5 μg/m ³)	13 564 / 174 236	1.56 [1.43, 1.70]
PM ₁₀ (per 10 µg/m ³)	13 564 / 174 236	1.13 [1.03, 1.24]
PM _{coarse} (per 5 µg/m ³)	13 564 / 174 236	1.04 [0.94, 1.15]
NO_2 (per 10 µg/m ³)	14 535 / 188 026	1.13 [1.10, 1.15]

Definition of abbreviations: OR = odds ratio, CI = confidence interval, $PM_{2.5} = fine particulate matter with diameter <2.5 µm, <math>PM_{10} = particulate matter with diameter <10 µm, <math>PM_{coarse} = coarse particulate matter with diameter between 2.5 µm and 10 µm, <math>NO_2 = nitrogen dioxide$

* Adjusted for age (continuous), sex, BMI (Kg/m²), household income (less than £ 31,000 / £ 31,000 and above), education level (lower vocational or less / higher vocational or more), smoking status (never / former / or current), passive smoking exposure at home (none / any)

Study	Exposure	Mean	Minimum	Maximum
European Community	PM _{2.5}	15.9	8.2	34.4
Respiratory Health Survey	PM ₁₀	25.8	11.9	55.2
	PM _{coarse}	10.3	3.9	25.4
	NO ₂	28.9	0	115.5
French Epidemiological	PM _{2.5}	15.3	10	22.3
study on Genetics and Environment of	PM ₁₀	25.1	18.6	36.2
Asthma (EGEA)	PM _{coarse}	9.4	3.9	17.1
	NO ₂	27.4	9.3	98.5
National Survey of Health	PM _{2.5}	9.5	8.2	13.5
and Development (NSHD)	PM ₁₀	15.7	11.8	26.2
	PM _{coarse}	6.4	5.6	9.7
	NO ₂	22.4	12.9	62
Study on the influence of Air pollution on Lung	PM _{2.5}	17.8	15.9	21.9
	PM ₁₀	26.7	23.9	33.5
Aging (SALIA)	PM _{coarse}	9.4	2.8	14.8
	NO ₂	27.6	19.7	70.3
Swiss Cohort Study on Air Pollution and Lung and	PM _{2.5}	16.8	12.4	23.5
	PM ₁₀	23.2	17.6	31.7
Adults (SAPALDIA)	PM _{coarse}	6.5	4.3	10.4
	NO ₂	27	6.9	56.3

Table S8: Exposure estimate descriptive statistics for the five studies included in Adam

 et al. (2015) [4] meta-analysis

Table S9: Exposure estimate	descriptive	statistics	for fou	ur studies	in Schikov	wski <i>et a</i>	<i>.</i> 1
(2015) [5] meta-analysis	-						

Study	Exposure	Mean ug/m3	Minimum ug/m3	Maximum ug/m3
European Community	PM _{2.5}	16.13	8.17	34.37
Respiratory Health Survey (FCRHS)	PM ₁₀	25.88	11.91	55.17
()	PM _{coarse}	10.2	3.89	25.37
	NO ₂	28.95	0	115.52
National Survey of Health	PM _{2.5}	9.52	8.17	13.49
and Development (NSHD)	PM ₁₀	15.73	11.79	26.2
	PM _{coarse}	6.37	5.57	9.71
	NO ₂	22.39	12.93	61.99
Study on the influence of Air pollution on Lung function, Inflammation and Aging (SALIA)	PM _{2.5}	17.76	15.9	21.9
	PM ₁₀	26.72	23.88	33.47
	PM _{coarse}	9.37	2.85	14.79
	NO ₂	27.62	19.66	70.34
Swiss Cohort Study on Air Pollution and Lung and Heart Diseases in	PM _{2.5}	16.78	12.36	23.48
	PM ₁₀	23.16	17.6	31.69
Adults (SAPALDIA)	PM _{coarse}	6.49	4.27	10.39
	NO ₂	26.17	6.87	56.3

Table 310. Exposure estimate descriptive statistics in de Jong et al. (2010) [0]						
Study	Exposure	Median	Minimum	Maximum		
		ug/m3	ug/m3	ug/m3		
LifeLines Cohort	PM _{2.5}	15.4	14.8	20.2		
	PM ₁₀	24	23.7	31.7		
	NO ₂	15.7	8.4	50.8		

Table S10: Exposure estimate descriptive statistics in de Jong et al. (2016) [6]

References

1. Eeftens M, Beelen R, de Hoogh K, Bellander T, Cesaroni G, Cirach M, Declercq C, Dèdelė A, Dons E, de Nazelle A, Dimakopoulou K, Eriksen K, Falq G, Fischer P, Galassi C, Gražulevičienė R, Heinrich J, Hoffmann B, Jerrett M, Keidel D, Korek M, Lanki T, Lindley S, Madsen C, Mölter A, Nádor G, Nieuwenhuijsen M, Nonnemacher M, Pedeli X, Raaschou-Nielsen O, Patelarou E, Quass U, Ranzi A, Schindler C, Stempfelet M, Stephanou E, Sugiri D, Tsai M-Y, Yli-Tuomi T, Varró MJ, Vienneau D, Klot Sv, Wolf K, Brunekreef B, Hoek G. Development of Land Use Regression Models for PM2.5, PM2.5 Absorbance, PM10 and PMcoarse in 20 European Study Areas; Results of the ESCAPE Project. *Environmental Science & Technology* 2012: 46(20): 11195-11205.

2. Beelen R, Hoek G, Vienneau D, Eeftens M, Dimakopoulou K, Pedeli X, Tsai M-Y, Künzli N, Schikowski T, Marcon A, Eriksen KT, Raaschou-Nielsen O, Stephanou E, Patelarou E, Lanki T, Yli-Tuomi T, Declercq C, Falq G, Stempfelet M, Birk M, Cyrys J, von Klot S, Nádor G, Varró MJ, Dedele A, Gražulevičiene R, Mölter A, Lindley S, Madsen C, Cesaroni G, Ranzi A, Badaloni C, Hoffmann B, Nonnemacher M, Krämer U, Kuhlbusch T, Cirach M, de Nazelle A, Nieuwenhuijsen M, Bellander T, Korek M, Olsson D, Strömgren M, Dons E, Jerrett M, Fischer P, Wang M, Brunekreef B, de Hoogh K. Development of NO2 and NOx land use regression models for estimating air pollution exposure in 36 study areas in Europe – The ESCAPE project. *Atmospheric Environment* 2013: 72(0): 10-23.

3. De Matteis S, Jarvis D, Hutchings S, Darnton A, Fishwick D, Sadhra S, Rushton L, Cullinan P. Occupations associated with COPD risk in the large population-based UK Biobank cohort study. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine* 2016: 73(6): 378-384.

4. Adam M, Schikowski T, Carsin AE, Cai Y, Jacquemin B, Sanchez M, Vierkötter A, Marcon A, Keidel D, Sugiri D, Al Kanani Z, Nadif R, Siroux V, Hardy R, Kuh D, Rochat T, Bridevaux P-O, Eeftens M, Tsai M-Y, Villani S, Phuleria HC, Birk M, Cyrys J, Cirach M, de Nazelle A, Nieuwenhuijsen MJ, Forsberg B, de Hoogh K, Declerq C, Bono R, Piccioni P, Quass U, Heinrich J, Jarvis D, Pin I, Beelen R, Hoek G, Brunekreef B, Schindler C, Sunyer J, Krämer U, Kauffmann F, Hansell AL, Künzli N, Probst-Hensch N. Adult lung function and long-term air pollution exposure. ESCAPE: a multicentre cohort study and meta-analysis. *European Respiratory Journal* 2015: 45(1): 38-50.

5. Schikowski T, Adam M, Marcon A, Cai Y, Vierkötter A, Carsin AE, Jacquemin B, Al Kanani Z, Beelen R, Birk M, Bridevaux P-O, Brunekeef B, Burney P, Cirach M, Cyrys J, de Hoogh K, de Marco R, de Nazelle A, Declercq C, Forsberg B, Hardy R, Heinrich J, Hoek G, Jarvis D, Keidel D, Kuh D, Kuhlbusch T, Migliore E, Mosler G, Nieuwenhuijsen MJ, Phuleria H, Rochat T, Schindler C, Villani S, Tsai M-Y, Zemp E, Hansell A, Kauffmann F, Sunyer J, Probst-Hensch N, Krämer U, Künzli N. Association of ambient air pollution with the prevalence and incidence of COPD. *European Respiratory Journal* 2014: 44(3): 614-626. 6. de Jong K, Vonk JM, Zijlema WL, Stolk RP, van der Plaat DA, Hoek G, Brunekreef B, Postma DS, Boezen HM. Air pollution exposure is associated with restrictive ventilatory patterns. *European Respiratory Journal* 2016: 48(4): 1221-1224.