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TUMOR

### 1.1 Tumor: an overview

Cancer, according to the World Health Organization (WHO), is the second leading cause of death in the world, with 10 million deaths in 2020 and an estimated 19.3 million new cases. ${ }^{1,2}$

Tumor is a disease that can affect any tissue and organ of the body, in which cells grow uncontrollably, cross the normal border to invade other parts of the body, and metastasizing: tumoral cells sever from adjacent cells and invade other tissue through extracellular matrix component and lymphatics. ${ }^{3,4}$ Metastases are the major cause of cancer mortality. ${ }^{3,5}$

The most common types of cancer that affect women are breast, colorectal, lung, cervical and thyroid cancer; the most common types of cancer that affect men are lung, prostate, colorectal, stomach and liver cancer. ${ }^{5}$

Normal cells can grow and differentiate under supervision of biochemical stimuli: the presence of growth stimuli allows cells to divide and proliferate, in absence of this type of stimuli, cells stop to grow and undergo programmed death, the apoptosis. In tumor cells these normal processes are deregulated: cells have uncontrolled growth, without external stimuli, lose their capacity to differentiate, resulting without their normal structure and functions; they also disactivate the capacity of apoptosis being immortal. ${ }^{6,7}$

Tumorigenesis describes the process of tumor formation: the transformation of normal cells in tumor cells, with a multistep process that born with a precancerous lesion and continue with a malignant tumor. This process is conditioned by interaction between genetic factors and three external agents: biological carcinogens like infection from bacteria or virus, physical carcinogens, like UV radiation, and chemical carcinogens, such us arsenic and asbestos. ${ }^{5}$

Tumorigenesis is a 4-steps process showed in Figure 1: ${ }^{8}$

## INITIATION

In the first phase alteration or mutation of a gene is observed, it can happen spontaneously or following an exposition with cancerogenic agent: in normal cells deregulation of biochemical pathways occurs, followed by cellular proliferation, differentiation and survival. ${ }^{8-10}$ These changes can be increased by mutation of other factors response of DNA repair function. ${ }^{8-10}$

## PROMOTION

Different component, like environmental, interfere to promote preneoplastic cells proliferation and their accumulation; this phase is slow, and it can be reversible. ${ }^{8-10}$

## PROGRESSION

In this stage proto-oncogene can convert themselves in oncogene, there are neoplastic transformations, with genetic and phenotypic mutations. Tumor dimensions increase, cells become invasive and promote the carcinogenesis. ${ }^{8-10}$

## METASTASIS

With metastasis tumor cells can move in other districts of the body, using bloodstream, lymph system, and they can generate themself new lymph system with angiogenesis., ${ }^{8,9}$


Figure 1 Tumorigenesis: Initiation, Promotion, Progression and Metastasis ${ }^{8}$
Cancer is also defined like an evolutionary process caused by somatic mutations in the progeny of a normal cell, that bring to uncontrolled replication and to a selective growth of mutated cells. ${ }^{11}$ Different cellular and molecular events lead to malignant transformation of cells, like evasion of tumor suppression, inhibition of cell death, creation of a particular microenvironment containing blood vessels, acquisition of invasive and metastatic potential. ${ }^{12}$

The cancer stem cells (CSCs) can drive tumor initiation and can cause relapse, in fact they are also known as tumor-initialing cells (TICs): they are the principal controller of tumor growth for proliferation, resistance to chemotherapy and metastasis (Figure 2). ${ }^{11}$ Both normal and neoplastic cell population include a subpopulation of stem cells that can selfrenew and differentiate. The normal SC usually can support a genetic alteration but, if they are not able, they can generate altered SC; which can generate, in turn, a preneoplastic cell population. ${ }^{13}$


Figure 2 Carcinogenesis, tumorigenesis, and tumor resistance ${ }^{11}$

### 1.2 Cellular pathways involved in tumors

Tumor cells present altered genetic, biochemical and histological mechanism, with respect to the normal cells; in particular they have altered energy metabolic activities, which promote the acquisition and maintenance of malignant properties. ${ }^{14,}{ }^{15}$ The cells reprogram their activities through the metabolism, to have advantages for the tumorigenesis and for survival, growth and proliferation under stressful conditions; in particular they modify bioenergetics, enhanced biosynthesis and redox balance. ${ }^{14}$

Tumor cells can reprogram their metabolism: in conditions of nutrient-replete they prefer anabolic growth, otherwise, during nutrient-limitation conditions they can use catabolism and fortify the redox homeostatic systems to support the cell survival, and to resist to tumor suppressor. ${ }^{14,16}$

The most important feature of reprogrammed metabolic pathways is the aerobic glycolysis; this form of modified cellular metabolism is also known as the Warburg effect. ${ }^{14,}$ 16

Glycolysis supplies the necessary energy to the cells, in mitochondria, and its intermediates can be used for the synthesis of amino and fatty acid: one molecule of glucose is exploited to produce adenosine triphosphate (ATP), nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide hydrogen (NADHs) and pyruvate. ${ }^{17}$ The process occurs in absence or presence of oxygen: under aerobic conditions pyruvate is converted into acetyl coenzyme A (acetylCoA ) which is oxidized to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, through tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle and oxidative phosphorylation (OxPhos); in absence of oxygen, the pyruvate is reduced to lactate, necessary for the regeneration of $N A D^{+}$, that can be used by the enzymes involved in glycolysis, in order to have an uninterrupted cycle. ${ }^{17,18}$

Healthy tissues usually prefer using oxidative phosphorylation to produce energy and use anaerobic glycolysis like a normal response to hypoxia. On the contrary, many tumor cells can exploit anaerobic glycolysis to produce lactate, regardless of the presence of oxygen: Warburg effect. ${ }^{14}$ Moreover, in cancer cells there is an increasing of glycolytic flux to respond to the rapid growth of tumor, causing condition of hypoxia in blood vessels, with an oxygen concentration in the range of $0-2 \% \mathrm{O}_{2} .{ }^{19}$ According to this, in tumor cells, anaerobic glycolysis prevails. With the Warburg effect, there is an increase of lactate secretion, that contribute to create an acid microenvironment, toxic both for the normal
cells and for the weakest cancer cells, but not for the strong aggressive cancer cells; furthermore, the extracellular environment acidosis promotes angiogenesis and increases the invasion ability of cancer cells, creating an environmental advantage for tumor progression. ${ }^{14,20,21}$

Due to Warburg effect, the respiratory chain in mitochondria is downregulated, resulting in reduction of OxPhos and oxygen consumption: during the aerobic glycolysis a great amount of reactive oxygen species (ROS) were produced, thhey supports tumor cells to avoid apoptosis and increases their proliferation. ${ }^{21}$ The high rate of glycolysis in tumor cells is suggest by the increased transcription of genes of most enzymes and transporters involved in the pathway, that match with an increased synthesis of protein. ${ }^{15}$

In tumor cells there is a deregulation of signaling pathways such as over-regulation of pathways that support functions like anabolism, catabolism and redox balance, necessary for the cell survival (Figure 3). ${ }^{14,22}$ Usually, in normal cells, growth factors stimulate and activate phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) and its pathways, protein kinase $B$ (AKT) and mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), resulting in the activation of hypoxia-inducible factor-1 (HIF-1) and sterol regulatory element-binding protein (SREBP), that induce increase of glycolytic flux and fatty acid synthesis, respectively. ${ }^{14,23}$ Tumor cells usually present mutations that interfere with the pathway of PI3K-AKT-mTOR, resulting in high levels of signaling, independent to the presence of growth factor. ${ }^{18} \mathrm{mTOR}$ is a serine/threonine kinase, that after his activation by PI3K/Akt system, induce cell growth and inhibit the catabolic reactions. ${ }^{18}$ Aerobic glycolysis of tumor cells is also fundamental for the activation of oncogenes, loss of tumor suppressor and up-regulation of the PI 3 K pathway. ${ }^{14}$


Figure 3 Signaling pathways that regulate cancer metabolism ${ }^{14}$

Hypoxia, induced by cellular changes, results necessary for tumor proliferation, metastasis, and resistance to therapy. Hypoxic and inflammatory stress, as well as metabolic and oxidative stress, can upregulate HIF-1, a transcription factor constituted by the subunits HIF-1 $\alpha$ and HIF-1 $\beta .{ }^{15,18,24}$ In normal and aerobic conditions, there is a program of HIF-1 $\alpha$ degradation when it is not necessary for the cells, otherwise, in anaerobiosis condition, the transcription factor became stable. ${ }^{15,25}$ HIF-1 $\alpha$ can also be induced by cytokines, growth factors, reactive oxygen species, nitric oxide, and by energy-metabolism intermediates, like pyruvate (Pyr), lactate and oxaloacetate. ${ }^{15}$ The role of HIF-1 is to promote the expression of different enzymes involved in energetic metabolism, in order to stimulate the glycolytic flux; moreover, metastatic tumor cells line generally present overexpression of HIF-1, glycolytic enzymes and high glycolysis. ${ }^{15,26}$ Two other targets of HIF-1 are vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and carbonic anhydrases (CAs): the first promote angiogenesis, necessary for the reuptake of nutrient and oxygen; while CAs are necessary for the pH homeostasis in microenvironment. ${ }^{24}$ Furthermore, HIF-1 transactivate cytochrome c oxidase (COX), to facilitate the adaptation of mitochondria to an hypoxic environment. ${ }^{18}$ HIF-1 work together with $\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{Myc}$, a proto-oncogene that code for transcription factors, to stimulate aerobic glycolysis through the induction of enzymes
involved in the cycle, like hexokinase 2 (HK2) and pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase 1 (PDK1). ${ }^{27}$ HIF-1 with oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes are capable to reprogramming tumor cells metabolism in different step.

The protein p53 works as a tumor suppressor by regulating the replication cycle cell; it is involved in several stages of metabolism control and regulate proteins necessary to cellular response to cancer. This protein is also known as the "guardian of the genome", because it is usually upregulated after a DNA damage to stop the cell cycle and to induce apoptosis. ${ }^{21}$ Also, the protein play an important role during viral infection, oxidative stress, altering cell metabolism. p53 acts inducing/inhibiting key metabolic genes involved in glycolytic pathway, OxPhos, lipid and amino acids metabolism and cell growth (Figure 4). ${ }^{21}$ In normal cells p53 usually promote OxPhos and suppress glycolysis. ${ }^{21}$ In many tumor cells a mutated p53 is present and overexpressed; mutated p53 have strong oncogenic activity, in fact it has a relevant role in inducing cell transformation. It is involved in several pathways such as modulating the mTOR pathway, repressing autophagy, and promoting drug resistance.


Figure 4 Regulation by p53 of proteins involved in cells metabolism ${ }^{21}$

Other important molecules involved in tumor cells metabolism are ROS, like hydroxyl radical, superoxide and hydrogen peroxide; they are by-products of metabolism of oxygen. Usually, in normal cells, they are present in a small quantity, while in tumor cells there is
an over-production; this situation leads to DNA damage, and consequently mutation and tumorigenesis: they activate apoptotic signaling and induce cell death. ${ }^{21}$ In normal cells, DNA damages induced by ROS, activate p53, that work to lower ROS levels. In tumor cells p53 is downregulated, and there is an enhanced of ROS, while cells with low amounts of p53, stimulate the expression of antioxidant genes like glutathione peroxidase (GPX1). The mutated p53 are present in tumor cells where they suppress the expression of genes involved in ROS inhibition. ${ }^{21}$

To respond at low oxygen levels in microenvironment, tumor cells apply changes, not only in metabolism and proliferation, but also in gene expression, genetic stability and survival. ${ }^{28-30}$ The high production of lactate and carbon dioxide by glycolysis, which are expelled in extracellular environment to preserve intracellular environment, concur to reducing extracellular pH . Tumoral cells can adapt their intracellular environment thanks to the activity of enzymes like carbonic anhydrase IX (CA IX). ${ }^{31}$ CAs are involved in the catalysis of carbon dioxide hydration to bicarbonate ions and protons. CA IX acidify extracellular environment, increasing carbon dioxide and protons level, and neutralize intracellular pH by the formation of bicarbonate ions. ${ }^{28} \mathrm{HIF}-1$ is involved in regulation of CA IX transcription, so in tumor cells where HIF-1 is overexpressed, CAs are overexpressed too, especially the IX isoform. ${ }^{32}$

Cycloxygenase-2 (COX-2) is another enzyme involved in tumorigenesis, especially in colorectal cancer. Inflammation induce COX-2 expression; it is responsible of prostaglandins synthesis. His overexpression has been observed and investigated in several tumors, in association with his product, prostaglandin $\mathrm{E}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PGE}_{2}\right)$, that promote proliferation, evasion of apoptosis, angiogenesis and tissue invasion. ${ }^{3} \mathrm{PGE}_{2}$ has pleiotropic effects linked to the acquisition of cancer hallmarks and inflammation. Some tumor present a deregulation of COX-2 pathway caused by epigenetic alteration, like DNA methylation: Figure 5 shows the hyper-methylated genes, evidenced in red boxes, and in which type of cancer they have been reported. ${ }^{33}$


Figure 5 Deregulation of COX-2 pathway in different tumors ${ }^{33}$

### 1.3 General mechanism of drugs

Drug effects depend by different factors: interactions between chemical component of molecule with proteins, nucleic acids and other macromolecules, as well as their degradation by enzymes in the organism; their transport through membrane, water solubility and, more generally, ADMET profile (absorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion, and toxicity). ${ }^{34,35}$


Figure 6 ADME $^{36}$

## - SOLUBILITY

As a general rule, drugs must be polar enough to be water soluble: usually is necessary that the polar groups present in molecules exceed lipophile groups to achieve water solubility. In some cases, acid or basic groups are introduced to obtain ionizable species, more soluble in water.

Biological membrane wrap the cells and they are constituted by lipids. They represent the wall that must necessary overstepped by drugs to play their role; for this reason, drugs need to be water soluble and fat-soluble at the same time.

- SYSTEM BIOLOGY INTERACTION

Structure-activity relationship (SAR) is defined as the relationship between chemical structure of molecule with its biological activity; SAR helps to predict activity of a new molecule: similar molecules are supposed to act in a similar way and functional groups of a molecule usually contribute to its biological action and/or chemical-physic propriety. Molecular structure, its composition and the spatial orientation of the functional groups lead to the pharmacological effect of the molecule. ${ }^{34}$

- METABOLISM

Metabolism is in most cases necessary to increase hydrophilicity of molecules as one of the main ways to facilitate excretion of the molecules.

- ACTIVE TRANSPORT

Active transports can bring a molecule across a physiological barrier, such as the membrane of gastrointestinal tract and the blood brain barrier. This process requires energy and can be divided in two different types: primary, that use ATP molecules and secondary, that use electrochemical gradient. ${ }^{37}$


Figure 7 Example of active and passive transports ${ }^{36}$

## - RECEPTORS

Drugs interact with a target macromolecule generally leads to its activation or inactivation. As a general scheme, molecule con act as agonists if their binding to the receptor activate it, or antagonist if their binding block the receptor without activating it.

More generally, molecules need to interact with their biological target to express their activity, no matter if the target is a receptor, an enzyme, a nucleic acid, a membrane etc. In other words, the molecule needs to be complementary with its target or to be capable to achieve this complementarity according to conformational adaptations that involve both the ligand and the target. Thus, complementarity is a dynamic process strictly dependent on the molecule chemical-physic proprieties.

### 1.4 Tumors drugs

Current treatments for tumor, usually consist in chemotherapy, radiotherapy, surgery, targeted therapy, immunotherapy and endocrine therapy that can be used individually or in combination, depending on the stage and type of tumor and diagnosis. ${ }^{38-41}$

Early detection of cancer is necessary to have a promising clinical prognosis and a successful treatment, but, unfortunately, most patients usually have a late-stage diagnosis, that, in combination with delayed therapies, lower the possibility of survival. ${ }^{42}$

Following some approaches to cancer therapies.

## Biomarkers

An interesting method for early tumor diagnosis can be the identification of tumor biomarkers. Biomarker is described by U.S. National Institutes of Health (NIH) as "a characteristic that is objectively measured and evaluated as an indication of normal biologic processes, pathogenic processes, or pharmacologic responses to a therapeutic intervention". ${ }^{42-44}$ Cancer biomarkers found in tumor tissue or serum, can be molecules like proteins, enzymes, DNA, RNA, metabolites, transcription factors. ${ }^{42}$ By identifying cancer biomarkers, it is more easy to have an early cancer diagnosis, tumor classification and consequently, the appropriate therapy for the patient.

## Precision oncology

Precision oncology is another approach to identify targetable genomic alterations, that characterize tumors in order to have a specific treatment for patients. ${ }^{45-47}$ The goal of precision oncology is to improve cancer therapy, lowering toxicity, by using drugs that are directed towards selective genes or proteins of tumor cells. From 2000 to 2014 almost the $79 \%$ of the newly approved tumor drugs are targeted therapeutic agents. ${ }^{47}$

Treatments based on precision oncology:

## PRODRUGS

Prodrugs are derivatives of drug molecules which need a bioconversion by human enzymes to perform their activity, and have the purpose to improve pharmacokinetics profile of the drug. ${ }^{48,49}$ Some cancer prodrugs are activated in tumoral cells by specific enzymes, and need to have good activity at low pH values. ${ }^{50}$

## KINASE INHIBITORS

During the last twenty years an increasing number of small molecules directed toward tumor associated kinases, such as BCR-ABL, EFGR, VEGFR have been identified and introduced in therapy. ${ }^{50-52}$ However, this therapeutic approach might lead to the expression of mutated resistant proteins to drugs. ${ }^{51,53}$

IMMUNOTHERAPY
Immunotherapy is based on the observation that immune cells are able to destroy tumor cells during the firsts stage of tumorigenesis. ${ }^{54,55}$ During cells transformation from normal to cancer, cancer cells exhibit neo-antigens which can be recognize by the immune system provoking its activation and the destruction of the growing tumor. Unfortunately, tumor cells develop specific mechanisms to escape from immune cells: local immune evasion, induction of tolerance, systemic disorder of T cells signaling. ${ }^{54,56} \mathrm{Among}$ immunotherapy there are: cancer vaccines, oncolytic virus, adoptive transfer of ex vivo activated $T$ and natural killer cells. ${ }^{54}$

## Gene therapy

With gene therapy, vectors take in tumoral cells exogenous nucleic acid, which modify gene expression to correct the abnormalities. ${ }^{57}$

## Nanocarriers

Nanocarriers are colloidal nano-scale system that transport in tumor cells, great concentration of antitumoral agents, like small molecules or macromolecules that result cytotoxic for cells.

## Biosimilar

Biosimilars are synthetic macromolecules that have similar pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties to a license biological product, without differences for safety, purity and potency. ${ }^{58,59}$ They were approved in EU in $2005 .{ }^{58}$

## Epigenetic therapy

This therapy is based on studies of genic pathways, which cause deregulation in gene expression, without modify DNA sequence. ${ }^{60}$ Histone proteins are involved in modification of gene expression: they may undergo different post-translational changes and methylation that imprint on transcription. ${ }^{60}$

### 1.4.1 Resistance to chemotherapy

The major problem in cancer treatments is the resistance to chemotherapy agents and to novel targeted drugs; it is responsible for the majority of therapy failures and patients deaths . ${ }^{38}$ There are two type of resistance: acquired and intrinsic. ${ }^{38,61,62}$

## INTRINSIC RESISTANCE

Drug resistance can be present before therapy and it is called intrinsic; it affects almost $50 \%$ of patients. ${ }^{38,61,62}$ Three important factors cause innate resistance:

- Inherent genetic mutation
- Tumor heterogeneity, in which exist different type of cancer cells with distinct phenotypic profile, gene expression, metabolism, metastatic potential; in this case is very high the possibility of relapse after treatment
- Intrinsic pathway for defense against external toxins. ${ }^{38}$

Therapeutic effects of tumoral drugs decrease with intrinsic resistance.
ACQUIRED RESISTANCE
Acquired resistance is induced after treatment and affects most of patient; it causes the reduction of efficacy of cancer drug during the therapy. ${ }^{38,61,62}$ Tumoral cells show defense mechanisms against external molecules, in this way the tumor acquire resistance thanks to different pathway:

- Activation of second proto-oncogene
- Genetic and epigenetic mutation that lead to modification in level of drug target expression
- Modification in tumor microenvironment (TME) after therapy. ${ }^{38,61}$

Some factors involved in resistance: members of the adenosine triphosphate (ATP)-binding cassette transporter gene family, glutathione-dependent enzymes, topoisomerase, metallothionein, O6-methylguanine-DNA-methyltransferase, thymidylate synthetase, dihydrofolate reductase, heat shock proteins, growth factors, proliferative, apoptotic and angiogenetic factors, protooncogenes and suppressor genes. ${ }^{63}$ Cancer cells can also acquire resistance to targeted drugs, thanks to develop of new mutation in target protein genes; in this way there is a secondary mutation, such as BCR-ABL kinase domain. ${ }^{38,64}$


Figure 8 Cancer drug resistance ${ }^{38}$

### 1.5 Multi target inhibitors

Drug design and discovery is a very dynamic process, necessary to counteract the global morbidity and mortality caused by incurable disease like cancer. Usually, the discovery of new therapies is based on the design of selective chemical compound that target a single enzyme or, more generally biological target. Most commonly they target a protein called "on-target", the dominant or most relevant one in the pathology. By this approach the researchers aim to identify therapeutic agents devoid of side effects. ${ }^{65,66}$ Unfortunately, the therapeutic agents directed towards one single target have shown low efficacy towards complex diseases; in particular this type of therapeutic agents have been associated with the increase of drug resistance. ${ }^{67}$

Polypharmacology is a new approach to design and use compound that simultaneously act towards two or more targets, or multiple biochemical pathways involved in the disease; a single compound can recognize more than one biological target. ${ }^{67}$
Multifactorial disease, such as cancer, are characterized by several intrinsic and/or environmental factors that contemporary act on the organism; with polypharmacology, multi-target compound show some benefit: a more predictive pharmacokinetic, better compliance of the patient and last but not list, the reduced risk of drug interaction. ${ }^{68}$

The advantage of using selective inhibitors is the decrease chance to have side effects during the therapy; while multi-target inhibitors can act simultaneously towards different cell pathways or compensatory mechanisms, and this mechanism is more effective than selective inhibitions of one enzyme, principally in tumors in which are involved more pathway. ${ }^{69}$

The first difficult for the development of multi-target compound, is the right combination of target for the disease: it is necessary to understand the target-disease associations, the pathway-target-drug-disease relationship and the side effects. ${ }^{70}$ It is necessary to monitor the additive effects if the targets belong to the same pathway, and the synergism effects is the targets belong to complementary pathway: in both situation there is an effect with lower doses and therefore a batter safe profile than the single-target compound. ${ }^{71}$

The design of multi-target compounds starts with combination of two distinct structures, take from inhibitors with activity towards the selected targets, into a single chemical entity. ${ }^{72,73}$ Usually, multi-target compounds derive by assimilation of pharmacophores of
molecules like drugs or drug candidates, specific for the targets (Fig. 9). Pharmacophores can have similar scaffolds and, in this case, can be fused; if the pharmacophores have different structure for the target interaction, they can be combined through linkers. ${ }^{74}$ To design multi-target compounds, in necessary to consider a fundamental requisite: every framework must interact with its target; so, became important to considered the structure-activity relationship between the interaction of starting molecules and their targets. ${ }^{75}$


Figure 9 Design of multi-target compounds ${ }^{66}$
One part of the molecule interacts with the target proposed, the other parts can impede the binding action. To solve the problem may can be interesting working on the flexibility of the molecule, without exceeding to not interfere negatively in the binding affinity or in bioavailability of the compound. ${ }^{66,75,76}$

Multi-target compounds represent a very promising strategy to cope problems of multifactorial disorders and particularly the drug resistance; they have more predictable pharmacokinetics respect to the combination therapies, guarantee higher patient compliance, and there are minus drug interactions. ${ }^{68}$ Furthermore, with multi-target
agents toxicity and side effects decrease, respect to the single-target therapies, because require smaller doses. ${ }^{72}$

Research of multi-target agents can be optimized by the use of computer-aided drug design (CADD) ${ }^{76}$ the

Therefore, with the aim of simplifying the screening of new multi-target molecules, in silico method have been studied, such as fragment-based and combinatorial approaches. ${ }^{77}$ Combinatorial approaches execute parallel searches to determinate the hit molecules capability to interact with more than one target. ${ }^{78}$ The use of in silico methods in drug design revealed to be very advantageous, often assuring better results with lower expenses and in a relatively shorter period of time. Moreover, as mentioned above can perform a screening on different targets permitting to only validate the activity of the most promising candidates. ${ }^{76}$

CARBONIC ANHYDRASE

### 2.1 Carbonic Anhydrase: overview

Carbonic anhydrases (CAs) are a superfamily of ubiquitous zinc metalloenzyme, present in prokaryotes and eukaryotes, like animals, photosynthetic organisms and some bacteria. ${ }^{79}$, ${ }^{80}$ They catalyze the reversible interconversion of carbon dioxide hydration to bicarbonate and protons: ${ }^{79,81}$

$$
\mathrm{CO}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \leftrightarrows \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}
$$

This reaction is essential for different physiological process: respiration and transport of $\mathrm{CO}_{2} /$ bicarbonate, pH and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ homeostasis, metabolism, where they appear important for different pathways such as gluconeogenesis and ureagenesis, cell growth, calcification, photosynthesis, ionic, electrolyte secretion in tissue and organism. ${ }^{80-85}$

Five different and genetically unrelated gene families have been studied: $\alpha-, \beta-, \gamma-, \delta$ - and $\xi$-CA. ${ }^{79}{ }^{91} \alpha$-CAs genes are principally expressed by vertebrates, but they are also found in algae, bacteria and cytoplasm of green plants, $\beta$-CAs genes are predominantly in bacteria, as well as expressed in algae, chloroplasts and both mono- dicotyledons. $\gamma$-CAs are found in in archaea and some bacteria; $\zeta$ - and $\xi$-CA are present in some marine diatoms. ${ }^{80, ~ 81, ~ 86-88 ~}$ CAs active form present one metal ion bound to the active site cavity; M (II) ion bound three amino acids residue and a water molecule/hydroxide ion, in a tetrahedral geometry. ${ }^{89} \alpha$-, $\beta$ - and $\delta$-CAs use $\mathrm{Zn}(I I)$ ion, $\zeta$-CAs usually use Fe(II) but some study show that they can be active also with Zn (II) and Co (II) ions; $\gamma$-CAs use $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{II})$ or Zn (II) ions. ${ }^{90-94}$ Each class of enzyme is found in different oligomerization state. $\alpha$-CAs are usually monomers, and rarely they were found like dimers; $\beta$-CAs are oligomers, formed by 2-6 monomers, or octamers; $\gamma$-CAs are trimers, and $\delta$-CAs are monomers; $\zeta$-CAs are pseudo trimers, because of the presence of three active site present in the same protein backbone. ${ }^{88}$

### 3.1.1 $\alpha$-Carbonic Anhydrase

Sixteen mammalian $\alpha$-CA isozymes, also called CA-related proteins (CARP), have been studied, each one with different subcellular localization and tissue distribution. ${ }^{80,82,83,95}$ CA I-III, CA VII and CA XIII are cytosolic forms, CA IV, CA IX, CA XII, CA XIV and CA XV are membrane bound isozymes, CA VA and VB are found in mitochondria, and CA VI is a secreted isozyme in milk and saliva. Twelve isoforms (CA I-IV, VA-VB, VI-VII, IX, and

XII-XIV) present enzymatic activity, while three isoforms (VIII, X, and XI) do not present any catalytic activity. All human carbonic anhydrases belong to $\alpha$-CAs class.

Physiological function of human CAs have been studied: ${ }^{96-98}$

- CA I, II and IV isoforms have a role in respiration and regulation of pH homeostasis, processes that involve transport of $\mathrm{CO}_{2} /$ bicarbonate between tissues and excretion sites, such as lungs and kidneys, and facilitated $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ secretion in capillary and lung, and the elimination of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ions in renes ${ }^{98}$
- CA II is also involved in bone function and development, like differentiation of osteoclast and supply proton for bone resorption in osteoclasts ${ }^{96}$
- CA II and VA supply bicarbonate for gluconeogenesis and fatty acids de novo biosynthesis
- $\quad C A V$ have a role in molecular signaling process, most of all the insulin secretion in pancreas $\beta^{98}$
- CA IX, XII, CARP VIII are found in many tumors, and are involved in oncogenesis and tumor progression ${ }^{98}$

In Table 1 the subcellular localization, organ and tissue distribution, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ hydrase activity with kinetic parameters (at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and pH 7.5 ), and affinity for sulphonamides for all sixteen $\alpha-C A$ isozymes is represented. ${ }^{88}$

Table 1 Subcellular localization, Organ/Tissue distribution, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ hydrase activity with Kinetic Parameters and Affinity for Sulphonamides of $\alpha-C A^{88}$

## Catalytic

| Isozymes | Subcellular localization | Organ/Tissue distribution | Activity $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right.$ <br> Hydration) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{kcat} / \mathrm{Km} \\ & \left(\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Affinity for Sulphonamides |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $h C A I$ | Cytosol | Erythrocytes, GI tract, eye | Low | $5.0 \times 10^{7}$ | Medium |
| hCA II | Cytosol | Erythrocytes, GI tract, eye, bone osteoclasts, kidney, lung, testis, brain | High | $1.5 \times 10^{8}$ | Very high |
| hCA III | Cytosol | Skeletal muscle, adipocytes | Very low | $3.0 \times 10^{5}$ | Very Low |
| $h C A ~ I V ~$ | Membranebound | Kidney, lung, <br> pancreas, brain capillaries, colon, heart muscles, GI | High | $5.1 \times 10^{7}$ | High |

tract

| $h C A ~ V A ~$ | Mitochondria | Liver | Moderatehigh | $2.9 \times 10^{7}$ | High |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $h C A ~ V B$ | Mitochondria | Heart and skeletal muscle, pancreas. Kidney spinal cord, GI tract | High | $9.8 \times 10^{7}$ | High |
| hCA VI | Secreted in Saliva and Milk | Salivary and mammary glands | Moderate | $4.9 \times 10^{7}$ | Medium-low |
| hCA VII | Cytosol | CNS | High | $8.3 \times 10^{7}$ | Very high |
| CARP VIII | Cytosol | CNS | Acatalytic | / | * |
| hCA IX | Transmembra ne | Tumors, GI mucosa | High | $5.5 \times 10^{7}$ | High |
| CARP X | Secreted | CNS | Acatalytic | 1 | * |
| CARP XI | Secreted | CNS | Acatalytic | / | * |
| hCA XII | Transmembra ne | Kidney, intestine, reproductive epithelia, eye, tumors | Low | $3.5 \times 10^{7}$ | Very high |
| hCA XIII | Cytosol | Kidney, brain, lung, gut, reproductive tract | Moderate | $1.1 \times 10^{7}$ | High |
| $h C A ~ X I V ~$ | Transmembra ne | Kidney, brain, liver, eye | Low | $3.9 \times 10^{7}$ | High |
| $h C A ~ X V$ | Membranebound | Kidney | Low | $3.3 \times 10^{7}$ | Unknown |

*The native CARP isozymes do not contain $\mathrm{Zn}(I I)$, so that their affinity for the sulfonamide inhibitors has not been measured. By site-directed mutagenesis it is possible to modify these proteins and transform them in enzymes with CA-like activity which probably are inhibited by sulfonamides, but no detailed studies on this subject are presently available
$\alpha$-CAs catalyze several reactions represented in Table 2, not only the hydration of carbon dioxide (2.A )but also, the hydration of cyanate to carbamic acid, or of cyanamide to urea (2.B and 2.C); the aldehyde hydration to gem-diols (2.D); the hydrolysis of carboxylic, or sulfonic (2.E, 2.F), other hydrolytic processes, such as those described by equations 2.G-
2.1. ${ }^{80}$

Table 2 Reaction catalyzed by $\alpha-C^{98}$

```
A \(\quad \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\)
B \(\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{NH}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCOOH}\)
C \(\mathrm{HN}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{NH}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCONH}_{2}\)
D \(\mathrm{RCHO}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{RCH}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\)
E \(\mathrm{RCOOAr}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{RCOOH}+\mathrm{ArOH}\)
F \(\mathrm{RSO}_{3} \mathrm{Ar}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{RSO}_{3} \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{ArOH}\)
G \(\mathrm{ArF}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{HF}+\mathrm{ArOH} \quad(\mathrm{Ar}=2,4\)-dinitrophenyl)
H \(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{OCOCl}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{CO}_{2}+\mathrm{HCl}\)
    \(\mathrm{RSO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{RSO}_{3} \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{HCl} \quad(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me} ; \mathrm{Ph})\)
```

X-ray crystallographic 3D structure data of all $\alpha-C A$ isozymes, except CA VB, have been studied. ${ }^{99-103}$ Cytosolic hCAs exhibit high sequence similarity and their secondary structure elements are preserved. Consequently, their 3D structure are very similar. Due to their relevant role in human metabolism several X-ray crystal structures of catalytically active isozyme of hCAs have been reported. ${ }^{89,94,99-103}$ By structural comparison of all crystallized hCAs, some structural differences can be observed in the region of residues 125-130 (using the hCA II amino acid numbering system), located in the surface, and residue 131 in the active site of the protein. ${ }^{86,89,94}$

The cavity of the active site has a conical shape, with a $15 \AA$ diameter entrance; it is divided in two distinct environments: the deepest part of the active site is formed by hydrophobic amino acids, in particular for hCA II, the most studied carbonic anhydrase, present Val-121, Val-143, Leu-198, Thr-199-CH3, Val-207, and Trp-209 (Fig. 9 green surface); on the contrary, in the other side of the cavity, the surface, hydrophilic amino acids are prevailing (Tyr-7, Asn-62, His-64, Asn-67, Thr-199-Oү1, and Thr-200-Op1) (Fig. 9 magenta stick). ${ }^{89}$


Figure 10 3D structure of hCAII and CA IX 5FL4.89, 104
CA I, II, IX, and XII show interesting homologies between the residues of the active site. (Fig. 10). Very interesting the residue 131, different among the isoform: in CA II is a phenylalanine (F): its bulky side chain causes steric hindrance in the active site; while in CA IX there is a Valine in position 131 which permits a more favorable binding and greater isoform selectivity toward CA IX respect to CA II isoform. ${ }^{105}$

| CA-I | MSPDWGYDDKNGPEQWSKLYPIANGNNQSPVDIKTSETKHDTSLKPISVSY---NPATAK |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CA-II | MSHHWGYGKHNGPEHWHKDFPIAKGERQSPVDIDTHTAKYDPSLKPLSVSY---DQATSL |
| CA-IX | $---H W R Y---G G D P P W P R V S P A C A G R F Q S P V D I R P Q L A A F S P A L R P L E L S G F Q L P P L P E L ~$ |

Figure 11 Homologies between hCAI-II-IX and XII residues
The active site, approximately wide $12 \AA$ and deep $15 \AA$, present the metal ion $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ in a tetrahedral configuration, coordinated by three histidine residues, His94, His96 and His119, and a water molecule/hydroxide ion (Fig. 11); zinc-bound water binds by an
hydrogen bond the hydroxyl moiety of Thr199, that binds the carboxylate moiety of Glu 106. $80,82-85,95$ These interactions make the zinc-bound water molecule nucleophile, and facilitate the nucleophilic attack to the substrate $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (Fig. 12). ${ }^{80,82-84,95}$


Figure $12 \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ ion coordination ${ }^{80}$

### 2.2 Carbonic Anhydrase IX E XII: physiological and pathological roles

Carbonic Anhydrase IX is a membrane-bound isozyme, in particular it is a glycoprotein that consists of an N-terminal proteoglycan domain, a CA domain, a single-pass transmembrane region, and a short intracellular tail. ${ }^{95,101,106-108}$ This enzyme forms a dimer, with an intermolecular disulfide bond between two Cys residues of the catalytic domains. ${ }^{109}$ In normal conditions, the IX isoform is expressed in the epithelium of the stomach, bile duct, gallbladder duct, pancreatic duct, and in the rapidly-proliferating normal cells of small intestine ${ }^{107,110}$ This isoform is strongly upregulated in different malignant and hypoxic tumor cells, such as breast, kidney, colon, ovarian, head-and-neck, pancreatic and lung tumor. ${ }^{95}$, 106, 107, 111

Carbonic Anhydrase XII is also a membrane-bound isozyme, with a molecular mass of 40 kDa and a similar structure to CA IX: a N-terminal domain, a $\alpha$-helical transmembrane region, and a C-terminal intracytoplasmic tail with two potential phosphorylation site, without the proteoglycan region domain present in CA IX. ${ }^{106,108}$ This isoform form a dimer, with the active sites directed toward the extracellular environment. CA XII is expressed in different tissues in normal condition, like colon, kidney, prostate, endometrium, rectum, esophagus brain, pancreas, ovary, testis and sweat glands of skin. ${ }^{106,112,113}$ CA XII isozyme is overexpressed in several tumors like renal, breast, non-small cell lung cancer; both CA IX and XII are overexpressed in hypoxic tumor. ${ }^{32,112,113}$

CA IX and XII are involved in pH homeostasis in tumor cells; they are potent biomarkers for patient prognosis and treatment resistance for different solid tumors. ${ }^{114-118}$ Both enzymes are essential for migration and invasion of tumor cells, and for metastases growth, but IX isoform have been studied more in depth with respect to the XII isoform. ${ }^{116,119,120}$ Indeed, several studies have shown that the pharmacological inhibition of both enzymes is related to the decrease of migration and invasion in different tumor cells. As a confirmation of that, the knockdown of CA IX in some breast cancer cells, with hypoxic condition, and other type of tumor, such as human fibrosarcomas, glioblastomas or ovarian cancer cells, reduce the migration and invasion of cells. ${ }^{121,122} \mathrm{CAXI}$ can generate invasive membrane retrusions and focal adhesion, necessary for tumor cell migration, thanks to interaction with integrins and ion exchange. ${ }^{119,123}$ CA XII inhibits hedgehog pathway (Hh), involved in
tissue repair and homeostasis in healthy tissue, and in migration, survival and adaptation in tumor tissue with hypoxic environment and low pH. ${ }^{124}$ The over-expression of CA IX and XII is connected to two different mechanism: development of tumor phenotype that cause stress in microenvironment, and the loss of expression of VHL (von-Hippel Lindau) tumor suppressor gene. ${ }^{108}$ Hypoxia caused by stress, is related to the expression of both CA IX and XII: the up-regulation of CA IX is directly connected to the transcriptional activation via HIF-1 complex; in normal condition ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis regulate the HIF-1, that afterwards is targeted by pVHL for destruction; CA9 and CA12 genes are induced by HIF-1. ${ }^{32,125} \mathrm{VHL}$ is a tumor suppressor gene with the role to stop the uncontrolled growth and proliferation of normal cells; if it is mutated or deleted, cells can growth uncontrolled, leading to defects in regulatory proteins and became cancerous. ${ }^{108}$ Hypoxic tumor cells produce energy with glycolysis, but this process also generates pyruvate and lactate, two acidic byproducts. CA IX isoform is involved in pH regulation in tumor cells. It transfers protons form cytoplasm to extracellular environment, through the reversible hydration of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, necessary for tumor cells survival. ${ }^{95,107,126,127}$

### 2.3 Inhibitors and activators of Carbonic Anhydrase

In the first step of the hydration, hydrophobic region, of the active site, sequesters the substrate, a carbon dioxide molecule, making available for the nucleophilic attack by $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ bound hydroxide ion. This leads to the formation of bicarbonate, replaced from the active site, by a water molecule, positioned near the hydrophobic pocket, also known as "deep water". ${ }^{\prime \prime}$, 128, 129

$$
\mathrm{CO}_{2}+\mathrm{EZnOH}^{-} \leftrightarrows \mathrm{EZnHCO}_{3}^{-} \leftrightarrows \mathrm{EZnH}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}
$$

The rate limiting step consist in regeneration of catalytic active $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ bound hydroxide ion: there is a proton transfer from $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$-bound water to a proton acceptor or to an active site residue. ${ }^{86}$

$$
\mathrm{EZnH}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{B} \leftrightarrows \mathrm{EZnOH}^{-}+\mathrm{BH}^{+}
$$

For the $\alpha$-CA class, in particular hCA II, the reaction occurs in presence of a solvent molecule with a $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=7$, directly coordinated to $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+} .{ }^{79}$
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Figure $13 \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ hydration by $\alpha-\mathrm{CA}$ II. ${ }^{80}$
(13A) represented the active form of the enzyme, in which $\mathrm{Zn}(I I)$ bound hydroxide; in this state, the enzyme attacks a $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ molecule bounding the hydrophobic pocket (in hCAll, the substrate binding site comprises Val121, Val143 and Leu198 residues) (13B), with the formation of bicarbonate coordinate to $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$, like shows in 13C. A water molecule replace the bicarbonate, inducing the formation of the acid and inactive form of the enzyme (Fig. 13D), in which a water molecule bound the $\mathrm{Zn}(I I) .{ }^{80,82-84,95}$ The last reaction is a proton transfer from the active site, usually this reaction is assisted by an active site residues like His64 or buffers present in the environment; the regeneration of active form the enzyme occurs according to this mechanism. ${ }^{88}$

Several compound can inhibit Carbonic Anhydrases. In particular three separate class of CAIs (CA inhibitors) can be distinguished:

- Compound that binds directly the metal ion, characterized by the presence of a typical zinc-binding group (ZBG);
- Molecules that incorporate into their strictures, an anchoring group (AG), a hydrogen bonded to the metal ion coordinate nucleophile, maintaining the structural features and the variable tail as the zinc binders;
- Compounds with a suicide inhibition mechanism.
- CAls with zinc-binding group

The compound that directly binds the Zn (II) are divided in two separate classes: metal complexing anions, that substitute the water molecule binding Zn (II), and sulfonamide which can bind the $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ ion; they can bind the metal in two different ways, addition to the metal coordination sphere, developing trigonal-bipyramidal species (A), or by substitution of non-protein zinc ligand (B). ${ }^{98,130-132}$

Anionic inhibitors, like thiocyanate, interact with CA in a very simple way, making a trigonal-bipyramidal adduct (Fig. 14A). ${ }^{98}$

Fig. 14B shows the interaction between the enzyme and a sulfonamide: there are several hydrogen bonds that involve the $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ and OH groups of the Thr199 and Glu106. Furthermore, the skeleton of the inhibitor (R) interacts with hydrophilic and hydrophobic residues of the active site, leading to the formation of a tetrahedral geometry. ${ }^{96,98}$


Figure 14 A: Trigonal-bipyramidal adduct; B: Tetrahedral adduct ${ }^{98}$
This CAls present a scaffold that interact with hydrophobic and/or hydrophilic region of the cavity, and a tail that can interact with other parts of the enzyme. ${ }^{89}$

Sulfonamides are the most clinically used ZBG based inhibitors: acetazolamide, methazolamide, ethoxzolamide, sulthiamide, dichlorophenamide, dorzolamide,
brinzolamide, sulpiride, zonisamide, topiramate, saccharin, celecoxib, chlorothiazide derivatives, including hydrochlorothioazide, furosemide, bumethanide; they are used as diuretics, antiglaucoma agents, antiepileptic drugs in clinic since several years (Fig. 16). ${ }^{133}$


Figure 15 Example of Carbonic Anhydrase Inhibitor with Zinc-binding group ${ }^{133}$


Figure 16 Sulphonamides: in the blue area the sulphonamides derivates used as diuretics, in detail the green area contains sulfonamides that are used also like antiglaucoma drugs, and the orange are anticonvulsant

## - CAls with an anchoring group

CA inhibitors with the AG, anchor to the metal coordinated water molecule/hydroxide ion, with hydrogen bonds: one with zinc-bound water molecule/hydroxide ion, and the other with the OH of the "gate-keeping residues". ${ }^{89}$

This class of inhibitors contain chemical families like phenols, polyamines, esters and thioxocoumarins. ${ }^{133}$


Figure 17 Example of Carbonic Anhydrase Inhibitor with anchoring-group ${ }^{133}$

## - CAls with suicide mechanism

These molecules act with a suicide like inhibition mechanism: they partially occlude the entrance of the active site; most of CAls that act in this way are coumarins. ${ }^{134,135}$

Coumarins act like prodrugs, moreover the real inhibitors are their hydrolysis products: esterase CA activity opens the lactone ring of the coumarin, creating these products, that remain bound to the entrance of cavity with many hydrophobic and polar interaction, occluding it (Fig. 18). ${ }^{135}$
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Figure 18 Hydrolysis product by esterase activity of CA enzyme ${ }^{135}$


Figure 19 Example of Carbonic Anhydrase Inhibitor occluding active site entrance ${ }^{133}$

## - CAls with a sticky group

This class of inhibitors has a sticky group (SG), such as OH, amino, COOH and other (Fig. 20).


Figure $\mathbf{2 0}$ Example of CAI with $\mathrm{SG}^{133}$
The last CA inhibitor class was recently discovered by means of X-ray crystallography: benzoic acid derivative can bind a hydrophobic pocket situated near and yet out the active site of the enzymes. They act blocking His64, the proton shuttle residue, in its out conformation. ${ }^{133,136}$

CYCLOXYGENASE

### 3.1 Cyclooxygenase: overview

Cyclooxygenases (COX), also known as prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase (PTGS), are a family of enzymes present in two different isoforms: COX-1 and COX-2; lately COX-3, a splice variant of COX-1 usually known as COX1b or COX-1 variant, has been identified. ${ }^{137-140}$ They are membrane-bound heme-containing bifunctional glycoproteins with two different activities: peroxidase and prostaglandin synthase. ${ }^{141}$ They are involved in the conversion of arachidonic acid to prostanoids: prostaglandins (PGs) and thromboxanes (Txs). ${ }^{142,143}$ More specifically they catalyze the double dioxygenation of arachidonic acid leading to prostaglandin $\mathrm{G}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PGG}_{2}\right)$, followed by the reduction of $\mathrm{PGG}_{2}$ to prostaglandin $\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PGH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{144}$ Both isoform COX-1 and COX-2 have a cyclooxygenase active site, a hydrophobic channel with four amphipathic helices of the membrane binding domain in the entrance of the cavity where the conversion of arachidonic acid is performed. ${ }^{145}$ These enzymes also contain a peroxidase active site, a globular catalytic domain with two intertwining lobes that create a fissure on the surface of the enzyme where heme is located. ${ }^{145}$

For the first reaction, two molecules of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ are incorporated in arachidonic acid to obtain $\mathrm{PGG}_{2}$ that move in the peroxidase active side, where it is reduced to $\mathrm{PGH}_{2}$; subsequently, $\mathrm{PGH}_{2}$ can be converted to different prostaglandins and thromboxane $\mathrm{A}_{2}\left(\mathrm{TxA}_{2}\right)$ by cell specific synthases (Fig. 21). ${ }^{144}$


Figure 21 COX functions: peroxidase and prostaglandin synthase ${ }^{144}$

COX-1 and COX-2 are encoded by two separate genes and have different amino acid sequences. However, both of the enzymes are homodimers, and need dimerization for their catalytic activity.

COX-1 isozyme is a housekeeping enzyme, usually expressed in several tissues like kidney, stomach, intestine, colon; it is important to preserve basal prostaglandin levels in tissues. ${ }^{3}$ COX-2 is expressed in normal cells at low levels, it has functions in brain, kidney and cardiovascular system; however it is mostly an inducible enzyme and is production is promoted by cytokines, mitogens, endotoxin, and tumor promoters; this isozyme produces prostaglandins during inflammatory and tumorigenesis (Fig. 22). 3, 146-148


Figure 22 COX biosynthesis and function ${ }^{148}$


Figure 23 Role of COX-1 and COX- $2^{149}$

Prostaglandins are located in different human tissues; they are important for inflammation and regulate physiological response: blood clotting, ovulation, initiation of labor, bone metabolism, nerve growth and development, wound healing, kidney function, blood vessel tone, and immune responses. ${ }^{150}$

COX-1 isozymes are necessary to supply precursors for thromboxane synthesis for platelet aggregation; in the vascular endothelium platelets release eicosanoids necessary for prostacyclin $\left(\mathrm{PGI}_{2}\right)$ production, molecules necessary for vasodilatation stimulation, to contrast the vasoconstrictor effects by thromboxanes. COX-1 is also present in kidney and stomach. After changes in blood flow, like lowered blood volume, angiotensin is released by the kidney to stimulate vasodilating PGs synthesis by kidney. Thus, COX-1 is necessary to PGs homeostasis and to PGs levels. ${ }^{150}$

Fig. 24 shows the differences between the two enzymatic pockets of COX1 and COX2 enzyme. Position 522 of enzymatic pocket of COX-1, is occupied by a residue of isoleucine, in COX-2, this residue is displaced by a valine in position 523: this modify confers selectivity to COX-2 inhibitors. ${ }^{151}$


Figure 24 Differences on enzymatic pocket of COX-1 and COX-2. a) Enzymatic pocket of COX-1; with the inhibitor flurbiprofen b) Enzymatic pocket of COX-2 with co-crystallized compound S 58 similar to Celecoxib; c) Superimposition of enzymatic task of both isoforms.

### 3.2 Cyclooxigenase-2: physiological and pathological roles

Cyclooxygenase- 2 is overexpressed in many tumors and its expression can be induced by somatic mutations that continually activate stimuli: it can be also induced by cytokines and inflammatory mediators during an inflammation state; with increase of prostanoids synthesis tyrosine kinase, protein kinase $C$ and several transcription factors can converge in MAPK pathway (mitogen activated protein kinase), involved in inflammatory genes transcription. ${ }^{143}$ Its expression can be regulated during transcription, post-transcription, or post-translation. COX-2 contribute to get worse the inflammatory conditions, causing cancer, respiratory disorders, Alzheimer and other diseases. ${ }^{42,143,152}$

Cyclooxygenase-2 concurs to tumorigenesis with prostaglandins synthesis, in particular $\mathrm{PGE}_{2}$ have an important role in inflammation and in tumor progression. ${ }^{153} \mathrm{PGE}_{2}$ act at different levels to induce tumorigenesis. It upregulates proto-oncogene $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$, a suppressor of apoptosis, resulting in a longer life for tumor cells. ${ }^{154}$ Moreover, prostaglandins E2 interact with MAPK-PI3K-AKT and NF-кB (Nuclear Factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells) pathways, that control DNA transcription, cytokine production, cell survival; they induce transcription of other factors that lead with tumorigenesis like vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), a protein that stimulate new blood vessels growth, responsible for angiogenesis. ${ }^{155} \mathrm{PGE}_{2}$ is responsible of metastasis: by upregulation of two matrix metalloproteinases MMP2 and MMP9 and IL-11 (interleukin 11); they are also responsible of tumor growth by epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) upregulation. ${ }^{154,156}$ PGE2 can also regulate cancer cell invasion by ERK (extracellular signalregulated kinase) ${ }^{157}$

COX-2 induce $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ (B-cell lymphoma 2), an important member of a regulator proteins family that regulate cell death, inhibiting or inducing the apoptosis process, through the prostaglandin E2; in this way, COX-2 protecting tumor cells from apoptosis. ${ }^{158,159}$ Lifestyle factors, like high-fat diet and tobacco, in association with genetic, and epigenetic alteration, supply to deregulation of COX pathway in chronic inflammation and subsequently in cancer. ${ }^{33}$ The deregulation include the overexpression of receptormediated activity of prostaglandin E2 respect to others PG (Fig. 25). ${ }^{33}$


Figure 25 Deregulation of COX pathway ${ }^{33}$

### 3.3 Inhibitors and activators of Cyclooxygenase-2

COX-2 isoform can be induced by several extracellular and intracellular factors, such as Lipopolysaccharide, interleukin-1, tumor necrosis factor, epidermal growth factor and transforming growth factor- $\alpha$ (TGF- $\alpha$ ). ${ }^{160}$ Furthermore, overexpression of COX-2 and PG due to grow factors is typically observed in tumors, promoting survival of cancer cells, and to tumor progression. ${ }^{161}$ Several carcinogenic agents induce the expression of COX-2 isoform, like tobacco smoke, UV radiation, polyunsaturated fatty acids, microbial agents and inflammatory cytokines. ${ }^{162-168}$

Overexpression of cycloxygenase-2 and prostaglandins contribute to mutagenesis, mitogenesis, angiogenesis and metastasis, all important factors for the carcinogenesis; by inhibiting the enzyme, and arresting the prostaglandin cascade, it may be possible to reduce neoplastic growth and cancer development. ${ }^{169-173}$

COX inhibitors are subdivided in two major class, based to their selectivity toward the two isoforms: the nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), and the selective COX-2 inhibitors (COXIBs). ${ }^{174,175}$ NSAIDs are the most known inhibitors of both COX-1 and COX-2: they act by competitive binding to both the isozymes. ${ }^{174}$ The smaller NSAIDs bind the pocket in the activation site of both enzymes, conversely, the bulkier COX-2 inhibitors are more specific toward the binding pocket of the COX-2 isozyme. ${ }^{175}$ Different studies have demonstrated that the regular consumption of NSAIDs decrease the risk of cancer; however, their use leads to the emergence of gastrointestinal problems, mainly due to the inhibition of the constitutive COX-1 isoform. ${ }^{33,176-178}$

Celecoxib belongs to the class of COXIBs, it was developed for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis and osteoarthritis, however it is currently used as an antiinflammatory agent for different chronic inflammation, and has demonstrated to possess potential anticancer properties. ${ }^{179-181}$ Celecoxib is a diaryl-substituted pyrazole compound (Fig. 26). COX-2 inhibition by celecoxib, is an efficient treatment for different type of tumor, including the lung and colorectal cancer, skin malignancy, mammary carcinoma breast cancer and hepatic carcinoma; several studies have demonstrated that the inhibitor decrease the incidence of colorectal cancer. ${ }^{182-184}$


Figure 26 Celecoxib
Valdecoxib is also a COXIB selective COX-2 inhibitor, that reduce the production of prostaglandin E2 and I2; it was approved by FDA (Food and Drug Administration) in 2001. ${ }^{185}$ It is a diaryl-substituted isoxazole, with a structure similar to colecoxib (Fig. 27). ${ }^{186}$ This drug was discovered with the purpose to decrease the side effects of NSAIDs and maintaining the anti-inflammatory role; moreover it is used in the rheumatological practice for its gastrointestinal tolerability. ${ }^{185}$ Valdecoxib acts entering in the hydrophobic channel of the enzyme, to block the entry of fatty acids. ${ }^{185}$


Figure 27 Valdecoxib
Rofecoxib belong to COXIB class, and, as its congeners, is a selective inhibitor of COX-2, developed for osteoarthritis and acute pain. ${ }^{187}$ Rofecoxib is a methyl sulphonyl phenyl derivative (Fig. 28). This inhibitor can decrease the risk of colorectal cancer, but its use is associated with cardiovascular risk. ${ }^{188}$


Figure 28 Rofecoxib

### 4.1 Kinases: overview

Protein kinases are the major enzyme superfamily implicated in cell signal transduction. ${ }^{189}$ They catalyze the protein phosphorylation; more specifically the transfer of the gammaphosphoryl group of an ATP molecule, to a tyrosine, threonine or serine residues in the target protein. The activated protein could be deactivated through the reverse reaction performed by a protein phosphatases, these enzyme catalyze the protein dephosphorylation through the hydrolysis of the phosphate group from the substrate. ${ }^{189}$ The phosphorylation/dephosphorylation process has an important role in the regulation of several biological function. ${ }^{190}$

Protein kinase act as a template for the substrate, namely the molecule of ATP and the tyrosine/serine/threonine protein, inducing the transfer of a phosphoryl group from the first substrate (ATP), to the side chain hydroxyl of the protein. ${ }^{191}$ The transferring process can be divided in two different transition states, associative and dissociative (Fig. 29). In the associative transition state, the attacking oxygen create a bond with the reactive phosphorus atom while the bond between ADP and the phosphoryl group steel exist; in this state there is a tetrahedral intermediate. ${ }^{189}$ In the dissociative transition state there is a nucleophilic attack from hydroxyl group followed by the detachment of the leaving group in a way similar to the SN1 reaction. ${ }^{189,192}$


Figure 29 Associative and dissociative transfer of phosphoryl group, by protein kinase ${ }^{189}$
The catalytic domain, also called kinase domain, is formed by two subdomains: the N terminal lobe with five $\beta$-strands and one critical $\alpha$-helix, and the $C$-terminal lobe,
constituted by $\alpha$-helix; between these two subdomain, there is a peptide strand with a cleft that constitute the active site (Fig. 30). ${ }^{190}$ The cleft has two pockets: the front pocket with the residues implicated in catalysis or ATP binding, and the back hydrophobic pocket for regulatory functions. ${ }^{193,194}$
(A)


Figure 30 Protein kinase: A) Phosphorylase kinase bonding an ATP and a peptide substrate; B) Phosphorylase kinase catalytic region; C) Molecular contacts between substrates. ${ }^{190}$

The superfamily of kinase, can be divided in two fundamental classes, based on the substrate specificity: the serine/threonine-specific, and the tyrosine-specific. ${ }^{195}$ Protein tyrosine kinases (TKs) belong to the superfamily of protein kinases and have the role to transfer the $\gamma$-phosphate group from ATP to a tyrosine residue of other proteins, resulting in a conformational change, required for the protein functions. This reaction is cellular key strategy, to communicate between cells, regulate cellular specific activities such as the cell division and proliferation.

Receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) are transmembrane glycoproteins, that once activated by specific ligands, transduce the extracellular signal to cytoplasm through phosphorylation of tyrosine residues in receptors and on downstream signaling proteins. RTKs include different family. ${ }^{196}$ The non-receptors tyrosine kinases (NRTKs) participate to the signaling cascades triggered by RTKs and other cell surface like G-proteins coupled receptors; Src and Abl belong to this big family. ${ }^{189}$

Bcr-Abl and c-Src are two cytoplasmatic TKs, in particular Src belong to a Src family of kinases (SFKs); these enzymes show high structural homology within their catalytic domains ${ }^{69}$

## Src

Proteins belonging to SFKs family, have a N-terminal domain (SH4), a unique region, two Src homology domains (SH2 and SH3), a polyproline type II domain (SH1), responsible for TK activity, and a short C-terminal tail. ${ }^{69}$ The regulation of SFKs activity is located in the SH2 and SH3 domains; SH2 have a $\beta$-sheet and two single helix packed to form two pockets. ${ }^{197}$ The N -terminal lobe contain a glycine-rich G-loop, necessary for binding the $\gamma$ phosphate of ATP; C-terminal lobe contains the positive regulatory activation loop (A-loop) with Tyr-419, and The C.-terminal tail have the Tyr-530 residue, important for the negative regulation. ${ }^{198}$ The two tyrosine residues present in the C-terminal lobe and tail, are responsible for the Src different conformations: the closed conformation, when the enzyme is in the inactive form, and the open conformation, when it is in the active form ; these two residues being phosphorylated in active state, and dephosphorylated while in the inactive state. ${ }^{69}$

Src have two different regulatory tyrosine phosphorylation sites: one in the C-terminal tail, necessary to repress the activity. In fact, with the truncation of the C-terminal portion, the
enzyme is constitutively active, and the result is an uncontrolled growth of the cells. The second tyrosine is located in the activation loop and is an autophosphorylation site. The mutation of this tyrosine residue lead to an activation of the enzyme. ${ }^{199,200}$

## $c-A b l$

c-Abl protein consists of a N-terminal, SH3 and SH2 domains, SH2-kinase linker, SH1 Catalytic domain, and a long C-terminal region. ${ }^{69} \mathrm{SH} 1, \mathrm{SH} 2$ and SH 3 have high homology with those of Src. As observed in the case of Src, Abl can exist in two different conformations: the closed and inactive form, and the open, active form. ${ }^{69}$ The N-terminal cap region is necessary for the formation of the inactive form of the enzyme: c-Abl proteins lacking this region, are mutated into a oncogenic, constitutively activated protein. ${ }^{201} \mathrm{SH} 3$ domain is necessary for the repression of the enzyme activity, a mutation of SH3 can constitutively activate the kinase, thus leading to cellular transformation. ${ }^{202}$

## 4.2 c-Src and Bcr-Abl: physiological and pathological roles

Bcr-Abl and c-Src are involved in the development of malignant tumor. ${ }^{69}$ More specific, Bcr-Abl is responsible for the chronic myeloid leukemia (CML); Src is involved in the regulation of multiple signal transduction pathways for the cellular growth, proliferation, differentiation, migration, but also metabolism and apoptosis. Moreover, both proteins participate in different signaling processes like mitogenesis, $T$ - and $B$ - cells activation, and cytoskeleton restructuring. ${ }^{69,196,203}$

Src is physiologically activated during several cellular events. Conversely, in solid tumor cells, it is overexpressed and hyper-activated. For this reason. it has an important role in the development of metastatic cancer phenotypes; Src is also implicated in several human carcinomas, such as breast, lung and colon cancer ${ }^{186, ~ 204, ~} 205$

SFKs are also involved in the proliferation of cells expressing Bcr-Abl. ${ }^{206}$
Src can be activated by several extracellular molecules, like growth factor receptors, integrins G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs), cytokine receptors, and many others. After its activation, the enzyme phosphorylates different targets to regulate multiple signal transduction pathways such as PI3K/Akt (Fig. 31). ${ }^{207}$


Figure 31 Src signaling pathways ${ }^{69}$

Abl can be activated by phosphorylation of Tyr residue by PDGF and Src or by transphosphorylation. ${ }^{69}$ With its activation, Abl can phosphorylate different substrates involved in signal transduction, like PI3K, Ras, paxillin and FAK (focal adhesion kinase). ${ }^{207,208}$

### 4.3 Inhibitors and activators of c-Src and Bcr-Abl

Having Abl and Src high structural homology, different inhibitors developed for Src exhibited inhibition also for Abl. ${ }^{69}$

The most common dual Src/Abl inhibitors used in therapy are type I inhibitors targeting ATP-binding site in the active conformation mimicking the interaction of the adenine moiety of ATP. ${ }^{69}$ The ATP-binding site is divided in subregions that are shown in Fig. 32: hydrophobic region I and II, ribose region, and phosphate-binding region. ${ }^{209,} 210$ The potency and selectivity of small molecules inhibitors mostly depends on the hydrophobic region I. In the entrance of the pocket there is a specific amino acid, called the gatekeeper, specific for Abl and for Src, Thr315 and Thr388 respectively: The mutation of the gatekeeper T 315 I in Abl is responsible for enzymatic resistance to inhibitors. ${ }^{211-213}$ Dasatinib, a thiazole-carboxamide derivative, is one of this dual inhibitors Src/Abl, and it is used for the therapy of imatinib-resistant CML. ${ }^{69}$ Bosutinib belonging to type I inhibitors is a 7-alkoxy-3-quinolinecarbonitrile derivative; with this inhibitors the proliferation of imatinib resistance tumor cells decreases with the exception of the T315I mutation. ${ }^{214}$


Figure 32 Details of ATP-binding site ${ }^{69}$

Type II inhibitors were only used to target Abl. Imatinib, a milestone in the development history of kinase inhibitors, is a type II inhibitor. It is a Bcr-AbI ATP-competitive inhibitor approved for CML therapy, like nilotinib. ${ }^{69}$ Both these inhibitors target the ATP-binding site when the enzyme is in the closed conformation. The new dual inhibitors of Bcr-Abl and Src, can bind both the ATP-binding site and the SH3 domain. ${ }^{69}$

Type III inhibitors are allosteric, they inhibit the enzyme activity by binding a site remote from the active one. They are used in in the presence of resistance mutation to the first generation ATP-competitive inhibitors. ${ }^{215}$

PP1 and PP2 are pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidines, they are the first dual inhibition, discovered as specific inhibitors for SFK members. Their activity towards Abl was later revealed. ${ }^{216}$


PP1, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ $\mathrm{PP} 2, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Cl}$



Figure 33 Abl inhibitor Imatinib, and dual Src/Abl inhibitors: Dasatinib, PP1 and PP2, and Bosutinib Ponatinib is a specific inhibitor of Bcr-Abl T315I. More in detail it is an ATP-competitive inhibitor, and it has been recently approved for the treatment of resistant CML form, but it has several side effects (Fig.45). ${ }^{217}$ Atixinib is another ATP-competitive inhibitor for Bcr-Abl T315I, developed by Pfizer and it is a promising candidate for the CML resistant form (Fig.34). ${ }^{218}$

In the last few years have been discovered some classes of allosteric inhibitors active towards T315I mutant (Fig.34). ${ }^{218,}{ }^{219}$ Among these drugs, DCC-2036 is a promising candidate that binds the motif used by Abl to switch from the inactive to the active conformation; Other candidates are GNF-2 and GNF-5 that are active towards T315I Bcr-

Abl mutant only in cooperation with I and II generation of ATP-competitive inhibitors (like Imatinib and Dasatinib) (Fig.35). ${ }^{220,221}$






Figure 34 ATP-competitive and allosteric Bcr-Abl T315I inhibitors

PROJECTS

### 5.1 Selective inhibition of carbonic anhydrase IX and XII by coumarin and psoralen derivatives

### 5.1.1 Background

Carbonic anhydrases IX and XII allow the proliferation and invasion of cancer cells, they are necessary for the tumor survival and the malignancy.

Several studies demonstrated that synthetic and natural coumarin derivatives possess high selectivity and activity toward specific hCA isoforms, and they are also able to interact and inhibit others tumoral targets, such as CK2, EFGR, PI3K-AKT-mTOR pathway. ${ }^{222}$

With the purpose to further explore the influences of structural modifications on the coumarin and the psoralen core on the activity and selectivity towards tumoral CAs isoforms, we have designed and synthesized four different series of differently substituted coumarins and psoralenes derivatives (Scheme 1) (Fig. 35).

The EMAC 10155 (a-m) and EMAC 10158 (a-m) series present no substituent in the position 8 or 9 of the coumarin and psoralen nucleus respectively.

The EMAC 10156 (a-m) and EMAC 10159 (a-m) series are characterized by the presence of a methylene carboxyl group in the position 3 of the chromene, that, as already reported, might lead to the formation of a bidentate chelator of the $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ ion in the catalytic pocket of the enzymes. ${ }^{223}$

The EMAC 10157 (a-m) and EMAC 10160 (a-m) series, namely methyl-2-[4-methyl-2-oxo-7-(2-oxo-2-arylethoxyi)-8-propylchromen-3-yl]acetate and 2-(5-methyl-7-oxo-3-aryl-9-propyl-7H-furo[3,2-g]chromen-6-yl)acetic acid respectively, present a methylene carboxyl group in position 3 of the chromene, and a propyl substituent in the position 8 of the coumarin or in the position 9 of the psoralen nucleus.

Finally, the EMAC 10161 (b-m) and EMAC 10162 (b-m) series are characterized by the presence of ethylene carboxyl group in position 3 of the chromene.


Coumarin core


EMAC 10155


EMAC 10156



EMAC 10161


EMAC 10158


EMAC 10159



EMAC 10162

Figure 35 General structure of EMAC 10155, 10156, 10157, 10158, 10159, 10160, 10161, 10162
The synthesis of the series of EMAC 10156 (a-m), EMAC 10159 (a-m), EMAC 10157 (a-m), EMAC 10160 (a-m), EMAC 10161 (b-m) and EMAC 10162 (b-m) is divided in three steps: the first reaction is a Pechmann condensation performed in concentrated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ at room temperature. In this step dimethylacetylsuccinate and either resorcinol or 2methylresorcinol were reacted; $96 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ was used as condensing agent and made unnecessary the use of the solvent (Fig. 36).




Figure 36 Example of Pechmann condensation mechanism
The second step of the synthetic pathway is a Williamson reaction between the phenolic group in the position 7 of the chromene ring and an appropriate $\alpha$-haloketone. The reaction was performed in dry acetone and potassium carbonate as proton scavenger, to generate the corresponding substituted oxoethers which were purified and further reacted to give the formation of furocoumarins. More in detail, the asymmetric ethers were refluxed in NaOH 1 N water solution to form the furan ring by intramolecular electrophilic substitution.

By heating in presence of $\mathrm{NaOH}(1 \mathrm{M})$ the saponification of the ester groups in the position 3 of the chromene to the corresponding substituted 2-(5-methyl-7-oxo-7H-furo[3,2-g]chromen6-yl)acetic acids was observed. The furan ring formation always led to linear furocoumarins as confirmed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra. The formation of the angular isomer was only observed for compound 10162 d, as confirmed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra (Fig.37).

## Linear furocoumarin




Angular furocoumarin



Figure 37 Angular and linear furocoumarins
The synthetic pathway to EMAC 10155 (a-m) and EMAC 10158 (a-m) series consists of only two steps as the Pechmann condensation step is no required.

All synthesized compounds were characterized by means of analytical and spectroscopic methods.





EMAC 10155
EMAC 10156
EMAC 10157
EMAC 10161

EMAC 10155 (a-m)
EMAC 10156 (a-m)
EMAC 10161 ( $\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{m}$ )


EMAC 10158 (a-m) EMAC 10159 (a-m) EMAC 10160 (a-m) EMAC 10162 (a-m)

Scheme 1 General synthesis of compound EMAC 10155 (a-m), 10156 (a-m), 10157(a-m), 10158 (a-m), 10159 (a-m), 10160 (a-m), 10161 (a-m), 10162 (b-m).

### 5.1.2 Biological results and discussion

Compounds EMAC10155 (a-m), EMAC10156 (a-m), EMAC10157(a-m), EMAC10158 (a-m), EMAC10159 (a-m), EMAC10160 (a-m), EMAC10161 (a-m) and EMAC10162 (b-m) were submitted to enzymatic evaluation towards hCA I, II, IX, and XII. The results are reported in Table 3. None of these compounds exhibited any inhibition activity towards hCA I and II isozymes. On the contrary, all of them are nanomolar inhibitors of the hCA tumor associated isoforms IX and XII. This behavior agrees with our previous findings and with the generally observed selectivity profile of coumarin derivatives. ${ }^{223}$

For what concerned hCA XII inhibition, derivates EMAC10159A, EMAC10159D, EMAC10159L and EMAC10159M, that present a methyl group in position 4 of the furocoumarin core, and respectively a 4-methyl phenyl, 4-fluoro phenyl, phenyl and 4chloro phenyl, as substituent in position 8 of the furocoumarin core, results as the most potent inhibitor with $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of $9.1 \mathrm{nM}, 7.4 \mathrm{nM}, 9.4 \mathrm{nM}$ and 9.3 nM , respectively. Interestingly, this derivates, exhibited selectivity towards the isoform XII.

For isoform hCA IX, derivate EMAC10156M, that presents a methyl group in position 4 of the coumarin core and a 4-chloro phenyl substituent bonded with the coumarin core, and derivates EMAC10159A, EMAC10159D and EMAC10159L, are the most potent inhibitors, with $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of $23.6 \mathrm{nM}, 23.0 \mathrm{nM}, 17.7 \mathrm{nM}$ and 17.5 nM respectively. More specific, the compound EMAC10156M, shows selectivity toward the tumor associate isoform IX. The introduction of a methyl group in position 4 of the furocoumarin core, led to an increase of the activity and selectivity toward the CA tumoral associate isoforms.

For what concern about the EMAC10157 and EMAC10160 derivatives, they are selective toward CA IX and XII, but the introduction of a methyl group in position four and a propyl group in position 9 of coumarin and furocoumarin core, led to a general decrease in the activity.

EMAC10160 derivatives with 4-methyl, 4-fluoro and 4-chloro as substituent of the phenyl ring bonded to the coumarin core, show increase of selectivity toward CA XII.

Unfortunately, COX inhibition evaluation for EMAC10155 (a-m), EMAC10156 (a-m), EMAC10157(a-m), EMAC10158 (a-m), EMAC10159 (a-m), EMAC10160 (a-m), EMAC10161 (a-m) and EMAC10162 (b-m) is still in progress.

The data are extremely encouraging and push our group toward further investigation and studies to better clarify and determine the structural determinant features for achieving the best activity and selectivity towards the CA tumoral isoforms

Table 3 Inhibition data towards hCA I, II, IX, and XII of compounds EMAC 10155(a-m), 10158 (a-m), 10156(a-m), 10159(a-m), 10157(a-m), 10160(a-m), 10161(a-m) and 10162(b-m)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10155(a-m) } \\ & \text { 10156(a-m) } \\ & \text { 10157(a-m) } \\ & \text { 10161(a-m) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 158(a-m) <br> 159(a-m) <br> 160(a-m) <br> 161(b-m) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}(\mathrm{nM})^{*}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compound | R | R1 | R2 | R3 | hCA I | hCAll | hCA IX | hCA XII |
| EMAC10155A | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | H | H | >10000 | >10000 | 191.6 | 31.8 |
| EMAC10155B | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | H | H | H | >10000 | >10000 | 107.3 | 44.2 |
| EMAC10155C | $4-\mathrm{Br}$ | H | H | H | >10000 | >10000 | 91.4 | 47.2 |
| EMAC10155D | 4-F | H | H | H | >10000 | >10000 | 130.7 | 46.9 |
| EMAC10155G | 4-Phenyl | H | H | H | >10000 | >10000 | 126.8 | 43.4 |
| EMAC10155H | 2,4-F | H | H | H | >10000 | >10000 | 144.3 | 56.2 |
| EMAC10155L | 4-H | H | H | H | >10000 | >10000 | 97.5 | 80.5 |
| EMAC10155M | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | H | H | H | >10000 | >10000 | 97.6 | 77.5 |
| EMAC10158A | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | H | H | >10000 | >10000 | 151.3 | 91.0 |
| EMAC10158M | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | H | H | H | >10000 | >10000 | 172.7 | 85.2 |


| EMAC10156A** | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | H | >10000 | >10000 | 122.8 | 56.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EMAC10156B | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | H | >10000 | >10000 | 213.4 | 87.4 |
| EMAC10156C | $4-\mathrm{Br}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | H | >10000 | >10000 | 213.4 | 381.2 |
| EMAC10156D** | 4-F | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | H | >10000 | >10000 | 84.7 | 250.0 |
| EMAC10156F | $3-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | H | >10000 | >10000 | 250.4 | 425.9 |
| EMAC10156L** | $4-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | H | >10000 | >10000 | 89.7 | 72.5 |
| EMAC10156M** | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | H | >10000 | >10000 | 23.6 | 446.6 |
| EMAC10159A** | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ | H | >10000 | >10000 | 23.0 | 9.1 |
| EMAC10159B | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ | H | >10000 | >10000 | 898.6 | 75.0 |
| EMAC10159C | $4-\mathrm{Br}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ | H | >10000 | >10000 | 291.2 | 83.9 |
| EMAC10159D** | 4-F | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ | H | >10000 | >10000 | 17.7 | 7.4 |
| EMAC10159L** | 4-H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ | H | >10000 | >10000 | 17.5 | 9.4 |
| EMAC10159M** | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ | H | >10000 | >10000 | 94.7 | 9.3 |
| EMAC10157A*** | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | >10,000 | >10,000 | 247.7 | 350.3 |
| EMAC10157B*** | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | >10,000 | >10,000 | 352.7 | 324.2 |
| EMAC10157D*** | 4-F | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | >10,000 | >10,000 | 239.5 | 257.1 |
| EMAC10157F | $3-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | >10000 | >10000 | 238.5 | 91.0 |
| EMAC10157G*** | 4-Phenyl | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | >10,000 | >10,000 | 135.2 | 283.1 |


| EMAC10157H | 2,4-F | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | >10000 | >10000 | 154.2 | 86.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EMAC10157L | $4-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | >10000 | >10000 | 957.0 | 79.8 |
| EMAC10157M | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | >10000 | >10000 | 239.2 | 344.1 |
| EMAC10160A*** | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | >10,000 | >10,000 | 467.3 | 758.1 |
| EMAC10160B*** | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | >10,000 | >10,000 | 489.3 | 859.4 |
| EMAC10160D*** | 4-F | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | >10,000 | >10,000 | 379.7 | 460.0 |
| EMAC10160G*** | 4-Phenyl | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | >10,000 | >10,000 | 397.7 | 550.0 |
| EMAC10160L | $4-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | >10000 | >10000 | 291.7 | 469.6 |
| EMAC10160M | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | >10000 | >10000 | 310.5 | 481.4 |
| EMAC10161A | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | H | >10000 | >10000 | 82.5 | 67.8 |
| EMAC10161B | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | H | >10000 | >10000 | 278.8 | 226.7 |
| EMAC10161D | 4-F | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | H | >10000 | >10000 | 238.4 | 64.8 |
| EMAC10161G | 4-Phenyl | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | H | >10000 | >10000 | 126.7 | 92.6 |
| EMAC10161H | 2,4-F | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | H | >10000 | >10000 | 80.9 | 92.4 |
| EMAC10161L | 4-H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | H | >10000 | >10000 | 278.1 | 480.0 |
| EMAC10161M | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | H | >10000 | >10000 | 87.1 | 36.1 |
| EMAC10162B | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ | H | >10000 | >10000 | 232.8 | 79.9 |
| EMAC10162D | 4-F | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ | H | >10000 | >10000 | 297.0 | 438.2 |


| EMAC10162G | 4-Phenyl | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ | H | $>10000$ | $>10000$ | 892.3 | 82.9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EMAC10162L | $4-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ | H | $>10000$ | $>10000$ | 283.8 | 98.1 |
| EMAC10162M | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ | H | $>10000$ | $>10000$ | 261.6 | 295.1 |
| AAZ |  |  |  |  | 250.0 | 12.1 | 25.8 | 5.7 |

*Mean from 3 different assays, by a stopped flow technique (errors were in the range of $\pm 5-10 \%$ of the reported values).

### 5.1.3 Conclusions

I have designed and synthesized four different series of coumarin derivates and four series of psoralen derivates: EMAC10155 (a-m), EMAC10156 (a-m), EMAC10157(a-m), EMAC10158 (a-m), EMAC10159 (a-m), EMAC10160 (a-m), EMAC10161 (a-m) and EMAC10162 (b-m), to evaluate their activity and selectivity toward on hCA I, II, IX, and XII isozymes, and to obtain information on their structure-activity relationships. All compounds show selectivity toward the tumor associate isozymes IX and XII, in the nanomolar range, and none of they were able to inhibit the off-target isoforms I and II, confirming the literature reported chromene derivatives selectivity profile. According to these results, psoralen and coumarins derivates could be considered as promising scaffolds for design of highly selective inhibitors of the hCAs IX-XII isoforms. These results are very encouraging and pushed us to further investigate these derivatives, in order to identify potential candidates for the treatment of hypoxic tumors.

# 5.2 New 4-((4-oxo-2-phenylthiazolidin-3-yl)amino)benzenesulfonamide synthesis and inhibitory activity toward carbonic anhydrase I, II, IX, XII and the potential dual CA/COX-2 inhibition 

### 5.2.1 Background

Tumors are a multifactorial disease, characterized by extremal condition caused by different factors such as the deregulation of several enzymes' activity. In this respect hCAs IX and XII, and COX-2 were reported to be involved in the survival and progression of tumor cells. On these bases, the idea to design and synthesize multi-target inhibitors directed to both hCA and COX-2 is very attractive.

During my PhD, I have synthesized different series of potential multi-target agents to investigate on the structural requisites for the selective inhibition of tumor overexpressed hCAs and COX-2 enzymes. Identification of a common structural denominator between hCA and COX-2 inhibitors was the starting point to design multi-target compounds. Indeed, both inhibitors of the two enzyme families present a primary sulfonamide moiety in the majority of the most effective agents. Celecoxib and valdecoxib (Fig. 24 e 25) already demonstrated to have inhibitory activity towards both COX-2 and cancer related hCA enzymes.

Therefore, a structural model has been studied with the aim to satisfy both enzyme pharmacophoric requirements: the benzensulphonamide group turned out to be not only efficient for the inhibition of COX-2 enzyme, but also capable to coordinate the hCAs zinc cofactor in the catalytic site. On these bases, we have designed potential dual inhibitors were the benzensulphonamide moiety, binds a differently substituted central heterocyclic core through a linker. (Fig.38).


Figure 38 General structure of EMAC 10190 and EMAC 10191
According to this model, different series of 4-((4-oxo-2-phenylthiazolidin-3$y$ l)amino)benzensulphonamide have been synthesized. All compounds are characterized by the presence of a thiazole core, substituted in the position 2 with various aromatic groups with different electronic and stearic features.

The synthesis of EMAC 10191 (b-I) is subdivided in three steps (Scheme 2); the second step led to the formation of compounds, EMAC 10190 (b-I), which can be further reacted to give derivatives EMAC 10191.

ii


Scheme 2 Synthesis of derivates EMAC10190 (b-I) and EMAC10191 (b-I): (i.) Hydrazine, MW, 250 psi, $1 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (ii.) benzaldehyde, acetic acid, $\mathrm{MeOH}, \Delta$; (iii.) mercaptoacetic acid, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

To find the best synthetic pathway, trials were done by using different strategies. Among these, very interestingly, a one-pot two-step synthetic procedure was proposed for the preparation of the EMAC 10191 (b-I) derivatives.


All synthesized compounds were characterized by means of analytical and spectroscopic methods.

### 5.2.2 Biological results and discussion

All the synthetized compounds EMAC10190 (b-k) and EMAC10191 (b-k) were submitted to enzymatic assay to evaluate their activity and selectivity towards human CA isozymes I, II, IX, XII. The results are illustrated in Table 4. Different activities towards CA isoforms were observed, with the majority of the compounds showing $K_{i}$ values between the high to the low nanomolar range. In particular, most of the compounds exhibited interesting inhibition $K_{i}$ values towards CA IX. EMAC10190 D and EMAC10191 D, that present 4-acethoxy-3-methoxy phenyl as substitution, are the most potent inhibitors towards the tumoral isoform CA IX, with $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ value 5.3 nM and 7.5 nM respectively. Most of EMAC10191 derivatives (except for EMAC10191C and EMAC10191D) were selective against hCA II, suggesting that the introduction of a thiazole spacer led to inhibition of CA II; these derivatives can be used for a different therapeutic application.

Unfortunately, COX inhibition evaluation for EMAC10190 (b-k) and EMAC10191 (b-k) is still in progress.

Table 4 Inhibition data towards hCA I, II, IX, and XII of compounds EMAC 10190 (b-I) and 10191(b-I)

|  |  |  |  |  | 191(b-l) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}(\mathrm{nM})^{*}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compound | R | hCA I | hCAII | hCA IX | hCA XII |
| EMAC10190B | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 792.4 | 43.3 | 41.0 | 78.1 |
| EMAC10190C | 3,4,5-OCH3 | 5093 | 621.7 | 66.4 | 40.0 |
| EMAC10190D | 4-Acethoxy-3-OCH3 | 727.2 | 26.5 | 5.3 | 80.7 |
| EMAC10190F | 2-Naphtal | 5400 | 81.9 | 208.7 | 54.7 |
| EMAC10190G | 1-Naphtal | 17.5 | 626.2 | 92.1 | 67.7 |
| EMAC10190H | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}-3-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 3365 | 826.0 | 270.8 | 74.7 |
| EMAC10190J | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 2210 | 287.8 | 69.7 | 268.7 |
| EMAC10190K | 4-F | 441.3 | 73.9 | 41.8 | 82.2 |
| EMAC10190L | 4-Cl | 83.4 | 8.5 | 9.6 | 154.2 |
| EMAC10191B | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 42.5 | 6.5 | 7.3 | 325.7 |
| EMAC10191C | 3,4,5-OCH3 | 403.1 | 8.8 | 8.3 | 63.5 |
| EMAC10191D | 4-Acethoxy-3-OCH3 | 87.9 | 22.6 | 7.5 | 83.6 |
| EMAC10191F | 2-Naphtal | 72.4 | 6.8 | 23.6 | 91.2 |
| EMAC10191G | 1-Naphtal | 63.8 | 5.1 | 8.3 | 158.7 |
| EMAC10191H | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}-3-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 703.8 | 3.9 | 8.7 | 65.7 |
| EMAC10191J | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 51.5 | 5.1 | 8.6 | 83.8 |
| EMAC10191K | 4-F | 16.7 | 4.5 | 5.7 | 39.5 |
| EMAC10191L | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 26.8 | 3.2 | 5.3 | 42.2 |
| AAZ |  | 250.0 | 12.1 | 25.8 | 5.7 |

[^0]
### 5.2.3 Conclusions

Two series of potential dual inhibitors have been synthetized, in order to simultaneously obtain the block of a tumor associated inflammatory process and the mechanisms that the tumor cell activates to survive in hypoxic conditions.

The substitution of the phenyl ring bonded to the hydrazine spacer or to the thiazole spacer is determinant to the selectivity toward the CA tumoral isoform, in particular toward the isoform IX.

Hopefully, more data will be available in the next future and will guide us to the design and synthesis of new derivatives. For this reason, these compounds deserve further exploration and might constitute the basis for future development.

### 5.3 Evaluation of drug like properties

### 5.3.1 Background

It is well recognized that potential ADMET problems should be addressed at the early stages of drug discovery during the identification and optimization. This has been recognized as a significant cause of high rates of attrition in drug discovery.

Nearly $40 \%$ of drugs under development fail to pass clinical trials due to poor ADME. The ability to identify problematic candidates can dramatically reduce the amount of time and resources wasted and streamline the overall development process.

Among the properties analyzed, those described by Lipinsky's rule are the best known. Researcher Christopher Lipinsky in 1997 devised a simple algorithm that turns out to be very important for the design and development of orally absorbed drugs. The rule, properly called the "rule of 5 (Ro5)", is based on five simple foundations:
-Molecular weight < 500

- AlogP < 5, a measure of lipophilicity
-Hydrogen-bond donors < 5 bonds
-Hydrogen-bond acceptor < 10 bonds
-Rotatable bonds < 10
This criterion is very useful in the chemical-pharmaceutical field to simplify and favor the field of investigation, and thus drastically reduce the number of molecules among which a candidate molecule could be explored as a potential drug. ${ }^{225}$

There has been significant effort in developing more comprehensive and quantitative rules of drug-likeness.

To the properties described by Lipinsky, other properties have been added that define important molecular characteristics for the different phases of absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion of drugs, such as: solubility, ${ }^{226}$ surface exposed to the solvent, molecular volume, overcoming of the blood-brain barrier, permeability of Caco cells -2 (colorectal Carcinoma) and MDCK (Madin - Darby Canine Kidney), binding to albumin, blocking the HERG K + (Human-a-go-go- Related Gene) channel, ${ }^{227}$ are some examples., ${ }^{4}$, 228,

Computational methods can help to predict, in silico, these properties and several programs suites implemented tools that help the researcher to evaluate the drug-likeness of compounds have been developed.

Qikprop predicts physically significant descriptors and pharmaceutically relevant properties of organic molecules. ${ }^{225,226}$ It runs in normal mode predicting 44 properties (Tab. 5).

Table 5 QikProp properties and descriptors.

|  |  |  |  |  | RANGE OR <br> RECOMMENDED <br> VALUES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MOLECULE NAME | Molecule name taken from the title line in the input <br> structure file. If the title line is blank, the input file name is <br> used. <br> Number of property or descriptor values that fall outside <br> the 95\% range of similar values for known drugs. Outlying <br> descriptors and predicted properties are denoted with <br> asterisks (*) in the .out file. A large number of stars <br> suggests that a molecule is less drug-like than molecules <br> with few stars. The following properties and descriptors are <br> included in the determination of \#stars: MW, dipole, IP, EA, | $0-5$ |  |  |  |
| \#STARS | SASA, FOSA, FISA, PISA, WPSA, PSA, volume, \#rotor, <br> donorHB, accptHB, glob, QPpolrz, QplogPC16, QplogPoct, | QplogPw, QplogPo/w, logS, QPLogKhsa, QplogBB, \#metabol |  |  |  |
| \#AMINE | Number of non-conjugated amine groups. | $0-1$ |  |  |  |
| \#AMIDINE | Number of amidine and guanidine groups. <br> \#ACID | Number of carboxylic acid groups. <br> \#AMIDE |  |  |  |
| Number of non-conjugated amide groups. | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| \#ROTOR | Number of non-trivial (not CX3), non-hindered (not alkene, <br> amide, small ring) rotatable bonds. | $0-15$ |  |  |  |
| \#RTVFG | Number of reactive functional groups; the specific groups <br> are listed in the jobname .out file. The presence of these <br> groups can lead to false positives in HTS assays and to <br> decomposition, reactivity, or toxicity problems in vivo. | $0-2$ |  |  |  |


| PISA | $\pi$ (carbon and attached hydrogen) component of the SASA. | 0.0-450.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WPSA | Weakly polar component of the SASA (halogens, P, and S). | 0.0-175.0 |
| VOLUME | Total solvent-accessible volume in cubic angstroms using a probe with a 1.4 Å radius. | 500.0-2000.0 |
| DONORHB | Estimated number of hydrogen bonds that would be donated by the solute to water molecules in an aqueous solution. Values are averages taken over a number of configurations, so they can be non-integer. | 0.0-6.0 |
| ACCPTHB | Estimated number of hydrogen bonds that would be accepted by the solute from water molecules in an aqueous solution. Values are averages taken over a number of configurations, so they can be non-integer. | 2.0-20.0 |
| DIP^2/V ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ | Square of the dipole moment divided by the molecular volume. This is the key term in the Kirkwood-Onsager equation for the free energy of solvation of a dipole with volume $V$. | 0.0-0.13 |
| ACXDN^.5/SA | Index of cohesive interaction in solids. This term represents the relationship (accptHB)(donorHB))/(SA). | 0.0-0.05 |
| GLOB | Globularity descriptor, $\left(4 \pi r^{2}\right) /(S A S A)$, where $r$ is the radius of a sphere with a volume equal to the molecular volume. Globularity is 1.0 for a spherical molecule. | 0.75-0.95 |
| QPPOLRZ | Predicted polarizability in cubic angstroms. | 13.0-70.0 |
| QPLOGPC16 | Predicted hexadecane/gas partition coefficient. | 4.0-18.0 |
| QPLOGPOCT $\ddagger$ | Predicted octanol/gas partition coefficient. | 8.0-35.0 |
| QPLOGPW | Predicted water/gas partition coefficient. | 4.0-45.0 |
| QPLOGPO/W | Predicted octanol/water partition coefficient. | -2.0-6.5 |
| QPLOGS | Predicted aqueous solubility, $\log \mathrm{S} . \mathrm{S}$ in $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ is the concentration of the solute in a saturated solution that is in equilibrium with the crystalline solid. | -6.5-0.5 |
| CIQPLOGS | Conformation-independent predicted aqueous solubility, $\log \mathrm{S} . \mathrm{S}$ in $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ is the concentration of the solute in a saturated solution that is in equilibrium with the crystalline solid. | -6.5-0.5 |
| QPLOGHERG | Predicted $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value for blockage of HERG $\mathrm{K}^{+}$channels. | Concern below -5 |
| QPPCACO | Predicted apparent Caco-2 cell permeability in $\mathrm{nm} / \mathrm{sec}$. Caco-2 cells are a model for the gut blood barrier. QikProp predictions are for non-active transport. | $\begin{aligned} & <25 \text { poor, } \\ & >500 \text { great } \end{aligned}$ |
| QPLOGBB | Predicted brain/blood partition coefficient. Note: QikProp predictions are for orally delivered drugs so, for example, dopamine and serotonin are CNS negative because they are too polar to cross the blood-brain barrier | -3.0-1.2 |
| QPPMDCK | Predicted apparent MDCK cell permeability in $\mathrm{nm} / \mathrm{sec}$. MDCK cells are considered to be a good mimic for the blood-brain barrier. QikProp predictions are for non-active transport. | <25 poor, $>500$ great |
| QPLOGKP | Predicted skin permeability, $\log K_{\mathrm{p}}$. | -8.0--1.0 |


| IP(EV) ${ }^{\text {+ }}$ | PM3 calculated ionization potential. | 7.9-10.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EA(EV) $\dagger$ | PM3 calculated electron affinity. | -0.9-1.7 |
| \#METAB $\ddagger$ | Number of likely metabolic reactions. | 1-8 |
| QPLOGKHSA | Prediction of binding to human serum albumin. | -1.5-1.5 |
| HUMANORAL ABSORPTION | Predicted qualitative human oral absorption: 1, 2, or 3 for low, medium, or high. The text version is reported in the output. The assessment uses a knowledge-based set of rules, including checking for suitable values of PercentHumanOralAbsorption, number of metabolites, number of rotatable bonds, logP, solubility and cell permeability. |  |
| PERCENTHUMAN ORALABSORPTION | Predicted human oral absorption on 0 to $100 \%$ scale. The prediction is based on a quantitative multiple linear regression model. This property usually correlates well with HumanOralAbsorption, as both measure the same property. | $80 \%$ is high <br> $<25 \%$ is poor |
| SAFLUORINE | Solvent-accessible surface area of fluorine atoms. | 0.0-100.0 |
| SAAMIDEO | Solvent-accessible surface area of amide oxygen atoms. | 0.0-35.0 |
| PSA | Van der Waals surface area of polar nitrogen and oxygen atoms. | 7.0-200.0 |
| \#NANDO | Number of nitrogen and oxygen atoms. | 2-15 |

The range is valid for $95 \%$ of known drugs.
Such an approach provides molecular properties employing QSAR calculation or similarity validated approaches. ${ }^{230}$ The comparison of the predicted value for the novel compounds with respect to the $95 \%$ of known drugs help to estimate their drug-likeness.

Compounds that fall within the defined ranges are described as 'drug-like'.

### 5.3.1 Biological results and discussion

Hence, to estimate the drug-likeness of the compounds, we carried out in silico ADMET prediction. ${ }^{231}$ In particular, here we report a selection of the predicted properties, the most significant investigated using the Qikprop ${ }^{232}$ software for all the series synthesized. The analysis of the predicted properties reveals the good drug-likeness of the compounds. However, the enormous quantity of data collected does not allow to enter all the properties values calculated.

For each series of compounds two tables are reported containing:

- Structural properties under Lipinski's rule five (RO5) and other physicochemical properties;
- Other properties that could affect the pharmacokinetics and the safety of the compounds.

As it is shown in all the tables, most of the predicted properties are within the ranges specified with few exceptions.

Table 6 Predicted properties for the series EMAC10155

| Molecule | \#stars | MW | HBD | HBA | QplogPo/w | rot | PSA | QplogS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $0-5$ | $130-725$ | $0-6$ | $2-20$ | $-2-6.5$ | $0-15$ | $7-200$ | $-6.5-0.5$ |
| EMAC10155A | 0 | 294,3 | 0 | 4 | 2,6 | 4 | 75,8 | $-3,5$ |
| EMAC10155B | 0 | 310,3 | 0 | 5 | 2,3 | 5 | 84,0 | $-3,1$ |
| EMAC10155C | 0 | 359,2 | 0 | 4 | 2,8 | 4 | 75,8 | $-3,8$ |
| EMAC10155D | 0 | 298,3 | 0 | 4 | 2,5 | 4 | 75,8 | $-3,3$ |
| EMAC10155E | 1 | 325,3 | 0 | 5 | 1,5 | 5 | 120,8 | $-3,0$ |
| EMAC10155F | 2 | 325,3 | 0 | 5 | 1,5 | 5 | 120,7 | $-3,0$ |
| EMAC10155G | 1 | 356,4 | 0 | 4 | 3,9 | 5 | 75,8 | $-5,1$ |
| EMAC10155H | 0 | 316,3 | 0 | 4 | 2,6 | 4 | 75,7 | $-3,5$ |
| EMAC10155I | 0 | 349,2 | 0 | 4 | 3,1 | 4 | 74,5 | $-4,3$ |
| EMAC10155L | 0 | 280,3 | 0 | 4 | 2,3 | 4 | 75,8 | $-2,9$ |
| EMAC10155M | 0 | 314,7 | 0 | 4 | 2,8 | 4 | 75,8 | $-3,7$ |

Table 7 Predicted properties for the series EMAC10156

| Molecule | \#stars | MW | HBD | HBA | QplogPo/w | \#rotor | PSA | QplogS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $0-5$ | $130-725$ | $0-6$ | $2-20$ | $-2-6.5$ | $0-15$ | $7-200$ | $-6.5-0.5$ |
| EMAC10156A | 0 | 380,4 | 0 | 6 | 3,0 | 6 | 107,2 | $-4,8$ |
| EMAC10156B | 0 | 396,4 | 0 | 7 | 2,8 | 7 | 115,5 | $-4,3$ |
| EMAC10156C | 0 | 445,3 | 0 | 6 | 3,3 | 6 | 107,2 | $-5,0$ |
| EMAC10156D | 0 | 384,4 | 0 | 6 | 3,0 | 6 | 107,2 | $-4,5$ |
| EMAC10156E | 1 | 411,4 | 0 | 7 | 2,0 | 7 | 152,2 | $-4,2$ |
| EMAC10156F | 2 | 411,4 | 0 | 7 | 2,0 | 7 | 152,1 | $-4,2$ |
| EMAC10156G | 0 | 442,5 | 0 | 6 | 4,4 | 7 | 107,2 | $-6,3$ |
| EMAC10156H | 0 | 402,4 | 0 | 6 | 3,1 | 6 | 107,1 | $-4,7$ |
| EMAC10156I | 0 | 435,3 | 0 | 6 | 3,7 | 6 | 105,9 | $-5,5$ |
| EMAC10156L | 0 | 366,4 | 0 | 6 | 2,7 | 6 | 107,2 | $-4,1$ |


| EMAC10156M | 0 | 400,8 | 0 | 6 | 3,2 | 6 | 107,2 | $-4,9$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 8 Predicted properties for the series EMAC10157
Molecule \#stars MW HBD HBA QplogPo/w \#rotor PSA QplogS $\begin{array}{llllllll}0-5 & 130-725 & 0-6 & 2-20 & -2-6.5 & 0-15 & 7-200 & -6.5-0.5\end{array}$

| EMAC10157A | 0 | 422,5 | 0 | 6 | 4,4 | 8 | 104,3 | $-6,4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EMAC10157B | 0 | 438,5 | 0 | 7 | 4,0 | 9 | 112,5 | $-5,5$ |
| EMAC10157C | 0 | 487,3 | 0 | 6 | 4,5 | 8 | 104,2 | $-6,3$ |
| EMAC10157D | 0 | 426,4 | 0 | 6 | 4,2 | 8 | 104,2 | $-5,8$ |
| EMAC10157E | 1 | 453,4 | 0 | 7 | 3,2 | 9 | 149,2 | $-5,5$ |
| EMAC10157F | 2 | 453,4 | 0 | 7 | 3,2 | 9 | 149,2 | $-5,4$ |
| EMAC10157G | 2 | 484,5 | 0 | 6 | 5,6 | 9 | 104,3 | $-7,5$ |
| EMAC10157H | 0 | 444,4 | 0 | 6 | 4,3 | 8 | 104,1 | $-5,9$ |
| EMAC10157I | 0 | 477,3 | 0 | 6 | 4,3 | 8 | 102,0 | $-5,5$ |
| EMAC10157L | 0 | 408,5 | 0 | 6 | 3,9 | 8 | 104,2 | $-5,4$ |
| EMAC10157M | 0 | 442,9 | 0 | 6 | 4,4 | 8 | 104,2 | $-6,2$ |

Table 9 Predicted properties for the series EMAC10158
Molecule \#stars MW HBD HBA QPlogPo/w \#rotor PSA QPlogS 0-5 $130-725 \quad 0-6 \quad 2-20 \quad-2-6.5 \quad 0-15 \quad 7-200.0$-6.5-0.5

| EMAC10158A | 0 | 276,3 | 0 | 3 | 3,4 | 0 | 49,3 | $-4,2$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EMAC10158B | 0 | 292,3 | 0 | 4 | 3,1 | 1 | 57,6 | $-3,7$ |
| EMAC10158C | 0 | 341,2 | 0 | 3 | 3,7 | 0 | 49,3 | $-4,5$ |
| EMAC10158D | 0 | 280,3 | 0 | 3 | 3,3 | 0 | 49,3 | $-3,9$ |
| EMAC10158E | 0 | 307,3 | 0 | 4 | 2,3 | 1 | 94,3 | $-3,6$ |
| EMAC10158F | 0 | 307,3 | 0 | 4 | 2,3 | 1 | 94,3 | $-3,6$ |
| EMAC10158G | 1 | 338,4 | 0 | 3 | 4,8 | 1 | 49,3 | $-5,7$ |
| EMAC10158H | 0 | 298,2 | 0 | 3 | 3,5 | 0 | 49,3 | $-4,1$ |
| EMAC10158I | 0 | 331,2 | 0 | 3 | 4,0 | 0 | 49,3 | $-4,7$ |
| EMAC10158L | 0 | 262,3 | 0 | 3 | 3,1 | 0 | 49,3 | $-3,6$ |
| EMAC10158M | 0 | 296,7 | 0 | 3 | 3,6 | 0 | 49,3 | $-4,3$ |

Table 10 Predicted properties for the series EMAC10159

| Molecule | \#stars | MW | HBD | HBA | QPlogPo/w | \#rotor | PSA | QPlogS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $0-5$ | $130-725$ | $0-6$ | $2-20$ | $-2-6.5$ | $0-15$ | $7-200.0$ | $-6.5-0.5$ |
| EMAC10159A | 0 | 348,4 | 1 | 5 | 3,6 | 2 | 94,8 | $-4,9$ |
| EMAC10159B | 0 | 364,4 | 1 | 6 | 3,4 | 3 | 103,0 | $-4,6$ |
| EMAC10159C | 0 | 413,2 | 1 | 5 | 3,8 | 2 | 94,8 | $-5,2$ |
| EMAC10159D | 0 | 352,3 | 1 | 5 | 3,5 | 2 | 94,8 | $-4,7$ |
| EMAC10159E | 0 | 379,3 | 1 | 6 | 2,6 | 3 | 139,8 | $-4,5$ |
| EMAC10159F | 0 | 379,3 | 1 | 6 | 2,6 | 3 | 139,8 | $-4,5$ |
| EMAC10159G | 0 | 410,4 | 1 | 5 | 4,9 | 3 | 94,8 | $-6,4$ |
| EMAC10159H | 0 | 370,3 | 1 | 5 | 3,6 | 2 | 94,8 | $-4,9$ |
| EMAC10159I | 0 | 403,2 | 1 | 5 | 4,2 | 2 | 94,8 | $-5,6$ |
| EMAC10159L | 0 | 334,3 | 1 | 5 | 3,3 | 2 | 94,8 | $-4,4$ |
| EMAC10159M | 0 | 368,8 | 1 | 5 | 3,8 | 2 | 94,8 | $-5,1$ |

Table 11 Predicted properties for the series EMAC10160

| Molecule | \#stars | MW | HBD | HBA | QPlogPo/w | \#rotor | PSA | QPlogS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $0-5$ | $130-725$ | $0-6$ | $2-20$ | $-2-6.5$ | $0-15$ | $7-200.0$ | $-6.5-0.5$ |
| EMAC10160A | 0 | 390,4 | 1 | 5 | 4,7 | 4 | 92,8 | $-6,3$ |
| EMAC10160B | 0 | 406,4 | 1 | 6 | 4,5 | 5 | 101,1 | $-5,9$ |
| EMAC10160C | 1 | 455,3 | 1 | 5 | 4,9 | 4 | 92,8 | $-6,6$ |
| EMAC10160D | 0 | 394,4 | 1 | 5 | 4,6 | 4 | 92,8 | $-6,1$ |
| EMAC10160E | 0 | 421,4 | 1 | 6 | 3,7 | 5 | 137,9 | $-5,9$ |
| EMAC10160F | 0 | 421,4 | 1 | 6 | 3,7 | 5 | 137,8 | $-5,9$ |
| EMAC10160G | 1 | 452,5 | 1 | 5 | 6,0 | 5 | 92,8 | $-7,8$ |
| EMAC10160H | 0 | 412,4 | 1 | 5 | 4,7 | 4 | 92,8 | $-6,3$ |
| EMAC10160I | 1 | 445,3 | 1 | 5 | 5,3 | 4 | 92,9 | $-7,0$ |
| EMAC10160L | 0 | 376,4 | 1 | 5 | 4,4 | 4 | 92,8 | $-5,7$ |
| EMAC10160M | 0 | 410,9 | 1 | 5 | 4,9 | 4 | 92,9 | $-6,5$ |

Table 12 Predicted properties for the series EMAC10161

| Molecule | \#stars | MW | HBD | HBA | QPlogPo/w | \#rotor | PSA | QPlogS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $0-5$ | $130-725$ | $0-6$ | $2-20$ | $-2-6.5$ | $0-15$ | $7-200.0$ | $-6.5-0.5$ |
| EMAC10161A | 0 | 408,5 | 0 | 6 | 3,8 | 8 | 108,1 | $-5,6$ |
| EMAC10161B | 0 | 424,4 | 0 | 7 | 3,5 | 9 | 116,4 | $-5,2$ |
| EMAC10161C | 2 | 473,3 | 0 | 6 | 4,2 | 8 | 108,1 | $-6,7$ |
| EMAC10161D | 0 | 412,4 | 0 | 6 | 3,7 | 8 | 108,1 | $-5,4$ |
| EMAC10161E | 1 | 439,4 | 0 | 7 | 2,7 | 9 | 153,1 | $-5,1$ |
| EMAC10161F | 2 | 439,4 | 0 | 7 | 2,8 | 9 | 152,9 | $-5,8$ |
| EMAC10161G | 2 | 470,5 | 0 | 6 | 5,1 | 9 | 108,1 | $-7,2$ |
| EMAC10161H | 0 | 430,4 | 0 | 6 | 3,9 | 8 | 107,2 | $-5,7$ |
| EMAC10161I | 0 | 463,3 | 0 | 6 | 4,4 | 8 | 106,8 | $-6,3$ |
| EMAC10161L | 0 | 394,4 | 0 | 6 | 3,4 | 8 | 108,1 | $-5,0$ |
| EMAC10161M | 0 | 428,9 | 0 | 6 | 4,0 | 8 | 108,1 | $-5,8$ |

Table 13 Predicted properties for the series EMAC10162

| Molecule | \#stars | MW | HBD | HBA | QPlogPo/w | \#rotor | PSA | QPlogS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $0-5$ | $130-725$ | $0-6$ | $2-20$ | $-2-6.5$ | $0-15$ | $7-200.0$ | $-6.5-0.5$ |
| EMAC10162A | 0 | 362,4 | 1 | 5 | 3,9 | 3 | 97,2 | $-5,3$ |
| EMAC10162B | 0 | 378,4 | 1 | 6 | 3,6 | 4 | 105,5 | $-4,9$ |
| EMAC10162C | 0 | 427,3 | 1 | 5 | 4,1 | 3 | 97,2 | $-5,6$ |
| EMAC10162D | 0 | 366,3 | 1 | 5 | 3,8 | 3 | 97,2 | $-5,1$ |
| EMAC10162E | 0 | 393,4 | 1 | 6 | 2,8 | 4 | 142,2 | $-4,9$ |
| EMAC10162F | 0 | 393,4 | 1 | 6 | 2,8 | 4 | 142,2 | $-4,9$ |
| EMAC10162G | 1 | 424,5 | 1 | 5 | 5,2 | 4 | 97,2 | $-6,7$ |
| EMAC10162H | 0 | 384,3 | 1 | 5 | 3,9 | 3 | 97,2 | $-5,3$ |
| EMAC10162I | 0 | 417,2 | 1 | 5 | 4,4 | 3 | 97,2 | $-6,0$ |
| EMAC10162L | 0 | 348,4 | 1 | 5 | 3,5 | 3 | 97,2 | $-4,7$ |
| EMAC10162M | 0 | 382,8 | 1 | 5 | 4,0 | 3 | 97,2 | $-5,5$ |

Table 14 Predicted properties for the series EMAC10190

| Molecule | \#stars | MW | HBD | HBA | QPlogPo/w | \#rotor | PSA | QPlogS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $0-5$ | $130-725$ | $0-6$ | $2-20$ | $-2-6.5$ | $0-15$ | $7-200.0$ | $-6.5-0.5$ |
| EMAC10190aE | 0 | 318,4 | 3 | 7 | 1,5 | 7 | 90,8 | $-3,5$ |
| EMAC10190aZ | 1 | 318,4 | 3 | 7 | 1,5 | 7 | 89,7 | $-3,5$ |
| EMAC10190bZ | 0 | 289,4 | 3 | 6 | 1,4 | 6 | 86,4 | $-3,2$ |
| EMAC10190bE | 0 | 289,4 | 3 | 6 | 1,4 | 6 | 87,4 | $-3,2$ |
| EMAC10190cZ | 0 | 365,4 | 3 | 8 | 1,3 | 9 | 109,8 | $-3,2$ |
| EMAC10190cE | 0 | 365,4 | 3 | 8 | 1,4 | 9 | 110,7 | $-3,4$ |
| EMAC10190dZ | 0 | 363,4 | 3 | 9 | 0,5 | 8 | 133,3 | $-3,0$ |
| EMAC10190dE | 0 | 363,4 | 3 | 9 | 0,5 | 8 | 134,3 | $-3,2$ |
| EMAC10190eZ | 0 | 341,4 | 4 | 7 | 1,3 | 7 | 104,2 | $-3,3$ |
| EMAC10190eE | 0 | 341,4 | 4 | 7 | 1,3 | 7 | 105,0 | $-3,3$ |
| EMAC10190fZ | 0 | 325,4 | 3 | 6 | 1,5 | 6 | 86,4 | $-3,8$ |
| EMAC10190fE | 0 | 325,4 | 3 | 6 | 1,5 | 6 | 87,4 | $-3,8$ |
| EMAC10190gZ | 0 | 325,4 | 3 | 6 | 1,6 | 6 | 86,7 | $-4,3$ |
| EMAC10190gE | 0 | 325,4 | 3 | 6 | 1,5 | 6 | 87,0 | $-3,7$ |
| EMAC10190hZ | 0 | 349,4 | 3 | 8 | 1,6 | 9 | 101,4 | $-3,5$ |
| EMAC10190hE | 0 | 349,4 | 3 | 8 | 1,6 | 9 | 102,4 | $-3,8$ |
| EMAC10190iZ | 0 | 311,3 | 3 | 6 | 1,5 | 6 | 86,3 | $-3,3$ |
| EMAC10190iE | 0 | 311,3 | 3 | 6 | 1,5 | 6 | 87,4 | $-3,3$ |
| EMAC10190jZ | 0 | 305,4 | 3 | 7 | 1,1 | 7 | 94,7 | $-2,9$ |
| EMAC10190jE | 0 | 305,4 | 3 | 7 | 1,1 | 7 | 95,7 | $-3,0$ |
| EMAC10190kZ | 0 | 293,3 | 3 | 6 | 1,3 | 6 | 86,4 | $-3,0$ |
| EMAC10190kE | 0 | 293,3 | 3 | 6 | 1,3 | 6 | 87,4 | $-3,1$ |
| EMAC10190IZ | 0 | 309,8 | 3 | 6 | 1,5 | 6 | 86,4 | $-3,4$ |
| EMAC10190IE | 0 | 309,8 | 3 | 6 | 1,5 | 6 | 87,4 | $-3,4$ |
| EMAC10190mZ | 0 | 265,3 | 3 | 7 | 0,5 | 6 | 93,5 | $-2,2$ |
| EMAC10190mE | 0 | 265,3 | 3 | 7 | 0,5 | 6 | 97,1 | $-2,2$ |

Table 15 Predicted properties for the series EMAC10191
Molecule \#stars MW HBD HBA QPlogPo/w \#rotor PSA QPlogS

|  | 0-5 | 130-725 | 0-6 | 2-20 | -2-6.5 | 0-15 | 7-200.0 | -6.5-0.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EMAC10191aR | 0 | 392,5 | 3 | 9 | 1,6 | 5 | 108,1 | -4,5 |
| EMAC10191bS | 0 | 363,4 | 3 | 8 | 1,5 | 4 | 104,7 | -4,3 |
| EMAC10191bR | 0 | 363,4 | 3 | 8 | 1,5 | 4 | 104,6 | -4,2 |
| EMAC10191cS | 0 | 439,5 | 3 | 10 | 1,3 | 7 | 128,8 | -2,9 |
| EMAC10191cR | 0 | 439,5 | 3 | 10 | 1,3 | 7 | 129,1 | -2,8 |
| EMAC10191dS | 0 | 437,5 | 3 | 11 | 0,4 | 6 | 152,1 | -2,8 |
| EMAC10191dR | 0 | 437,5 | 3 | 11 | 0,4 | 6 | 152,1 | -2,7 |
| EMAC10191eS | 0 | 415,5 | 4 | 8 | 1,5 | 5 | 119,9 | -4,2 |
| EMAC10191eR | 0 | 415,5 | 4 | 8 | 1,5 | 5 | 119,9 | -4,2 |
| EMAC10191fS | 0 | 399,5 | 3 | 8 | 2,1 | 4 | 104,6 | -4,9 |
| EMAC10191fR | 0 | 399,5 | 3 | 8 | 2,1 | 4 | 104,7 | -4,8 |
| EMAC10191gS | 0 | 399,5 | 3 | 8 | 2,0 | 4 | 104,4 | -4,6 |
| EMAC10191gR | 0 | 399,5 | 3 | 8 | 2,0 | 4 | 104,2 | -4,6 |
| EMAC10191hS | 0 | 423,5 | 3 | 9 | 1,8 | 7 | 119,8 | -4,7 |
| EMAC10191hR | 0 | 423,5 | 3 | 9 | 1,8 | 7 | 119,7 | -4,7 |
| EMAC10191iS | 0 | 385,4 | 3 | 8 | 1,6 | 4 | 104,7 | -4,2 |
| EMAC10191iR | 0 | 385,4 | 3 | 8 | 1,6 | 4 | 104,7 | -4,3 |
| EMAC10191jS | 0 | 379,4 | 3 | 8 | 1,3 | 5 | 112,9 | -4,0 |
| EMAC10191jR | 0 | 379,4 | 3 | 8 | 1,3 | 5 | 112,9 | -3,9 |
| EMAC10191kS | 0 | 367,4 | 3 | 8 | 1,4 | 4 | 104,6 | -4,1 |
| EMAC10191kR | 0 | 367,4 | 3 | 8 | 1,4 | 4 | 104,6 | -4,0 |
| EMAC10191IS | 0 | 383,9 | 3 | 8 | 1,7 | 4 | 104,7 | -4,4 |
| EMAC10191IR | 0 | 383,9 | 3 | 8 | 1,7 | 4 | 104,7 | -4,4 |
| EMAC10191mS | 0 | 339,4 | 3 | 8 | 0,7 | 4 | 113,3 | -3,1 |
| EMAC10191mR | 0 | 339,4 | 3 | 8 | 0,7 | 4 | 113,3 | -3,6 |

Table 16 Ranges of pharmacokinetics properties calculated considering 95\% of drugs

| QPPCaco | $<25$ poor, $>500$ great |
| :--- | :--- |
| QPPMDCK | $<25$ poor, $>500$ great |
| PercentHumanOralAbsorption | $>80 \%$ is high $<25 \%$ is |
| (\%OA) | poor |


| QPlogHERG | $>-5$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| CNS | -2 (inactive) to +2 (active) |
| QPlogBB | $-3.0-1.2$ |
| QPlogKhsa | $-1.5-1.5$ |

Table 17 Predicted pharmacokinetics properties for the series EMAC10155

| Molecule | QPPCaco | QPPMDCK | \%OA | QPlogherg | CNS | QPlogBB | QPlogKhsa |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | < 25 poor, | < 25 poor, | $>80 \%$ is high, | - 2 (inactive) to |  |  |  |
|  | >500 great | >500 great | < $25 \%$ is poor | >-5 | +2 (active) | -3.0-1.2 | -1.5-1.5 |
| EMAC10155A | 752,5 | 363,8 | 93,5 | -5,9 | -1 | -0,8 | -0,2 |
| EMAC10155B | 752,4 | 363,7 | 92,1 | -5,8 | -1 | -0,9 | -0,4 |
| EMAC10155C | 752,9 | 962,4 | 95,0 | -5,9 | 0 | -0,6 | -0,2 |
| EMAC10155D | 753,2 | 653,8 | 93,0 | -5,8 | 0 | -0,7 | -0,3 |
| EMAC10155E | 89,7 | 36,5 | 70,7 | -5,9 | -2 | -1,8 | -0,4 |
| EMAC10155F | 89,7 | 36,5 | 70,7 | -5,9 | -2 | -1,8 | -0,4 |
| EMAC10155G | 752,3 | 363,7 | 100,0 | -7,2 | -1 | -1,0 | 0,3 |
| EMAC10155H | 758,6 | 878,0 | 93,9 | -5,7 | 0 | -0,6 | -0,3 |
| EMAC10155I | 826,4 | 1928,2 | 100,0 | -5,9 | 0 | -0,5 | -0,1 |
| EMAC10155L | 752,6 | 363,8 | 91,6 | -5,9 | -1 | -0,8 | -0,3 |
| EMAC10155M | 753,0 | 896,6 | 94,6 | -5,8 | 0 | -0,6 | -0,2 |

Table 18 Predicted pharmacokinetics properties for the series EMAC10156

| Molecule | QPPCaco QPPMDCK $\quad$ \%OA | QPlogHERG | CNS | QPlogBB QPlogKhsa |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<25$ poor, $<25$ poor, $>80 \%$ is high, | -2 (inactive) to |  |  |  |
|  | $>500$ great $>500$ great $<25 \%$ is poor | $>-5$ | +2 (active) | $-3.0-1.2$ | $-1.5-1.5$ |


| EMAC10156A | 439,1 | 203,2 | 92,1 | $-6,2$ | -2 | $-1,3$ | 0,0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EMAC10156B | 443,0 | 205,2 | 90,6 | $-6,1$ | -2 | $-1,4$ | $-0,2$ |
| EMAC10156C | 439,2 | 537,4 | 93,6 | $-6,2$ | -2 | $-1,1$ | $-0,1$ |
| EMAC10156D | 439,5 | 365,2 | 91,5 | $-6,1$ | -2 | $-1,2$ | $-0,2$ |
| EMAC10156E | 52,1 | 20,3 | 69,2 | $-6,2$ | -2 | $-2,5$ | $-0,3$ |
| EMAC10156F | 52,4 | 20,4 | 69,3 | $-6,2$ | -2 | $-2,5$ | $-0,3$ |
| EMAC10156G | 438,7 | 203,1 | 100,0 | $-7,4$ | -2 | $-1,5$ | 0,4 |
| EMAC10156H | 446,2 | 494,9 | 92,4 | $-6,0$ | -2 | $-1,1$ | $-0,1$ |
| EMAC10156I | 483,1 | 1079,6 | 96,5 | $-6,1$ | -1 | $-1,0$ | 0,0 |
| EMAC10156L | 443,2 | 205,3 | 90,2 | $-6,3$ | -2 | $-1,3$ | $-0,2$ |
| EMAC10156M | 439,2 | 500,6 | 93,1 | $-6,2$ | -2 | $-1,1$ | $-0,1$ |

Table 19 Predicted pharmacokinetics properties for the series EMAC10157

| Molecule | QPPCaco | QPPMDCK | \%OA | QPlogHERG | CNS | QPlogBB QPlogKhsa |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<25$ poor, $<25$ poor, $>80 \%$ is high, |  | -2 (inactive) to |  |  |  |  |
|  | $>500$ great $>500$ great | $<25 \%$ is poor | $>-5$ | +2 (active) | $-3.0-1.2$ | $-1.5-1.5$ |  |
| EMAC10157A | 631,9 | 301,2 | 100,0 | $-6,6$ | -2 | $-1,4$ | 0,4 |
| EMAC10157B | 628,1 | 299,3 | 100,0 | $-6,2$ | -2 | $-1,4$ | 0,2 |
| EMAC10157C | 627,7 | 790,6 | 100,0 | $-6,3$ | -2 | $-1,1$ | 0,4 |
| EMAC10157D | 631,3 | 540,2 | 100,0 | $-6,2$ | -2 | $-1,2$ | 0,3 |


| EMAC10157E | 74,9 | 30,1 | 79,1 | $-6,3$ | -2 | $-2,5$ | 0,1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EMAC10157F | 75,3 | 30,2 | 79,1 | $-6,3$ | -2 | $-2,5$ | 0,1 |
| EMAC10157G | 631,9 | 301,2 | 96,9 | $-7,5$ | -2 | $-1,5$ | 0,9 |
| EMAC10157H | 635,7 | 726,0 | 100,0 | $-6,1$ | -2 | $-1,1$ | 0,3 |
| EMAC10157I | 518,3 | 967,0 | 100,0 | $-5,4$ | -2 | $-1,0$ | 0,3 |
| EMAC10157L | 629,0 | 299,7 | 100,0 | $-6,4$ | -2 | $-1,3$ | 0,2 |
| EMAC10157M | 631,4 | 741,1 | 100,0 | $-6,3$ | -2 | $-1,2$ | 0,3 |

Table 20 Predicted pharmacokinetics properties for the series EMAC10158

| Molecule | QPPCaco | QPPMDCK | \%OA | QPlogHERG | CNS | QPlogBB QPlogKhsa |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<25$ poor, $<25$ poor, | $>80 \%$ is high, |  | -2 (inactive) to |  |  |  |
|  | $>500$ great | $>500$ great | $<25 \%$ is poor | $>-5$ | +2 (active) | $-3.0-1.2$ | $-1.5-1.5$ |
| EMAC10158a | 214,2 | 112,7 | 100,0 | $-5,2$ | 0 | $-0,1$ | 0,3 |
| EMAC10158b | 214,2 | 112,7 | 100,0 | $-5,2$ | 0 | $-0,1$ | 0,1 |
| EMAC10158c | 214,2 | 298,0 | 100,0 | $-5,3$ | 1 | 0,1 | 0,3 |
| EMAC10158d | 214,2 | 202,4 | 100,0 | $-5,1$ | 1 | 0,1 | 0,2 |
| EMAC10158e | 25,4 | 11,3 | 83,7 | $-5,3$ | -1 | $-1,0$ | 0,1 |
| EMAC10158f | 26,2 | 11,6 | 83,9 | $-5,2$ | -1 | $-1,0$ | 0,1 |
| EMAC10158g | 214,3 | 112,7 | 100,0 | $-6,7$ | 0 | $-0,2$ | 0,8 |
| EMAC10158h | 214,3 | 290,3 | 100,0 | $-5,0$ | 1 | 0,2 | 0,2 |


| EMAC10158i | 214,3 | 545,9 | 100,0 | $-5,1$ | 1 | 0,3 | 0,4 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EMAC10158I | 214,2 | 112,7 | 100,0 | $-5,2$ | 0 | 0,0 | 0,2 |
| EMAC10158m | 214,2 | 277,1 | 100,0 | $-5,2$ | 1 | 0,1 | 0,3 |

Table 21 Predicted pharmacokinetics properties for the series EMAC10159

| Molecule | QPPCaco | QPPMDCK | \%OA | QPlogHERG | CNS | QPlogBB QPlogKhsa |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<25$ poor, $<25$ poor, $>80 \%$ is high, |  | -2 (inactive) to |  |  |  |  |
|  | $>500$ great $>500$ great $<25 \%$ is poor | $>-5$ | +2 (active) | $-3.0-1.2$ | $-1.5-1.5$ |  |  |
| EMAC10159a | 100,3 | 52,4 | 83,7 | $-3,5$ | -1 | $-0,9$ | 0,3 |
| EMAC10159b | 100,3 | 52,4 | 82,4 | $-3,5$ | -1 | $-1,0$ | 0,1 |
| EMAC10159c | 100,3 | 138,5 | 85,2 | $-3,5$ | -1 | $-0,7$ | 0,2 |
| EMAC10159d | 100,3 | 94,0 | 83,2 | $-3,4$ | -1 | $-0,8$ | 0,1 |
| EMAC10159e | 11,9 | 5,2 | 61,2 | $-3,5$ | -2 | $-1,9$ | 0,1 |
| EMAC10159f | 11,9 | 5,2 | 61,2 | $-3,5$ | -2 | $-1,9$ | 0,1 |
| EMAC10159g | 100,2 | 52,4 | 91,4 | $-4,9$ | -2 | $-1,1$ | 0,7 |
| EMAC10159h | 100,3 | 129,2 | 84,0 | $-3,3$ | -1 | $-0,7$ | 0,2 |
| EMAC10159i | 100,4 | 244,9 | 87,2 | $-3,4$ | -1 | $-0,6$ | 0,3 |
| EMAC10159 | 100,3 | 52,4 | 81,9 | $-3,5$ | -1 | $-0,9$ | 0,1 |

Table 22 Predicted pharmacokinetics properties for the series EMAC10160

| Molecule | QPPCaco | QPPMDCK | \%OA | QPlogherg | CNS | QPlogBB | QPlogKhsa |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | < 25 poor, | < 25 poor, | $>80 \%$ is high, |  | - 2 (inactive) to |  |  |
|  | >500 great | >500 great | <25\% is poor | >-5 | +2 (active) | -3.0-1.2 | -1.5-1.5 |
| EMAC10160a | 111,7 | 58,9 | 91,1 | -3,8 | -2 | -1,1 | 0,7 |
| EMAC10160b | 111,7 | 58,9 | 89,8 | -3,8 | -2 | -1,2 | 0,5 |
| EMAC10160c | 111,7 | 155,6 | 92,5 | -3,9 | -1 | -0,9 | 0,6 |
| EMAC10160d | 111,7 | 105,7 | 90,6 | -3,8 | -1 | -1,0 | 0,5 |
| EMAC10160e | 13,3 | 5,9 | 68,5 | -3,9 | -2 | -2,2 | 0,4 |
| EMAC10160f | 13,3 | 5,9 | 68,5 | -3,9 | -2 | -2,2 | 0,4 |
| EMAC10160g | 111,7 | 58,9 | 85,9 | -5,1 | -2 | -1,3 | 1,1 |
| EMAC10160h | 111,7 | 145,2 | 91,3 | -3,7 | -1 | -0,9 | 0,6 |
| EMAC10160i | 111,5 | 277,1 | 81,6 | $-3,7$ | -1 | -0,8 | 0,7 |
| EMAC10160I | 111,6 | 58,8 | 89,2 | -3,9 | -2 | -1,1 | 0,5 |
| EMAC10160m | 111,6 | 144,8 | 92,1 | -3,8 | -1 | -1,0 | 0,6 |

Table 23 Predicted pharmacokinetics properties for the series EMAC10161

| Molecule | QPPCaco QPPMDCK | \%OA | QPlogHERG | CNS | QPlogBB QPlogKhsa |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<25$ poor, $<25$ poor, $>80 \%$ is high, |  | -2 (inactive) to |  |  |
|  | $>500$ great $>500$ great $<25 \%$ is poor | $>-5$ | +2 (active) | $-3.0-1.2$ | $-1.5-1.5$ |


| EMAC10161A | 383,9 | 175,8 | 95,3 | $-6,5$ | $-2,0$ | $-1,6$ | 0,2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EMAC10161B | 380,9 | 174,3 | 93,6 | $-6,4$ | $-2,0$ | $-1,7$ | 0,03 |
| EMAC10161C | 247,2 | 288,8 | 94,1 | $-6,9$ | $-2,0$ | $-1,8$ | 0,3 |
| EMAC10161D | 383,6 | 315,3 | 94,7 | $-6,4$ | $-2,0$ | $-1,5$ | 0,1 |
| EMAC10161E | 45,6 | 17,6 | 72,4 | $-6,5$ | $-2,0$ | $-2,8$ | $-0,1$ |
| EMAC10161F | 31,7 | 11,9 | 70,5 | $-6,8$ | $-2,0$ | $-3,1$ | 0,1 |
| EMAC10161G | 383,3 | 175,5 | 90,3 | $-7,7$ | $-2,0$ | $-1,8$ | 0,7 |
| EMAC10161H | 412,8 | 534,8 | 96,6 | $-6,3$ | $-2,0$ | $-1,3$ | 0,1 |
| EMAC10161I | 404,4 | 860,9 | 100,0 | $-6,3$ | $-2,0$ | $-1,3$ | 0,3 |
| EMAC10161L | 381,4 | 174,6 | 93,3 | $-6,6$ | $-2,0$ | $-1,6$ | 0,1 |
| EMAC10161M | 381,2 | 429,5 | 96,3 | $-6,5$ | $-2,0$ | $-1,4$ | 0,2 |

Table 24 Predicted pharmacokinetics properties for the series EMAC10162

| Molecule | QPPCaco | QPPMDCK | \%OA | QPlogHERG | CNS | QPlogBB QPlogKhsa |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<25$ poor, $<25$ poor, $>80 \%$ is high, |  | -2 (inactive) to |  |  |  |  |
|  | $>500$ great $>500$ great $<25 \%$ is poor | $>-5$ | +2 (active) | $-3.0-1.2$ | $-1.5-1.5$ |  |  |
| EMAC10162A | 75,4 | 38,5 | 83,1 | $-3,7$ | $-2,0$ | $-1,2$ | 0,4 |
| EMAC10162B | 75,4 | 38,5 | 81,8 | $-3,7$ | $-2,0$ | $-1,2$ | 0,2 |
| EMAC10162C | 75,4 | 101,7 | 84,6 | $-3,7$ | $-1,0$ | $-0,9$ | 0,3 |
| EMAC10162D | 75,4 | 69,1 | 82,6 | $-3,6$ | $-2,0$ | $-1,0$ | 0,2 |


| EMAC10162E | 9,1 | 3,9 | 60,8 | $-3,7$ | $-2,0$ | $-2,2$ | 0,2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EMAC10162F | 9,0 | 3,9 | 60,6 | $-3,7$ | $-2,0$ | $-2,2$ | 0,2 |
| EMAC10162G | 77,1 | 39,4 | 78,0 | $-5,0$ | $-2,0$ | $-1,3$ | 0,8 |
| EMAC10162H | 75,4 | 104,6 | 83,5 | $-3,5$ | $-1,0$ | $-0,9$ | 0,3 |
| EMAC10162I | 77,1 | 185,4 | 86,7 | $-3,6$ | $-1,0$ | $-0,8$ | 0,4 |
| EMAC10162L | 77,1 | 39,4 | 81,5 | $-3,7$ | $-2,0$ | $-1,1$ | 0,2 |
| EMAC10162M | 75,4 | 94,7 | 84,2 | $-3,7$ | $-1,0$ | $-0,9$ | 0,3 |

Table 25 Predicted pharmacokinetics properties for the series EMAC10190

| Molecule | QPPCaco | QPPMDCK | \%OA | QPlogherg | CNS | QPlogBB | QPlogKhsa |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | < 25 poor, | < 25 poor, | $>80 \%$ is high, |  | - 2 (inactive) to |  |  |
|  | >500 great | >500 great | < $25 \%$ is poor | >-5 | +2 (active) | -3.0-1.2 | -1.5-1.5 |
| EMAC10190aE | 276,5 | 123,3 | 79,2 | -5,9 | -2 | -1,5 | -0,4 |
| EMAC10190aZ | 296,2 | 136,2 | 79,7 | -5,9 | -2 | -1,5 | -0,4 |
| EMAC10190bZ | 306,4 | 137,7 | 79,7 | -5,7 | -2 | -1,4 | -0,4 |
| EMAC10190bE | 286,4 | 128,0 | 79,2 | -5,8 | -2 | -1,4 | -0,4 |
| EMAC10190cZ | 303,6 | 139,6 | 79,2 | -5,3 | -2 | -1,6 | -0,5 |
| EMAC10190cE | 286,5 | 128,1 | 78,9 | -5,6 | -2 | -1,6 | -0,5 |
| EMAC10190dZ | 79,9 | 33,0 | 64,0 | -5,5 | -2 | -2,1 | -0,6 |
| EMAC10190dE | 75,1 | 30,1 | 63,7 | -5,8 | -2 | -2,2 | -0,6 |


| EMAC10190eZ | 162,1 | 70,8 | 74,1 | $-6,2$ | -2 | $-1,7$ | $-0,4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EMAC10190eE | 150,1 | 63,7 | 73,5 | $-6,3$ | -2 | $-1,8$ | $-0,4$ |
| EMAC10190fZ | 306,1 | 140,8 | 80,3 | $-6,5$ | -2 | $-1,4$ | $-0,2$ |
| EMAC10190fE | 286,3 | 128,0 | 79,8 | $-6,5$ | -2 | $-1,4$ | $-0,2$ |
| EMAC10190gZ | 193,2 | 85,6 | 77,0 | $-7,0$ | -2 | $-1,7$ | $-0,2$ |
| EMAC10190gE | 288,1 | 128,9 | 79,8 | $-6,5$ | -2 | $-1,4$ | $-0,2$ |
| EMAC10190hZ | 302,1 | 135,7 | 80,7 | $-5,8$ | -2 | $-1,6$ | $-0,4$ |
| EMAC10190hE | 286,1 | 127,9 | 80,5 | $-6,1$ | -2 | $-1,7$ | $-0,4$ |
| EMAC10190iZ | 303,6 | 395,2 | 80,0 | $-5,6$ | -2 | $-1,1$ | $-0,5$ |
| EMAC10190iE | 286,5 | 369,3 | 79,6 | $-5,6$ | -2 | $-1,2$ | $-0,5$ |
| EMAC10190jZ | 304,2 | 139,8 | 78,0 | $-5,7$ | -2 | $-1,4$ | $-0,5$ |
| EMAC10190jE | 285,7 | 130,7 | 77,6 | $-5,8$ | -2 | $-1,5$ | $-0,5$ |
| EMAC10190kZ | 306,0 | 246,9 | 78,8 | $-5,6$ | -2 | $-1,2$ | $-0,5$ |
| EMAC10190kE | 287,5 | 236,1 | 78,4 | $-5,8$ | -2 | $-1,3$ | $-0,5$ |
| EMAC10190IZ | 305,8 | 346,2 | 80,2 | $-5,8$ | -2 | $-1,2$ | $-0,4$ |
| EMAC10190IE | 285,4 | 314,0 | 79,7 | $-5,8$ | -2 | $-1,2$ | $-0,4$ |
| EMAC10190mZ | 290,4 | 133,0 | 74,0 | $-5,5$ | -2 | $-1,3$ | $-0,7$ |
| EMAC10190mE | 280,5 | 128,1 | 73,5 | $-5,4$ | -2 | $-1,3$ | $-0,7$ |

Table 26 Predicted pharmacokinetics properties for the series EMAC10191

| Molecule | QPPCaco | QPPMDCK | \%OA | QPlogherg | CNS | QPlogBB | QPlogKhsa |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | < 25 poor, | < 25 poor, | $>80 \%$ is high, | - 2 (inactive) to |  |  |  |
|  | >500 great | >500 great | < $25 \%$ is poor | >-5 | +2 (active) | -3.0-1.2 | -1.5-1.5 |
| EMAC10191aR | 155,2 | 115,2 | 75,5 | -5,8 | -2 | -1,6 | -0,2 |
| EMAC10191bS | 160,1 | 119,3 | 75,1 | -5,7 | -2 | -1,5 | -0,2 |
| EMAC10191bR | 160,3 | 116,8 | 75,1 | -5,6 | -2 | -1,5 | -0,2 |
| EMAC10191cS | 233,1 | 179,0 | 76,7 | -3,7 | -2 | -1,2 | -0,4 |
| EMAC10191cR | 225,1 | 168,3 | 76,4 | -3,5 | -2 | -1,2 | -0,4 |
| EMAC10191dS | 59,4 | 40,1 | 61,3 | -3,9 | -2 | -1,6 | -0,5 |
| EMAC10191dR | 59,4 | 39,1 | 61,2 | -3,9 | -2 | -1,6 | -0,5 |
| EMAC10191eS | 103,7 | 72,4 | 72,0 | -6,0 | -2 | -1,7 | -0,2 |
| EMAC10191eR | 103,9 | 72,6 | 72,0 | -6,0 | -2 | -1,7 | -0,2 |
| EMAC10191fS | 160,4 | 119,0 | 78,7 | -6,4 | -2 | -1,5 | -0,1 |
| EMAC10191fR | 160,3 | 116,7 | 78,6 | -6,4 | -2 | -1,5 | 0,0 |
| EMAC10191gS | 163,2 | 111,7 | 78,3 | -6,2 | -2 | -1,4 | -0,1 |
| EMAC10191gR | 162,2 | 113,9 | 78,4 | -6,3 | -2 | -1,5 | -0,1 |
| EMAC10191hS | 160,1 | 116,5 | 76,9 | -5,9 | -2 | -1,8 | -0,2 |
| EMAC10191hR | 160,2 | 116,1 | 76,9 | -5,9 | -2 | -1,8 | -0,2 |
| EMAC10191iS | 160,4 | 324,9 | 75,9 | -5,3 | -2 | -1,2 | -0,3 |


| EMAC10191iR | 160,6 | 332,9 | 75,9 | $-5,4$ | -2 | $-1,2$ | $-0,3$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EMAC10191jS | 161,2 | 120,0 | 74,1 | $-5,6$ | -2 | $-1,5$ | $-0,3$ |
| EMAC10191jR | 161,0 | 116,9 | 74,0 | $-5,5$ | -2 | $-1,5$ | $-0,3$ |
| EMAC10191kS | 161,6 | 215,9 | 74,9 | $-5,6$ | -2 | $-1,3$ | $-0,3$ |
| EMAC10191kR | 161,0 | 210,4 | 74,9 | $-5,6$ | -2 | $-1,3$ | $-0,3$ |
| EMAC10191IS | 160,3 | 287,4 | 76,2 | $-5,6$ | -2 | $-1,2$ | $-0,3$ |
| EMAC10191IR | 160,8 | 288,1 | 76,2 | $-5,6$ | -2 | $-1,3$ | $-0,3$ |
| EMAC10191mS | 160,2 | 106,0 | 70,3 | $-5,2$ | -2 | $-1,3$ | $-0,5$ |
| EMAC10191mR | 99,6 | 61,9 | 66,9 | $-5,8$ | -2 | $-1,7$ | $-0,5$ |

All compounds showed from 0 to 2 stars, which indicates that most of them did not exceed the ranges allowed for the following properties: MW, dipole, IP, EA, SASA, FOSA, FISA, PISA, WPSA, PSA, volume, \#rotor, donorHB (HBD), accptHB (HBA), glob, QPpolrz, QPlogPC16, QPlogPoct, QPlogPw, QPlogPo/w, logS, QPLogKhsa, QPlogBB, \#metabol. Furthermore, we reported in the Tables (17-26) few more ADME properties such as: QPPCaco, QPPMDCK, \%OA, QPlogHERG, that contribute to depict a more complete picture.

In fact, tissue distribution is an important element of a drug pharmacokinetic (PK) profile united with knowledge of the in vitro activity.

The Caco-2 cell monolayer resembles the human intestinal barrier also considering their characteristic in terms of morphology, the monolayer is used routinely to predict drug permeability in the intestine and the fraction of dose absorbed. QSAR models have been developed to predict bioactive compound's passive permeability of gut blood-barrier using data collected experimentally studying these cells, these data do not consider the Pgp efflux.

While QSAR models based on data of compounds able to cross the membrane of MDCK (Madin-Darby Canine Kidney) cells can help to understand the ability of the compounds to reach the CNS.

In general, all the synthesized compounds have a high predicted oral absorption, a good gut absorption, except for the nitro- derivatives. The permeability values are lower for the predicted MDCK cells crossing, which indicates a predicted difficulty by these compounds to reach the central nervous system (CNS).

The only worrying property is the predicted $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ for human Ether-à-go-go-Related Gene (HERG) K ${ }^{+}$Channel Blockage in some reported series.

In certain cases, the value is borderline, in others, requires more attention and should be better investigated experimentally.

Finally, predicted binding to human serum albumin (QPlogKhsa) are within $-0,5-0$ ranges, while the reported drugs range is between $-1,5-1,5$. So we can be confident that, considering these data, all the synthesized compounds can bind the plasmatic proteins but not for a long time. This should be favorable for the duration and distribution process.

In conclusion, the prediction study of the physical-chemical and PK properties is encouraging and, albeit these data should be confirmed in vitro, according to the obtained data and considering to apply few optimization rounds, we are confident that the most of the PK problems can be solved.

Furthermore, other strategies like proper formulations or nanotechnology applications could ultimately help to overcome their limitation to reach the tumor localization.

### 5.4 Synthesis of new pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidine compounds

### 5.4.1 Background

Src and Abl families are overexpressed in several tumors such as CML, colon and breast cancer, childhood neuroblastoma, osteosarcoma, prostate and stomach cancer.

CML therapies include the use of Imatinib, but over time, resistance have been observed; for this reason, second-generation drugs have been studied, like Dasatinib. With this new therapies, T315I mutation has appeared, which confers resistance to the secondgeneration drugs.
Compounds with pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidine structure are able to inhibit the phosphorylation of tyrosine kinase Src, and consequentially the proliferation of tumor cells. Moreover, they are able to induce the apoptotic mechanism. Therefore , compounds owning the pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidine structure turned out to be good inhibitors for T315I mutant cells.

With this purpose, during my stay at the Lead Discovery Siena (LDS) research company, we have designed and synthetized new pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidine structure compounds substituted in position N-1, C-4 and C-6 (Fig. 39). According to what observed in a previous research, performed by the LDS team, we have identified a specific substitution pattern to be introduced in the pyrazolo[3,4- $d$ ]pyrimidine central core. In particular we have focused our attention on the introduction of different substituents in the positions 4 and 6 of the heterocycle. Therefore, the introduction of a chlorine atom in the position 4 was investigated to evaluate is impact on the biological activity. Moreover, we have investigated the effect on the biological properties of both a methylthio and an hydroxyethylamino substituent in the position 6 of the pyrazolo[3,4- $d$ ]pyrimidine nucleus. To prepare the desired compounds a synthetic pathway was devised (Scheme 3) based on the so called "pyrimidine approach" according to the starting point of the synthetic procedure.



Figure 39 Scaffold pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidine

## PYRIMIDINE APPROACH

The recent pyrimidine approach provides the use of appropriately substituted pyrimidines as precursor of the pyrazolo-pyrimidine core. One of the classic procedures is described in

## Scheme 3.



Scheme 3 Schematic synthetic pathway to pyrazole-pyrimidine core .) Reagents and conditions: (i.) $\mathrm{KOH}, \mathrm{Mel}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux, 3 h ; (ii). $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}$, reflux, 12 h ; (iii.) TEA, $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dioxane, rt, 5 h ; (iv.) R2OH, Ph3P, DIAD, anhydrous THF, $\mu \mathrm{W} 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~min}$

The alchylation of 2-thiobarbituric acid, under Vilsmeier-Haack conditions lead to the introduction of an aldehyde moiety in the 5 position of the methylthiobarbituric acid (Scheme 4).


Scheme 4 General mechanism of Vilsmeier-Haach formylation
The obtained compound is cycled to obtaining the pyrazolo-pyrimidine core, which in turn is substituted in position $\mathrm{N}-1$ with the opportune alcohol. To speed up the cyclization reaction, a procedure with the use of microwave have been studied. The substitution in position N-1 is obtained with a Mitsunobu reaction: recent studies have demonstrated that this reaction is regioselective and efficient.

To obtain the amino substitution in position C-4 of the pyrazolo-pyrimidine core, is necessary an aromatic nucleophilic substitution with alcohol solvent (Scheme 5).


Scheme 5 Schematic procedure for the substitution in C-4 position. Reagents and conditions: (v.) amine, EtOH, reflux, 12 h

In literature different procedure have been studied for selective substitution in position C6 of pyrazole-pyrimidine core. The thiomethyl group, after reaction with oxidizing agent, take to formation of corresponding sulphone, which being replacing with the opportune nucleophile, in this case an aliphatic amine (Scheme 7).


Scheme 6 Schematic procedure for C-6 substitution. Reagents and conditions: (vi.) m-CPBA, DCM, rt, 2 h ; (vii.) ETA, THF, $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight


$\qquad$


$\qquad$

 vii



Scheme 7 (i.) KOH, Mel, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux, 3 h ; (ii). $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$, DMF, reflux, 12 h ; (iii.) TEA, $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dioxane, rt, 5 h ; (iv.) R2OH, Ph ${ }_{3} \mathrm{P}$, DIAD, anhydrous THF, $\mu \mathrm{W} 100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ 3 min ; (v.) amine, EtOH, reflux, 12 h ; (vi.) m-CPBA, DCM, rt, 2 h ; (vii.) ETA, THF, $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight.

### 5.4.2 Biological results and discussion

The pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidine derivates were submitted to enzymatic assay to evaluate their activity and selectivity towards Abl and c-Src, and were submitted to cells assay to evaluate their activity toward K562 cells. The results are show in Table 27.

All of the compounds are micromolar inhibitors of Abl and c -Src. In particular, compound 8a show good value of $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ in micromolar range against K 562 cells, respect to compounds $\mathbf{6 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$, with a thiomethyl group in position C-6.

Compounds 6b and 8a, present high selectivity toward c-Src with a $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of $0.077 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $0.0198 \mu \mathrm{M}$ respectively.

Table 27 Inhibition data towards Abl, c-Src and K652 cells of compounds $6 \mathrm{a}, 6 \mathrm{~b}$ and 8a

|  |  |  |   |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | X | R | $\begin{gathered} \text { Abl } \\ K_{i}(\mu M) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} c-S r c \\ \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mu \mathrm{M}) \end{gathered}$ | K562 cells $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| 6b | OH | $\mathrm{SCH}_{3}$ | 0.0225 | 0.077 | $7.76 \pm 1.2$ |
| 6a | Cl | $\mathrm{SCH}_{3}$ | 0.0192 | 0.1585 | $6.46 \pm 0.5$ |
| 8 a | Cl | $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | 0.0151 | 0.0198 | $0.59 \pm 0.7$ |
| Imatinib |  |  | 0.013 | 31.0 | $0.37 \pm 0.09$ |

### 5.4.3 Conclusions

Three different pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidines were synthetized and tested for their ability to inhibit proliferation of K562 cells, a Bcr-Abl-positive human leukemia cell lines, previously found to be dual c-Src-Abl inhibitors. These results corroborate the hypothesis that pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidines could be advantageous for design of new dual c-Src-Abl inhibitors. In this context, further exploration and might constitute the basis for future development for the pathways involved in the proliferation of leukemia cell lines.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

## EMAC 10155 (a-m) and EMAC 10158 (a-m)



 ii


Scheme 8 Synthesis of derivatives EMAC 10155 (a-m) and EMAC 10158 (a-m): (i.) 2-bromo/2-chloro-acetophenone, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, acetone, $\Delta$; (ii.) $\mathrm{NaOH} 1 \mathrm{~N}, \Delta$

## General method for the synthesis of compounds EMAC 10155 (a-m)




Acetone dry,

$\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$
$\Delta$
Equimolar amounts of 7 -hydroxycoumarin ( $1,8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and potassium carbonate ( 1,8 mmol ) were stirred in dry acetone ( 5 mL ), then the appropriate halogen-acetophenone ( $1,8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at reflux until the end of the reaction. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature to obtain a solid which was dissolved in methanol and poured into crushed ice. The mixture was stirred until ice melting, then HCl $10 \%$ was added drop to drop to obtain the desired product, that was filtered.

EMAC 10155 A: 7-(2-oxo-2-(p-tolyl)ethoxy)-2H-chromen-2-one

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 7.99$ (d, $\left.J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}\right), 7.94$ (d, J = $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.63 (d, J = $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.39 ( $\mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.07 (d, J=2.4 Hz, 1H, CH-Ar), 7.01 (dd, $J=8.6,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 6.29$ (d, $J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 5.70\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\right.$ ), $2.41\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 193.20$ (1C), 161.18 (1C), 160.19 (1C), 155.23 (1C), 144.40 (1C), 144.40 (1C), 131.71 (1C), 129.39 (1C), 129.32 (2C), 127.98 (2C), 112.79 (1C), 112.64 (1C), 112.59 (1C), 101.57 (1C), 70.44 (1C), 21.22 (1C).

EMAC 10155 B: 7-(2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-oxoethoxy)-2H-chromen-2-one

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 8.03$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.99 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.63 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H, CH-Ar), 7.10 (d, J = $8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.05 (d, $\mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.00 (dd, $J=8.6$, $2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 6.29(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 5.67\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\right.$ ), $3.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 192.01$ (1C), 163.64 (1C), 161.23 (1C), 160.20 (1C), 155.22 (1C), 144.23 (1C), 130.25 (2C), 129.39 (1C), 127.09 (1C), 114.04 (2C), 112.80 (1C), 112.61 (1C), 112.56 (1C), 101.55 (1C), 70.25 (1C), 55.62 (1C).

EMAC 10155 C: 7-(2-(4-bromophenyl)-2-oxoethoxy)-2H-chromen-2-one

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 8.00$ ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.98 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.82 (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.65 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.11 (d, $J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.03 (dd, J = 8.6, 2.0 Hz, 1H, CH-Ar), 6.31 (d, J = $9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 5.73 (s, 2H, $-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 193.57$ (1C ), 161.57 (1C ), 160.69 (1C ), 155.76 (1C), 144.72 (1C), 133.72 (1C), 132.37 (2C), 130.42 (2C), 129.92 (1C), 128.46 (1C ), 113.32 (1C ), 113.21 (1C), 113.16 (1C), 102.10 (1C), 71.04 (1C).

## EMAC 10155 D: 7-(2-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-oxoethoxy)-2H-chromen-2-one


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 8.13$ (dd, J = 8.7, 5.6 Hz, 2H, CH-Ar), 8.00 (d, J = $9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-$ Ar Coum), 7.65 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H, CH-Ar Coum), 7.43 (t, J = $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.10 (d, J = $2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 7.03$ (dd, J = 8.6, 2.4 Hz, 1H, CH-Ar), 6.31 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H, CH-Ar), 5.74 (s, 2H, -OCH ${ }_{2}$-).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 192.88$ (1C), 166.71 (1C), 165.04 (1C), 161.62 (1C), 160.70 (1C), 155.75 (1C), 144.72 (1C), 131.49 (d, J = $9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{C}$ ), 129.91 (1C), 116.38 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=22.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 C), 113.25$ ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=19.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 113.14 (1C), 102.09 (1C), 70.99 (1C).

EMAC 10155 G: 7-(2-([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)-2-oxoethoxy)-2H-chromen-2-one

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 8.14$ ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 8.01 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.90 (d, J = $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.79 (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.66 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), $7.54(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 7.05 (dd, J = 8.6, 2.4 Hz, 1H, CH-Ar Coum), 6.31 (d, J = $9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 5.79 (s, 2H, -$\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 193.78$ (1C), 161.69 (1C), 160.71 (1C), 155.77 (1C), 145.72 (1C), 144.74 (1C), 139.29 (1C), 133.51 (1C), 129.93 (s, 2C), 129.61 (2C), 129.15 (2C), 129.01 (2C), 127.54 (1C), 127.46 ( 1 C ), 113.33 (1C), 113.16 (d, J = $5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{C}$ ), 102.13 (1C), 71.11 (1C).

EMAC 10155 H: 7-(2-(2,4-difluorophenyl)-2-oxoethoxy)-2H-chromen-2-one

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 8.04$ (dd, $J=15.3,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 8.00 (d, $J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, CH-Ar), 7.64 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H, CH-Ar), 7.52 - 7.49 (m, 1H, CH-Ar), 7.30 (td, J = 8.5, 2.4 Hz , 1 H ), 7.08 (d, J = $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, ~ C H-A r ~ C o u m), ~ 7.02(d d, J=8.6,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 6.30 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H, CH-Ar Coum), 5.54 (d, $J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 191.14$ (1C), 161.62 (1C), 160.70 (1C), 155.74 (s1C145.00 (1C), 144.72 (1C), 132.78 (1C), 130.18 (1C), 129.88 (1C), 113.60 (1C), 113.24 (2C), 113.16 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1C), 111.75 (1C), 105.67 (t, J = $26.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{C}$ ), 102.64 (2C), 102.03 (1C), 73.07 (d, $J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{C}$ ).

EMAC 10155 L: 7-(2-oxo-2-phenylethoxy)-2H-chromen-2-one

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 8.05$ (d, J = $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 8.01 (d, J = $9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 7.72 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H, CH-Ar Coum), 7.65 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 7.60 ( $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.10 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar}$ Coum), 7.04 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar~Coum}$ ), 6.31 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=9.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 5.76 (s, 2H, $-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 194.23$ (1C), 161.67 (1C), 160.71 (1C), 155.75 (1C), 144.73 (1C), 134.71 (1C), 134.38 (1C), 129.91 (1C), 129.31 (2C), 128.39 (2C), 113.31 (1C), 113.15 (d, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 102.11 ( 1 C ), 71.07 ( s 1 C

EMAC 10155 M: 7-(2-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-oxoethoxy)-2H-chromen-2-one

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 8.04$ (d, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.99 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), $7.71-7.60$ (m, J = $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.10 (s, 1H, CH-Ar Coum), 7.02 (d, J = 7.4 Hz , 1H, CH-Ar Coum), 6.30 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 5.72 (s, $2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 192.84$ (1C), 161.06 (1C), 160.20 (1C), 155.24 (1C), 144.21 (1C), 138.74 (1C), 132.87 (1C), 129.83 (2C), 129.41 (1C), 128.91 (2C), 112.81 (1C), 112.64 (1C), 112.64 (1C), 101.57 (1C), 70.54 (1C).

Table 28 Chemical, analytical, and physical data of derivates EMAC 10155 (a-m)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | R | Aspect | MP ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Yield \% | ```RF AcOEt/Hex 5/1``` |
| 10155 A | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | White solid | 152-155 | 77 | 0.62 |
| 10155 B | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | White solid | 84-90 | 84 | 0.63 |
| 10155 C | $4-\mathrm{Br}$ | Dirty white solid | 180-183 | 68 | 0.66 |
| 10155 D | 4-F | White solid | 202-204 | 90 | 0.61 |
| 10155 G | 4-Phenyl | White solid | 191-193 | 74 | 0.68 |
| 10155 H | 2,4-F | Light orange solid | 145-147 | 83 | 0.66 |
| 10155 L | 4-H | White solid | 168-171 | 74 | 0.61 |
| 10155 M | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | Dirty white solid | 180-182 |  | 0.68 |

## General method for the synthesis of compounds EMAC 10158 (a-m)



5 mL of 1 N sodium hydroxide were added to a suspension of the appropriate EMAC 10155 (a-m). The reaction mixture was stirred at reflux for 4 hours. Then, it was poured into crushed ice and water and it was stirred until ice melting. Hydrochloric acid was added dropwise to obtain a precipitate.

EMAC 10158 A: 3-(p-tolyl)-7H-furo[3,2-g]chromen-7-one

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 8.45$ (s, 1H, OCH-Ar Furoc), 8.32 (s, 1H, CH-Ar Furoc), 8.24 (d, J $=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Furoc), 7.79 (s, 1H, CH-Ar Furoc), 7.68 (d, J = $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.35 (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 6.47\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}\right.$ Furoc), $2.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 163.81$ (1C), 160.33 (1C), 157.03 (1C), 145.57 (1C), 144.48 (1C), 137.75 (1C), 130.18 (2C), 129.84 (1C), 127.43 (2C), 123.67 (1C), 121.43 (1C), 120.71 (1C), 116.18 (1C), 114.73 (1C), 100.20 (1C), 21.31 (1C).

EMAC 10158 M: 3-(4-chlorophenyl)-7H-furo[3,2-g]chromen-7-one

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 8.45$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Furoc), 8.22 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Furoc), 8.12 (d, J $=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Furoc), $7.76-7.67$ (m, 3H, CH-Ar), 7.50 (d, J = $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 6.39 (d, J $=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ar Furoc) .
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 160.54$ (1C), 156.99 (1C), 152.20 (1C), 145.41 (1C), 145.26 (1C), 132.89 (1C), 130.06 (1C), 129.60 (2C), 129.21 (2C), 123.17 (1C), 120.58 (1C), 120.41 (1C), 116.27 (1C), 114.87 (1C), 100.27 (1C).

Table 29 Chemical, analytical, and physical data of derivates EMAC 10158 (a-m)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | R | Aspect | MP ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Yield \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { RF } \\ \text { AcOEt/Hex 5/1 } \end{gathered}$ |
| 10158 A | 4-CH3 | Light brown solid | 130-133 | 69 | 0.73 |
| 10158 M | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | Yellow solid | 197-198 | 92 | 0.76 |

## EMAC 10156 (a-m) and EMAC 10159 (a-m)




Scheme 9 Synthesis of derivatives EMAC 10156(a-m) and EMAC 10159(a-m): (i.) dimethyl acetylsuccinate, $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{SO} 4, \mathrm{rT}, 30$; (ii.) 2-bromo/2-chloro-acetophenone, acetone, K2CO3, $\Delta$; (iii.) $\mathrm{NaOH} 1 \mathrm{~N}, \Delta$

## Synthesis of compound EMAC 10156




A mixture of resorcinol ( $4,5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dimethyl acetylsuccinate ( $4,5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred at room temperature. Sulfuric acid $98 \%(13,5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise and the mixture was vigorously stirred until the end of the reaction. The reaction mixture was then poured into crushed ice to give a precipitate and stirred until ice melting. The formed precipitate was filtered off to obtain a white solid. The progression of the reaction was monitored by TLC (ethyl acetate/n-hexane 6/1).

## General method for the synthesis of compounds EMAC 10156 (a-m)





Equimolar amounts of methyl 2-(7-hydroxy-4-methyl-2-oxo-2H-chromen-3-yl)acetate (1,8 $\mathrm{mmol})$, and potassium carbonate ( $1,8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were stirred in dry acetone ( 5 mL ), then the appropriate halogen-acetophenone ( $1,8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at reflux until the end of the reaction monitored by TLC. The mixture was then cooled to room temperature to obtain a solid which was dissolved in methanol and poured into crushed ice. The mixture was stirred until ice melting, then hydrochloric acid was added dropwise to give a precipitate.

## EMAC 10156: methyl 2-(7-hydroxy-4-methyl-2-oxo-2H-chromen-3-yl)acetate


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 10.50$ (s, 1H, -OH), 7.66 (d, J = $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 6.82 (dd, J = 8.8, 2.4 Hz, 1H, CH-Ar Coum), 6.72 (d, J = $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 3.65 (s, 2H, -$\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 3.62\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.35\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 170.67$ (1C), 160.93 (1C), 160.69 (1C), 153.43 (1C), 149.66 (1C), 126.92 (1C), 115.01 (1C), 113.06 (1C), 112.14 (1C), 101.96 (1C), 51.78 (1C), 32.30 (1C), 14.99 (1C).

EMAC 10156 A: methyl-2-oxo-7-(2-oxo-2-(p-tolyl)ethoxy)-2H-chromen-3-yl)acetate

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 7.94$ (d, $\left.J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}\right), 7.76$ (d, J=8.9 Hz, 1H, CH-Ar Coum), 7.39 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.08 (d, $J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 7.04 (dd, $J=$
8.9, 2.5 Hz, 1H, CH-Ar Coum), $5.71\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\right.$ ), $3.67\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.62\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, 2.41 (s, 3H, CH-Ar-CH3), 2.39 (s, 3H, CH-Ar- $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 193.25$ (1C), 170.56 (1C), 160.77 (1C), 160.69 (1C), 153.21 (1C), 149.45 (1C), 144.39 (1C), 131.73 (1C), 129.35 (2C), 127.90 (2C), 126.73 (1C), 116.11 (1C), 113.52 (1C), 112.72 (1C), 101.42 (1C), 70.44 (1C), 51.81 (1C), 32.36 (s1C21.22 (1C), 15.07 (1C).

EMAC 10156 B: methyl 2-(7-(2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-oxoethoxy)-4-methyl-2-oxo-2H-chromen-3-yl)acetate

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 8.02(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 7.10 (d, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.06 (d, $J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 7.03 (dd, $J=8.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 5.67 (s, 2H, $-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-$ ), 3.87 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 3.67 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}^{-} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ ), $3.62\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 192.04$ (1C), 170.56 (1C), 163.63 (1C), 160.77 (1C), 160.74 (1C), 153.20 (1C), 149.44 (1C), 130.24 (2C), 127.10 (1C), 126.71 (1C), 116.08 (1C), 114.05 (2C), 113.49 (1C), 112.71 (1C), 101.40 (1C), 70.24 (1C), 55.59 (1C), 51.81 (1C), 32.36 (1C), 15.05 (1C).

EMAC 10156 C: methyl 2-(7-(2-(4-bromophenyl)-2-oxoethoxy)-4-methyl-2-oxo-2H-chromen-3-yl)acetate

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 7.97$ (d, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.81 (d, J = $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.76 (d, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 7.12 (d, $J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 7.05 (dd, $J=8.9$, $2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 5.73 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-$ ), $3.67\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ ), $3.62\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, 2.39 (s, 3H, CH-Ar-CH3).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 193.62$ (1C), 171.04 (1C), 161.31 (1C), 161.08 (1C), 153.69 (1C), 149.94 (1C), 133.74 (1C), 132.37 (2C), 130.42 (2C), 128.44 (1C), 127.26 (1C), 116.67 (1C), 114.10 (1C), 113.25 (1C), 101.96 (1C), 71.04 (1C), 52.32 (1C), 32.88 (1C), 15.58 (1C).

EMAC 10156 D: methyl 2-(7-(2-(4-bromophenyl)-2-oxoethoxy)-4-methyl-2-oxo-2H-chromen-3-yl)acetate

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 8.15-8.10$ (m, 2H, CH-Ar), 7.76 (d, J = $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 7.42 (t, J = $8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 7.11$ (d, J = $2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 7.05 (dd, J = $8.9,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H, CH-Ar Coum), 5.73 (s, 2H, -OCH - ), 3.67 (s, 2H, Ar-CH ${ }_{2}$ ), $3.62\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 192.57$ (1C), 170.72 (1C), 166.63 (1C), 164.11 (1C), 160.91 (1C), 160.52 (1C), 153.11 (1C), 149.61 (1C), 130.95 (d, J = $9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{C}$ ), 126.75 ( 1 C ), 116.06 (1C), 116.01 (1C), 115.79 (1C), 113.57 (1C), 112.78 (1C), 101.29 (1C), 70.33 (1C), 51.87 (1C), 32.30 (1C), 14.99 (1C).

EMAC 10156 F: methyl 2-(4-methyl-7-(2-(3-nitrophenyl)-2-oxoethoxy)-2-oxo-2H-chromen-3-yl)acetate

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 8.75$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 8.53 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 8.46 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.89 (s, 1H, CH-Ar), 7.77 (d, J = $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 7.19 (s, 1H, CHAr Coum), 7.09 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), $5.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\right.$ ), 3.68 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ ), $3.62\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 193.06$ (1C), 171.07 (1C), 161.27 (1C), 161.01 (1C), 153.77 (1C), 149.89 (1C), 148.52 (1C), 135.93 (1C), 134.66 (1C), 131.11 (1C), 128.44 (1C), 127.28
(1C), 122.91 (1C), 116.73 (1C), 114.17 (1C), 113.33 (1C), 101.98 (1C), 71.35 (1C), 52.33 (1C), 32.88 (1C), 15.59 (1C).

EMAC 10156 L: methyl 2-(4-methyl-2-oxo-7-(2-oxo-2-phenylethoxy)-2H-chromen-3yl)acetate

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 8.05$ (d, $\left.J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}\right), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 7.72 ( $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), $7.60(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.11 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 7.07 (d, J = $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 5.76 (s, 2H, $-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-$ ), 3.69 (s, 2H, Ar-CH2-), 3.63 (s, 3H, $-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), $2.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 194.27$ (1C), 171.07 (1C), 161.29 (1C), 161.18 (1C), 153.73 (1C), 149.95 (1C), 134.73 (1C), 134.38 (1C), 129.32 (2C), 128.39 (2C), 127.24 (1C), 116.64 (1C), 114.06 (1C), 113.24 (1C), 101.96 (1C), 71.06 (1C), 52.32 (1C), 32.88 (1C), 5.58 (1C).

EMAC 10156 M: methyl 2-(7-(2-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-oxoethoxy)-4-methyl-2-oxo-2H-chromen-3-yl)acetate

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 8.07$ (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.78 (d, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 7.68 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.13 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 7.07 (d, $J=8.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 5.75 (s, 2H, $-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-$ ), 3.69 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ ), $3.64\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.41$ (s, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 193.39$ (1C), 170.90 (1C), 161.29 (1C), 161.10 (1C), 153.75 (1C), 149.93 (1C), 139.32 (1C), 133.32 (1C), 130.34 (2C), 129.42 (2C), 128.42 (1C), 127.17 (1C), 116.70 (1C), 113.25 (1C), 102.09 (1C), 71.07 (1C), 52.32 (1C), 32.88 (1C), 15.58 (1C).

Table 30 Chemical, analytical, and physical data of derivates EMAC 10156 (a-m)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | R | Aspect | MP ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Yield \% | ```RF AcOEt/Hex 5/1``` |
| 10156 A | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | White solid | 154-155 | 73 | 0.57 |
| 10156 B | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | White solid | 143-144 | 19 | 0.61 |
| 10156 C | $4-\mathrm{Br}$ | Light orange solid | 155-157 | 68 | 0.60 |
| 10156 D | 4-F | Light orange solid | 90-93 | 80 | 0.61 |
| 10156 F | $3-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | Yellow solid | 150-153 | 59 | 0.58 |
| 10156 L | 4-H | Light yellow solid | 108-110 | 70 | 0.56 |
| 10156 M | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | Yellow solid | 151-154 | 67 | 0.63 |

## General method for the synthesis of compound EMAC 10159 (a-m)



EMAC 10156 (a-m) were stirred in a solution of 1 N sodium hydroxide. The reaction mixture was stirred at reflux until the end of the reaction. Then, it was poured into crushed ice and water, and it was stirred until ice melting. Hydrochloric acid was added dropwise to obtain a precipitate. The precipitate was filtered to obtain the desired product. The reaction was monitored by TLC (ethyl acetate/n-hexane 5/1).

EMAC 10159 A: 2-(5-methyl-7-oxo-3-(p-tolyl)-7H-furo[3,2-g]chromen-6-yl)acetic acid

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 12.48$ (bs, 1H, -OH ), 8.40 (s, 1H, CH-Ar Furoc), 8.14 (s, 1H, CHAr Furoc), 7.76 (s, 1H, CH-Ar Furoc), 7.69 (d, J = $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.34 (d, J = $7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 3.65$ (s, 2H, Ar-CH2-), 2.51 (s, 3H, CH-Ar-CH3), 2.37 (s, 3H, CH-Ar-CH3).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 171.51$ (1C), 160.78 (1C), 155.92 (1C), 149.74 (1C), 149.32 (1C), 137.23 (1C), 129.74 (2C), 127.54 (1C), 127.04 (2C), 123.03 (1C), 121.17 (1C), 118.07 (1C), 116.60 (1C), 116.60 (1C), 99.40 (1C), 32.85 (1C), 20.75 (1C), 15.45 (1C).

EMAC 10159 B: 2-(3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-5-methyl-7-oxo-7H-furo[3,2-g]chromen-6yl)acetic acid

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 12.47$ (bs, 1H, -OH), 8.38 (s, 1H, CH-Ar Furoc), 8.17 (s, 1H, CHAr Furoc), 7.79 (s, 1H, CH-Ar Furoc), 7.75 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, CH-Ar), 7.11 (d, J = $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 3.83\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.66$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ ), $2.54\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 171.45$ (1C), 160.75 (1C), 158.97 (1C), 155.96 (1C), 149.83 (1C), 149.35 (1C), 128.51 (2C), 123.18 (1C), 122.85 (1C), 120.96 (1C), 118.15 (1C), 116.71 (1C), 116.65 (1C), 114.65 (2C), 99.47 (1C), 55.19 (1C), 32.90 (1C), 15.56 (1C).

EMAC 10159 C: 2-(3-(4-bromophenyl)-5-methyl-7-oxo-7H-furo[3,2-g]chromen-6-yl)acetic acid

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 12.46$ (bs, 1H, -OH ), 8.51 (s, 1H, CH-Ar Furoc), 8.17 (s, 1H, CHAr Furoc), 7.78 (d (d+s), $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}+\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Furoc), 7.72 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 3.65 (s, 2H, Ar-CH2-), 2.53 (s, 3H, CH-Ar-CH3).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 171.42$ (1C), 160.67 (1C), 155.98 (1C), 149.92 (1C), 149.30 (1C), 132.06 (2C), 131.24 (1C), 129.90 (1C), 129.27 (2C), 122.53 (1C), 120.87 (1C), 120.26 (1C), 118.30 (1C), 116.84 (1C), 116.74 (1C), 99.58 (1C), 32.90 (1C), 15.57 (s).

EMAC 10159 D: 2-(3-(4-fluorophenyl)-5-methyl-7-oxo-7H-furo[3,2-g]chromen-6-yl)acetic acid

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 12.47$ (bs, 1H, -OH ), 8.46 (s, 1H, CH-Ar Furoc), 8.17 (s, 1H, CHAr Furoc), 7.87 (dd, J = 8.3, 5.6 Hz, 2H, CH-Ar), 7.79 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Furoc), 7.37 ( $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 3.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ ), $2.53\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 171.42$ (1C), 162.97 (1C), 160.68 (1C), 160.54 (1C), 155.94 (1C), 149.90 ( 1 C ), 149.31 ( 1 C ), 129.29 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{C}$ ), 127.07 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{C}$ ), 122.80 (1C), 120.35 (1C), 118.25 (1C), 116.78 (1C), 116.71 (1C), 116.17 (1C), 115.96 (1C), 99.54 (1C), 32.90 (1C), 15.58 (s).

EMAC 10159 L: 2-(5-methyl-7-oxo-3-phenyl-7H-furo[3,2-g]chromen-6-yl)acetic acid

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 12.48$ (bs, 1H, -OH), 8.47 (s, 1H), 8.20 (s, 1H, CH-Ar Furoc), 7.82 (dd, $J=8.2,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 7.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Furoc), 7.55 (t, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.44 (t, J = $7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 3.66 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.54 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 171.95$ (1C), 161.23 (1C), 156.53 (1C), 150.39 (1C), 149.83 (1C), 144.82 (1C), 131.14 (1C), 129.71 (2C), 128.34 (1C), 127.73 (2C), 123.41 (1C), 121.80 (1C), 118.74 (1C), 117.26 (2C), 100.05 (1C), 33.42 (1C), 16.08 (1C).

EMAC 10159 M: 2-(3-(4-chlorophenyl)-5-methyl-7-oxo-7H-furo[3,2-g]chromen-6-yl)acetic acid

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 12.47$ (bs, 1H, -OH ), 8.50 (s, 1H, CH-Ar Furoc), 8.17 (s, 1H, CHAr Furoc), 7.84 (d, J = $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.79 (s, 1H, CH-Ar Furoc), 7.57 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 2H, CH-Ar Furoc), 3.64 (s, 2H, CH-Ar- $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.52 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 171.92$ (1C), 161.18 (1C), 156.50 (1C), 150.45 (1C), 149.82 (1C), 145.25 (1C), 132.89 (1C), 130.07 (1C), 129.66 (2C), 129.50 (2C), 123.11 (1C), 120.73 (1C), 118.82 (1C), 117.36 (1C), 117.28 (1C), 100.11 (1C), 33.42 (1C), 16.10 (1C).

Table 31 Chemical, analytical, and physical data of derivates EMAC 10159 (a-m)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | R | Aspect | MP ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Yield \% | ```RF AcOEt/Hex 5/1``` |
| 10159 A | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | Dark green solid | 194-196 | 94 | 0.65 |
| 10159 B | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | Grey solid | 187-190 | 83 | 0.61 |
| 10159 C | $4-\mathrm{Br}$ | Dark brown solid | 75-78 | 76 | 0.67 |
| 10159 D | 4-F | Light brown solid | 140-143 | 73 | 0.61 |
| 10159 L | 4-H | Light yellow solid | 205-208 | 75 | 0.66 |
| 10159 M | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | Brown | 172-175 | 87 | 0.73 |

## EMAC 10157 (a-m) and EMAC 10160 (a-m)




Scheme 10 Synthesis of derivatives EMAC 10157(a-m) and EMAC 10160(a-m): (i.) dimethyl acetylsuccinate, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{rT}, 30$ '; (ii.) halogeno-acetophenone, acetone, $\mathrm{K} 2 \mathrm{CO} 3, \Delta$; (iii.) $\mathrm{NaOH} 1 \mathrm{~N}, \Delta$

## Synthesis of compound EMAC 10157





Equimolar amounts of 2-propyl resorcinol and dimethyl acetylsuccinate were stirred in sulfuric acid $98 \%$ at room temperature until the end of the reaction. A precipitate was formed, and it was the desired compound that was used for the next step without purification. The reaction was monitored by TLC (ethyl acetate/n-hexane 5/1).

General method for the synthesis of compounds EMAC 10157 (a-m)



Acetone dry,
$\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$


Equimolar amounts of methyl 2-(7-hydroxy-4-methyl-2-oxo-8-propyl-2H-chromen-3yl)acetate ( $1,8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and potassium carbonate ( $1,8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were stirred in dry acetone ( 5 $\mathrm{mL})$, then the appropriate halogen-acetophenone ( $1,8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture stirred at reflux until the end of the reaction. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature to obtain a precipitate.

EMAC 10157: methyl 2-(7-hydroxy-4-methyl-2-oxo-8-propyl-2H-chromen-3-yl)acetate

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 10.33$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OH}$ ), 7.52 (d, J = $\left.8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Coum}\right), 6.88$ (d, J = $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Coum}), 3.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}\right), 3.62\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.69(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ ), $2.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.58-1.47\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.91(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H},-$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 170.76$ (1C), 161.08 (1C), 158.42 (1C), 151.34 (1C), 149.95 (1C), 123.77 (1C), 115.01 (1C), 114.57 (1C), 112.16 (1C), 112.13 (1C), 51.77 (1C), 32.29 (1C), 24.22 (1C), 21.70 (1C), 15.05 (1C), 13.92 (1C).

EMAC 10157 A: methyl 2-(4-methyl-2-oxo-7-(2-oxo-2-(p-tolyl)ethoxy)-8-propyl-2H-chromen-3-yl)acetate

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 7.93$ (d, $J=8,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.61 (d, J = 9.2, 1H, CH-Ar Coum), 7.39 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.00 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.8,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), $5.74\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}-\right.$ ), 3.68 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}$ ), $3.62\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.83-2.79\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 2.41\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 2.37 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.64-1.55 (m, 2H, $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.95-0.91\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 193.70$ (1C), 170.64 (1C), 160.88 (1C), 158.24 (1C), 150.61 (1C), 149.69 (1C), 144.37 (1C), 131.78 (1C), 129.34 (s, 2C), 127.96 (s, 2C), 123.92 (1C), 116.97 (1C), 115.87 (1C), 113.65 (1C), 108.77 (1C), 70.55 (1C), 51.82 (1C), 32.37 (1C), 24.34 (1C), 21.70 (1C), 21.22 (1C), 15.10 (1C), 13.96 (1C).

EMAC 10157 B: methyl 2-(7-(2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-oxoethoxy)-4-methyl-2-oxo-8-propyl-2H-chromen-3-yl)acetate

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 8.01$ ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.8,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.61 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 7.09 (d, J = 8, 2H, CH-Ar), 6.98 (d, J = 8, 1H, CH-Ar Coum), 5.70 (s, 2H, -OCH $\underline{2}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}-$ ), 3.86 ( s , $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), $3.68\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}\right), 3.62$, $\left(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$ ), 2.82-2.79 (m,2H, Ar- $\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 2.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.64-1.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.95-0.91\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 192.48$ (1C), 170.64 (1C), 163.61 (1C), 160.89 (1C), 158.29 (1C), 150.60 (1C), 149.69 (1C), 130.23 (2C), 127.15 (1C), 123.90 (1C), 116.96v, 115.84 (1C),
114.05 (2C), 113.61 (1C), 108.76 (1C), 70.35 (1C), 55.60 (1C), 51.81 (1C), 32.36 (1C), 24.34 (1C), 21.70 (1C), 15.09 (1C), 13.96 (1C).

EMAC 10157 D: methyl 2-(7-(2-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-oxoethoxy)-4-methyl-2-oxo-8-propyl-2H-chromen-3-yl)acetate

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 8.13-8.10$ (m, 2H, CH-Ar), 7.62 (d, J = 9.2, 1H, CH-Ar Coum), 7.45-7.40 (m, 2H, CH-Ar), 7.03 (d, J = 9.2, 1H, CH-Ar Coum), 5.77 (s, 2H, $-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}-$ ), 3.68 (s, 2H, Ar-CH2-CO-), $3.62\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.83-2.79\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ ), 2.37 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.64-1.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.95-0.91\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 192.90$ (1C), 170.65 (1C), 166.59 (1C), 164.08 (1C), 160.89 (1C), 158.15 (1C), 150.60 (1C), 149.70 (1C), 131.01 (2C), 123.94 (1C), 116.98 (1C), 116.01 (1C), 115.91 (2C), 113.70 (1C), 108.79 (1C), 70.55 (1C), 51.82 (1C), 32.36 (1C), 24.33 (1C), 21.70 (1C), 15.10 (1C), 13.95 (1C).

EMAC 10157 F: methyl 2-(4-methyl-7-(2-(3-nitrophenyl)-2-oxoethoxy)-2-oxo-8-propyl-2H-chromen-3-yl)acetate

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 8.75$ (s, 1H, CH-Ar), 8.53 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 1H,CH-Ar), 8.44 (d, J = $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.89 (t, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.63 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 7.11

$\left.3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 2.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.59\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.92\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 193.11$ (1C), 170.62 (1C), 160.84 (1C), 158.00 (1C), 150.60 (1C), 149.65 (1C), 147.97 (1C), 135.47 (1C), 134.13 (1C), 130.62 (1C), 127.92 (1C), 123.95 (1C), 122.43 (1C), 117.01 (1C), 116.00 (1C), 113.81 (1C), 108.89 (1C), 70.97 (1C), 51.81 (1C), 32.37 (1C), 24.35 (1C), 21.72 (1C), 15.11 (1C), 13.95 (s).

EMAC 10157 G: methyl 2-(7-(2-([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)-2-oxoethoxy)-4-methyl-2-oxo-8-propyl-2H-chromen-3-yl)acetate

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 8.12$ (d, J = 8.4, 2H, CH-Ar), 7.88 (d, J = 8.4, 2H, CH-Ar), 7.78 (d, $\mathrm{J}=7.2,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 7.65-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 7.53(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.3,1 \mathrm{H}$, CH-Ar Coum), 7.05 (d, 1H, CH-Ar Coum), 5.82 (s, 2H, $-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}-$ ), 3.68 (s, 2H, $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}-$ ), $3.62\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.85-2.81\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 2.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.64-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.96-0.92\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 193.78$ (1C), 170.63 (1C), 160.88 (1C), 158.22 (1C), 150.62 (1C), 149.68 (1C), 145.17 (1C), 138.77 (1C), 133.07 (1C), 129.10 (2C), 128.61 (2C), 127.02 (2C), 126.96 (2C), 123.94 (1C), 116.99 (1C), 115.90 (1C), 113.68 (1C), 108.81 (1C), 73.94 (1C), 70.69 (1C), 51.81 (1C), 32.37 (1C), 24.37 (1C), 21.73 (1C), 15.11 (1C), 13.98 (1C).

EMAC 10157 H: methyl 2-(7-(2-(2,4-difluorophenyl)-2-oxoethoxy)-4-methyl-2-oxo-8-propyl-2H-chromen-3-yl)acetateC

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 8.01$ (td, $J=8.6,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), $7.60(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-$ Ar Coum), 7.51 (ddd, $J=11.5,9.3,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.29 (td, $J=8.5,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.02 (d, J = $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 5.56 (d, J = $2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}-$ ), 3.68 (s, 2H, Ar-$\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}-\right), 3.62\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.78\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 2.37\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.65-1.49(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.92\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 191.23$ (d, J = $5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{C}$ ), 170.62 (1C), 160.86 (1C), 158.06 (1C), 150.59 (1C), 149.66 (1C), 123.91 (1C), 116.90 (1C), 115.94 (1C), 113.72 (1C), 108.73 (1C), 72.73 ( $d, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{C}$ ), 51.81 (1C), 32.37 (1C), 24.34 (1C), 21.69 (1C), 15.11 (1C), 13.96 (1C).

EMAC 10157 L: methyl 2-(4-methyl-2-oxo-7-(2-oxo-2-phenylethoxy)-8-propyl-2H-chromen-3-yl)acetate

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 8.04$ ( $\mathrm{d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), $7.72(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.63 (d, J = $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), $7.60(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.04 (d, J = $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$,
 $2.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ ), $2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH} 3), 1.62\left(\mathrm{dq}, \mathrm{J}=14.7,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.95\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 194.76$ (1C), 171.14 (1C), 161.38 (1C), 158.72 (1C), 151.13 (1C), 150.19 ( 1 C ), 134.80 ( 1 C ), 134.35 ( 1 C ), 129.32 ( 2 C ), 128.38 ( 2 C ), 124.44 ( 1 C ), 117.51 (1C), 116.41 (1C), 114.19 (1C), 109.30 (1C), 71.18 (1C), 52.32 (1C), 32.89 (1C), 24.86 (1C), 22.22 (1C), 15.62 (1C), 14.48 (1C).

EMAC 10157 M: methyl 2-(7-(2-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-oxoethoxy)-4-methyl-2-oxo-8-propyl-

## 2H-chromen-3-yl)acetate


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 8.05$ (d, J = $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.67 (d, J = $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.63 (d, J = $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 7.05 (d, J = $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 5.78 (s, 2H, -$\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}-\right)$ ), $3.69\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}-\right), 3.63\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.87-2.73\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{-} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ ), $2.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.66-1.54\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.94\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 193.87$ (1C), 171.13 (1C), 161.37 (1C), 158.63 (1C), 151.12 (1C), 150.18 (1C), 139.22 (1C), 133.49 (1C), 130.33 (2C), 129.44 (2C), 124.45 (1C), 117.50 (1C), 116.45 (1C), 114.24 (1C), 109.32 (1C), 71.17 (1C), 52.32 (1C), 32.89 (1C), 24.86 (1C), 22.22 (1C), 15.62 (1C), 14.47 (s).

Table 32 Chemical, analytical, and physical data of derivates EMAC 10157 (a-m)


## General method for the synthesis of compound EMAC 10160 (a-m)


of 1 N sodium hydroxide were added to a suspension of the appropriate EMAC 10161 (ak), the reaction mixture was stirred at reflux for 4 hours. Then it was poured into crushed ice and water, and it was stirred until ice melting. Hydrochloric acid was added dropwise to obtain a precipitate.

EMAC 10160 A: 2-(5-methyl-7-oxo-9-propyl-3-(p-tolyl)-7H-furo[3,2-g]chromen-6-yl)acetic acid

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 12.47$ (bs, 1H, -OH), 8.41 (s, 1H, -OCH-Ar Furoc), 8.03 (s, 1H, CH-Ar Furoc), 7.69 (d, J = 8, 2H, CH-Ar), 7.34 (d, J = 7.9, 2H, CH-Ar), 3.65 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}$ ), 3.03 (t, J = 7.5, 2H, Ar-CH2-CH ${ }_{2}-$ ), $2.51\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.78-1.69(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.97-0.94\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 171.49$ (1C), 160.72 (1C), 154.93 (1C), 149.65 (1C), 147.36 (1C), 143.62 (1C), 137.11 (1C), 129.72 (2C), 127.82 (1C), 127.11 (2C), 122.21 (1C), 121.45 (1C), 117.83 (1C), 116.71 (1C), 114.20 (1C), 112.93 (1C), 32.90 (1C), 24.85 (1C), 21.93 (1C), 20.79 (1C), 15.64 (1C), 13.83 (1C).

EMAC $10160 \quad$ B: 2-(3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-5-methyl-7-oxo-9-propyl-7H-furo[3,2-g]chromen-6-yl)acetic acid

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 12.47$ (bs, 1H, -OH ), 8.37 (s, 1H, OCH-Ar Furoc), 8.01 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, CH-Ar Furoc), 7.73 (d, $J=8.2,2 H, C H-A r), 7.10(d, J=8.6,2 H, C H-A r), 3.82(s, 3 H, C H-A r-$ $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), $3.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}\right), 3.02\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.8,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 2.51\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.71-$ $1.68\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.97-0.94\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 171.50$ (1C), 160.73 (1C), 158.92 (1C), 154.89 (1C), 149.66 (1C), 147.34 (1C), 143.17 (1C), 128.47 (2C), 123.00 (1C), 122.31 (1C), 121.18 (1C), 117.79 (1C), 116.66 (1C), 114.62 (2C), 114.16 (1C), 112.89 (1C), 55.17 (1C), 32.90 (1C), 24.84 (1C), 21.92 (1C), 15.65 (1C), 13.82 (1C).

EMAC 10160 D: 2-(3-(4-fluorophenyl)-5-methyl-7-oxo-9-propyl-7H-furo[3,2-g]chromen-6yl)acetic acid

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 12.47$ (bs, 1H, -OH), 8.46 (s, 1H, -OCH-Ar Furoc), 8.03 (s, 1H, CH-Ar Furoc), 7.87-7.85 (m, 2H, CH-Ar), 7.37 (t, J = 8.8, 2H), 3.65 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}$ ), 3.03
( $\left.\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 2.52\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.78-1.69\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.97-$ $0.94\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 171.48$ (1C), 162.91 (1C), 160.68 (1C), 160.51 (1C), 154.88 (1C), 149.67 (1C), 147.42 (1C), 129.23 (1C), 129.23 (1C), 127.21 (1C), 121.97 (1C), 120.59 (1C), 117.90 (1C), 116.81 (1C), 116.16 (1C), 115.94 (1C), 114.16 (1C), 112.98 (1C), 32.90 (1C), 27.45 (1C), 24.84 (1C), 21.92 (1C), 15.67 (1C), 13.82 (1C).

EMAC $10160 \quad$ G: 2-(3-([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)-5-methyl-7-oxo-9-propyl-7H-furo[3,2-g]chromen-6-yl)acetic acid

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 12.49$ (bs, 1H, -OH), 8.54 (s, 1H, -OCH-Ar Furoc), 8.13 (s, 1H, CH-Ar Furoc), 7.92 (d, 2H, J = 8.4, 2H, CH-Ar), 7.84 (d, J = 8.4, 2H, CH-Ar), 7.75 (d, J = 7.2, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 7.51(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2,2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 7.40(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2,1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 3.67$ (s,2H, Ar-CH2-CO$)$, 3.07-3.04 (m, 2H, Ar- $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ ), 2.55 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $1.80-1.71\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 0.99-0.95 (t, 3H, $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 171.49$ (1C), 160.71 (1C), 155.01 (1C), 149.69 (1C), 147.44 (1C), 144.21 (1C), 139.56 (1C), 139.42 (1C), 129.93 (1C), 129.01 (2C), 127.73 (2C), 127.59 (1C), 127.37 (2C), 126.54 (2C), 122.04 (1C), 121.13 (1C), 117.92 (1C), 116.84 (1C), 114.35 (1C), 113,03 (1C), 32.92 (1C), 24.88 (1C), 21.94 (1C), 15.71 (1C), 13.85 (1C).

EMAC 10160 L: 2-(5-methyl-7-oxo-3-phenyl-9-propyl-7H-furo[3,2-g]chromen-6-yl)acetic acid

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 12.49$ (bs, 1H, OH), 8.45 (d, J = $1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Furoc), 8.05 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Furoc ), 7.80 (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), $7.54(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 7.43(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar})$, $3.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}\right.$ ), 2.97-3.06 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-}$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ ), $2.51\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ 1.68-1.78 (m, $2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $0.96\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 171.48$ (1C), 160.69 (1C), 154.95 (1C), 149.64 (1C), 147.38 (1C), 130.77 (1C), 128.83 (2C), 127.75 (1C), 127.19 (2C), 122.04 (1C), 121.51 (1C), 117.87 (1C), 116.77 (1C), 114.20 (1C), 114.20 (1C), 112.97 (1C), 32.90 (1C), 24.85 (1C), 21.93 (1C), 15.65 (1C), 13.79 (1C).

EMAC 10160 M: 2-(3-(4-chlorophenyl)-5-methyl-7-oxo-9-propyl-7H-furo[3,2-g]chromen-6-yl)acetic acid

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 8.48$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Furoc), 8.02 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Furoc), 7.82 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 3.64\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}\right), 3.03-2.96$
(m, 2H, Ar- $\left.\underline{C H}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right), 2.51\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) 1.75-1.66\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.94(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

OH not detected.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 171.47$ (1C), 160.65 (1C), 154.90 (1C), 149.63 (1C), 147.41 (1C), 142.82 (1C), 132.29 (1C), 129.66 (1C), 129.11 (2C), 128.90 (2C), 121.71 (1C), 120.40 (1C), 117.92 (1C), 116.83 (1C), 114.16 (1C), 113.01 (1C), 32.89 (1C), 24.82 (1C), 21.91 (1C), 15.65 (1C), 13.81 (s).

Table 33 Chemical, analytical, and physical data of derivates EMAC 10160 (a-m)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | R | Aspect | MP ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Yield \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { RF } \\ \text { DCM/MeOH } \\ 5 / 1 \end{gathered}$ |
| 10160 A | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | Grey crystals | 226-229 | 98 | 0.86 |
| 10160 B | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | Green crystals | 202-205 | 97 | 0.88 |
| 10160 D | 4-F | Grey solid | 135-139 | 92 | 0.87 |
| 10160 G | 4-Phenyl | Pale brown crystals | 248-250 | 97 | 0.91 |
| 10160 L | 4-H | Blue solid | 128-130 | 74 | 0.88 |
| 10160 M | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | Dark green solid | 232-235 | 89 | 0.87 |

## EMAC 10161 (a-m) and EMAC 10162 (a-m)




Scheme 11 Synthesis of derivatives EMAC 10161 (a-m) and EMAC 10162 (a-m): (i.) diethyl 2acetylglutarate, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{RT}, 30$; (ii.) 2-bromo/2-chloro-acetophenone, acetone, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \Delta$; (iii.) NaOH $1 \mathrm{~N}, \Delta$

## Synthesis of compound EMAC 10161



A mixture of resorcinol ( $4,5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and diethyl 2-acetylglutarate ( $4,5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred at room temperature. Sulfuric acid $98 \%(13,5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise to the reaction mixture which was vigorously stirred until the end of the reaction. After 30 minutes a homogeneous sticky solid was obtained which was dissolved in the minimum quantity of methanol and poured into crushed ice. The mixture was stirred until ice melting and then filtered off to obtain a light-yellow solid. The crude product was washed with ethyl ether giving a white powder which was recrystallized from methanol. The progression of the reaction was monitored by TLC (ethyl acetate/n-hexane 6/1).

## General method for the synthesis of compounds EMAC 10161 (a-m)



Equimolar amounts of ethyl 3-(7-hydroxy-4-methyl-2-oxo-2H-chromen-3-yl)propanoate $(1,8 \mathrm{mmol})$, and potassium carbonate ( $1,8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were stirred in dry acetone $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. Then the appropriate 2-bromo-acetophenone or 2-chloro-acetophenone ( $1,8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at reflux until the end of the reaction. The mixture was cooled to room temperature to obtain a solid which was dissolved in methanol and poured into crushed ice. The mixture was stirred until ice melting, then hydrochloric acid was added dropwise to obtain a precipitate. The crude product was filtered, washed with ethyl ether, and crystallized in ethanol to obtain the desired compound.

## EMAC 10161: ethyl 3-(7-hydroxy-4-methyl-2-oxo-2H-chromen-3-yl)propanoate


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 12.13$ (bs, 1H, OH), 7.62 (d, J = $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 6.79 (dd, $J=8.8,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} C H-A r ~ C o u m), ~ 6.68$ (d, $J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{HCH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 2.80-2.73 (m, 2H, $-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $2.50\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.46\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.40-2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}+3 \mathrm{H},-$ $\mathrm{COCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ - $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 174.18$ (1C), 161.30 (1C), 160.74 (1C), 153.78 (1C), 148.40 (1C), 127.14 (1C), 120.33 (1C), 113.34 (2C), 112.93 (1C), 102.36 (2C), 51.85 (1C), 32.83 (1C), 32.51 (1C), 23.23 (1C), 15.06 (1C).

EMAC 10161 A: ethyl 3-(4-methyl-2-oxo-7-(2-oxo-2-(p-tolyl)ethoxy)-2H-chromen-3yl)propanoate

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 7.96$ (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.74 (d, J = $8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 7.41 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.06 (d, $J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 7.03 (dd, $J=$ 8.8, 2.6 Hz, 1H, CH-Ar Coum), $5.71\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right), 4.06\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.83$ ( $\left.\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{COCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}\right), 2.51-2.47\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{COCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}\right), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}+3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.17\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 193.80$ (1C), 172.55 (1C), 161.14 (1C), 160.82 (1C), 153.57 (1C), 148.31 (1C), 144.88 (1C), 132.26 (1C), 129.83 (2C), 128.48 (2C), 126.99 (1C), 121.14 (1C), 114.28 (1C), 113.00 (1C), 101.82 (1C), 70.91 (1C), 60.42 (1C), 32.65 (1C), 23.22 (1C), 21.73 (1C), 15.15 (1C), 14.53 (1C).

EMAC 10161 B: ethyl 3-(7-(2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-oxoethoxy)-4-methyl-2-oxo-2H-chromen-3-yl)propanoate

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 7.10 (d, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.02 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 7.00 (dd, $J=$ $8.8,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 5.65 (s, $2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ ), 3.87 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 3.59 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.81\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{COCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}\right), 2.51-2.42\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}+2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{COCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.41 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 192.61$ (1C), 172.83 (1C), 164.14 (1C), 161.14 (1C), 160.88 (1C), 153.57 (1C), 148.37 (1C), 130.75 (2C), 127.64 (1C), 126.99 (1C), 121.08 (1C), 114.55 (2C), 114.25 (1C), 113.01 (1C), 101.81 (1C), 70.72 (1C), 56.13 (1C), 51.86 (1C), 40.55 (1C), 32.45 (1C), 23.20 (1C), 15.12 (s).

EMAC 10161 D: ethyl 3-(7-(2-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-oxoethoxy)-4-methyl-2-oxo-2H-chromen-3-yl)propanoate

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 8.14-8.10$ (m, 2H, CH-Ar), 7.72 (d, J = $8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 7.42 (m, J = $8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.06 (d, $J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 7.02 (dd, J = 8.9, 2.6 Hz, 1H, CH-Ar Coum), 5.72 (s, 2H, $-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ ), $3.59\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.82(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{COCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}\right), 2.48\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.43-2.39\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}+2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3},-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{COCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 192.98$ (1C), 173.02 (1C), 166.67 (1C), 161.13 (1C), 160.76 (1C), 153.59 (1C), 148.36 (1C), 131.51 (2C), 131.48 (1C), 127.01 (1C), 121.14 (1C), 116.45 (1C), 116.31 (1C), 114.33 (1C), 113.03 (1C), 101.83 (1C), 70.95 (1C), 51.86 (1C), 40.55 (1C), 32.44 (1C), 23.21 (1C), 15.13 (s).

EMAC 10161 G: ethyl 3-(7-(2-([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)-2-oxoethoxy)-4-methyl-2-oxo-2H-chromen-3-yl)propanoate

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 8.12$ ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.89 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.78 (d, J = $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.73 (d, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), $7.53(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, CH-Ar), 7.45 (t, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.08 (d, $J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{Coum}$ ), 7.04 (dd, $J=8.9$, $2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), $5.76\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right), 3.59\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.82(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.-\mathrm{COCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}\right), 2.46\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) 2.48-2.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}+2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3},-\mathrm{COCH}_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 193.88$ (1C), 173.03 (1C), 161.15 (1C), 160.82 (1C), 153.60 (1C), 148.36 (1C), 145.70 (1C), 139.29 (1C), 133.55 (1C), 129.61 (2C), 129.14 (2C), 129.01
(1C), 127.50 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 121.13 (1C), 114.32 (1C), 113.03 (1C), 101.86 (1C), 71.06 (1C), 51.87 (1C), 40.55 (1C), 32.45 (1C), 23.21 (1C), 15.13 (1C).

EMAC 10161 H: ethyl 3-(7-(2-(2,4-difluorophenyl)-2-oxoethoxy)-4-methyl-2-oxo-2H-chromen-3-yl)propanoate

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 8.02$ (dd, $J=15.3,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.71 (d, J=8.9 Hz, 1H, CH-Ar Coum), 7.51 (ddd, $J=11.5,9.4,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.29 (td, $J=8.5,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-$ Ar), 7.04 (d, J = $2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 7.01 (dd, J = $8.9,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 5.52 (d, $J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ ), $3.58\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.81\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{COCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 2.76$ (dd, $\mathrm{J}=13.5,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz} 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $2.41-2.40\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}\right)$, $2.38\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=11.8,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 173.02$ (1C), 161.14 (1C), 160.75 (1C), 153.58 (1C), 148.41 (1C), 148.34 (1C), 132.73 (1C), 127.14 (1C), 126.97 (1C), 120.33 (1C), 114.33 (1C), 113.34 (1C), 112.95 (2C), 105.68 (1C), 102.36 (1C), 101.76 (s, 2C), 73.06 (1C), 51.86 (1C), 32.83 (1C), 32.44 (1C), 23.20 (1C), 15.12 (1C).

EMAC 10161 L: ethyl 3-(4-methyl-2-oxo-7-(2-oxo-2-phenylethoxy)-2H-chromen-3yl)propanoate

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 8.04$ (d, J = $7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), $7.71(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{H}+1 \mathrm{H}$, CH-Ar, CH-Ar Coum), 7.59 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H, CH-Ar), 7.06 ( $d, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 7.02 (dd, $J=8.8,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), $5.74\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right.$ ), $3.59\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ ), 2.82 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H, -COCH2 $\left.\underline{-C H}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}\right), 2.49-2.46\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.44-2.37(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}+2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3},-\mathrm{COCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}\right)$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 194.32$ (1C), 173.02 (1C), 161.14 (1C), 160.80 (1C), 153.58 (1C), 148.36 ( 1 C ), 134.74 ( 1 C ), 134.36 ( 1 C ), 129.31 (s, 2C), 128.38 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{C}$ ), 127.00 (1C), 121.12 (1C), 114.31 (1C), 113.02 (1C), 101.84 (1C), 71.02 (1C), 51.86 (1C), 40.55 (1C), 32.44 (1C), 23.20 ( 1 C ), 15.13 ( 1 C ).

EMAC 10161 M: ethyl 3-(7-(2-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-oxoethoxy)-4-methyl-2-oxo-2H-chromen-3-yl)propanoate

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 8.05$ (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.72 (d, J = $8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Coum), 7.66 (d, J = $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.07 (d, J = $2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.02 (dd, J = 8.9, 2.6 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 5.72\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right), 4.04\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.81(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{COCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}\right), 2.49-2.42\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{COCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}\right), 2.41\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.15(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $\left.=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 193.45$ (1C), 172.55 (1C), 161.13 (1C), 160.71 (1C), 153.58 (1C), 148.31 (1C), 139.23 (1C), 133.43 (1C), 130.34 (2C), 129.42 (2C), 127.01 (1C), 121.19 (1C), 114.34 (1C), 113.03 (1C), 101.83 (1C), 71.02 (1C), 60.42 (1C), 32.65 (1C), 23.22 (1C), 15.16 (1C), 14.53 (1C).

Table 34 Chemical, analytical, and physical data of derivates EMAC 10161 (a-m)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | R | Aspect | MP ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Yield \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { RF } \\ \text { AcOEt/Hex } \\ 2 / 1 \end{gathered}$ |
| 10161 A | 4-CH3 | Pale yellow crystals | 143-144 | 87 | 0.82 |
| 10161 B | 4-OCH3 | Pale yellow crystals | 145-147 | 94 | 0.70 |
| 10161 D | 4-F | Yellow crystals | 144-145 | 83 | 0.76 |
| 10161 G | 4-Phenyl | Pale yellow crystals | 159-162 | 92 | 0.82 |
| 10161 H | 2,4-F | Orange crystals | 119-122 | 55 | 0.80 |
| 10161 L | 4-H | Pale yellow crystals | 134-136 | 96 | 0.76 |
| 10161 M | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | Yellow crystals | 141-142 | 82 | 0.86 |

## General method for the synthesis of compounds EMAC 10162 (b-m)




5 mL
of 1 N sodium hydroxide were added to a suspension of the appropriate EMAC 10161 (a$m$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at reflux for 4 hours. Then, it was poured into crushed ice and water, and it was stirred until ice melting. Hydrochloric acid was added dropwise to obtain a precipitate. The product was filtered, and then recrystallized from isopropanol.

EMAC 10162 B: 3-(3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-5-methyl-7-oxo-7H-furo[3,2-g]chromen-6yl)propanoic acid

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, Acetone) $\delta 10.67$ (bs, 1H, OH), 8.22 (s, 1H, OCH-Ar Furoc), 8.15 (s, 1H, CH-Ar Furoc), 7.74 (m, 2H, CH-Ar), 7.56 (s, 1H, CH-Ar Furoc), 7.10 (m, 2H, CH-Ar), 3.88 (s, $3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 3.01 - $2.97\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}\right), 2.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.60\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, Acetone) $\delta 173.08$ (1C), 160.55 (1C), 159.55 (1C), 156.61 (1C), 150.55 (1C), 147.54 (1C), 142.76 (1C), 128.70 (2C), 123.64 (1C), 123.32 (1C), 122.95 (1C), 121.69 (1C), 117.32 (1C), 116.47 (1C), 114.58 (2C), 99.14 (1C), 54.78 (1C), 31.86 (1C), 23.25 (1C), 14.62 (1C).

EMAC 10162
D:
3-(3-(4-fluorophenyl)-5-methyl-7-oxo-7H-furo[3,2-g]chromen-6yl)propanoic acid


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 12.24$ (bs, 1H, OH), 8.51 (s, 1H, OCH-Ar Furoc), 8.15 (s, 1H, CHAr Furoc), 7.86 (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Furoc), 7.78 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{H}+2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Furoc, CH-Ar), 7.73 (d, J = $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Furoc), 7.71 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Furoc), 2.88 2.83 (m, 1H, Ar-CH2 $-\underline{C H}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}$ ), 2.57 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.46 - 2.42 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 174.08$ (2C), 163.06 (2C), 161.43 (2C), 160.96 (2C), 156.25 (2C), $150.34(2 \mathrm{C}), 148.40(2 \mathrm{C}), 144.73(2 \mathrm{C}), 129.75(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{C}), 127.60(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2C), 123.19 (2C), 122.98 (2C), 120.82 (2C), 117.48 (2C), 116.89 (2C), 116.64 (2C), 116.49 (2C), 99.91 (2C), 32.82 (2C), 23.51 (2C), 15.65 (2C).

EMAC 10162 G: 3-(3-([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)-5-methyl-7-oxo-7H-furo[3,2-g]chromen-6yl)propanoic acid

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 12.35$ (bs, 1H, OH), 8.52 (s, 1H, OCH-Ar Furoc), 8.22 (s, 1H, CHAr Furoc), 7.92 (d, J = $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.84 (d, J = $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.78 (s, 1H, CH-Ar Furoc), 7.75 (d, J = $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.51 (t, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 7.40(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, CH-Ar), $2.86\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}\right), 2.59\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.44(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,8.4$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}\right)$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 174.14$ (1C), 161.00 (1C), 156.37 (1C), 150.36 (1C), 148.40 (1C), 144.91 (1C), 140.06 (1C), 139.95 (1C), 130.33 (1C), 129.52 (s, 2C), 128.21 (2C), 128.10 (1C), 127.89 ( 2 C ), 127.05 ( 1 C ), 123.26 ( 1 C ), 123.07 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{C}$ ), 121.36 (1C), 117.53 ( 1 C ), 117.07 (1C), 99.96 (1C), 32.97 (1C), 23.59 (1C), 15.69 (s).

EMAC 10162 L: 3-(3-([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)-5-methyl-7-oxo-7H-furo[3,2-g]chromen-6yl)propanoic acid

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 12.21$ (bs, 1H, OH), 8.44 (s, 1H, OCH-Ar Furoc), 8.14 (s, 1H, CHAr Furoc), 7.80 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.75 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Furoc), 7.54 (t, J $=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 7.44(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 2.85\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}\right), 2.56(\mathrm{~s} \text {, }}\right.$ $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.44\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 174.10$ (1C), 160.99 (1C), 156.33 (1C), 150.31 (1C), 148.40 (1C), 144.73 (1C), 131.18 (1C), 129.69 (2C), 128.30 (1C), 127.69 (2C), 123.28 (1C), 122.96 (1C), 121.75 (1C), 117.46 (1C), 116.94 (1C), 99.91 (1C), 32.83 (1C), 23.51 (1C), 15.64 (s).

EMAC 10162 M: 3-(3-(4-chlorophenyl)-5-methyl-7-oxo-7H-furo[3,2-g]chromen-6yl)propanoic acid

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 12.21$ (bs, 1H, OH), 8.51 (s, 1H, OCH-Ar Furoc), 8.15 (s, 1H, CHAr Furoc), 7.85 (d, J = $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.78 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Furoc), 7.59 (d, J = $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 2.86\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}\right), 2.57\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.44(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,8.4}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{-} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}\right)$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 174.09$ (1C), 160.96 (1C), 156.31 (1C), 150.39 (1C), 148.43 (1C), 145.17 (1C), 132.85 (1C), 130.10 (1C), 129.67 (2C), 129.46 (2C), 123.05 (1C), 122.99 (1C), 120.69 (1C), 117.57 (1C), 116.99 (1C), 99.99 (1C), 32.82 (1C), 23.52 (1C), 15.68 (s).

Table 35 Chemical, analytical, and physical data of derivates EMAC 10162 (a-m)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | R | Aspect | MP ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Yield \% | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{RF} \\ \mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH} \\ 3 / 1 \end{gathered}$ |
| 10162 B | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | Beige crystals | 139-142 | 92 | 0.80 |
| 10162 D | 4-F | Pale brown crystals | 157-160 | 79 | 0.82 |
| 10162 G | 4-Phenyl | Brown crystals | 234-236 | 39 | 0.80 |
| 10162 L | 4-H | Brown crystals | 172-174 | 65 | 0.80 |
| 10162 M | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | Orange crystals | 189-90 | 82 | 0.85 |

## EMAC 10190 (b-l) and EMAC 10191 (b-I)

## Synthesis of 4-hydrazineylbenzenesulfonamide



A solution of 4-chlorobenzenesulfonamide ( 1 mmol ) and hydrazine monohydrate ( 1.0 mol ) was irradiated under MW at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $\mathrm{p}=250 \mathrm{psi}$. Then the mixture was poured into water ( 25 mL ) and stirred for $30^{\prime}$ at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After cooling at room temperature, a pearl white precipitate was formed. Then, the reaction mixture was put in the fridge overnight. The resulting precipitate was collected by filtration, washed thoroughly with water several times to eliminate the remaining hydrazine monohydrate, and with cold methanol, to eliminate the remaining 4 -chlorobenzenesulfonamide. Then, the solid was dried under vacuum to give the title compound ( $95 \%$ yield) as a nacreous solid. The progression of the reaction was monitored by TLC (DCM/MeOH 20/1)

General method for the synthesis of derivates EMAC 10190 (b-l) (ii)




To a solution of 4-hydrazineylbenzenesulfonamide (1 eq) and the opportune benzaldehyde (1 eq) in MeOH , acetic acid was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at reflux until the end of the reaction. A precipitate was formed, and it was filtered to obtain the desired compound, that was washed in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to eliminate the remaining acid.

EMAC 10190 B: 4-(2-(4-methylbenzylidene)hydrazineyl)benzenesulfonamide

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 10.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NCH}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, CH-Ar Benz-Sulf), 7.58 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{HCH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.22 (d, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{HCH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.13 (d, $J=$ $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Benz-Sulf), 7.05 (s, 2H, $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 2.33 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}^{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 148.32$ (1C), 139.62 (1C), 138.64 (1C), 133.77 (1C), 133.01 (1C), 129.78 (s, 2C), 127.94 (s, 2C), 126.52 (2C), 111.54 (2C), 33.82 (s).

EMAC 10190 C: 4-(2-(3,4,5-trimethoxybenzylidene)hydrazineyl)benzenesulfonamide

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 10.74$ (s, 1H, NH), 7.88 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NCH}$ ), 7.67 (d, J = $8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, CH-Ar CH-Ar Benz-Sulf), 7.16 (d, J = $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Benz-Sulf), 7.07 (s, 2H, CH-Ar), $7.00\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 3.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}+3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.70\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 153.66$ (2C), 148.24 (1C), 139.48 (1C), 138.65 (1C), 133.87 (1C), 131.30 (1C), 127.91 (2C), 111.67 (2C), 103.86 (2C), 60.58 (1C), 56.42 (2C).

EMAC 10190 D: 2-methoxy-4-((2-(4-sulfamoylphenyl)hydrazineylidene)methyl)phenyl acetate

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 10.82$ (s, 1H, NH), 7.94 (s, 1H, -NCH), 7.67 (d, J = $8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, CH-Ar Benz-Sulf), 7.44 (d, J = $1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.27 (dd, J = 8.2, 1.7 Hz, 1H, CH-Ar ), 7.17 (d, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ CH-Ar Benz-Sulf), 7.12 (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.08 (s, 2H, $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $3.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.27\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 168.98$ (1C), 151.61 (1C), 148.10 (1C), 140.09 (1C), 138.76 (1C), 134.68 (1C), 134.06 (1C), 127.93 2C), 123.60 (1C), 119.25 (1C), 111.75 (2C), 110.02 (1C), 56.32 (1C), 20.89 (1C).

## EMAC 10190 F: 4-(2-(naphthalen-2-ylmethylene)hydrazineyl)benzenesulfonamide


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 10.81$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 8.70 (d, J = $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-A r$ Napht), 8.50 (s, 1H, -NCH), 7.95-7.89 (m,3H, 1H+2H, CH-Ar Napht, CH-Ar Napht), 7.70 (d, J = $8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, CH-Ar Benz-Sulf) 7.66-7.56 (m, 3H, 2H+1H, CH-Ar Napht, CH-Ar Napht), 7.57-7.52 (m, 2H, CH-Ar Benz-Sulf), 7.06 (s, 2H, SONH 2 ).
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( 151 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 149.70$ (1C), 138.16 (1C), 133.14 (1C), 132.90 (1C), 131.80 (1C), 130.15 (1C), 129.60 (1C), 129.10 (1C), 128.70 (2C), 127.59 (1C), 126.40 (1C), 126.10 (1C), 126.02 (1C), 123.90 (1C), 114.27 (2C).

EMAC 10190 G: 4-(2-(naphthalen-1-ylmethylene)hydrazineyl)benzenesulfonamide

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 10.92$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ) 8.73 (d, J = $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Napht), 8.64 (s, $1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NCH}$ ), 8.00 (d, J = $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-A r$ Napht), 7.95 (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-A r$ Napht), 7.72 (d, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Benz-Sulf), 7.68 (ddd, $J=8.4,6.8,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-A r$ Napht), 7.59 (dd, J = 14.5, 6.8 Hz, 2H, CH-Ar Napht), 7.21 (d, J = $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Napht), 7.10 (s, 2H, $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ).
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 148.16$ (1C), 139.03 (1C), 134.14 (1C), 134.10 (1C), 130.91 (1C), 130.30 ( 1 C ), 129.47 (1C), 129.25 (1C), 128.06 (2C), 127.49 (1C), 126.56 (1C), 126.33 (1C), 126.12 (1C), 124.32 (1C), 111.74 (2C).

EMAC 10190 H: 4-(2-(4-ethoxy-3-methoxybenzylidene)hydrazineyl)benzenesulfonamide

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 10.62$ (s, 1H, NH), 7.88 (s, 1H, -NCH), 7.65 (d, J = $8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, CH-Ar Benz-Sulf), 7.33 (d, J = $1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.15 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.14-7.12 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$-Ar Benz-Sulf), 7.05 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 6.97 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 4.05 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=$ $\left.7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.84\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 1.35\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{HOCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 149.64$ (1C), 149.33 (1C), 148.42 (1C), 139.83 (1C), 133.52 (1C), 128.48 (1C), 127.92 (2C), 120.52 (1C), 113.07 (1C), 111.45 (2C), 108.80 (1C), 64.21 (1C), 55.94 (1C), 15.18 (1C).

## EMAC 10190 J: 4-(2-(4-methoxybenzylidene)hydrazineyl)benzenesulfonamide


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.600 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ D M S O\right) ~ \delta 10.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NCH}), 7.65$ (dd, J=10.4, 8.9 Hz, 4H, 2H+2H, CH-Ar, CH-Ar Benz-Sulf), 7.12 (d, J = $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{HCH}-A r$ Benz-Sulf), 7.05 (s, 2H, $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 6.99 (d, J = $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), $3.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 160.25$ (1C), 148.46 (1C), 139.55 (1C), 133.50 (1C), 128.37 (1C), 127.97 ( $d, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{C}$ ), 114.70 (2C), 111.40 (2C), 55.71 (1C).

EMAC 10190 K: 4-(2-(4-fluorobenzylidene)hydrazineyl)benzenesulfonamide

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 10.78$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 7.95 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NCH}$ ), 7.75 (dd, J = 8.5, 5.7 Hz, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.68 (d, J = $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-$ Ar Benz-Sulf), $7.25(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.16 (d, J $=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{HCH}-A r$ Benz-Sulf), $7.08\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right.$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 163.53$ (1C), 161.90 (1C), 148.24 (1C), 138.33 (1C), 133.99 (1C), 132.35 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{C}$ ), 128.49 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{C}$ ), 127.95 ( 2 C ), 116.17 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=21.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{C}), 111.66$ (2C).

EMAC 10190 L: 4-(2-(4-chlorobenzylidene)hydrazineyl)benzenesulfonamide

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 10.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NCH}), 7.72$ (d, J=8.5 Hz, 2H, CH-Ar Benz-Sulf), 7.67 (d, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.47 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Benz-Sulf), $7.16(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 7.08\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 157.08$ (1C), 148.06 (1C), 138.05 (1C), 134.23 (1C), 133.27 (1C), 129.22 (2C), 128.02 ( $d, J=21.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{C}), 111.78$ (2C).

Table 36 Chemical, analytical, and physical data of derivates EMAC 10190 (a-I)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | R | Aspect | MP ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Yield \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { RF } \\ \text { DCM/MeOH } \\ 20 / 1 \end{gathered}$ |
| 10190 B | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | Optic white crystals | 220-221 | 65 | 0.29 |
| 10190 C | 3,4,5-OCH3 | Light yellow crystals | 222-225 | 95 | 0.24 |
| 10190 D | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4-Acethoxy-3- } \\ \mathrm{OCH}_{3} \end{gathered}$ | Optic white crystals | 185-188 | 93 | 0.25 |
| 10190 F | 2-Naphtal | Light yellow crystals | 230-233 | 71 | 0.26 |
| 10190 G | 1-Naphtal | Green crystals | 232-234 | 78 | 0.23 |
| 10190 H | $\begin{gathered} 4-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}-3- \\ \mathrm{OCH}_{3} \end{gathered}$ | White crystals | 183-185 | 84 | 0.26 |
| 10190 J | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | Dirty white crystals | 207-210 | 77 | 0.21 |
| 10190 K | 4-F | White crystals | 219-221 | 89 | 0.21 |
| 10190 L | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | White crystals | 220-223 | 83 | 0.19 |

## General method for the synthesis of derivates EMAC 10191 (b-l) (iii)



A solution of the opportune EMAC10190 (b-I) (1eq) in mercaptoacetic acid (15 eq), was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until the end of the reaction. Then the solution was colled at room temperature, and ethyl acetate wass added; the organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (3 $\times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and then with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over Na 2 SO 4 and then concentrated in vacuo to obtain a solid. It was purify with Isopropyl ether. The product was purified by flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MetOH}=20 / 1$ ). The progression of the reaction was monitored by TLC (DCM/MeOH 20/1).

EMAC 10191 B: 4-((4-oxo-2-(p-tolyl)thiazolidin-3-yl)amino)benzenesulfonamide

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 8.64$ (s, 1H, NH), 7.58 (s, 2H, CH-Ar Benz-Sulf), 7.32 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ CH-Ar), 7.19 (d, J = $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.06 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 6.74 (d, J = $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Benz-Sulf), 5.85 (s, 1H, NCHS Thiaz), 4.38 (s, 3H, CH3), 3.93 (d, J = $15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}$-thiaz), 3.81 (d, $J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{SCH} 2-T h i a z)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 198.63$ (1C), 170.02 (1C), 149.98 (1C), 139.08 (1C), 135.10 (1C), 129.67 (2C), 127.68 (2C), 111.76 (4C), 48.26 (1C), 41.34 (1C), 25.80 (1C).

EMAC $10191 \quad$ C: 4-((4-oxo-2-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)thiazolidin-3yl)amino)benzenesulfonamide

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , Acetone) $\delta 7.81$ (s, 1H, NH), 7.68 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, CH-Ar Benz-Sulf), 6.85 (d, J = $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Benz-Sulf), 6.80 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 6.29 (s, 2H, CH-Ar), 5.89 (d, J $=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCHS}$ Thiaz), $3.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{H}+3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.77-3.73\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right.$-thiaz), 3.72 (s, 3H, OCH3).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, Acetone) $\delta 196.54$ (1C), 168.59 (1C), 153.74 (2C), 135.00 (1C), 127.68 (2C), 111.87 (2C), 104.79 (1C), 59.63 (1C), 55.60 (3C), 40.90 (1C).

EMAC 10191 D: 2-methoxy-4-(4-oxo-3-((4-sulfamoylphenyl)amino)thiazolidin-2-yl)phenyl acetate

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (600 MHz, Acetone) $\delta 7.92$ (s, 1H, NH), 7.70 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 2H, CH CH-Ar Benz-Sulf), 7.27 (s, 1H, CH-Ar), 7.07 (s, 2H, CH-Ar), 6.91 - 6.83 (m, 2H, CH-Ar Benz-Sulf), 6.31 (s, 2H, $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 5.97 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCHS}$ Thiaz), 3.92 (dd, $J=15.8,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}$-thiaz), $3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.78\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right.$-thiaz), $2.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( 151 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 169.05$ (1C), 168.11 (1C), 151.72 (1C) 149.70 (1C), 140.48 (1C), 135.02 (1C), 127.72 (2C), 122.99 (2C), 119.62 (1C), 111.86 (2C), 111.55 (1C), 61.73 (1C), 55.48 (1C), 25.70 ( 1 C ), 19.60 ( 1 C ).

EMAC 10191 F: 4-((2-(naphthalen-2-yl)-4-oxothiazolidin-3-yl)amino)benzenesulfonamide

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 8.73$ (s, 1H, NH), 7.98 (d, J = $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-A r$ Napht), 7.93 (d, $J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ Napht), $7.92-7.89$ (m, 2H, CH-Ar Napht), $7.66-7.56$ (m, 2H, CH-Ar Benz-Sulph), $7.57-7.52$ (m, 3H CH-Ar Napht), 7.06 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 6.77 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, $2 \mathrm{HCH}-$ Ar Benz-Sulf), 6.06 (s, 1H, NCHS thiaz), 4.04 (d, $J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}$-thiaz), 3.87 (d, $J=15.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}$-thiaz).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 198.62$ (1C), 169.47 (1C), 152.72 (1C), 134.71 (1C), 133.53 (1C), 132.99 (1C), 129.19 (1C), 128.43 (1C), 128.08 (1C), 127.71 (1C), 127.11 (1C), 127.03 (2C), 126.63 (1C) 125.78 (1C), 111.79 (2C), 41.34 (1C), 23.21 (1C).

EMAC 10191 G: 4-((2-(naphthalen-1-yl)-4-oxothiazolidin-3-yl)amino)benzenesulfonamide

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , Acetone) $\delta 8.13$ (d, J = $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 8.07 (s, 1H CH-Ar Napht), 8.00 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H CH-Ar Napht), 7.99 (d, J = $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ CH-Ar Napht), 7.96 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H CH-Ar Napht), 7.73 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H CH-Ar Benz-Sulf), 7.64 - 7.54 (m, 4H, 2H+2H, CH-Ar

Napht, CH-Ar Benz-Sulf), 6.95 (d, J = $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 6.82 (s, 1H, , CH-Ar Napht), 6.32 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCHS}$ thiaz), 3.84 ( $\mathrm{q}, J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}$-thiaz).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, Acetone) $\delta 181.14$ (1C), 169.67 (1C), 149.78 (1C), 135.25 (1C), 134.94 (1C), 134.22 (1C), 130.63 (1C), 129.12 (1C), 128.99 (1C), 127.76 (2C), 126.67 (1C), 126.10 (1C), 125.43 (1C), 122.59 (1C), 112.02 (2C), 58.72 (1C), 29.86 (1C).

EMAC $10191 \quad \mathrm{H}: \quad$ 4-((2-(4-ethoxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-4-oxothiazolidin-3yl)amino)benzenesulfonamide

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 7.90$ (s, 1H, NH), 7.68 (d, J = $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ CH-Ar Benz-Sulf), 7.28 (s, 1H, CH-Ar), 7.04 (s, 2H, CH-Ar), $6.95-6.87$ (m, 2H, CH-Ar Benz-Sulf), 6.28 (s, 2H, $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 5.92 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCHS}$ Thiaz), $4.05\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH} 3\right), 3.70\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, $3.50\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right.$-thiaz), $3.45\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right.$-Thiaz), $2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 169.98$ (1C), 168.09 (1C), 151.60 (1C) 149.70 (1C), 141.60 (1C), 136.24 (1C), 128.92 (2C), 122.40 (2C), 118.67 (1C), 112.97 (2C), 111.40 (1C), 61.73 (1C), 55.48 (1C), 35.12 (1C), 19.60 (1C).

EMAC
10191
J:
4-((2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-4-oxothiazolidin-3-
yl)amino)benzenesulfonamide

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , Acetone) $\delta 7.74$ (s, 1H, NH), 7.68 (d, J = $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ CH-Ar Benz-Sulf), 7.44 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H, CH CH-Ar Benz-Sulf), ), $6.96-6.92$ (m, 2H, CH CH-Ar), $6.86-6.82$ (m, 2H, CH-Ar), 6.29 (s, 2H, NH2), 5.90 (s, 1H, NCHS Thiaz), 3.87 (dd, J=15.8, 1.7 Hz, 1H, SCH2Thiaz), 3.81 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 3.77 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}$-Thiaz).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, Acetone) $\delta 160.56$ (1C), 135.33 (1C), 129.28 (1C), 129.22.16 (1C), 127.63 (2C), 113.97 (2C), 111.84 (4C), 54.75 (1C), 33-(1C), 29.43 (1C),

EMAC 10191 K: 4-((2-(4-fluorophenyl)-4-oxothiazolidin-3-yl)amino)benzenesulfonamide

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, Acetone) $\delta 7.82$ (s, 1H, NH), 7.69 (d, J = $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ CH-Ar Benz-Sulf), $7.62-7.55$ (m, 2H, CH CH-Ar), $7.19-7.13$ (m, 2H, CH CH-Ar), 6.85 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H, CH CHAr Benz-Sulf), 6.31 (s, 2H, SO2NH2), 5.98 ( $s, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCHS}$ Thiaz), 3.91 (dd, $J=15.9,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{SCH}_{2}$-Thiaz), 3.79 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{SCH} \mathrm{H}_{2}$-Thiaz).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (151 MHz, Acetone) $\delta 169.20$ - 168.48 (m), 163.76 (1C), 162.13 (1C), 149.74 (1C), 135,68 (1C), 135.09 (1C), 129.99 (1C), 127.66 (2C), 115.51 (1C), 115.37 (1C), 111.88 (2C), 61.37 (1C), 29.69 (1C).

## EMAC 10191 L: 4-((2-(4-chlorophenyl)-4-oxothiazolidin-3-yl)amino)benzenesulfonamide


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 8.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.59$ (d, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ CH-Ar Benz-Sulf), 7.44 (d, J = $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}+2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}), 7.06\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, CH CH-Ar Benz-Sulf), 5.91 (s, 1H NCHS Thiaz), 3.96 (d, J=15.7 Hz, 1H, SCH2-Thiaz), 3.81 (d, J $=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}-$ Thiaz).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 169.74$ (1C) 149.69 (1C), 134.81 (1C), 133.77 (1C), 129.81 (1C), 129.13 (1C), 127.69 (2C), 127.69 (2C), 111.80 (2C), 60.47 (1C), 41.34 (1C).

Table 37 Chemical, analytical, and physical data of derivates EMAC 10191 (a-I)


## General information

Starting materials and reagents were obtained from commercial suppliers and were used without purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra were registered on a Bruker AMX 400 MHz (chemical shifts in $\delta$ values) operating at 400 MHz and 100 MHz , respectively, or on a Bruker Avance III HD 600 operating at 600 MHz and 151 MHz , respectively. Chemical shifts are reported referenced to the solvent in which they were measured. Coupling constants $J$ are expressed in hertz $(\mathrm{Hz})$. Microanalytical data of the synthesized compounds are in agreement within $\pm 0.4 \%$ of the theoretical values. TLC chromatography was performed using silica gel plates (Merck F 254), spots were visualized by UV light. Chromatographic purifications were performed on columns packed with Merk 60 silica gel, 23-400 mesh, for flash technique. All melting points were determined on a Stuart SMP11 melting points apparatus and are uncorrected.

## Microwave Irradiation Experiments

Microwave irradiation experiments were conducted using a CEM Discover SP + Explorer Hybrid (CEM Corp., Matthews, NC). The machine consists of a continuous focused microwave power delivery system with operator-selectable power output from 0 to 300 W. The temperature of the contents of the vessels was monitored using a calibrated infrared temperature control mounted under the reaction vessel. All the experiments were performed using a stirring option whereby the contents of the vessel are stirred by means of a rotating magnetic plate located below the floor of the microwave cavity and a Teflon-coated magnetic stir bar in the vessel.

## Carbonic anhydrase inhibition assay

The CA catalyzed $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ hydration/inhibition was measured by using a stopped-flow instrument (Applied Photophysics, Oxford, U.K.) as the method described earlier. ${ }^{233}$ Initial rates of the CA-catalyzed CO 2 hydration reaction were followed for $10-100 \mathrm{~s}$. The $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ concentrations ranged from 1.7 to 17 mM for the determination of the inhibition constants. For each inhibitor, at least six traces of the initial 5-10\% of the reaction were used for assessing the initial velocity. The uncatalyzed rates were subtracted from the total observed rates. Stock solutions of inhibitors ( 10 mM ) and dilutions up to 0.01 nM were prepared in distilled-deionized water. Inhibitor and enzyme solutions were preincubated together for 15 min at room temperature prior to assay, in order to allow for the
formation of the E-I complex. The inhibition constants were obtained by non-linear leastsquares methods using PRISM 3 as reported earlier and represent the mean from at least three different determinations46-48. hCA I, hCA II, hCA IX (catalytic domain), and hCA XII (catalytic domain) were recombinant proteins produced in-house using our standardized protocol and their concentration in the assay system was in the range of $3-10 \mathrm{nM}$ (and even lower for highly effective, sub-nanomolar inhibitors). ${ }^{234,235}$

## Experimental part drug design

Theoretical 3D models of compounds were built by means of Maestro GUI or downloaded from the PDB. ${ }^{236}$ Ligands were docked in the global minimum energy conformation as determined by molecular mechanics conformational analysis performed with Macromodel software version 9.2. ${ }^{237}$ All parameters were left as default. The geometry was optimized by MMFFs (Merck molecular force fields) ${ }^{238}$ and GB/SA water implicit solvation model ${ }^{239}$ using Polak-Ribier Conjugate Gradient (PRCG) method, 5000 iterations and a convergence criterion of $0.05 \mathrm{kcal} /(\mathrm{mol} \AA$ ).

QikProp ${ }^{232}$ results are not very sensitive to the conformation of the input structure. However, the energy minimized ligands structures were evaluated for their drug-like properties.

## Pyrazolo[3,4-d] pyrimidine

## Synthesis of 2-(methylthio)pyrimidine-4,6-diol (2)





2-mercaptopyrimidine-4,6-diol
To a solution of 2-thiobarbituric acid (1) ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 34.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00 \mathrm{eq}$.), KOH ( $5.84 \mathrm{~g}, 104.1$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 3.00 \mathrm{eq}$.), and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, methyl iodide ( $2.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 38.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.10 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added. The reaction mixture was heated for 3 hours under reflux. The transparent solution was cooled to room temperature and acidified with 3 N HCl until pH 2 . The product was obtained as a light-yellow precipitate and was recovered by filtration under vacuum.

## Synthesis of 4,6-dichloro-2-(methylthio)pyrimidine-5-carbaldehyde (3)




Anhydrous DMF ( $1.60 \mathrm{~mL}, 19.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.00 \mathrm{eq}$.) was slowly added to an ice-cooled solution of distilled $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}(4.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 47.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 7.50 \mathrm{eq}$.) , and the resulting solution was stirred for 1 hour at room temperature. 2-(methylthio)pyrimidine-4,6-diol (2) ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 6.33 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.00 eq.) was added in 3 portions within 30 minutes, and the resulting solution was stirred under reflux overnight. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and then stirred overnight at room temperature. The solution was neutralized with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ until pH 7 . The product was extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, the organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The obtained oil was added to the precipitate and was purified by flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{Hex} / \mathrm{EtOAc}=99 / 1$ ) to obtain the product as a white solid.

## Synthesis of 4-chloro-6-(methylthio)1H-pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidine (4)





To an ice-cooled solution of 4,6-dichloro-2-(methylthio)pyrimidine-5-carbaldehyde (3) (100 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ eq.) and TEA ( $0.06 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in dioxane ( 1 mL ), $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.015 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.10 \mathrm{eq})$ was added. After 5 minutes, the ice bath was removed, and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 5 hours, until the completion of the reaction. To acidify the reaction, 10 mL of 0.5 N HCl were added. Then, an extraction with EtOAc ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was performed, and the organic phases were collected and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and evaporated under reduced pressure to obtain a yellow solid. The product was purified by flash chromatography (DCM/MetOH = 99/1).

## General Synthesis of 2-(4-arylphenyl)propan-1-ol



9 a-b
$\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ THF
rt, 24 h

The appropriate 2-(4-arylphenyl)propanoic acid ( $6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was dissolved in dry THF $(46 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}(33.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.6 \mathrm{eq})$ was added dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 hours at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then cooled to room temperature and stirred for 24 hours, till the completion of the reaction. The mixture was quenched with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, then the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The product was purified by flash chromatography using DCM/MetOH 99/1

## General synthesis of 4-chloro-1-(2-arylpropyl)-6-( methylhio)-1H-pyrazolo[3,4d]pyrimidine (5a-b)


$\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ (40 mg, $0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.50$ eq.) and 4-chloro-6-(methylthio)1H-pyrazolo[3,4d]pyrimidine (4) ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ eq.) were dissolved in anhydrous THF while cooling with an ice bath. Then the corresponding alcohol (10 a-b) ( $0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.50 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added. Immediately, DIAD ( $0.03 \mathrm{ml}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.50 \mathrm{eq}$. ) was added dropwise over 1 minute. The reaction mixture was stirred at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 minutes, then allowed to warm to room temperature. The solution was irradiated under $\mu \mathrm{W}$ at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 minutes, $\lambda=300$ Wmax and $p=250$ psi. Then the reaction was taken to dryness to obtain a green oil, that was purified by flash chromatography using PE/AcOEt mixture as eluent.

General synthesis of 4-chloro-3-(1-(2-arylpropyl)-6-(methylthio)-1H-pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidin-4-ylamino)phenol (6a-b)


3-amino-4-chlorophenol ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added to a solution of the appropriate 4-chloro-1-(2-arylpropyl)-6-(melthio)-1H-pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidine (5a-b) (53
$\mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ eq.) in EtOH ( 2 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred under reflux overnight, until the completion of the reaction. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the product was purified by flash chromatography using $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MetOH}$.

## General synthesis of 4-chloro-3-(1-(2-arylpropyl)-6-(2-hydroxyethylamino)-1H-

 pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidin-4-ylamino)phenol (8 a-b)

1) m-CPBA, DCM rt, 2 h
$\qquad$
2) ETA, THF $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$,
overnight

m-CPBA ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.10 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added to a solution of the appropriate 4-chloro-3-(1-(2-arylpropyl)-6-(methylthio)-1H-pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidin-4-ylamino)phenol (6 a-b) $\left(28 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\right.$ eq.) in $\mathrm{DCM}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. m-CPBA was neutralized with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and an extraction with AcOEt $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was performed. The organic phase was washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent removed under reduced pressure to obtain a yellow oily residue ( $7 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}$ ) (sulfone and sulfoxide). This compound (7a-b) was solubilized in DMSO ( 1.5 ml ) and Butan-1-olo ( 1.5 mL ), then Ethanolamine ( $0.02 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at reflux overnight, till the completion of the reaction. Butan-1-olo was removed in vacuo and DMSO was removed through an extraction in AcOEt ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), the organic phase was washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The product was purified by flash chromatography using DCM/MetOH as eluent.

71: 4-chloro-3-((1-(2-(4-hydroxyphenyl)propyl)-6-(methylthio)-1H-pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidin-4-yl)amino)phenol

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, Acetone): 8.70 (s, 1H, NH), 7.75 (s, 1H, CH-Pyraz), 7.61 (d, 1H, CH-ArClOH ), 7.47 (d, 1H, CH-Ar-ClOH), 7.30 (s, 1H, CH-Ar-ClOH), 6.89 (dd, $4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{H}+2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{Cl}$, CH-Ar-Cl), 4.69 ( $q, J=12.5,7,5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, NPyraz- $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}-\right), 4.50(\mathrm{q}, J=12.5,7,5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, NPyraz- $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}-$ ), $3.75-3.57$ (m, 1H, NPyraz-CH2-CH(CH3$)-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}$ ), 2.60 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{3}$ ), 1.30 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ).
$\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{OH}$ and $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{OH}$ not detected
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Acetone): 168.44 (1C), 156.72 (1C), 155.83 (1C), 154.50 (1C), 154.25 (1C), 136.46 (1C), 135.92 (1C), 130.93 (1C), 130.0 (1C)4, 129.91 (2C), 129.25 (2C), 118.72 (1C), 114.91 (1C), 114.24 (1C), 98.64 (1C), 54.60 (1C), 41.14 (1C), 19.24 (1C), 13.17 (1C).

7m: 4-chloro-3-((1-(2-(4-chlorophenyl)propyl)-6-(methylthio)-1H-pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidin-4-yl)amino)phenol

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, Acetone): 8.76 (s, 1H, NH), 7.75 (s, 1H, CH-Pyraz), 7.59 (d, 1H, CH-ArClOH ), 7.45 (d, 1H, CH-Ar-ClOH), 7.36 (s, 1H, CH-Ar-ClOH), 6.91 (dd, 4H, 2H+2H, CH-Ar-Cl,


NPyraz-CH2-CH-), $3.72-3.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NPyraz-CH} 2-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Ar}\right), 2.61\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{3}\right), 1.37$ (d, J = $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{-\mathrm{CH}_{3}}$ ).

Ar-OH not detected
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , Acetone): 168.95 (1C), 156.76 (1C), 154.74 (1C), 154.63 (1C), 142.63 (1C), 135.84 (1C), 131.72 (1C), 131.30 (1C), 130.07 (1C), 129.01 (1C), 128.30 (2C), 128.26 (2C), 118.85 (1C), 114.40 (1C), 98.39 (1C), 52.90 (1C), 39.39 (1C), 18.37 (1C), 13.20 (1C).

7n: 4-chloro-3-(1-(2-(4-chlorophenyl)propyl)-6-(2-hydroxyethylamino)-1H-pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidin-4-ylamino)phenol

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Acetone) $\delta 7.89$ (bs, 1H, Pyraz-NH-Ar), 7.73 (s, 1H, CH-Pyraz), 7.35-7.26

 $\mathrm{CH}-$ ) 4.53 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=12.5,7,5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NPyraz}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}-$ ), $3.40-3.30\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NH}_{\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{OH}\right) \text {, }}\right.$ 2.96-2.90 (m, 1H, NPyraz-CH2-CH $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$-CH-Ar), $1.26\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
$\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{OH}$ and Pyraz- $\mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CH} 2$ not detected.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Acetone) $\delta 161.54$ (1C), 156.58 (2C), 155.21 (1C), 142.94 (2C), 136.39 (1C), 131.60 ( 1 C ), 131.08 ( 1 C ), 130.93 ( 1 C ), 129.77 (1C), 129.04 (1C), 128.27 ( 1 C ), 116.83 (1C), 113.08 (1C), 112.84 (1C), 96.10 (1C), 52.44 (1C), 44.18 (1C), 39.20 (1C), 18.44 (1C), 18.37 (1C).


| Compound | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{R}$ | Aspect | Yield <br> $\%$ | MS (E/S) <br> $\mathbf{m} / \mathbf{z}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{7 I}$ | OH | $\mathrm{SCH}_{3}$ | White solid | 25 | $441[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$ |
| $\mathbf{7 m}$ | Cl | $\mathrm{SCH}_{3}$ | White solid | 24 | $459[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$. |
| $\mathbf{7 n}$ | Cl | $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | White solid | 20 | $472,12[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$ |

## General information

All commercially available chemicals were used as purchased. Anhydrous reactions were run under a positive pressure of dry N2 or argon. TLC was carried out using Merck TLC plates silica gel 60 F254. Chromatographic purifications were performed on columns packed with Merk 60 silica gel, 23-400 mesh, for flash technique. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded at 400 MHz on a Bruker Avance DPX400. Mass spectra (MS) data were obtained using an Agilent 1100 LC/MSD VL system (G1946C) with a $0.4 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ flow rate using a binary solvent system of $95: 5=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. UV detection was monitored at 254 nm . MS were acquired IN negative mode scanning over the mass range $m / z$ 50-1500. The following ion source parameters were used: drying gas flow, $9 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$; nebulizer pressure, 40 psi ; drying gas temperature, $350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Microwave Irradiation Experiments

Microwave irradiation experiments were conducted using a CEM Discover Synthesis Unit (CEM Corp., Matthews, NC). The machine consists of a continuous focused microwave power delivery system with operator-selectable power output from 0 to 300 W . The temperature of the contents of the vessels was monitored using a calibrated infrared temperature control mounted under the reaction vessel. All the experiments were performed using a stirring option whereby the contents of the vessel are stirred by means of a rotating magnetic plate located below the floor of the microwave cavity and a Tefloncoated magnetic stir bar in the vessel.

## Enzymatic Assay on Isolated Abl

Recombinant human Abl was purchased from Upstate. Activity was measured in a filterbinding assay using an Abl specific peptide substrate (Abtide, Upstate). Reaction conditions were as follows: $0.012 \mu \mathrm{M}\left[\gamma-{ }^{32} \mathrm{P}\right] \mathrm{ATP}, 50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ peptide, and $0.022 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{Abl}$. The apparent affinity $\left(K_{m}\right)$ values of the Abl preparation used for its peptide and ATP substrates were determined separately and found to be $1.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. Kinetic analysis was performed as described for c-Src. Because of the noncompetitive mode of action and because of the fact that the enzyme concentration was higher than the ATP substrate concentration, ID50 values were converted to $K_{i}$ by eq 3 : $K_{i}=I D_{50} /\left\{E_{0}+\right.$ $\left.\left[\mathrm{E}_{0}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{ATP}) / \mathrm{S}_{0}\right)\right]\right\}^{240} / \mathrm{E}_{0}$, where $\mathrm{E}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ are the enzyme and the ATP concentrations,
respectively. Each experiment was done in triplicate, and mean values were used for the interpolation. Curve fitting was performed with the program GraphPad Prism.

## Enzymatic Assay on Isolated Src

Recombinant human Src was purchased from Upstate (Lake Placid, NY). Activity was measured in a filter-binding assay using a commercial kit (Src Assay Kit, Upstate), according to the manufacturer's protocol, using $150 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of the specific $\operatorname{Src}$ peptide substrate (KVEKIGEGTYGVVYK) and in the presence of 0.125 pmol of Src and 0.160 pmol of [ $\left.\gamma_{-}{ }^{32} \mathrm{P}\right]$-ATP. The apparent affinity $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ values of the Src preparation used for its peptide and ATP substrates were determined separately and found to be $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively.

## Cell assay

Human CML K-562 cell lines in blast crisis were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection and were grown in RPMI 1640 medium (Euroclone, Devon, UK), containing 10\% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and antibiotics (100 U/mL penicillin and $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ streptomycin). ${ }^{241}$ The cultures were free of mycoplasma. Cells were cultured in normoxic conditions. For the experiments we used an incubator (KW Apparecchi Scientifici, Italy) set at $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}, 20 \% \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (atmospheric oxygen $\approx 140 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ), and $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a humidified environment. In our experiments, the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ tension was set and maintained constantly at $2 \%$ ( $\approx 14 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ) by injecting $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ automatically in the chamber to bring the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ level to the set point. The compounds were dissolved in DMSO and used at the indicated concentrations. At the end of the experiments, cells were promptly analyzed.
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[^0]:    * Mean from 3 different assays, by a stopped flow technique (errors were in the range of $\pm 5-10 \%$ of the reported values).

